Chemistry across dust and gas gaps in protoplanetary disks

Modelling the co-spatial molecular rings in the HD 100546 disk

M. Leemker¹, A. S. Booth^{1, 2, 3}, E. F. van Dishoeck^{1, 4}, L. Wölfer⁵, and B. Dent⁶

¹ Leiden Observatory, Leiden University, P.O. box 9513, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands

e-mail: leemker@strw.leidenuniv.nl 2

Clav Postdoctoral Fellow

Center for Astrophysics | Harvard & Smithsonian, 60 Garden St., Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

4 Max-Planck-Institut für Extraterrestrische Physik, Giessenbachstrasse 1, 85748 Garching, Germany

Department of Earth, Atmospheric, and Planetary Sciences, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

Joint ALMA Observatory, Alonso de Córdova 3107, Vitacura, Santiago, 763 0355, Chile

Received 22 December 2023; accepted 8 April 2024

ABSTRACT

Context. Nearby extended protoplanetary disks are commonly marked by prominent rings in the dust emission, possibly carved by forming planets. High-resolution observations show that both the dust and the gas are structured. These molecular structures may be related to radial and azimuthal density variations in the disk and/or the disk chemistry.

Aims. The aim of this work is to identify the expected location and intensity of rings seen in molecular line emission in gapped disks while exploring a range of physical conditions across the gap. In particular, we aim to model the molecular rings that are, in contrast with most other gapped disks, co-spatial with the dust rings at ~ 20 and ~ 200 au in the HD 100546 disk using the thermochemical code DALL

Methods. We model observations with the Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array (ALMA) of CO isotopologues, [C I], HCN, CN, C2H, NO, and HCO⁺ in the HD 100546 disk. An axisymmetric 3D thermochemical model reproducing the radial profiles of the CO isotopologue observations and the double ring seen in continuum emission is used to make predictions for various emission lines. The effect of the amount of gas in the dust gap, the C/O ratio, an attenuated background UV radiation field, and the flaring index on the radial distribution of different molecules are investigated.

Results. The fiducial model of a gapped disk with a gas cavity at 0 - 15 au, a dust cavity at 0 - 20 au, and a gas and dust gap at 40-175 au provides a good fit to the continuum and the CO isotopologues in the HD 100546 disk. In particular, the CO isotopologue emission is consistent with a shallow gas gap with no more than a factor of ~ 10 drop in gas density at 40 - 175 au. Similar to the CO isotopologues, the HCN and HCO⁺ model predictions reproduce the data within a factor of a few in most disk regions. However, the predictions for the other atom and molecules, [C I], CN, C₂H, and NO do not match the intensity nor the morphology of the observations. An exploration of the parameter space shows that in general the molecular emission rings are only co-spatial with the dust rings if the gas gap between the dust rings is depleted by at least four orders of magnitude in gas or if the C/O ratio of the gas is varying as a function of radius. For shallower gaps the decrease in the UV field roughly balances the effect of a higher gas density for UV tracers such as CN, C₂H, and NO. Therefore, the CN, C₂H, and NO radicals are not good tracers of the gas gap depth. In the outer regions of the disk around 300 au, these UV tracers are also sensitive to the background UV field incident on the disk. Reducing the background UV field by a factor of 10 removes the extended emission and outer ring seen in CN and C₂H, respectively, and reduces the ring seen in NO at 300 au. The C/O ratio primarily effects the intensity of the lines without changing the morphology much. The [C I], HCN, CN, and C₂H emission all increase with increasing C/O, whereas the NO emission shows a more complex dependence on the C/O ratio depending on the disk radius.

Conclusions. CO isotopologues and HCO⁺ emission trace gas gaps and gas gaps depths in disks. The molecular rings in HCN, CN, C_2H , and NO predicted by thermochemical models do not naturally coincide with those seen in the dust, contrary to what is observed in the HD 100546 disk. This could be indicative of a radially varying C/O ratio in the HD 100546 disk with a C/O above 1 in a narrow region across the dust rings, together with a shallow gas gap that is depleted by a factor of ~ 10 in gas, and a reduced background UV field. The increase in the C/O ratio to $\gtrsim 1$ could point to the destruction of some of the CO, the liberation of carbon from ice and grains, or in case of the outer ring, it could point to second generation gas originating from the icy dust grains.

astrochemistry - protoplanetary disks - ISM: molecules - submillimeter: planetary systems - stars: individual: Key words. HD 100546

1. Introduction

The gas, dust, and ice surrounding a young star are the building blocks of new planets. Many of the nearby, massive, and large protoplanetary disks have been observed to not be smooth but have gaps, rings, and arcs in the continuum emission that are possibly carved by massive forming planets (e.g., Andrews et al.

2018; Huang et al. 2018; Long et al. 2018; Andrews 2020; Francis & van der Marel 2020). Recently, young planets with clear accretion signatures have been directly detected in the PDS 70 disk (Keppler et al. 2018; Haffert et al. 2019; Benisty et al. 2021). Additionally, a point-like source consistent with a planet in a Keplerian orbit in the dust gap of the HD 169142 disk is seen (Hammond et al. 2023) and the presence of a giant accreting planet is debated in the AB Aur disk (Currie et al. 2022; Zhou et al. 2023). Promising signs of planets are actually now seen in disks through indirect methods such as detailed studies of the CO gas kinematics. (e.g., Pinte et al. 2018; Teague et al. 2018; Pinte et al. 2019; Alarcón et al. 2022; Izquierdo et al. 2022, 2023). The chemical composition of the solid core and gaseous atmosphere of these planets depends on the chemical composition of the ice and the gas in their protoplanetary disk. Comparing the composition in a protoplanetary disk to that measured in exoplanet atmospheres provides insights into where and when these planets have formed (Öberg et al. 2011; Öberg & Bergin 2016; Cridland et al. 2016; Mordasini et al. 2016; Cridland et al. 2017, 2023; Eistrup 2023).

The chemical composition of the gas across a disk is not constant. Signs of chemical substructures have been found in simple gas-phase molecules such as the commonly observed CO, HCO⁺, N₂H⁺, CN, HCN, and the recently detected NO (e.g., Cazzoletti et al. 2018; Bergner et al. 2019; Oi et al. 2019; Aikawa et al. 2021; Bergner et al. 2021; Law et al. 2021; Zhang et al. 2021; Leemker et al. 2023; Temmink et al. 2023). These structures are seen both at the location of dust structures such as rings, gaps, and arcs, e.g. in the MWC 480, PDS 70, IRS 48, and the HD 100546 disks, as well as in regions uncorrelated to the dust e.g., four out of five disks observed in the MAPS (Molecules with ALMA at Planet-forming scales) program (Facchini et al. 2021; Law et al. 2021; Booth et al. 2021a,b; van der Marel et al. 2021a; Brunken et al. 2022; Jiang et al. 2022; Leemker et al. 2023). These ringed structures can thus be due to chemical rings or physical rings in the underlying gas density structure.

Young planets that are embedded in their native protoplanetary disk can carve a deep gap in the dust, and if they are sufficiently massive, also a gap in the gas as traced by CO emission (Bruderer et al. 2014; Zhu et al. 2014; Dong et al. 2015; Rosotti et al. 2016; van der Marel et al. 2016; Andrews et al. 2018; Long et al. 2018; Binkert et al. 2021; Öberg et al. 2021; Zhang et al. 2021; Leemker et al. 2022). A gas gap in a planet forming disk not only reduces the amount of gas and dust at that location, it also reduces the attenuation of the UV radiation causing a relative increase in the UV field (Cleeves et al. 2011; Facchini et al. 2018; Alarcón et al. 2020; Rab et al. 2020). This in turn leads to an increase of the dust temperature. The resulting gas temperature in the dust gap is a balance of the heating and cooling processes and can be either warmer or colder than the region just outside the gap (e.g., Bruderer 2013; Facchini et al. 2017; Alarcón et al. 2020; Broome et al. 2023). This change in temperature and UV field across the gap could lead to rings in other molecules as the chemistry in disks is sensitive to both of these.

A change in the temperature could lead to the freeze-out or thermal desorption of one of the major volatiles: H_2O , CO, and N_2 . These snowlines are the midplane locations where 50% of a molecule is in the gas-phase and 50% is frozen out onto the dust grains. This is exceptionally clear in the structure of HCO⁺ and N_2H^+ . These molecules respond very strongly to the desorption of H_2O , CO, and N_2 , resulting in ring-shaped emission outside (HCO⁺ and N_2H^+ for the water and CO snowlines, respectively) or inside (N_2H^+ for the N_2 snowline) the snowline (Qi et al. 2013; van 't Hoff et al. 2017; Leemker et al. 2021). On top of that, the abundance of HCO⁺, DCO⁺, and N_2H^+ is set by the ionisation rate in the disk which may radially change due to substructures (e.g., Aikawa & Herbst 2001; Cleeves et al. 2014; Aikawa et al. 2021).

In addition to snowlines, the stellar UV field is important for setting the chemistry in disks by photodissociating molecules in the surface layers of the disk. This not only destroys molecules, but it also enables formation paths to new ones. For example, the photodissociation of water produces OH which can lead to the formation of NO and the photodissociation of CO leads to the presence of atomic carbon in disks (Thi et al. 2010; Bruderer et al. 2012; Schwarz & Bergin 2014; Leemker et al. 2023). Moreover, UV radiation can vibrationally excite molecular hydrogen (H₂*) at intermediate disk radii. The extra energy stored in H₂* can then be used to overcome the energy barrier to form CN and NO in the surface layers of disks (Agúndez et al. 2010; Visser et al. 2018; Cazzoletti et al. 2018; Paneque-Carreño et al. 2022; Leemker et al. 2023). Apart from the innermost regions in the midplane, HCN follows the same trend as it is closely related to CN in the disk surface layers. Therefore, ring-shaped CN and HCN emission is expected even if the underlying gas and dust surface density profiles are smooth (Long et al. 2021).

In this paper we aim to investigate the conditions that lead to molecular rings coinciding with dust rings under different physical and chemical conditions. In particular, these results are applied to the HD 100546 disk, one of the gapped disks with molecular rings seen at the location of the continuum rings at 20 au and \sim 200 au. In Sect. 2 we present the [C I] data in the HD 100546 disk and describe the setup of our thermochemical models that include a gas and dust cavity as well as a gap between the two rings seen in the HD 100546 continuum and CO isotopologues. Section 3 presents a fiducial model that fits these data. In addition, the predictions for [C I], HCN, CN, C₂H, NO, and HCO⁺ in this disk under different physical conditions, varying parameters such as a deep gas gap, an elevated C/O ratio, an attenuated background UV field, and disk flaring are presented. The results are discussed in Sect. 4 in the specific context of the HD 100546 observations and our conclusions are summarized in Sect. 5.

2. Methods

2.1. Source: HD 100546

HD 100546 is a $\sim 2 M_{\odot}$, 5 Myr old Herbig Ae/Be star with a luminosity of ~36 L_{\odot} (Kama et al. 2016; Arun et al. 2019; Pineda et al. 2019). This star is located in a relatively isolated region at a distance of 108.1 ± 0.4 pc (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2016, 2023). The star is surrounded by a massive and gas-rich disk of $0.03 - 0.1 \text{ M}_{\odot}$ based on CO isotopologue emission, Herschel PACS and HIFI observations of the CO rotational ladder up to J = 38, constraining temperature structure disk layers, and an upper limit on the HD flux (Kama et al. 2016; Stapper et al. 2024). The *Herschel* PACS observations of CO, C, and C⁺ indicate that the gas in the surface layers of the disk is warmer than the dust (Bruderer et al. 2012; Fedele et al. 2016). Additionally, this instrument revealed gas-phase water that is present outside ~ 40 au in the HD 100546 disk (van Dishoeck et al. 2021; Pirovano et al. 2022). Both the CO gas and the dust in this disk are structured with small scale gas and dust spirals (a few 0".1), a large scale (~ 1 ") dusty spiral and a large scale spiral in the gas kinematics (Garufi et al. 2016; Follette et al. 2017; Sissa et al. 2018; Norfolk et al. 2022; Wölfer et al. subm.). Additionally, the continuum emission in this disk is seen in three locations: an unresolved dusty inner disk, a bright inner ring from ~ 20 au to 40 au, and a weak outer ring at < 1% of the peak continuum intensity from ~ 150 au to 250 au (Bruderer et al. 2012; Walsh et al. 2014; Pineda et al. 2019; Fedele et al. 2021). This paper primarily focusses on the molecular emission at the location of the second ring at ~ 200 au and how this compares to the inner ring at ~ 20 au.



Fig. 1: Surface density of the gas (purple), small dust (red), and large dust (green) in the fiducial model for the HD 100546 disk. The light purple, red, and green lines indicate the respective surface densities in a full disk without any gaps, cavities and rings. The blue shaded regions indicate the dusty inner disk and the two dust rings at 20-40 au and 175-250 au respectively. The surface density Σ_c/e at r_c is indicated with a scatter point in the left panel. Additionally, the DALI parameters that control drops and increases in the surface densities are indicated with arrows (see Table B.1 for their values). The red bar in the inset in the left panel indicates the gas surface density based on CO by Fedele et al. (2016). The small insets in each panel focus on the inner 25 au of the disk.

The central cavity and gap between the two dust rings have been hypothesized to be carved by massive planets. The inner planet has been inferred from CO ro-vibrational emission and a feature seen in scattered light (e.g., Brittain et al. 2013, 2014; Currie et al. 2015; Brittain et al. 2019). In addition, co-rotating SO emission is seen at the location of the proposed planet (Booth et al. 2023). A second planet has been hypothesized between the two dust rings as a point source is seen at 50 - 60 au in scattered light observations (Quanz et al. 2013; Currie et al. 2014). However, the nature of these potential planets is debated as no accretion signatures have been found at this location and different results have been found depending on the data quality and imaging techniques (Currie et al. 2017; Follette et al. 2017; Rameau et al. 2017). Therefore, more research is needed to firmly conclude if these planets are present.

ALMA observations of molecular lines have revealed a number of different molecules in this disk. The detection of formaldehyde and the complex organic molecule methanol in this warm disk indicates that the molecular inventory is likely inherited from the native dark cloud as the bulk of the disk is too warm for CO freeze-out (Booth et al. 2021b). The CS and SO emission peak at different radii, with the transition between the two coinciding with the inferred water snowline location at the inner edge of the dust cavity (~20 au; Keyte et al. 2023; Booth et al. 2024). Finally, many of the molecular lines except the CO isotopologues are close to co-spatial with the rings seen in the dust and are consistent with a flat disk despite ¹²CO emitting from higher disk layers (Law et al. 2022; Stapper et al. 2023; Booth et al. 2024; Wölfer et al. subm.). In this work, we use high resolution ALMA observations at ~ 0 ".1 spatial resolution of the dust and CO isotopologues (Pineda et al. 2019; Pérez et al. 2020; Wölfer et al. 2023, subm.), intermediate resolution data at $\sim 0''_2 - 0''_5$ of the dust, CO, C¹⁷O, HCN, CN, C₂H, NO, and HCO⁺ (Booth et al. 2024), and [C I] observations to elucidate the origin of the observed molecular substructures.

2.2. ALMA data covering [C I]

The ¹²CO J = 7-6 and [C I] line emission data used in his paper were taken in Cycle 6 with ALMA in Band 10 (2018.1.00141.S; PI: B. Dent), using 43 antennas with baselines of 15-783 m. Starting from the archival pipeline-calibrated data we performed further data reduction using CASA (McMullin et al. 2007). Due to a low signal-to-noise ratio, no self-calibration was applied. We first subtracted the continuum using the uvcontsub task, flagging channels containing line emission, and then imaged the lines with tCLEAN for both the continuum-subtracted and nonsubtracted data sets. In this process, we imaged the data at the highest spectral resolution possible and adopted a Briggs robust weighting of 0.5, which gave the best trade off between spatial resolution and sensitivity. We also applied a Keplerian mask 1 using an inclination of 42 °, position angle of 140 °, distance of 110 pc, stellar mass of 2.13 M_{\odot} , and systemic velocity of $5.7 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$. We further used the multi-scale deconvolver (scales (0.5, 10, 20) and a slight uv-taper $(0.05 \times 0.05, 0^{\circ})$ to improve the signal-to-noise ratio in the images. This resulted in an image with a spatial resolution of $0''_{21} \times 0''_{16}$ (28°) and a maximum recoverable scale of 2" in diameter (108 au in radius). The integrated intensity map and the spectrum are presented in Fig. A.1.

2.3. DALI

To investigate the relative importance of physical versus chemical rings, we model the dust rings and rings seen in molecular line emission using the thermochemical code Dust And LInes (DALI; Bruderer et al. 2009, 2012; Bruderer 2013). The model presented in this work is based on those presented in Pirovano et al. (2022) and Keyte et al. (2023). We improve on the dust structure from the latter model by including a dust gap in the outer disk to match the double ringed structure of the continuum observations (Fedele et al. 2021; Booth et al. 2024). Additionally, the gas density structure is improved by including a gas gap between the two dust rings and by including an inner gas cavity

¹ https://github.com/richteague/keplerian_mask

A&A proofs: manuscript no. main



Fig. 2: Structure of the fiducial DALI model. From left to right and top to bottom: gas, small dust, and large dust density, gas and dust temperature, and UV field. Only the regions with a gas number density above 10^5 cm⁻³ are shown. Outside 250 au, the midplane gas density is too low for the gas and dust temperature to be coupled.

just inside the inner dust ring at 20 au to match the observed CO emission in those regions (Pérez et al. 2020; Booth et al. 2024; Wölfer et al. subm.). The general setup of the model is described below.

(see also the (top) middle panel in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2):

$$\Sigma_{\rm s \ dust} = \begin{cases} \Sigma_{\rm s \ dust \ full} \times 10^{-2} & (0.4 \ {\rm au} < r < 1 \ {\rm au}) \\ \Sigma_{\rm s \ dust \ full} \times 10^{-10} & (1 \ {\rm au} < r < 15 \ {\rm au}) \\ (1 - f_{\rm ls}) \times \Sigma_{\rm gas} / \Delta_{\rm gd} & (15 \ {\rm au} < r < 1000 \ {\rm au}). \end{cases}$$
(2)

2.3.1. Gas density structure

The radial and vertical density structure of the disk follow the standard DALI setup for a flared disk with an exponentially tapered power law in the radial direction and a Gaussian distribution of the gas in the vertical direction (see Bruderer 2013, and Appendix B.1.1 for details). To include the gas cavity and a gas gap in this disk, the gas surface density of the full disk is lowered as follows:

$$\Sigma_{\text{gas}} = \begin{cases} \Sigma_{\text{gas full}} \times 10^{-5} & (0.4 \text{ au} < r < 15 \text{ au}) \\ \Sigma_{\text{gas full}} \times 10^{-2} & (15 \text{ au} < r < 20 \text{ au}) \\ \Sigma_{\text{gas full}} & (20 \text{ au} < r < 40 \text{ au}) \\ \Sigma_{\text{gas full}} \times \delta_{\text{gas gap}} & (40 \text{ au} < r < 175 \text{ au}) \\ \Sigma_{\text{gas full}} & (175 \text{ au} < r < 1000 \text{ au}), \end{cases}$$
(1)

with $\delta_{gas gap}$ lowering the gas density in the gap, see the left panel of Fig. 1 and the top left panel of Fig. 2.

2.3.2. Dust density structure

The small grains follow the gas outside the inner gas cavity as they are expected to be well mixed with the gas. Outside the gas cavity but inside the dust cavity the small grains also follow the gas. Inside the gas cavity down to the dusty inner disk that is observed in continuum emission up to < 2 au (Benisty et al. 2010; Pineda et al. 2019; Pérez et al. 2020), the small grains are depleted by a factor of 10^{-10} to mimic a cavity devoid of any dust. The dusty inner disk inside 1 au is depleted in small (5 nm-1 µm) and large (5 nm-1 mm) grains by a factor of 10^{-2} In the vertical direction the small dust follows the gas.

Observations show that the large dust in the HD 100546 disk is mainly present at three different locations: a dusty inner disk, an inner ring at 20 - 40 au, and an outer ring at 175 - 250 au (Walsh et al. 2014; Pineda et al. 2019; Fedele et al. 2021). The cavity in the large dust in our model is 5 au larger than that in the small dust and the gas, similar to what is observed in other transition disks (Perez et al. 2015; van der Marel et al. 2016; Leemker et al. 2022; Wölfer et al. 2023). This is parametrized as:

$$\Sigma_{\rm l \ dust} = \begin{cases} \Sigma_{\rm l \ dust \ full} \times 10^{-2} & (0.4 \ au < r < 1 \ au) \\ \Sigma_{\rm l \ dust \ full} \times 10^{-10} & (1 \ au < r < 20 \ au) \\ \Sigma_{\rm l \ dust \ full} \times 10^{-10} & (20 \ au < r < 40 \ au) \\ \Sigma_{\rm l \ dust \ full} \times 10^{-10} & (40 \ au < r < 175 \ au) \\ \Sigma_{\rm l \ dust \ full} \times 10^{-10} & (175 \ au < r < 250 \ au) \\ \Sigma_{\rm l \ dust \ full} \times 10^{-10} & (250 \ au < r < 1000 \ au). \end{cases}$$
(3)

The outer ring extends to 250 au, see also the right panel of Fig. 1. Additionally, the large grains are settled to the disk midplane (top right panel in Fig. 2). The dust in the midplane of the outer dust ring is sufficiently cold for some CO freeze-out as the dust temperature drops below 20 K (bottom row, middle panel in Fig. 2).

2.4. Stellar spectrum

The stellar spectrum of HD 100546 observed by the Far Ultraviolet Spectroscopic Explorer (FUSE) and the the International Ultraviolet Explorer (IUE) and presented in Bruderer et al. (2012)

is used. These observations have been dereddend and extended to longer wavelengths using a B9V template (Pickles 1998). Additionally, an X-ray luminosity of 7.9×10^{28} erg s⁻¹ (Stelzer et al. 2006) with a temperature of 7×10^7 K is included to account for more energetic radiation.

2.5. Chemistry

Fig. 3 summarizes the observed distribution of CO isotopologues and dust in the HD 100546 disk in black. The chemistry is modelled using a chemical network suited for modelling small nitrogen bearing molecules such as CN, HCN, and NO, and small hydrocarbons such as C₂H. This network was first presented in Visser et al. (2018); Cazzoletti et al. (2018); and Long et al. (2021) and includes 134 species and 1844 reactions. Similar to Leemker et al. (2023), the binding energy of C_2H_3 (ethylenyl) is set to 10^4 K to mimic the conversion of C_2H_3 to larger COMs on the grain surfaces. This network includes the main gas-phase formation and destruction routes of the molecules of interest, the photodissociation and photoionization of relevant gas-phase molecules, self-shielding of H₂, CO, and C, the freezeout and thermal-, and non-desorption of the major volatiles. The non-thermal desorption rates of H₂O and CO are updated from 1.3×10^{-3} and 2.7×10^{-3} molecules desorbed per grain per incident UV photon to 5×10^{-4} and 1.4×10^{-3} molecules desorbed per grain per incident UV photon respectively (Öberg et al. 2007, 2009; Paardekooper et al. 2016; González Díaz et al. 2019; Fillion et al. 2022). Additionally, some grain surface reactions are included such as the formation of H2 on grains and PAHs. Moreover, the hydrogenation of O, C, N, and CN on grains to form H₂O, CH₄, NH₃, and HCN ices are included. Nevertheless, no detailed ice chemistry such as the conversion of CO to H₂CO or CH₃OH is modelled as the HD 10546 disk is warm and no large-scale CO freeze-out is expected.

The abundance of the CO isotopologues is predicted with the CO isotopologue network presented in Miotello et al. (2016) consisting of 185 species and 5755 reactions. This network includes the ¹²C, ¹³C, ¹⁶O, ¹⁷O, and ¹⁸O isotopes, with their ratios taken as ¹²C/¹³C = 77, ¹⁶O/¹⁸O = 560, and ¹⁶O/¹⁷O = 1792 (Wilson & Rood 1994). Similar to the nitrogen network, this network includes the freeze-out and thermal desorption of simple species and their isotopologues, some grain surface reactions to model the hydrogenation of C, N, and O to CH₄, NH₃, H₂O, and their isotopologues, and isotope selective photodissociation. In addition, self-shielding of H₂, CO, and their isotopologues, and of C are included as well as fractionation reactions. Both chemical networks are started with molecular initial conditions and a fiducial total (gas + ice) C/O ratio of 0.4 (see Table B.1 and B.1.2).

For the HCO⁺ abundance, the chemical network presented in Leemker et al. (2021) is used. This is a small chemical network with ten reactions and the same number of species centred around HCO⁺. This network does include the freeze-out and thermal desorption of H_2O , but not that of CO. The HCO⁺ is formed through an ion-molecule reaction of CO with H_3^+ and it is destroyed by dissociative recombination with an electron and by the reaction with gas-phase water. The formation of H_3^+ is driven by ionization of H_2 by cosmic rays creating H_2^+ that subsequently reacts with H_2 to form H_3^+ . H_3^+ is destroyed by reactions with electrons, gas-phase water and CO. As the only carbon-bearing molecules in this network are CO and HCO⁺, this network is only run for the models with C/O < 1. This network is evolved using the density and temperature structure of the DALI model together with initial CO and H₂O abundances to match the C/O ratio. All chemical networks are evaluated at 5 Myr, the approximate age of the HD 100546 star (Arun et al. 2019; Pineda et al. 2019).

2.6. Raytracing

The synthetic ALMA observations are produced using the raytracer in DALI. The level populations are calculated without assuming local thermodynamical equilibrium (LTE) using the collisional rate coefficients for ¹²CO, ¹³CO, C¹⁸O, C¹⁷O, C, HCN, CN, C₂H, NO, and HCO⁺ in the LAMDA database² (Launay & Roueff 1977; Johnson et al. 1987; Roueff & Le Bourlot 1990; Schroder et al. 1991; Staemmler & Flower 1991; Schöier et al. 2005; Dumouchel et al. 2010; Lique et al. 2010; Yang et al. 2010; Spielfiedel et al. 2012; Denis-Alpizar et al. 2020; Ben Khalifa & Loreau 2021). An overview of the investigated transitions is presented in Table A.1. The observed C₂H and NO data cover two respectively three lines that are blended with themselves. Therefore, these two and three transitions were raytraced and added assuming the emission is optically thin. Finally, all synthetic image cubes are continuum subtracted and convolved to a beam matching that of the observations of that particular species (see Table A.1).

3. Results

Thermo-chemical models are not expected to reproduce the observed line emission within the uncertainty of the data as the predicted molecular line emission depends both on the abundance of a certain molecule as well as the temperature of the emitting layer. The parameter studies of the DALI thermo-chemical code show a factor of ~ 2 difference in the CO line flux due to e.g. uncertainties in reaction rates in the chemical networks (Bruderer et al. 2012; Bruderer 2013) and a somewhat larger factor is expected for molecules other than CO. Therefore, a model is expected to reproduce the data within a factor of $\sim 2-3$ in general (Kama et al. 2016; Sturm et al. 2023). In addition, a thermochemical model reproducing the observed line intensities within this factor is not unique and degeneracies in the model exist due to e.g., differences in the physical and thus thermal and chemical structure of the model. Instead of matching the observed line intensities within the uncertainty of the data, we look for trends in the abundances and line intensities for a range of key parameters such as gap depth and chemical composition. As the intensity of the continuum and CO isotopologues are less uncertain in the model than the intensity of [C I], HCN, CN, C₂H, NO, and HCO⁺, the fiducial model aims to reproduce the dust and the CO isotopologue emission. Section 3.1 presents fiducial model reproducing the CO isotopologue and continuum observations and the subsequent sections present the trends seen in HCN, CN, C₂H, NO, HCO⁺, and [C I] in the fiducial model and variations on the fiducial models.

3.1. The fiducial model

The key feature of the emission in the HD 100546 disk is that all molecular rings are co-spatial with the dust rings, whereas the CO isotopologue emission appears smooth. The only structure seen in the CO isotopologue emission is a central gas cavity and a weak shelf of $C^{18}O$ and $C^{17}O$ emission extending from 150 au to 250 au (see insert in the bottom middle and right corner of Fig. 3). Therefore, the aim of the fiducial model is not to

² https://home.strw.leidenuniv.nl/~moldata/

A&A proofs: manuscript no. main



Fig. 3: Azimuthally averaged radial profiles of the dust and CO isotopologue emission observed in the HD 100546 disk (black) together with the fiducial model (orange). The beam is indicated with the horizontal bar in the bottom right corner of each panel. The continuum observations are taken from Pineda et al. (2019) (high resolution 0.9 mm continuum), Booth et al. (2024) (moderate resolution 0.9 mm continuum), the CO, ¹³CO, and C¹⁸O J = 2 - 1 transitions are first presented in Pérez et al. (2020) and Wölfer et al. subm., the CO J = 7 - 6 transition is presented in Wölfer et al. subm., and the CO J = 3 - 2 and C¹⁷O J = 3 - 2 transitions are presented in Booth et al. (2024).

fit the CO isotopologues perfectly. Instead, the aim of the fiducial model is to provide a basis that roughly fits the continuum and the CO isotopologues and explore under what conditions the molecular rings coincide with those seen in the continuum emission. The observations of the outer molecular rings are all consistent with emission originating from close to the midplane (Booth et al. 2024). Therefore, the fiducial model has a low flaring index of $\psi = 0$ and a low scale height of $h_c = 0.1$ at the characteristic radius. This flat disk model roughly reproduces the observed emitting heights in the HD 100546 disk as presented in Appendix B.2.2 and in Law et al. (2022); Stapper et al. (2023); Wölfer et al. subm. A comparison of our fiducial model with those presented in Pirovano et al. (2022) and Kevte et al. (2023) is shown in Fig. B.1, where the main differences are that the model presented in this work is significantly less flared than those presented in Pirovano et al. (2022) and Keyte et al. (2023), and has a gas cavity at < 15 au that is not present in Keyte et al. (2023). An overview of the parameters of the fiducial model is presented in Table B.1.

The gas and dust density, temperature, and UV field in this model are presented in Fig. 2. The midplane gas density drops down to a low value of 10^7 cm⁻³ at 250 au, which is too low for the gas and dust to be thermally coupled in the midplane. Therefore, the gas temperature at these locations is colder than that of the dust. The small dust follows the gas outside the gas cavity at 15 au. The large dust is only seen in the two dust rings at 20 – 40 au and 175 – 200 au. A steep drop in the UV field is seen at z/r = 0.2. This layer also roughly separates the warm surface layer of the disk from the layers below 50 K closer to the midplane. This flat model intercepts less UV radiation from the central star than more flared models, which causes a small amount of CO freeze-out in the outer dust ring.

The resulting model emission of the mm-dust and the CO isotopologues is presented in Fig. 3 (orange lines). The top row presents the fits of the fiducial model to the dusty inner disk and the dust ring from 20 - 40 au seen in the high resolution continuum (left panel) as well as the much weaker dust ring at 175 - 250 au seen at moderate spatial resolution (middle panel; Pineda et al. 2019; Booth et al. 2024). The weak outer continuum

M. Leemker et al.: Chemistry across dust and gas gaps in protoplanetary disks



Fig. 4: 2D abundance maps of CO, HCN, CN, C_2H , NO, and HCO⁺ in the fiducial model. Note that the CO abundance is shown on a different colorscale. The white contour indicates the snowline of CO, HCN, and NO in their respective channels. The blue contour shows the critical density assuming a temperature of 50 K. Only the regions with a gas number density above 10^5 cm⁻³ are shown. As the CO and NO critical densities are lower than this, those contours are not shown in the respective panels.

ring is not seen in the left panel due to the high spatial resolution and small maximum recoverable scale (26 au in radius) of that dataset.

The gas density structure is improved by including a 15 au gas cavity that is seen in the high spatial resolution J = 2 - 11 transition of ¹²CO, ¹³CO, and C¹⁸O presented in the middle and bottom left panels in Fig. 3 (Pérez et al. 2020; Wölfer et al. subm.). To match these data, the gas density inside the gas cavity is lowered by a factor of 10^{-5} and between the gas (15 au) and dust cavity (20 au) by a factor of 10^{-2} . Outside the gas cavity, the ¹³CO, C¹⁸O, and C¹⁷O emission matches that of the observations (Pérez et al. 2020; Booth et al. 2024; Wölfer et al. subm.), with an especially close match being achieved for the ¹³CO and C¹⁷O emission. The ring at 200 au in the modelled C¹⁸O and C¹⁷O emission is due to a very low C¹⁸O and C¹⁷O column density just inside this ring as the column density becomes too low for self-shielding to be efficient (see Fig. B.2). The ¹²CO J = 3 - 2and J = 7 - 6 emission presented in Booth et al. (2024) and Wölfer et al. subm. is underproduced by at most a factor of ~ 2 likely due to the gas temperature that is slightly too low in this flat model. However, the main focus of the fiducial model is on the rarest CO isotopologues ($C^{18}O$ and $C^{17}O$), the dust rings and the molecular line emission.

The lack of mm-dust between the two dust rings in the HD 100546 disk may be due to a massive planet carving a gap in the disk (Pinilla et al. 2015; Fedele et al. 2021; Pyerin et al. 2021). Therefore, the gas density in this region may be lower than in a full disk model. This is explored in Fig. B.3 and we find that CO isotopologue emission is consistent with a shallow gas gap where the gas is depleted by at most one order of magnitude, assuming that the gas and dust gap have the same widths.

3.2. 2D abundance maps

The 2D abundance structures of the molecules of interest, HCN, CN, C₂H, NO, and HCO⁺, are presented in Fig. 4 together with the CO abundance for reference. The abundances are calculated with respect to the total hydrogen density: $n_h = n(H) + 2 \times n(H_2)$. All of these molecules except CO follow the same global morphology: a surface layer with a high abundance that extends from the inner dust ring or the gap out to the outer disk at 400 au where the layer moves down to the midplane. Additionally, HCN and CO are abundant at lower disk layers and the NO and HCO⁺ layers extend to the disk midplane from ~ 150 au outward. The CO is abundant throughout the entire disk except in the surface layers where CO is photodissociated and in the midplane in the outer dust ring where CO is frozen out onto the dust grains.

HCN, CN, C₂H, and NO are sensitive to the UV field. The UV radiation in the surface layer forms vibrationally excited molecular hydrogen, H₂*, whose abundance is calculated using a two-level approximation in the chemical network with far-UV photons pumping H₂ to H₂* and collisional decay and spontaneous radiative decay transforming H_2^* to H_2 (for details see Bruderer et al. 2012). H_2^* then reacts with N to form NH which then reacts with $C^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$ to form $CN^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$ that is converted to CN and HCN. Additionally, a pathway through CH and N to form CN is also seen in this region. As the UV field only penetrates the surface layers of the disk, the HCN, CN, and C₂H are also constrained to this layer and thus reside in a layer where the number density of molecular hydrogen is below the respective critical densities (cyan contours in Fig. 4). The HCN molecule is not only abundant in a surface layer at z/r > 0.2; a secondary layer formed through different chemical reactions exists around a height of z/r = 0.1. Here, the HCN is mainly formed through a reaction of atomic carbon with H₂ to form CH₂ through radiative association which then reacts with O and N to form CO



Fig. 5: Integrated intensity (moment 0) maps of C^{18} O, HCN, CN, C_2 H, NO, and HCO⁺ emission in the HD 100546 disk. The top row presents the observations (Pérez et al. 2020; Booth et al. 2024; Wölfer et al. subm.) and the bottom row the predictions of the fiducial model. All intensities are in mJy km s⁻¹ beam⁻¹. The dotted grey ellipses indicate the dust rings seen in the high spatial resolution (inner ring) and in the moderate spatial resolution (outer ring) 0.9 mm continuum. The tickmarks on the panels are spaced by 1", the horizontal bar in the bottom left panel indicates a 100 au scale. The HCN is shown on a logarithmic scale to highlight the weak outer ring and the modelled C₂H emission has been multiplied by a factor of 50. The beam of each of the observations is indicated with the white ellipse in the bottom left corner.

and HCN. As this layer is below the HCN snow surface (white contour in Fig. 2), this HCN quickly freezes-out.

Somewhat deeper in the disk at z/r = 0.2 NO is abundant. The NO is mainly formed through the reaction of NH with O with a minor contribution of the reactions of OH and N (< 10%). The NO layer lies somewhat deeper in the disk than that of HCN, CN, and C₂H due to the destruction of NO by atomic carbon and nitrogen that (re)forms CO, CN, and N₂. At lower layers below z/r = 0.1, NO quickly freezes-out onto the dust grains as the NO snowline is located at a scale height of 0.1 in the dust gap and the outer dust ring. The thin NO layer at z/r = 0.4 is due to the photodissociation of water forming OH (see also Leemker et al. 2023).

Finally, the HCO⁺ abundance outside 15 au is driven by the cosmic ray ionization rate enhancing the H₃⁺ abundance. In addition to ionisation, the HCO⁺ ion traces the water snowline: a high HCO⁺ abundance is only seen outside the water snowline where water cannot destroy HCO⁺ (Phillips et al. 1992; Bergin et al. 1998). The water snowline in this model is located at the outer edge of the gas cavity at 15 au. Therefore, the HCO⁺ abundance at larger radii scales as $\sqrt{\zeta_{c.r.}/n_{H_2}}$ with a weak dependence on temperature (Leemker et al. 2021). This may overpredict the HCO⁺ abundance outside the inner dust ring in this disk as cold, gas-phase water is seen outside ~ 40 au in this disk and water photodesorption is not included in the small chemical network (van Dishoeck et al. 2021; Pirovano et al. 2022).

Altogether, the 2D abundance structures of HCN, CN, C₂H, NO, and HCO⁺ are dominated by a layer with a high abundance centred at $z/r \sim 0.2 - 0.3$. This layer is located at or above the critical density of HCN, CN, and C₂H, therefore, these molecules may not be in LTE and the high excitation lines may be less populated whereas the ground level may be more populated than what is expected in LTE. This layer with a high abundance of $10^{-7} - 10^{-9}$ is similar to the observed emitting layer of CO and higher than the layer of $z/r \leq 0.1$ that the HCN, CN,

 C_2H , and HCO^+ observations suggest (Booth et al. 2024). The high abundance layer moves down slightly inside the gas gap due to the lower gas density, but apart from this, no clear jump in the abundance at the location of the outer dust ring is seen.

3.3. Integrated intensity maps

The integrated intensity (moment 0) maps of the data and the fiducial model are presented in Fig. 5. The modelled C¹⁸O emission reproduces the observations within a factor of two for most disk regions with the outer ring in $C^{18}O$ being more prominent in the model than in the data (see also Fig. 3, bottom row). For the other molecules on the other hand, the observed intensities or the double ringed profile are not reproduced. Only the modelled HCN and HCO⁺ emission do show a hint of an outer ring at the location of the second dust ring whereas the C₂H and NO show a (weak) ring outside the outer dust ring at larger distances than observed. The lack of molecular rings at the location of the outer dust ring in the models is not due to optically thick dust hiding the line emission at that location. This is because the modelled continuum emission in the outer disk is optically thin and therefore does not absorb line emission that is emitted from the disk midplane at that radial location. In addition, the HCN, CN, C₂H, NO, and HCO⁺ are most abundant in an elevated layer, well above the heights where the large dust is abundant (see Fig. 2 and Fig. 4). The intensity of weak ring at \sim 375 au seen in the C_2H model predictions has an intensity that is similar to the 2σ level of the observations. Therefore, this ring may be hidden in the noise in the observations.

The inner ring that is observed in HCN and CN is reproduced by the model within a factor of ~ 2 but the observed C₂H ring at 40 au is not seen in the model. The modelled HCO⁺ emission is ring-shaped in the inner disk with a ring at 60 au, whereas the data are centrally peaked at the spatial resolution of the observa-



Fig. 6: Column densities of CO, HCN, CN, C_2H , NO, and HCO⁺ in the fiducial model with a C/O ratio of 0.4 and a gas gap depth of 1 (no gap; dark red), 10^{-1} (fiducial model; orange), 10^{-2} (pink), 10^{-3} (purple), 10^{-4} (blue), and 10^{-5} (very deep gap; light blue). The small dust density is dropped by the same factor in the gap as in the gas.

tions. The intensity in the modelled ring is two times higher than what is observed at the central location.

The model predicts outer rings in HCN and HCO⁺ that are only a factor of ~ 3 weaker and a factor of two brighter than what is observed, respectively. However, the model does not reproduce the outer ring in C₂H as the modelled ring is two orders of magnitude weaker and 170 au further out than seen in the data. In addition, the predicted C₂H intensity falls below the sensitivity of the data out to 300 au. The observed outer ring in CN is not recovered by the model and the modelled NO emission is mostly seen at the inner dust ring at ~ 20 au and outside the outer dust ring at 280 au whereas the observations show some emission between the two dust rings and possibly at the location of the outer dust ring. In summary, Fig. 5 shows that despite a good fit to the continuum and CO isotopologue emission, the model does not reproduce the observations of molecules other than CO isotopologues, especially for CN, C₂H, and NO. The chemical network used to calculate these abundances reproduces the CN, HCN, HNC, and C₂H emission in other protoplanetary disks within a factor of a few in general (e.g., Cazzoletti et al. 2018: Visser et al. 2018: Miotello et al. 2019: Long et al. 2021). Therefore, the difference between the model predictions and the observations in the HD 100546 disk are likely due to the physical or chemical structure of the disk rather than uncertainties in the chemical network.

3.4. The effect of a gas gap of at least one order of magnitude

A possible solution to create double rings in the molecular line emission is to induce a deep gas gap between the two dust rings. The ¹²CO and ¹³CO emission do not show any evidence of a gas gap deeper than the fiducial depth of a factor of 10, neither does the C¹⁷O where only a shoulder of emission extending out to 200 - 300 au is observed (see Fig. B.3). Still, a depletion of gas could affect the molecular line emission. Therefore, the effect of a deeper gas gap is investigated in this subsection. The small dust is assumed to follow the drop in the gas density.

3.4.1. Column densities

The effect of the depth of the gap between 40 and 175 au on the column density is presented in Fig. 6 where the column densities inside 250 au are smoothed in log space with a savgol filter in scipy over 10 points and a 3rd order polynomial to remove any artificial spikes due to low photon statistics in the model. The CO column density directly traces the drop in the gas density for gaps up to three orders of magnitude in gas density. For deeper gaps, CO photodissociation becomes important and the CO column density drops even faster than the gas density.

The HCO⁺ column density directly follows that of the gas where a drop of two orders of magnitude in gas column density results in a one order of magnitude drop in the HCO⁺ column density. The reason for this is that the HCO⁺ number density outside the water snowline follows $n(\text{HCO}^+) \propto \sqrt{\zeta_{\text{c.r.}} \times n(\text{H}_2)}$, with $\zeta_{\text{c.r.}}$ the cosmic ray ionisation rate and $n(\text{H}_2)$ the density of molecular hydrogen (see eq. B.6 in Leemker et al. 2021). Therefore, the HCO⁺ column density in the gap is directly related to that of the gas.

The CN, C₂H, and NO show a different pattern in their column densities than CO, but a similar pattern to each other, when the gap depth is increased. For shallow to somewhat deep gas gaps ($\delta_{gas gap} \sim 1 - 10^{-2}$), their column densities are independent of the gap depth within a factor of a few. For very deep gas gaps A&A proofs: manuscript no. main



Fig. 7: The UV field in units of G₀ (top) and CN (bottom) abundance for different gas gap depth. From left to right: $\delta_{\text{gas gap}} = 10^{-1}$, 10^{-3} , and 10^{-5} . Only the regions with a gas number density above 10^5 cm^{-3} are shown.

 $(\delta_{\text{gas gap}} \sim 10^{-4} - 10^{-5})$, a steep decrease in the column density is seen in the outer parts of the gap. The sharp peaks in the HCN, CN, C₂H, and NO column densities at the inner ring and inner region of the gap (15, 20, and 40 au) may be sensitive to the precise conditions in this region.

The behaviour in the gap can be understood from the 2D UV field and CN abundance which are presented in Fig. 7. Shown are the results for a shallow gap (10^{-1}) and two deep gaps (10^{-3}) and 10^{-5}), in the left column, a shallow gas gap is present, but the CN is only abundant in a layer high above the midplane at z/r = 0.3in the gap. Changing the amount of gas in this region only shifts this layer up or down, but it does not affect the column density greatly as the midplane abundance of CN is low. For a deep gas gap (middle and right columns), the UV field is more intense in the gap than in the model with a shallow gas gap with an intensity up to $100 G_0$ in the midplane in the gap. As CN is sensitive to the UV field, its abundance increases in the gap. The resulting CN column density is an interplay of an increase in the abundance that is most prominent at small radii, and a decrease in the gas column density that is most effective at large radii. This causes a peak in the CN column density that moves to smaller radii of 75 and 50 au with deeper gaps of 10^{-4} and 10^{-5} , respectively. As C₂H and NO are also sensitive to the UV field, their abundances and column densities show the same qualitative behaviour. Note the increasing C_2H column density at radii larger than 200 au. Especially for C_2H , the inward travelling peak in the column density is clearly visible at 160 au, 140 au, 70 au, and 50 au for $\delta_{gas gap} = 10^{-2}, 10^{-3}, 10^{-4}, 10^{-5}$, respectively.

The HCN column density always decreases or stays constant with deeper gaps due to the moderate HCN abundance in the midplane together with the high abundance in the surface layer. The component in the midplane slowly decreases up to a gap depth of 10^{-2} , lowering the HCN column density simultaneously. For deeper gaps, the midplane component of HCN vanishes and the HCN column density steeply drops with gas density, similar to the pattern seen for CN, C₂H, and NO.

3.4.2. Emission maps

The integrated intensity (moment 0) maps for different gas gap depths are presented in Fig. 8 and the azimuthally averaged radial profiles are presented in Fig. B.5. The fiducial model is presented on the third row and the moment maps are identical to those presented in Fig. 5 but on a different colorscale. In general, the molecular line emission shows ringed emission for all models that have some degree of gas depletion between the dust rings $(\delta_{\text{gas gap}} = 10^{-1} - 10^{-5})$. However, double rings are only seen for the models with a very deep gas gap ($\delta_{gas gap} = 10^{-4} - 10^{-5}$). These rings are typically located outside the dust rings instead of at or just beyond the dust as seen in the observations. For example, the NO emission shows a very clear double ring for these models, but the outer ring is located at ~280 au where no NO is seen in the data. The C₂H emission shows a ring of emission inside the gas gap that moves inwards with increasing gap depths following the corresponding C₂H column density. This effect is also seen in the CN and NO emission, although it is less pronounced. The NO emission just outside the inner ring is sensitive to a sharp peak in the column density and may be very sensitive to the conditions in this region. The remaining three molecules, $C^{18}O$, HCN and HCO⁺ all show double ringed emission profiles for all models with some gas depletion.

The model with a deep gas gap of 10^{-4} times less gas best reproduces the double ringed nature of the HCN, CN, and C₂H observations, whereas the model with a factor of ten more gas ($\delta_{gas gap} = 10^{-3}$) reproduces the diffuse HCO⁺ emission between the dust rings better. The first model reproduces the morphology of the molecular line emission just outside the inner dust ring for CN and C₂H while also predicting a reasonable intensity for HCN. Additionally, this model reproduces the presence of an outer ring at 200 – 250 au seen in HCN, CN, and HCO⁺. The CO isotopologue emission on the other hand is best reproduced by a disk with a shallow gap of only 10^{-1} times less gas as the C¹⁸O emission in the gap is directly related to the drop in gas



Fig. 8: Integrated intensity (moment 0) maps in mJy km s⁻¹ beam⁻¹ for C¹⁸O, HCN, CN, C₂H, NO, and HCO⁺ from left to right. The different rows present the results for different depth of the gas gap with the gap depth ($\delta_{gas gap}$) indicated in the top of the left column. The HCN emission is shown on a logarithmic scale to highlight the weak outer ring. The beam is indicated in the bottom left corner of each panel and the tickmarks on the axes are spaced 1" apart.

density. In summary, there is not a single gas gap depth that can explain the observed emission of all molecules simultaneously.

3.4.3. Molecular column densities and line ratios

Ratios of column densities can give additional insights into the underlying processes as they are less sensitive to the absolute model results. The column density ratios of HCO^+/CO , C_2H/CO , CN/HCN, and CN/NO are presented in Fig. B.10 for a model without a gas gap and models with gap depths up to 10^{-5} . The first three ratios increase with radius outside the outer gas cavity in the full gas disk model, whereas the CN/NO ratio first increases inside the dust gap, then slowly decreases up to the outer dust ring and then somewhat increases again. This is caused by the different radii where the CN and NO column densities peak in the disk. The HCO⁺/CO and C_2H/CO ratios increase due to the increasing column density in HCO⁺ and C₂H as that of CO smoothly decreases with radius. Finally, the increase in the CN/HCN ratio is driven by the decreasing column density of HCN. Comparing these ratios to ratios of HCO⁺/CO and C₂H/CO in the inner and outer dust ring presented in (Booth et al. 2024) shows that the fiducial model is consistent with the HCO⁺/CO ratio but that the C₂H/CO ratio likely requires a deep gas gap of multiple orders of magnitude or an overall increase in the C₂H column density.

When a gas gap is introduced, the ratios presented in Fig. B.10 all increase compared to the model without a gas gap except for a disk with a gap of 10^{-1} and 10^{-2} times less gas where the CN/NO ratio stays roughly constant in the gap. The same trend is seen in the ratios for models with $\delta_{gas gap} = 1 - 10^{-2}$ of the corresponding molecular lines presented in Fig. B.11. For deeper gas gaps, the line ratios become insensitive to the gas gap depths due to the finite resolution of the observations. This is because part of the bright emission in the rings leaks into the gap regions, causing these regions to be dominated by the rings for deep gas gaps. Altogether, the column density ratios HCO⁺/CO, C₂H/CO, and CN/HCN of show a strong dependence on the gas gap depth that is much weaker in the ratios of the emission lines.

3.5. Different C/O ratios

3.5.1. Column densities and emission profiles

The observed C_2H emission is two orders of magnitude brighter than predicted by the fiducial model. Observations in other disks show that the C₂H emission becomes significantly brighter when the C/O ratio of the gas exceeds 1 (e.g., Bergin et al. 2016; Miotello et al. 2019; Bosman et al. 2021; Cridland et al. 2023). In this section, the effect of an increased C/O ratio is explored in the fiducial model with a gas gap of $\delta_{gas gap} = 10^{-1}$. The 2D abundance maps for C₂H and NO are presented in Fig. B.7. The 2D abundance maps in the left column are the maps for the fiducial model and are the same as those presented in Fig. 4. The middle and right columns show these maps for a C/O ratio just below one at 0.99 and just above one at 1.01, while the C/H ratio is the same as in the fiducial model. As the C/O ratio increases, the layer where C₂H is abundant moves down from z/r = 0.3 for C/O = 0.4 to z/r = 0.2 for C/O = 0.99 and then it jumps down to z/r = 0.15 for a C/O ratio that is only 0.02 higher at 1.01. The peak C₂H abundance in this layer also jumps from $\sim 2 \times 10^{-9}$ to 4×10^{-9} and to 7×10^{-8} for C/O = 0.4, 0.99, and 1.01, respectively. A similar trend is seen for HCN, CN, and C.

The NO abundance shows the opposite behaviour as NO is expected to become less abundant when the C/O ratio is high.

This is also seen in the 2D abundance maps as the NO abundance quickly decreases if the C/O is increased from 0.4 to 0.99 by removing water. As the C/O ratio further increases, the NO layer splits into two regions around z/r = 0.05 below its snow surface and one at z/r = 0.2 - 0.3. HCO⁺ and CO both carry one oxygen atom and one carbon atom and are therefore not as sensitive to the C/O ratio. The column densities for these molecules for C/O ratios between 0.4 and 1.2 are presented in Fig. B.8 in Appendix B.3.3.

The step-like behaviour of the CN and C_2H abundance is also seen in the azimuthally averaged integrated intensity profiles presented in Fig. 9. If the C/O ratio increases from 0.99 to 1.01, the CN and C_2H integrated intensities increase by a factor of a few to one order of magnitude and by two orders of magnitude in the gap, respectively. The C/O ratio does change the integrated intensity across the disk, but the locations of the CN and C_2H peaks remain around those seen in the data except for a large peak at 300 – 400 au seen in C_2H . Interestingly, the observed C_2H emission falls between that predicted by models with C/O = 0.99 and 1.01, possibly indicating a C/O ratio in the rings that is very close to 1.00.

The HCN emission only shows a double peak profile for the models with C/O = 0.4 and 0.8 as the column density inside the gap increases faster with increasing C/O than that just outside the gap. The model with a C/O of 0.8 matches the intensity in the outer dust ring particularly well whereas in the model with C/O = 0.4 matches the inner ring within a factor of ~ 2 .

The top left panel in Fig. 9 presents the azimuthally averaged radial profile of the observed [C I] emission in the HD 100546 disk. Unlike the HCN, CN, C₂H, and HCO⁺ emission, the [C I] does not show a double peaked profile at the dust rings. This could partially be due to the small maximum recoverable scale of 2" (~ 108 au in radius), filtering the emission at larger distances. Therefore, the model may overpredict the observed line intensity outside this radius. Inside ~ 108 au, the fiducial model underpredicts the emission of atomic carbon by an order of magnitude. The only model that recovers the observed [C I] intensity up to 150 au is a model with a larger C/O of 1.2.

The effect of the C/O ratio on the NO emission is that the NO peak moves inwards from 300 au to ~ 40 au. The high intensity at ~ 40 au is due to some additional NO at z/r = 0.1 - 0.2 in the models with C/O > 1. The intensity in the outer disk decreases with increasing C/O ratio due to the lower abundance.

In summary, increasing the C/O ratio increases the predicted intensity for [C I], HCN, CN, C₂H, and NO inside 100 au and for NO it decreases the intensity outside 100 au. The observed emission lines are reproduced by different C/O ratios for each molecule. For example, the region inside 150 au is best reproduced by C/O = 1.2 for [C I], but this overpredicts the CN and C₂H emission by more than an order of magnitude. At the location of the outer dust ring, the cyanides (HCN and CN) and NO are compatible with a C/O ratio of 0.8, but the C₂H requires a C/O ratio very close to 1 (0.99 < C/O < 1.01).

3.5.2. Column density and emission line ratios

To eliminate some of the uncertainties of comparing absolute modelled intensities to the observed ones, the column density and line ratios of HCO⁺/C¹⁷O, C₂H/C¹⁷O, CN/HCN, and CN/NO are presented in Appendix. B.3.3. The latter three column density ratios all increase in most disk regions with increasing C/O due to the enhancement of C₂H and CN, and the decrease of NO. In particular, the CN/NO ratio outside 100 au and the C₂H/C¹⁷O in the entire disk are sensitive to small changes



Fig. 9: Azimuthally averaged integrated intensity of the molecular line emission for different C/O ratios in a model with a gas gap depth of 10^{-1} . The black line indicated the observed intensities.

in the C/O. Comparing the observed emission line ratios to the modelled ones shows that the C/O needs to be very close to 1.00 as the C₂H/C¹⁷O ratio for C/O = 0.99 underpredicts the observed value and that of C/O = 1.01 overpredicts the observations. The CN/NO line ratio only traces the C/O ratio for r > 175 au, and is therefore not a good tracer of the C/O in the disk.

3.6. Background UV

One striking feature that most of the models presented here show is that the CN, C_2H , and NO emission are too bright outside the outermost dust ring. This raises the question if the modelled external UV field is too strong as all of these molecules at least partially trace the UV field. The models assume that the external UV field is 1 G_0 , the mean UV field in the interstellar medium. However, HD 100546 is an isolated star with a tenuous envelope that may result in a lower external UV field (Grady et al. 2001).

The effect of a lower UV field on the CN, C₂H, and NO column density is presented in Fig. B.15. Lowering the background UV field by a factor of ten decreases the CN and C₂H column densities by that same factor at 300 - 400 au. The NO decreases by a factor of 5 from 200-350 au. This is also reflected in the azimuthally averaged radial intensity profiles presented in Fig. 10. The shelf of CN and the peak of C₂H at 375 au are not visible for the lowered background radiation fields, creating a ring of emission at the location of the outer dust ring. The effect of a lower background UV field on the [C I], HCN, and HCO⁺ emission is small.

In particular, a lower background UV radiation field more closely reproduces the double peak profile of the C_2H emission, with both peaks having a similar intensity. The C_2H intensities in these models are a factor of 200 lower than what is observed for C/O = 0.4, thus a lower background UV field better reproduces the morphology of the C_2H emission but it does not solve the difference between the intensity seen in the observations and in the models. Additionally, the NO emission in the outer disk is not overpredicted if the background radiation field is lowered. Overall, a lower background UV field seems to improve the comparison with observations outside the outer dust ring.

3.7. Flaring

The observations of HCN, CN, C_2H , and HCO⁺ show two emission rings that are concentric around the position of the star. This indicates that these molecules emit from a layer close to the disk midplane ($z/r \le 0.1$, Booth et al. 2024) as an elevated layer would shift these rings w.r.t. the position of the star. Such an offset is indeed seen in the models for CN. Figure 11 presents



Fig. 10: Azimuthally averaged integrated intensity of the CN, C_2H , and NO for a C/O = 0.4 and for different background UV radiation fields of 1 G₀ (orange), 0.1 G₀ (blue), and 0.01 G₀ (purple). The modeled C₂H emission is increased by a factor of 100 to show it on the same scale as the observations shown in black.



Fig. 11: Moment 0 maps of the CN emission for models with different flaring indices $\psi = -0.1$ to +0.2. The light and dark blue ellipses indicate the projected location at which the modelled CN number density peaks at the inner rim of the outer dust ring, which corresponds to z = 53 - 68 au. The panels are shown on an individual color scale for clarity.

this with the light and dark blue ellipses for different flaring indices of the disk. The ellipses indicate the projected location of the peak CN number density at the inner rim of the outer dust ring. For the two flattest models ($\psi = -0.1$ and 0) the CN at r = 175 au peaks at z = 55 - 53 au whereas for the two more flared models ($\psi = 0.1$ and 0.2), the CN peaks at a height of 66 - 68 au at r = 176 au. These ellipses trace two rings in the CN emission in the moment 0 maps. These two rings increase and move slightly further apart with increasing flaring index, tracing the height where the CN is abundant. As this height only changes by ~ 10 au between a very flared and a close to flat disk, flaring alone cannot explain the observed emitting heights. However, the emission in the moment 0 map not only depends on the vertical structure but also on the density and temperature. The main difference between the models with $\psi = 0 - 0.2$ is the expected CN intensity at the location of the blue ellipses at the outer ring. Even though the flared disk model produces an outer ring that has a similar intensity to the inner ring at the major axis, we emphasize that the elevated emitting surface of CN creates projection effects that are not seen in the HD 100546 observations. Observations of C18O in the Elias 2-27 disk show a ring that is close to being centered at the position of the star. Yet the $C^{18}O$ channel maps show that the emitting surface is flared, with $z/r \sim 0.2$ (Paneque-Carreño et al. 2021). Therefore, deriving the emitting height from integrated intensity maps may hide part of the true vertical structure of the disk. The column density and radial emission profiles for other molecules for different flaring indices are presented in Appendix B.5.

4. Discussion

Correlations between the radial structures in the dust and gas may point to a common origin such as massive planets carving deep gas gaps in disks. Additionally, such correlations between gas and dust structures can point to the sublimation of ices as seen in the IRS 48 disk (van der Marel et al. 2021a; Booth et al. 2021a; Brunken et al. 2022; Leemker et al. 2023). The observations in the HD 100546 disk show a clear correlation between the dust rings and the HCN, CN, C₂H, and HCO⁺ emission suggesting a direct relation between the structures in the gas and the dust. However, the rare CO isotopologue emission, tracing the CO column density, does not show the same double ringed structure. In this work, we use thermochemical models to investigate under what conditions molecular rings are co-spatial with the dust rings and which physical conditions are important for the ring locations.

4.1. Radial structures

4.1.1. CO isotopologues, HCO⁺, and HCN

The thermochemical models spanning a range of gas gap depth $(\delta_{\text{gas gap}} = 1 - 10^{-5})$ show that two molecules directly respond to a the depletion of gas in the gap: CO isotopologues and HCO⁺. The CO isotopologue emission does not show a deep gap in the observations that is seen in models with a deep gas gap. Therefore, the HD 100546 disk is consistent with a shallow gas gap $(\delta_{\text{gas gap}} = 10^{-1})$. A clear double ring is seen in HCO⁺ model emission if the modelled gas gap is at least two orders of magnitude deep (or one order of magnitude if the disk is viewed faceon due to projection effects, see Fig. B.6 in Appendix B.2.3). A weak outer ring is seen in the HCN model predictions. Interestingly, despite the correlations seen in the models, no general correlation is observed in the MAPS sources between the HCN and HCO⁺ rings and the dust, and only a weak (~ 3σ) correlation is seen between the CO isotopologue emission and the dust (Law et al. 2021; Jiang et al. 2022).

The trend in the HCO⁺ and CO isotopologues across the gas gap is also seen in other modelling works. Modelling of HCO⁺ across a gas gap outside the CO snowline predicts that the ratio of the HCO⁺ to the total gas column density increases when a gas gap is introduced (Alarcón et al. 2020; Smirnov-Pinchukov et al. 2020). A difference in the various model predictions is seen for the model with only a dust gap and no gas gap ($\delta_{gas gap} = 1$). This model presented in Smirnov-Pinchukov et al. (2020) shows an increased HCO⁺/CO column density ratio in the dust gap whereas this is not seen in the models presented in this work (Fig. B.10). A possible cause for this is that the gap in Smirnov-Pinchukov et al. (2020) is located outside the CO snowline. Part of the CO ice desorbs and reacts to form HCO⁺, boosting the HCO⁺ column density in their model. This is not seen in our models as CO is abundant throughout the disk, with only some CO freeze-out in the outer dust ring below a height of ~ 8 au, instead of gas-phase CO being abundant only in the dust gap as in the models presented in Smirnov-Pinchukov et al. (2020).

4.1.2. CN, C₂H, and NO

The other modelled molecules follow a more intricate relation with the gas gap depth. For gaps up to approximately three orders of magnitude deep, the CN, C₂H, and NO rings peak at various radii both inside (CN, C₂H) and outside (NO) the dust rings and do in general not coincide with the dust rings or each other. Additionally, the inner and outer rings of a particular molecule are predicted to have very different intensities. This is similar to the results of Cazzoletti et al. (2018) and of the MAPS program which observed CN and C2H and found no general and significant correlation between structures in the dust and in the molecular line emission in four out of five disks, despite some overlapping features (Law et al. 2021; Jiang et al. 2022). The CN/HCN peaks in some of the dust gaps in the disks in the MAPS sample, similar to the model predictions for the HD 100546 disk (Bergner et al. 2021). For the models with a gap of $10^{-1} - 10^{-3}$, the C_2H is predicted to peak inside the dust gap, similar to what is seen in the MWC 480 disk, the only MAPS disk where gas structures other than CO isotopologue emission do correlate with the dust, and in the AS 209 disk (Alarcón et al. 2021). The location of the inner CN ring in the models presented in our work (see Fig. 6) is only sensitive to gas gap depth if the gap is depleted by five orders of magnitude in gas. Therefore, chemistry is the main driver of the ring locations for shallow gas gaps.

In summary, the radial locations of the rings seen in the HD 100546 disk cannot be explained by a single gas gap depth as the CO isotopologue emission, HCN, and HCO⁺ are best reproduced by a shallow gap ($\delta_{gas gap} = 10^{-1} - 10^{-2}$), whereas the double rings in CN and C₂H are only reproduced for a deep gas gap of $\delta_{gas gap} = 10^{-4} - 10^{-5}$, partially due to the vertical structure of the disk. Unlike HD 100546, the PDS 70 and IRS 48 disks both have a deep gas cavity just inside the molecular rings, forcing the line emission to be co-spatial with that of the dust. Therefore, only if a deep physical gap is present in a disk, for example due to a massive planet, the molecular rings are likely also physical rather than chemical rings.

4.2. Chemistry in the rings and gap

In addition to physical rings in the disk density structure, chemical rings can also affect the observed emission. The chemistry across the gap may vary due to e.g., thermal and non-thermal sublimation of the ice mantles on the dust and UV shielding by small dust grains.

4.2.1. HCO⁺ tracing a low gas-phase water abundance

The abundance of HCO⁺ and gas-phase water anti-correlate in protoplanetary disks as the main destruction pathway of HCO⁺ is through gas-phase water (Phillips et al. 1992; Bergin et al. 1998; Leemker et al. 2021). Water ice is likely present on the dust grains in the gap between the two dust rings in the HD 100546 disk (Honda et al. 2016). Photodesorption of this water ice could explain the gas-phase water seen from just outside the inner dust ring (starting from 35 - 40 au) to ~ 300 - 400 au (Du et al. 2017; van Dishoeck et al. 2021). The outer radius is not well constrained as the Herschel HIFI line profile that is used to constrain the emitting location is most sensitive to the inner radius rather than the outer radius (priv. comm. with M. R. Hogerheijde). Additionally, the line profile does not show evidence for a jump in the H₂O abundance at the location of the outer dust ring. Modelling of the cold water lines shows that gas-phase water has an abundance of 3×10^{-9} in the outer disk (~ 40 - 300 au, Pirovano et al. 2022). Photodesorption of water ice is not included in the network used to predict the HCO⁺ abundance in this work. As HCO⁺ is destroyed by gas-phase water, the predicted HCO⁺ abundance and emission are thus overestimated in the gas gap and also at larger radii out to 300 au. The difference between the modelled HCO⁺ emission in the gap and the observed intensity could thus be arising from this effect. As the gas gap does not contain large grains, water ice must be present on small grains.

Thermal desorption of water is predicted at the water snowline which is located at 15 au, at the outer edge of the gas cavity in the models. The modelled HCO⁺ abundance anti-correlates with that of gas-phase water, with a small increase of less than a factor of ten in the HCO⁺ abundance in the gap (see Fig. 4). The modelled peak location of the HCO⁺ emission is 40 au whereas the data shows centrally peaked emission at a spatial resolution of 31 au, indicating that the water snowline is located inside the central beam of the observations. Additionally, Pirovano et al. (2022) found that the abundance of gas-phase water inside the HD 100546 dust cavity is low at < 10⁻⁹. Therefore, the water snowline in the HD 100546 disk is likely unresolved at the 31 au resolution of the HCO⁺ observations and thus located inside 15 au.

A&A proofs: manuscript no. main



Fig. 12: Azimuthally averaged radial profiles of [C I], HCN, CN, C_2H , and NO for models with high C/O ratio of 1.01 in the regions indicated by the pink and purple horizontal bars in the top right panel and the fiducial C/O ratio of 0.4 in the other disk regions. The background UV field is reduced by a factor of 10 in these models. The pink model has a high C/O in two 10 au wide rings at the observed ring location and the purple model has an increased C/O across the entire width of both dust rings.

4.2.2. Grain surface chemistry

Another mechanism that could lead to the rings seen in HCN, CN, C₂H, and HCO⁺ and the detection of NO is grain surface chemistry. The temperature structure of the fiducial model suggests that the outer dust ring is sufficiently cold to have some HCN, CN, C₂H, NO, and CO freeze-out (see Fig. 4). Non-thermal desorption could somewhat enhance the gas-phase abundance of HCN and NO by $10^{-3} - 10^{-4}$ times their ice abundance (> 10^{-7}) in that region. The high NO abundance in the IRS 48 disk may have an origin in the ice with N₂O or HNCO ices as possible parents (Leemker et al. 2023). C₂H and CN on the other hand do not have a high abundance in the ice and these emission rings thus require a different origin such as gas-phase formation or sublimation of larger molecules that form CN and C₂H after sublimation.

4.2.3. C/O ratio across the HD 100546 disk

The sublimation of ices could also be reflected in the gas-phase C/O ratio as most ices are oxygen rich. Of the ratios investi-

gated in this work, the $C_2H/C^{17}O$ ratio is the most sensitive to the C/O ratio. The CN/NO ratio has been suggested as a tracer of the gas-phase C/O ratio (Hily-Blant et al. 2010; Daranlot et al. 2012; Le Gal et al. 2014). However, the models presented in this work show that the relation between CN/NO and C/O is more complicated.

Our models suggest that the C/O ratio in the dust rings needs to be elevated from 0.4 to \sim 0.8-1 to reproduce the HCN, CN, and C_2H emission in the dust rings, while the C/O in the gas gap is roughly consistent with the fiducial value of 0.4. The C/O in the rings is a factor of ~ 2 higher than the C/O of 0.5 derived from CS and SO by Keyte et al. (2023). In particular, the C₂H emission is very sensitive to the C/O ratio, consistent with what is found in earlier works (e.g., Bergin et al. 2016; Miotello et al. 2019; Bosman et al. 2021). Additionally, the bright C₂H emission without having a deep gas gap points to an elevated C/O as also suggested in the AS 209 disk (Alarcón et al. 2021). The C₂H emission at the outer dust ring in HD 100546 could be due to freeze-out of oxygen bearing molecules in this region leading to a high C/O ratio (Öberg et al. 2011; van der Marel et al. 2021b, and Fig. 4). Interestingly, Jiang et al. (2023) find that the modelled C₂H intensity peaks at or inside the ring of a gap carved

by an accreting planet due to the sublimation of carbon-rich organic molecules. The rings in the HD 100546 disk are located just outside the dust rings but possibly a migrating planet could still heat the gas in this regions to create a ring in C_2H .

Two other mechanisms that could lead to an elevated C/O ratio are the liberation of carbon from ice and grains and destruction of CO by He⁺ (e.g., Bergin et al. 2014; Anderson et al. 2017; Klarmann et al. 2018; Wei et al. 2019; Bosman et al. 2021). The latter process is included in the chemical network. The dim C_2H emission could indicate that the cosmic ray ionisation rate or the X-ray flux are higher than the value of the X-ray flux adopted in this model. A more recent value of the X-ray flux measured by Skinner & Güdel (2020) is a factor of $\sim 4-6$ higher than the value reported in Stelzer et al. (2006) that is used in this work, however, Bruderer et al. (2012) found that the X-ray flux only affected the CO, [C I], [C II], and [O I] line fluxes by less than ~ 5%. For the HCN, CN, C_2H , and NO emission, only the inner 100 au is affected by the increased X-ray luminosity and the increased X-ray luminosity does not affect the emission of [C I] by much (see Appendix B.6). The largest effect on the emission morphology is seen in the C₂H profile, where the increases Xray flux creates a C₂H ring at 30 au, similar to the morphology of the observations. However, the increased X-ray luminosity also increases the emission of CN inside the ring at 40 au that is not seen in the observations.

The spatial overlap between the dust rings and the C₂H emission suggests that destruction of carbon grains could contribute to the elevated C/O ratio in the disk surface layers. This could enhance the emission of carbon bearing molecules that tend to be abundant at higher disk layers than NO. Therefore, a vertical gradient in the C/O ratio could help to reproduce the C_2H , [C I], and NO at the cost of CN and HCN. Instead of an enhancement in the C/H ratio, the O/H ratio could also be suppressed by, for example the freeze-out of oxygen bearing molecules. As the C/H and O/H ratios in the HD 100546 photosphere are consistent with the Solar values, the increase in the C/O ratio in the disk is likely local (Kama et al. 2016). Another explanation for the spatial overlap between molecular rings and the outer dust ring could be that the gas in the outer dust ring is second generation gas due to collisions of larger bodies, possibly indicating that the outer dust ring is a debris disk belt in a protoplanetary disk.

4.2.4. HCO⁺, CN, and HCN tracing radiation and gas gap depth

HCO⁺ not only traces the desorption of water, it is also sensitive to the ionization in the disk (e.g., Aikawa & Herbst 2001; Cleeves et al. 2015). The observed column density ratios of HCO⁺/CO in the MAPS disks increase with radius or stay roughly constant at ~ $10^{-6} - 10^{-4}$ (Aikawa et al. 2021). The general trend of an increasing ratio with radius is recovered by our models, but the observed values in MAPS require a gas gap depth of ~ $10^{-2} - 10^{-4}$. Comparing this to the HD 100546 disk shows that either the gas gap is shallow ($\delta_{gas gap} = 1 - 10^{-1}$) for the outer half of the gap, or that the ionisation rate in the modelled gap is too high.

The ratio of CN/HCN, tracing the UV indicates that the gap in HD 100546 needs to be $10^{-1} - 10^{-2}$ deep (see Fig. B.11). Radial variations in the CN/HCN column density ratio likely trace radial variations in the UV field due to changes in the dust density structure due to enhanced HCN photodissociation compared to CN photodissociation (Bergner et al. 2021). The models presented in this work show a similar trend where the CN/HCN column density ratio peaks inside the gas gap and decreases in the outer dust ring (see Fig. B.10). Similarly, this ratio has a low value of a few times $10^{-2} - 10^{-1}$ in the inner dust ring where the small dust surface density is two orders of magnitude higher than that in the outer dust ring where the ratio is close to ten (see Fig. 1). This is similar to the observed value of 0.4 in the inner ring and ~ 2 in the outer dust ring (Booth et al. 2024). The model without a gap in the gas and small dust grains but with a gap in the large dust grains does not show a peak in the CN/HCN column density ratio in the gap, indicating that this ratio is primarily sensitive to the small dust grains that have a larger opacity in the UV than the large dust grains.

In summary, the rings seen in the HD 100546 disk likely have a chemical origin as the physical rings in the gas density structure alone cannot explain the observed molecular rings. The C/O ratio in the rings needs to be elevated above the fiducial value of 0.4 to reproduce the observed intensity in the C₂H, CN, and [C I]. In addition, a decreased background UV radiation field is necessary to suppress the outer ring in C₂H and NO. Figure 12 presents two models with a background UV field attenuated by a factor of 10 and where the C/O ratio is increased to 1.01 in two rings. In the purple model, the C/O ratio is increased across the entire width of the two dust rings (20 - 40 au and 175 - 250 au). The molecular rings predicted by this model are wider than what is seen in the HD 100546 disk observations. Therefore, a second model is presented in pink. In this model, the C/O ratio is only increased in two 10 au wide rings from 35 to 45 au and from 215 to 225 au to match the location of the observed emission ring. This model reproduces the double ring seen in HCN, CN, and C_2H and the increase in the NO emission towards the position of the star. Additionally, this model does reproduce the intensity of these molecules within a factor of ~ 5 and only the [C I] is underpredicted by approximately one order of magnitude inside 150 au. The width of the rings with a high C/O ratio may be larger than 10 au as the spatial resolution of the observations is $\sim 0''_{2} - 0''_{5}$, still the purple model shows that these rings cannot be as wide as the dust rings.

5. Conclusions

In this paper, we use an exploratory grid of thermochemical models to explore trends in gapped disks, and to reproduce the observed co-spatial rings of mm-dust and molecular emissions in the HD100546 disk (Booth et al. 2024). We used the thermochemical code DALI to explore the radial location and intensity of molecular rings seen in HCN, CN, C₂H, NO, and HCO⁺. In particular, we investigated under which conditions these molecular rings overlap with those seen in the continuum. The fiducial model provides a good fit to the continuum emission and the emission of CO isotopologues with a deep dust and gas cavity inside 20 au and 15 au, respectively, and a deep dust gap but a shallow gas gap from 40 - 175 au. The abundance of the other molecules of interest, HCN, CN, C₂H, and NO are predicted with a dedicated nitrogen network and that of HCO⁺ is predicted with the network presented in Leemker et al. (2021). We find that the following trends are predicted for disks in general:

- In general, molecular rings and dust rings do not coincide unless gaps are very deep.
- The modelled emission of CO isotopologues and HCO⁺ directly trace the gaps and gap depths in the model gas density structure whereas the other molecules do not.

- The thermochemical model does predict molecular rings in e.g., HCN, CN, C₂H, and NO but these generally do not follow the dust rings.
- For gas gaps of one to approximately three orders of magnitude deep, the column density of UV tracers, e.g., CN, C₂H, and NO, show only a minor sensitivity to the gas gap depth and are thus not good tracers of this. For very deep gas gaps $(\delta_{\text{gas gap}} \sim 10^{-4} - 10^{-5})$ these molecular rings emit at a similar location as the dust rings.
- A globally elevated C/O ratio mainly affects the total modelled intensity of C- or O-bearing molecules such as [C I]. HCN, CN, C₂H, and NO but not the radial location of the emission rings. However, the CN/NO ratio is not a good tracer of the disk C/O ratio inside 175 au. The modelled CN and C₂H column density and emission increase by roughly one to two orders of magnitude, respectively, when the bulk model C/O ratio is increased from 0.99 to 1.01.
- A lower background UV radiation field reduces the predicted intensity and/ or moves the ring seen in UV tracers to smaller radii.
- The flaring index of the disk only has a minor effect on the height of the layer where a high CN abundance is seen, despite models with lower flaring indices being more flat.

In addition, we draw the following conclusions specifically for the HD 100546 disk:

- The dust and CO isotopologue gas emission in the HD 100546 disk are well reproduced by a model with a deep central gas (r < 15 au) and dust cavity (r < 20 au) and a deep dust gap but a shallow gas gap ($\delta_{gas gap} = 10^{-1}$) from 40 - 175 au. A drop in gas density by more than one order of magnitude is excluded by the CO isotopologue data.
- The best-fit C/O for [C I], CN, and is an elevated C/O of $\sim 0.8 - 1.2$. In particular, the C₂H requires a C/O ratio very close to 1. The NO emission inside 250 au is reproduced by models with a C/O ratio less than 1.2.
- The models predict emission rings in CN, C₂H, and NO at 300 - 400 au that are not seen in the observations. A lower background UV radiation field in the models reduces the column density and emission of CN, C2H, and NO and it increases that of HCN in the outer disk (r > 100 au) to values close to those observed.
- A radially varying C/O ratio with a C/O ratio of 1.01 in two narrow regions at 40 and 220 au where the observed molecular rings peak, and the fiducial C/O ratio of 0.4 in the other disk regions reproduces the double ringed morphology seen in the HCN, CN, and C₂H emission and it roughly reproduces the morphology of the NO. The [C I] emission is still underproduced by an order of magnitude.
- To reconcile the observed ring locations and intensities with those predicted by models, a combination of a gas gap with a factor of 10 less gas, a low background UV field and an increased C/O ratio of 1.01 in a region narrower than the dust rings (at 40 and 220 au) are needed.

This work shows that thermochemical models do not predict molecular rings to be co-spatial with those seen in the dust in general. Moreover, these models indicate that if observations show multiple molecular rings that are co-spatial with the dust, the chemical composition in that region is likely different than in the other disk regions. The constraints from modelling multiple molecules across a gas gap provides a first step towards a full picture of the chemical makeup of the planet-forming material. Multi-line observations of multiple molecules at high spatial and spectral resolution and sensitivity are needed to better constrain the layer from which these molecules emit. These observations can then be used to test thermochemical models and derive the chemical composition of the planet-forming material. In particular, observations of [C I] in more disks are needed to determine whether the [C I] emission is indeed brighter than expected based on thermochemical models or that the difference is specific to the HD 100546 disk.

Acknowledgements. We thank the referee for the detailed comments. The authors would like to thank Luke Keyte and Dylan T. Natoewal for the useful discussions on the DALI modelling. In addition, Michiel Hogerheijde is thanked for the discussion on water in the HD 100546 disk. This work is supported by grant 618.000.001 from the Dutch Research Council (NWO). Astrochemistry in Leiden is supported by funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No. 101019751 MOLDISK), by the Netherlands Research School for Astronomy (NOVA). This paper makes use of the following ALMA projects: 2011.0.00863.S, 2015.1.00806.S, 2016.1.00344.S, 2018.1.00141.S, 2021.1.00738.S. ALMA is a partnership of ESO (representing its member states), NSF (USA) and NINS (Japan), together with NRC (Canada), MOST and ASIAA (Taiwan), and KASI (Republic of Korea), in cooperation with the Republic of Chile. The Joint ALMA Observatory is operated by ESO, AUI/NRAO and NAOJ. The National Radio Astronomy Observatory is a facility of the National Science Foundation operated under cooperative agreement by Associated Universities, Inc.

References

- Agúndez, M., Goicoechea, J. R., Cernicharo, J., Faure, A., & Roueff, E. 2010, ApJ, 713, 662
- Aikawa, Y., Cataldi, G., Yamato, Y., et al. 2021, ApJS, 257, 13
- Aikawa, Y. & Herbst, E. 2001, A&A, 371, 1107
- Alarcón, F., Bergin, E. A., & Teague, R. 2022, ApJ, 941, L24
- Alarcón, F., Bosman, A. D., Bergin, E. A., et al. 2021, ApJS, 257, 8
- Alarcón, F., Teague, R., Zhang, K., Bergin, E. A., & Barraza-Alfaro, M. 2020, ApJ, 905, 68
- Anderson, D. E., Bergin, E. A., Blake, G. A., et al. 2017, ApJ, 845, 13
- Andrews, S. M. 2020, ARA&A, 58, 483
- Andrews, S. M., Huang, J., Pérez, L. M., et al. 2018, ApJ, 869, L41
- Andrews, S. M., Wilner, D. J., Espaillat, C., et al. 2011, ApJ, 732, 42
- Arun, R., Mathew, B., Manoj, P., et al. 2019, AJ, 157, 159
- Ben Khalifa, M. & Loreau, J. 2021, MNRAS, 508, 1908
- Benisty, M., Bae, J., Facchini, S., et al. 2021, ApJ, 916, L2
- Benisty, M., Tatulli, E., Ménard, F., & Swain, M. R. 2010, A&A, 511, A75
- Bergin, E. A., Cleeves, L. I., Crockett, N., & Blake, G. A. 2014, Faraday Discussions, 168, 61
- Bergin, E. A., Du, F., Cleeves, L. I., et al. 2016, ApJ, 831, 101
- Bergin, E. A., Melnick, G. J., & Neufeld, D. A. 1998, ApJ, 499, 777
- Bergner, J. B., Öberg, K. I., Bergin, E. A., et al. 2019, ApJ, 876, 25
- Bergner, J. B., Öberg, K. I., Guzmán, V. V., et al. 2021, ApJS, 257, 11
- Binkert, F., Szulágyi, J., & Birnstiel, T. 2021, MNRAS, 506, 5969
- Booth, A. S., Ilee, J. D., Walsh, C., et al. 2023, A&A, 669, A53
- Booth, A. S., Leemker, M., van Dishoeck, E. F., et al. 2024, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2402.04001
- Booth, A. S., van der Marel, N., Leemker, M., van Dishoeck, E. F., & Ohashi, S. 2021a, A&A, 651, L6
- Booth, A. S., Walsh, C., Terwisscha van Scheltinga, J., et al. 2021b, Nature Astronomy, 5, 684
- Bosman, A. D., Alarcón, F., Zhang, K., & Bergin, E. A. 2021, ApJ, 910, 3
- Brittain, S. D., Carr, J. S., Najita, J. R., Quanz, S. P., & Meyer, M. R. 2014, ApJ, 791.136
- Brittain, S. D., Najita, J. R., & Carr, J. S. 2019, ApJ, 883, 37
- Brittain, S. D., Najita, J. R., Carr, J. S., et al. 2013, ApJ, 767, 159
- Broome, M., Kama, M., Booth, R., & Shorttle, O. 2023, MNRAS, 522, 3378
- Bruderer, S. 2013, A&A, 559, A46
- Bruderer, S., Doty, S. D., & Benz, A. O. 2009, ApJS, 183, 179
- Bruderer, S., van der Marel, N., van Dishoeck, E. F., & van Kempen, T. A. 2014, A&A. 562, A26
- Bruderer, S., van Dishoeck, E. F., Doty, S. D., & Herczeg, G. J. 2012, A&A, 541, A91
- Brunken, N. G. C., Booth, A. S., Leemker, M., et al. 2022, A&A, 659, A29
- Cazzoletti, P., van Dishoeck, E. F., Visser, R., Facchini, S., & Bruderer, S. 2018, A&A, 609, A93
- Cleeves, L. I., Bergin, E. A., & Adams, F. C. 2014, ApJ, 794, 123
- Cleeves, L. I., Bergin, E. A., Bethell, T. J., et al. 2011, ApJ, 743, L2

- Cleeves, L. I., Bergin, E. A., Qi, C., Adams, F. C., & Öberg, K. I. 2015, ApJ, 799, 204
- Cridland, A. J., Facchini, S., van Dishoeck, E. F., & Benisty, M. 2023, A&A, 674, A211
- Cridland, A. J., Pudritz, R. E., & Alessi, M. 2016, MNRAS, 461, 3274
- Cridland, A. J., Pudritz, R. E., Birnstiel, T., Cleeves, L. I., & Bergin, E. A. 2017, MNRAS, 469, 3910
- Currie, T., Brittain, S., Grady, C. A., Kenyon, S. J., & Muto, T. 2017, Research Notes of the American Astronomical Society, 1, 40
- Currie, T., Cloutier, R., Brittain, S., et al. 2015, ApJ, 814, L27
- Currie, T., Lawson, K., Schneider, G., et al. 2022, Nature Astronomy, 6, 751
- Currie, T., Muto, T., Kudo, T., et al. 2014, ApJ, 796, L30
- Daranlot, J., Hincelin, U., Bergeat, A., et al. 2012, Proceedings of the National Academy of Science, 109, 10233
- Denis-Alpizar, O., Stoecklin, T., Dutrey, A., & Guilloteau, S. 2020, MNRAS, 497.4276
- Dong, R., Zhu, Z., & Whitney, B. 2015, ApJ, 809, 93
- Du, F., Bergin, E. A., Hogerheijde, M., et al. 2017, ApJ, 842, 98
- Dullemond, C. P., Dominik, C., & Natta, A. 2001, ApJ, 560, 957
- Dumouchel, F., Faure, A., & Lique, F. 2010, MNRAS, 406, 2488
- Eistrup, C. 2023, ACS Earth and Space Chemistry, 7, 260
- Facchini, S., Birnstiel, T., Bruderer, S., & van Dishoeck, E. F. 2017, A&A, 605, A16
- Facchini, S., Pinilla, P., van Dishoeck, E. F., & de Juan Ovelar, M. 2018, A&A, 612, A104
- Facchini, S., Teague, R., Bae, J., et al. 2021, AJ, 162, 99
- Fedele, D., Toci, C., Maud, L., & Lodato, G. 2021, A&A, 651, A90
- Fedele, D., van Dishoeck, E. F., Kama, M., Bruderer, S., & Hogerheijde, M. R. 2016, A&A, 591, A95
- Fillion, J.-H., Dupuy, R., Féraud, G., et al. 2022, ACS Earth and Space Chemistry, 6, 100
- Follette, K. B., Rameau, J., Dong, R., et al. 2017, AJ, 153, 264
- Francis, L. & van der Marel, N. 2020, ApJ, 892, 111
- Gaia Collaboration, Prusti, T., de Bruijne, J. H. J., et al. 2016, A&A, 595, A1
- Gaia Collaboration, Vallenari, A., Brown, A. G. A., et al. 2023, A&A, 674, A1
- Garufi, A., Quanz, S. P., Schmid, H. M., et al. 2016, A&A, 588, A8
- González Díaz, C., Carrascosa de Lucas, H., Aparicio, S., et al. 2019, MNRAS, 486.5519
- Grady, C. A., Polomski, E. F., Henning, T., et al. 2001, AJ, 122, 3396
- Haffert, S. Y., Bohn, A. J., de Boer, J., et al. 2019, Nature Astronomy, 3, 749
- Hammond, I., Christiaens, V., Price, D. J., et al. 2023, MNRAS, 522, L51
- Hartmann, L., Calvet, N., Gullbring, E., & D'Alessio, P. 1998, ApJ, 495, 385
- Heays, A. N., Bosman, A. D., & van Dishoeck, E. F. 2017, A&A, 602, A105
- Hily-Blant, P., Walmsley, M., Pineau Des Forêts, G., & Flower, D. 2010, A&A, 513, A41
- Honda, M., Kudo, T., Takatsuki, S., et al. 2016, ApJ, 821, 2
- Huang, J., Andrews, S. M., Dullemond, C. P., et al. 2018, ApJ, 869, L42
- Izquierdo, A. F., Facchini, S., Rosotti, G. P., van Dishoeck, E. F., & Testi, L. 2022, ApJ, 928, 2
- Izquierdo, A. F., Testi, L., Facchini, S., et al. 2023, A&A, 674, A113
- Jiang, H., Wang, Y., Ormel, C. W., Krijt, S., & Dong, R. 2023, A&A, 678, A33
- Jiang, H., Zhu, W., & Ormel, C. W. 2022, ApJ, 924, L31
- Johnson, C. T., Burke, P. G., & Kingston, A. E. 1987, Journal of Physics B Atomic Molecular Physics, 20, 2553
- Kama, M., Bruderer, S., van Dishoeck, E. F., et al. 2016, A&A, 592, A83 Keppler, M., Benisty, M., Müller, A., et al. 2018, A&A, 617, A44
- Keyte, L., Kama, M., Booth, A. S., et al. 2023, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2303.08927 Klarmann, L., Ormel, C. W., & Dominik, C. 2018, A&A, 618, L1
- Launay, J. M. & Roueff, E. 1977, A&A, 56, 289
- Law, C. J., Crystian, S., Teague, R., et al. 2022, ApJ, 932, 114
- Law, C. J., Loomis, R. A., Teague, R., et al. 2021, ApJS, 257, 3
- Law, C. J., Teague, R., Öberg, K. I., et al. 2023, ApJ, 948, 60
- Le Gal, R., Hily-Blant, P., Faure, A., et al. 2014, A&A, 562, A83
- Leemker, M., Booth, A. S., van Dishoeck, E. F., et al. 2022, A&A, 663, A23
- Leemker, M., Booth, A. S., van Dishoeck, E. F., et al. 2023, A&A, 673, A7 Leemker, M., van't Hoff, M. L. R., Trapman, L., et al. 2021, A&A, 646, A3
- Lique, F., Spielfiedel, A., Feautrier, N., et al. 2010, J. Chem. Phys., 132, 024303
- Long, F., Bosman, A. D., Cazzoletti, P., et al. 2021, A&A, 647, A118
- Long, F., Pinilla, P., Herczeg, G. J., et al. 2018, ApJ, 869, 17
- Lynden-Bell, D. & Pringle, J. E. 1974, MNRAS, 168, 603
- Mathis, J. S., Rumpl, W., & Nordsieck, K. H. 1977, ApJ, 217, 425
- McMullin, J. P., Waters, B., Schiebel, D., Young, W., & Golap, K. 2007, in Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series, Vol. 376, Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems XVI, ed. R. A. Shaw, F. Hill, & D. J. Bell. 127
- Miotello, A., Facchini, S., van Dishoeck, E. F., et al. 2019, A&A, 631, A69
- Miotello, A., van Dishoeck, E. F., Kama, M., & Bruderer, S. 2016, A&A, 594, A85
- Mordasini, C., van Boekel, R., Mollière, P., Henning, T., & Benneke, B. 2016, ApJ, 832, 41

- Norfolk, B. J., Pinte, C., Calcino, J., et al. 2022, ApJ, 936, L4
- Öberg, K. I. & Bergin, E. A. 2016, ApJ, 831, L19
- Öberg, K. I., Fuchs, G. W., Awad, Z., et al. 2007, ApJ, 662, L23
- Öberg, K. I., Guzmán, V. V., Walsh, C., et al. 2021, ApJS, 257, 1
- Öberg, K. I., Linnartz, H., Visser, R., & van Dishoeck, E. F. 2009, ApJ, 693, 1209
- Öberg, K. I., Murray-Clay, R., & Bergin, E. A. 2011, ApJ, 743, L16
- Paardekooper, D. M., Fedoseev, G., Riedo, A., & Linnartz, H. 2016, A&A, 596, A72
- Paneque-Carreño, T., Miotello, A., van Dishoeck, E. F., et al. 2022, A&A, 666, A168
- Paneque-Carreño, T., Miotello, A., van Dishoeck, E. F., et al. 2023, A&A, 669, A126
- Paneque-Carreño, T., Pérez, L. M., Benisty, M., et al. 2021, ApJ, 914, 88
- Pérez, S., Casassus, S., Hales, A., et al. 2020, ApJ, 889, L24
- Perez, S., Casassus, S., Ménard, F., et al. 2015, ApJ, 798, 85
- Phillips, T. G., van Dishoeck, E. F., & Keene, J. 1992, ApJ, 399, 533
- Pickles, A. J. 1998, PASP, 110, 863
- Pineda, J. E., Szulágyi, J., Quanz, S. P., et al. 2019, ApJ, 871, 48
- Pinilla, P., Birnstiel, T., & Walsh, C. 2015, A&A, 580, A105
- Pinte, C., Price, D. J., Ménard, F., et al. 2018, ApJ, 860, L13
- Pinte, C., van der Plas, G., Ménard, F., et al. 2019, Nature Astronomy, 3, 1109
- Pirovano, L. M., Fedele, D., van Dishoeck, E. F., et al. 2022, A&A, 665, A45
- Pyerin, M. A., Delage, T. N., Kurtovic, N. T., et al. 2021, A&A, 656, A150
- Qi, C., Öberg, K. I., Espaillat, C. C., et al. 2019, ApJ, 882, 160
 - Qi, C., Öberg, K. I., Wilner, D. J., et al. 2013, Science, 341, 630
- Quanz, S. P., Amara, A., Meyer, M. R., et al. 2013, ApJ, 766, L1
- Rab, C., Kamp, I., Dominik, C., et al. 2020, A&A, 642, A165
- Rameau, J., Follette, K. B., Pueyo, L., et al. 2017, AJ, 153, 244
- Rosotti, G. P., Juhasz, A., Booth, R. A., & Clarke, C. J. 2016, MNRAS, 459, 2790
- Roueff, E. & Le Bourlot, J. 1990, A&A, 236, 515
- Schöier, F. L., van der Tak, F. F. S., van Dishoeck, E. F., & Black, J. H. 2005, A&A, 432, 369
- Schroder, K., Staemmler, V., Smith, M. D., Flower, D. R., & Jaquet, R. 1991, Journal of Physics B Atomic Molecular Physics, 24, 2487
- Schwarz, K. R. & Bergin, E. A. 2014, ApJ, 797, 113

223

A24

2023, A&A, 670, A12

ApJ, 860, L12

A&A, 675, A131

2021a, A&A, 651, L5

2021b, A&A, 653, L9

2017, A&A, 599, A101

- Sissa, E., Gratton, R., Garufi, A., et al. 2018, A&A, 619, A160
- Skinner, S. L. & Güdel, M. 2020, ApJ, 888, 15
- Smirnov-Pinchukov, G. V., Semenov, D. A., Akimkin, V. V., & Henning, T. 2020, A&A, 644, A4
- Spielfiedel, A., Feautrier, N., Najar, F., et al. 2012, MNRAS, 421, 1891
- Staemmler, V. & Flower, D. R. 1991, Journal of Physics B Atomic Molecular Physics, 24, 2343
- Stapper, L. M., Hogerheijde, M. R., van Dishoeck, E. F., et al. 2024, A&A, 682, A149
- Stapper, L. M., Hogerheijde, M. R., van Dishoeck, E. F., & Paneque-Carreño, T. 2023, A&A, 669, A158 Stelzer, B., Micela, G., Hamaguchi, K., & Schmitt, J. H. M. M. 2006, A&A, 457,

Sturm, J. A., Booth, A. S., McClure, M. K., Leemker, M., & van Dishoeck, E. F.

Teague, R., Bae, J., Bergin, E. A., Birnstiel, T., & Foreman-Mackey, D. 2018,

Temmink, M., Booth, A. S., van der Marel, N., & van Dishoeck, E. F. 2023,

van der Marel, N., Booth, A. S., Leemker, M., van Dishoeck, E. F., & Ohashi, S.

van der Marel, N., Bosman, A. D., Krijt, S., Mulders, G. D., & Bergner, J. B.

van der Marel, N., van Dishoeck, E. F., Bruderer, S., et al. 2016, A&A, 585, A58

van Dishoeck, E. F., Kristensen, L. E., Mottram, J. C., et al. 2021, A&A, 648,

van 't Hoff, M. L. R., Walsh, C., Kama, M., Facchini, S., & van Dishoeck, E. F.

Villenave, M., Ménard, F., Dent, W. R. F., et al. 2020, A&A, 642, A164

Wölfer, L., Facchini, S., van der Marel, N., et al. 2023, A&A, 670, A154

Zhu, Z., Stone, J. M., Rafikov, R. R., & Bai, X.-n. 2014, ApJ, 785, 122

Yang, B., Stancil, P. C., Balakrishnan, N., & Forrey, R. C. 2010, ApJ, 718, 1062

Zhou, Y., Bowler, B. P., Yang, H., et al. 2023, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2308.16223

Article number, page 19 of 33

Visser, R., Bruderer, S., Cazzoletti, P., et al. 2018, A&A, 615, A75

Walsh, C., Nomura, H., & van Dishoeck, E. 2015, A&A, 582, A88

Walsh, C., Juhász, A., Pinilla, P., et al. 2014, ApJ, 791, L6

Wilson, T. L. & Rood, R. 1994, ARA&A, 32, 191

Wei, C.-E., Nomura, H., Lee, J.-E., et al. 2019, ApJ, 870, 129

Zhang, K., Booth, A. S., Law, C. J., et al. 2021, ApJS, 257, 5

Thi, W. F., Mathews, G., Ménard, F., et al. 2010, A&A, 518, L125

Appendix A: Observed lines

Appendix B: DALI

B.1. Model setup

B.1.1. Radial and vertical structure

The integrated intensity map and the spectrum of the [C I] emission in the HD 100546 disk is presented in Fig. A.1. An overview of the observations used in this work is presented in Table A.1. In addition to the observations, the critical density for each line is listed as some molecules are found to emit from elevated disk layers below the critical density. Radially, the gas density follows the solution for a viscously evolving disk model (Lynden-Bell & Pringle 1974; Hartmann et al. 1998; Andrews et al. 2011):

$$\Sigma_{\text{gas full}} = \Sigma_{\text{c}} \left(\frac{r}{r_{\text{c}}}\right)^{-\gamma} e^{-(r/r_{\text{c}})^{2-\gamma}},\tag{B.1}$$

Molecule	Transition	beam	$n_{\rm crit}(50 {\rm K})$	ref.
continuum	0.9 mm (high res.)	0′′05 × 0′′03 (34°)		1
continuum	0.9 mm (moderate res.)	0''38 × 0''30 (31°)		2
12 CO	J = 2 - 1	0''.097 × 0''.077 (-16°)	2.7×10^{3}	3
12 CO	J = 3 - 2	0′′35 × 0′′23 (60°)	9.2×10^{3}	2
12 CO	J = 7 - 6	0''23 × 0''17 (30°)	1.2×10^{5}	4
¹³ CO	J = 2 - 1	0".098 × 0".077 (-15°)	2.3×10^{3}	3
$C^{18}O$	J = 2 - 1	0".097 × 0".076 (-13°)	2.3×10^{3}	3
$C^{17}O$	J = 3 - 2	0′′39 × 0′′31 (29°)	8.5×10^{3}	2
[C I]	${}^{3}P_{2} - {}^{3}P_{1}$	0''21 × 0''16 (28°)	1.4×10^{3}	5
HCN	J = 4 - 3	0''34 × 0''23 (60°)	1.4×10^{7}	2
CN	$3_{0,7/2,7/2} - 2_{0,5/2,5/2}$	0''38 × 0''30 (29°)	3.8×10^{6}	2
CN	$3_{0,7/2,9/2} - 2_{0,5/2,7/2}$	0''38 × 0''30 (29°)	3.8×10^{6}	2
CN	$3_{0,7/2,5/2} - 2_{0,5/2,3/2}$	0''38 × 0''30 (29°)	3.8×10^{6}	2
$C_2 H^{(a)}$	$4_{9/2,5} - 3_{7/2,4}$	0''.37 × 0''.30 (27°)	1.3×10^{6}	2
$C_2 H^{(a)}$	$4_{9/2,4} - 3_{7/2,3}$	0''.37 × 0''.30 (27°)	1.2×10^{6}	2
$NO^{(a)}$	$4_{1,7/2,9/2} - 3_{-1,5/2,7/2}$	0''47 × 0''.37 (31°)	2.7×10^{4}	2
$NO^{(a)}$	$4_{1,7/2,7/2} - 3_{-1,5/2,5/2}$	0''47 × 0''37 (31°)	2.4×10^{4}	2
$NO^{(a)}$	$4_{1,7/2,5/2} - 3_{-1,5/2,3/2}$	0''47 × 0''37 (31°)	2.4×10^{4}	2
HCO^+	J = 4 - 3	$0''.34 \times 0''.23$ (60°)	2.6×10^{6}	2

Table A.1: Continuum wavelengths and molecular transitions.

Notes. ^(a) All components of a single molecule are added together assuming the emission is optically thin. The critical densities are calculated assuming that the main collision partner is H_2 . References: 1. Pineda et al. (2019), 2. Booth et al. (2024), 3. Pérez et al. (2020) and Wölfer et al. subm., 4. Wölfer et al. subm., 5. This work.



Fig. A.1: Observations of [C I] in the HD 100546 disk. Left: integrated intensity map. The grey dashed lines indicate the dust rings in the HD 100546 disk. The beam is indicated in the bottom left corner and a 100 au scale bar is shown in the bottom right corner. Right: spectrum centered around the stellar velocity of 5.7 km s⁻¹ (Kama et al. 2016).



Fig. B.1: Surface density of the gas (left), small dust (middle), and large dust (right) in three models for the HD 100546 disk. The blue lines show the full gas disk model presented in Keyte et al. (2023), the orange lines show the model presented in Pirovano et al. (2022), and the purple lines show the model presented in this work. The shaded blue background indicates the dusty inner disk and the dust rings modelled in this work. The surface density Σ_c/e at r_c is indicated with a scatter point in the left panel.

with $\Sigma_{\rm gas\ full}$ the gas surface density of a full disk, $\Sigma_{\rm c}/e$ the gas surface density at the characteristic radius $r_{\rm c}$, r the radius, and γ the power law index of the surface density profile. The surface density is defined from the sublimation radius $r_{\rm subl}$ to the outer radius of the disk $r_{\rm out,\ disk}$. Assuming a typical dust sublimation temperature of 1500 K, the sublimation radius is located at ~ 0.07 au × $\sqrt{L/L_{\odot}}$, with *L* the luminosity of the central star (Dullemond et al. 2001). For the 36 L_{\odot} HD 100546, this corresponds to 0.4 au.

Vertically, we assume that the gas follows a Gaussian distribution with the scale height h given by

$$h = h_{\rm c} \left(\frac{r}{r_{\rm c}}\right)^{\psi},\tag{B.2}$$

with h_c the scale height at r_c and ψ the flaring index.

The dust in DALI is modeled using a small dust population and a large dust population. Both populations have a minimum grain size of 5 nm but the small grains have a maximum size of 1 μ m, whereas the large grains go up to 1 mm. An MRN distribution with an index of -3.5 is used to set the grain sizes *a* within these populations (Mathis et al. 1977). Furthermore, the mass ratio of the large grain population compared to that of the small grains is set by f_{1s} such that the small and large dust in a full disk follow:

$$\Sigma_{\rm s \ dust \ full} = \frac{(1 - f_{\rm ls})\Sigma_{\rm gas \ full}}{\Delta_{\rm rd}} \tag{B.3}$$

$$\Sigma_{\rm l \ dust \ full} = \frac{f_{\rm ls} \Sigma_{\rm gas \ full}}{\Delta_{\rm gd}}, \tag{B.4}$$

with Δ_{gd} the global gas-to-dust mass ratio. A comparison of the radial structure used in this work to those used by Pirovano et al. (2022) and Keyte et al. (2023) is presented in Fig. B.1.

Edge-on disks show that the large dust is settled to the disk midplane (Villenave et al. 2020). Therefore, the vertical scale height of the large dust is reduced by a settling parameter χ . Thus, the small and large dust are not following the same radial and vertical distributions and the average grain size is calculated per cell, which is used for further calculations.

B.1.2. Initial conditions of the chemical networks

The chemical networks are started using mostly molecular initial conditions. Initially, all volatile carbon is locked up in CO and all remaining oxygen is locked up in H₂O such that the total (gas + ice) C/O ratio is 0.4. In the case of a C/O ratio larger than 1, all oxygen is initialized in the form of CO and the remaining carbon is put in as CH₄. The precise initial partition of nitrogen over N, N₂, and NH₃ in protoplanetary disks is unknown, therefore we rescale the predictions of dark cloud models at 0.3 Myr (N: N₂: NH₃: total number of N atoms = 0.48 : 0.19 : 0.13 : 1; Walsh et al. 2015). Finally, hydrogen is mainly in H₂ when the model is initialised. An overview of the initial conditions is presented in Table B.1. The chemistry is evolved to the age of the HD 100546 disk of 5 Myr (Arun et al. 2019) and a cosmic ray ionisation rate consistent with that found in other protoplanetary disks of 10^{-18} s⁻¹ is adopted (Aikawa et al. 2021).

B.2. Model predictions

B.2.1. Outer ring in C¹⁸O and C¹⁷O models

The fiducial model predicts a ring in the $C^{18}O J = 2-1$ transition at ~ 200 au and a weak ring is also seen in the $C^{17}O J = 3-2$ line. This is due to the low column density just inside the dust ring at 150 – 175 au. In this region the column density of the CO isotopologues drops below the minimum column density needed to make self-shielding effective. Therefore, $C^{18}O$ and $C^{17}O$ are effectively destroyed in this region. At the outer dust ring, the gas column density increases by an order of magnitude, increasing the $C^{18}O$ and $C^{17}O$ column densities. The $C^{18}O$ and $C^{17}O$ column densities at 175 au are similar to the respective column densities at 150 au, just before self-shielding becomes efficient. Therefore, the $C^{18}O$ and $C^{17}O$ intensity in the outer dust ring is very sensitive to the gas column density in this region.

Table B.1: DALI model parameters.

Physical structureof 4 - 1 audusty inner diskradi - 7 bast cw in0.4 - 1 audusty inner disksigas cw, 5 dust in 5 dust, in 5 dust, in 7 dust cw10 - 3, 10 - 10, 10 - 10surface density drop for $r_{bast cw}$ in < r < r_{gs} cav out r_{gs} cw out- 7 dust cav10 - 3, 10 - 10, 10 - 10surface density drop for $r_{bast cw}$ in < r < r_{gs} cav out r_{gs} cw out- 7 dust cav10 - 2, 10 - 10surface density drop for r_{gs} cav out < r < dust cavit r_{gs} cw out- 7 dust cav10 - 1, 10 - 1, 10 - 10surface density drop for r_{gs} in < r < r_{gs} point r_{gs} cw out- 6 dust cav out250 - 1000 audisk region outside the second ring r_{gs} 250 - 1000 audisk region outside the second ring r_{e} 250 - 1000 audisk region outside the second ring r_{e} 250 - 1000 audisk region outside the second ring r_{e} 250 g cm ⁻² Set the gas surface density at the characteristic radius r_{e} M_{auk} 5 × 10 - 2 M_{o}Mass of the disk γ 1.1Power law index of the disk surface density profile r_{e} 0.1Sca the gas variance density profile r_{e} 0.1Gas to -dust mass ratioDust properties0Flaring index of the disk surface density χ 0.1Gas to -dust mass ratioStellar properties2.5 M_{o} Luminosity of the central star L_{e} 36 L_{o} 1.2 + 10 - 18 g - 1 L_{e} 10 - 18 g - 1Cosmic ray ionization rate </th <th>Model parameter</th> <th>value</th> <th>Description</th>	Model parameter	value	Description
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Physical structure		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$r_{\rm subl} - r_{\rm dust\ cav\ in}$	0.4 – 1 au	dusty inner disk
The term is $-r_{par}$ constant is the second rule of the density of the densit of the density	$\delta_{\text{gas cav}}, \delta_{\text{s dust in}}, \delta_{\text{l dust in}}$	$10^{-5}, 10^{-2}, 10^{-2}$	surface density drop for $r_{\text{subl}} < r < r_{\text{cav in dust}}$
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$r_{\text{dust, cav}} = r_{\text{gas, cav, out}}$	1 – 15 au	gas cavity
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	δ_{max} cave δ_{c} dust cave δ_{1} dust cave	$10^{-5}, 10^{-10}, 10^{-10}$	surface density drop for $r_{dust cay in} < r < r_{das cay out}$
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$r_{\text{gas cav}} = r_{\text{dust cav}}$	15 - 20 au	region outside gas cavity but inside dust cavity
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	δ_{gas} can adde, δ_{s} duct can adde, δ_{1} duct can adde	$10^{-2}, 10^{-2}, 10^{-10}$	surface density drop for r_{ras} cay out $< r < r_{dust}$ cay out
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$r_{\text{gap in}} = r_{\text{gap out}}$	40 – 175 au	gan
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	δ_{gap} and δ_{gap} duet gap. δ_{l} duet gap	$10^{-1}, 10^{-1}, 10^{-10}$	surface density drop for $r_{gap in} < r < r_{gap out}$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	r_1 dust out $= r_{\text{out}}$	250 - 1000 au	disk region outside the second ring
The solution of the sum of the s	$\delta_{\text{rade out}}$, $\delta_{\text{rade out}}$, δ_{1} dust out	$1, 1, 10^{-10}$	surface density drop for $r_{1,dust,out} < r < r_{out}$
$\Sigma_{\rm c}$ $250 {\rm gcm^{-2}}$ Sets the gas surface density at the characteristic radius $r_{\rm c}$ $M_{\rm disk}$ $5 \times 10^{-2} {\rm M}_{\odot}$ Mass of the disk γ 1.1Power law index of the surface density profile h_c 0.1Scale height angle at the characteristic radius r_c ψ 0Flaring index of the disk surface densityPAH abundance0.1Gas-phase abundance of PAHs w.r.t. to ISM valueDust properties χ 0.2Settling of large grains $\chi_{\rm fs}$ 0.85Mass-fraction of grains that is large $\Delta_{\rm gas/dust}$ 100Gas-to-dust mass ratioStellar properties M_{\star} 2.5 M_{\odot} M_{\star} 2.5 M_{\odot} Luminosity of the central star L_{\star} 36 L_{\odot} Luminosity of the central star L_{\star} 7.9 $\times 10^{28} {\rm erg s^{-1}}$ X-ray luminosity of the central star $C_{\rm cr.}$ 1 $\times 10^{-18} {\rm sc}^{-1}$ Disk inclination (0° is face-on)d00108.1 pcDistance to the starChemistry ⁽¹⁾ H 5.2×10^{-5} He 1.4×10^{-1} N 3.0×10^{-5} He 1.4×10^{-1} N 3.0×10^{-5} Hg 0.1×10^{-11} γ_{\star}^{*} 1.0×10^{-11} <t< td=""><td>r_{c}</td><td>30 au</td><td>Characteristic radius of the surface density profile</td></t<>	r_{c}	30 au	Characteristic radius of the surface density profile
λ_{disk} $5 \times 10^{-2} M_{\odot}$ Mass of the diskMass of the disk γ' 1.1Power law index of the surface density profile h_c 0.1Scale height angle at the characteristic radius r_c ψ' 0Flaring index of the disk surface densityPAH abundance0.1Gas-phase abundance of PAHs w.r.t. to ISM valueDust properties χ' 0.2 χ_s 0.85Mass-fraction of grains that is large $\Delta_{gas/dust}$ 100Gas-to-dust mass ratioStellar properties M_{\star} 2.5 M_{\odot} M_{\star} 2.5 M_{\odot} Mass of the central star L_{\star} 36 L_{\odot} Luminosity of the central star L_{\star} 36 L_{\odot} Luminosity of the central star L_{\star} 7.9 $\times 10^{28}$ erg s ⁻¹ X-ray luminosity of the central star L_{\star} 100Disk inclination (0° is face-on) d 108.1 pcDisk inclination (0° is face-on) d 108.1 pcDisk inclination (0° is face-on) d 108.1 pcDistance to the starChemistry ⁽¹⁾ H 5.2×10^{-5} H 5.0×10^{-1} H_2 5.0×10^{-1} χ_2 1.2×10^{-5} Hg* 1.0×10^{-11} χ_3 1.0×10^{-11} χ_4 1.0×10^{-11} χ_5 1.0×10^{-11} χ_4 1.0×10^{-11} χ_5 1.0×10^{-11} χ_4 1.0×10^{-11} χ_5 1.0×10^{-11} χ_5 1.0×10^{-11} <	Σ_{c}	250 g cm^{-2}	Sets the gas surface density at the characteristic radius $r_{\rm o}$
MussDrive χ 1.1Power law index of the surface density profile h_c 0.1Scale height angle at the characteristic radius r_c ψ 0Flaring index of the disk surface densityPAH abundance0.1Gas-phase abundance of PAHs w.r.t. to ISM valueDust properties χ 0.2 χ 0.35Mass-fraction of grains that is large $\Delta_{gas/dust}$ 100Gas-to-dust mass ratioStellar properties M_{\bullet} 2.5 M_{\odot} M_{\bullet} 2.5 M_{\odot} Luminosity of the central star L_{\star} 36 L_{\odot} Luminosity of the central star L_{\star} 7.9 × 10 ²⁸ erg s ⁻¹ X-ray luminosity of the central star L_{x} 7.9 × 10 ²⁸ erg s ⁻¹ Cosmic ray ionization rateObservational geometry1Cosmic ray ionization rate i 41°Disk inclination (0° is face-on) d 108.1 pcDistance to the starChemistry ⁽¹⁾ H5.0 × 10 ⁻¹ H5.0 × 10 ⁻¹ H2Q5.0 × 10 ⁻¹ H2Q1.9 × 10 ⁻⁴ N3.0 × 10 ⁻⁵ H25.0 × 10 ⁻¹ H38.1 × 10 ⁻⁶ N438.1 × 10 ⁻⁶ N438.1 × 10 ⁻⁶ N438.1 × 10 ⁻¹¹ S*1.0 × 10 ⁻¹¹ Fe*1.0 × 10 ⁻¹¹ Fe*1.0 × 10 ⁻¹¹	-c M-tech	$5 \times 10^{-2} M_{\odot}$	Mass of the disk
h_c0.1Scale height angle at the characteristic radius r_c ψ 0Flaring index of the disk surface densityPAH abundance0.1Gas-phase abundance of PAHs w.r.t. to ISM valueDust properties χ 0.2 χ 0.2Settling of large grains f_s 0.85Mass-fraction of grains that is large $\Delta_{gas/dust}$ 100Gas-to-dust mass ratioStellar properties M_{\star} 2.5 M_{\odot} M_{\star} 3.0 M_{\odot} M_{\star} 3.0 M_{\odot} <td>γ</td> <td>1.1</td> <td>Power law index of the surface density profile</td>	γ	1.1	Power law index of the surface density profile
$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \psi & 0 & Flaring index of the disk surface density \\ PAH abundance & 0.1 & Gas-phase abundance of PAHs w.r.t. to ISM value \\ \hline Dust properties & & & & \\ \chi & 0.2 & Settling of large grains \\ flas & 0.85 & Mass-fraction of grains that is large \\ \hline Agas/daat & 100 & Gas-to-dust mass ratio \\ \hline Stellar properties & & & & \\ M_{\star} & 2.5 M_{\odot} & & Luminosity of the central star \\ L_{\star} & 36 L_{\odot} & Luminosity of the central star \\ L_{\star} & 7.9 \times 10^{28} {\rm erg s^{-1}} & X-ray luminosity of the central star \\ L_{\chi} & 7.9 \times 10^{28} {\rm erg s^{-1}} & X-ray luminosity of the X-ray radiation \\ \zeta_{c.t.} & 1 \times 10^{-18} {\rm s^{-1}} & Cosmic ray ionization rate \\ \hline Observational geometry & & \\ i & 41^{\circ} & Disk inclination (0^{\circ} is face-on) \\ d & 108.1 {\rm pc} & Distance to the star \\ \hline Chemistry^{(1)} & & \\ H & 5.2 \times 10^{-5} \\ H_2 & 5.0 \times 10^{-1} \\ H_2 & 5.0 \times 10^{-1} \\ H_2 & 5.0 \times 10^{-1} \\ H_2 & 1.2 \times 10^{-5} \\ H_3 & 8.1 \times 10^{-6} \\ N_3 & 8.1 \times 10^{-6} \\ N_4 & 1.0 \times 10^{-11} \\ S^+ & 1.0 \times 10^{-11} \\ S^+ & 1.0 \times 10^{-11} \\ Fe^+ & 1.0 \times 10^{-11} \\ Fe^+ & 1.0 \times 10^{-11} \\ Fe^+ & 1.0 \times 10^{-11} \\ \hline \end{array}$	h_{c}	0.1	Scale height angle at the characteristic radius r_{c}
PAH abundance0.1Gas-phase abundance of PAHs w.r.t. to ISM valueDust properties χ 0.2Settling of large grains f_{ls} 0.85Mass-fraction of grains that is large $\Delta_{gas/dust}$ 100Gas-to-dust mass ratioStellar properties M_{\star} 2.5 M_{\odot} Mass of the central star L_{\star} 36 L_{\odot} Luminosity of the central star L_{\star} 7.9 × 10 ² KEffective temperature of the X-ray radiation $\zeta_{c.r.}$ 1 × 10 ⁻¹⁸ s ⁻¹ Cosmic ray ionization rateObservational geometry41°Disk inclination (0° is face-on)d108.1 pcDistance to the starChemistry ⁽¹⁾ 11.2 × 10 ⁻⁵ He1.4 × 10 ⁻¹ N3.0 × 10 ⁻³ N_2 5.0 × 10 ⁻⁴ CO1.3 × 10 ⁻⁴ N3.0 × 10 ⁻¹ N_2 1.2 × 10 ⁻⁵ He1.4 × 10 ⁻¹ Fe ⁺ 1.0 × 10 ⁻¹¹ St ⁺ 1.0 × 10 ⁻¹¹ Fe ⁺ 1.0 × 10 ⁻¹¹ Lend5 Myr	ll ll	0	Flaring index of the disk surface density
Dust properties χ 0.2Settling of large grains $f_{\rm s}$ 0.85Mass-fraction of grains that is large $\Delta_{\rm gas/dust}$ 100Gas-to-dust mass ratioStellar properties M_{\star} 2.5 M_{\odot} M_{\star} 2.5 M_{\odot} Mass of the central star L_{\star} 36 L_{\odot} Luminosity of the central star L_{\star} 36 L_{\odot} Cosmic ray ionization L_{\star} 7.9 × 10 ²⁸ erg s ⁻¹ X-ray luminosity of the central star L_{\star} 7.9 × 10 ²⁸ erg s ⁻¹ Cosmic ray ionization rate $G_{\rm cr.}$ 1 × 10 ⁻¹⁸ s ⁻¹ Cosmic ray ionization rateObservational geometry41°Disk inclination (0° is face-on)d108.1 pcDistance to the starChemistry ⁽¹⁾ 15.0 × 10 ⁻⁵ He1.4 × 10 ⁻¹ N3.0 × 10 ⁻⁵ H2_01.9 × 10 ⁻⁴ CO1.3 × 10 ⁻⁴ N_38.1 × 10 ⁻⁶ Mg ² 1.0 × 10 ⁻¹¹ Si ⁺ 1.0 × 10 ⁻¹¹ Fe ⁺ 1.0 × 10 ⁻¹¹ Lend5 Myr	PAH abundance	0.1	Gas-phase abundance of PAHs w.r.t. to ISM value
Dust properties0.2Settling of large grains X 0.2Mass-fraction of grains that is large $\Delta_{gas/dust}$ 100Gas-to-dust mass ratioStellar properties M_{\bullet} 2.5 M_{\odot} Mass of the central star L_{\bullet} 36 L_{\odot} Luminosity of the central star L_{\star} 7.9 × 10 ²⁸ erg s ⁻¹ X-ray luminosity of the central star T_X 7.9 × 10 ⁷ KEffective temperature of the X-ray radiation $\zeta_{c.r.}$ 1 × 10 ⁻¹⁸ s ⁻¹ Cosmic ray ionization rateObservational geometry41°Disk inclination (0° is face-on)d108.1 pcDistance to the starChemistry ⁽¹⁾ H5.2 × 10 ⁻⁵ He1.4 × 10 ⁻¹ N3.0 × 10 ⁻⁵ H25.0 × 10 ⁻⁴ CO1.3 × 10 ⁻⁴ N8.1 × 10 ⁻⁶ Mg ² 1.0 × 10 ⁻¹¹ Si ⁺ 1.0 × 10 ⁻¹¹ Fe ⁺ 1.0 × 10 ⁻¹¹ Lend5 Myr			I IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII
χ 0.2Settling of large grains f_{ls} 0.85Mass-fraction of grains that is large $\Delta_{gas/dust}$ 100Gas-to-dust mass ratioStellar properties M_{\star} 2.5 M_{\odot} Mass of the central star L_{\star} 36 L_{\odot} Luminosity of the central star L_{\star} 7.9 × 10 ²⁸ erg s ⁻¹ X-ray luminosity of the central star L_{χ} 7.9 × 10 ²⁸ erg s ⁻¹ X-ray luminosity of the central star T_X 7.9 × 10 ⁷⁸ KEffective temperature of the X-ray radiation $\zeta_{c.r.}$ 1 × 10 ⁻¹⁸ s ⁻¹ Cosmic ray ionization rateObservational geometryi41°Disk inclination (0° is face-on)d108.1 pcDistance to the starChemistry ⁽¹⁾ He 1.4×10^{-1} H2O 1.9×10^{-4} CO 1.3×10^{-6} M_2 1.0×10^{-11} M_2 1.0×10^{-11} S^* 1.0×10^{-11} I_{end} 5 Myr	Dust properties		
$f_{\rm s}$ 0.85Mass-fraction of grains that is large $\Delta_{\rm gas/dust}$ 100Gas-to-dust mass ratioStellar properties M_{\star} 2.5 M_{\odot} Mass of the central star M_{\star} 36 L_{\odot} Luminosity of the central star L_{\star} 36 L_{\odot} Luminosity of the central star L_{\star} 7.9 × 10 ²⁸ erg s ⁻¹ X-ray luminosity of the central star T_X 7.9 × 10 ⁷ KEffective temperature of the X-ray radiation $\zeta_{c.r.}$ 1 × 10 ⁻¹⁸ s ⁻¹ Cosmic ray ionization rateObservational geometry108.1 pcDisk inclination (0° is face-on)d108.1 pcDistance to the starChemistry ⁽¹⁾ H5.2 × 10 ⁻⁵ He1.4 × 10 ⁻¹ N3.0 × 10 ⁻⁵ H25.0 × 10 ⁻¹ H2O1.9 × 10 ⁻⁴ CO1.3 × 10 ⁻⁴ NH38.1 × 10 ⁻⁶ Mg ² 1.0 × 10 ⁻¹¹ Si ⁺ 1.0 × 10 ⁻¹¹ Fe ⁺ 1.0 × 10 ⁻¹¹ Lend5 Myr	X	0.2	Settling of large grains
$\Delta_{gas/dust}$ 100Gas-to-dust mass ratioStellar properties M_{\star} 2.5 M_{\odot} Mass of the central star L_{\star} 36 L_{\odot} Luminosity of the central star L_{χ} 7.9 × 10 ²⁸ erg s ⁻¹ X-ray luminosity of the central star T_{χ} 7.9 × 10 ²⁸ erg s ⁻¹ X-ray luminosity of the central star T_{χ} 7.9 × 10 ²⁸ erg s ⁻¹ X-ray luminosity of the central star T_{χ} 7.10 ⁷ KEffective temperature of the X-ray radiation $\zeta_{c.r.}$ 1 × 10 ⁻¹⁸ s ⁻¹ Cosmic ray ionization rateObservational geometryi41°Disk inclination (0° is face-on)d108.1 pcDistance to the starChemistry ⁽¹⁾ H5.2 × 10 ⁻⁵ He1.4 × 10 ⁻¹ N3.0 × 10 ⁻⁵ H25.0 × 10 ⁻¹ H201.9 × 10 ⁻⁴ CO1.3 × 10 ⁻⁴ N21.2 × 10 ⁻⁵ NH38.1 × 10 ⁻⁶ Mg ⁺ 1.0 × 10 ⁻¹¹ Si ⁺ 1.0 × 10 ⁻¹¹ Fe ⁺ 5 Myr	$f_{ m ls}$	0.85	Mass-fraction of grains that is large
Stellar properties M_{\star} 2.5 M_{\odot} Mass of the central star L_{\star} 36 L_{\odot} Luminosity of the central star L_{χ} 7.9 × 10 ²⁸ erg s ⁻¹ X-ray luminosity of the central star T_{χ} 7.9 × 10 ⁷ KEffective temperature of the X-ray radiation $\zeta_{c.r.}$ 1 × 10 ⁻¹⁸ s ⁻¹ Cosmic ray ionization rateObservational geometry1108.1 pci41°Disk inclination (0° is face-on)d108.1 pcDistance to the starChemistry ⁽¹⁾ 1Sit inclination (1° is face-on)H 5.2×10^{-5} Distance to the starV101.9 × 10 ⁻⁴ Sit inclination (1° is face-on)N 3.0×10^{-5} Distance to the starCO 1.3×10^{-6} H2ONg ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} Si ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} Si ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} Fe ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} tend 5 Myr	$\Delta_{ m gas/dust}$	100	Gas-to-dust mass ratio
Solution properties M_{\star} 2.5 M_{\odot} Mass of the central star L_{\star} $36 L_{\odot}$ Luminosity of the central star L_{x} 7.9×10^{28} erg s ⁻¹ X-ray luminosity of the central star T_{X} 7×10^7 KEffective temperature of the X-ray radiation $\zeta_{c.r.}$ 1×10^{-18} s ⁻¹ Cosmic ray ionization rateObservational geometry i 41° Disk inclination (0° is face-on) d 08.1 pcDisk inclination (0° is face-on) d 08.1 pcDisk inclination (0° is face-on) d 108.1 pcDistance to the starChemistry ⁽¹⁾ H 5.2×10^{-5} He 1.4×10^{-1} N 3.0×10^{-5} H2 5.0×10^{-1} H2 5.0×10^{-1} H3 8.1×10^{-6} Mg ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} Si ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} Fe ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} tend 5 Myr	Stellar properties		
M_{\star} 26 M_{\odot} Luminosity of the central star L_{\star} $36 L_{\odot}$ Luminosity of the central star L_{X} $7.9 \times 10^{28} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ X-ray luminosity of the central star T_{X} $7 \times 10^{7} \text{ K}$ Effective temperature of the X-ray radiation $\zeta_{c.r.}$ $1 \times 10^{-18} \text{ s}^{-1}$ Cosmic ray ionization rateObservational geometry $1 \times 10^{-18} \text{ s}^{-1}$ Cosmic ray ionization rate d 018.1 pc Disk inclination (0° is face-on) d 108.1 pc Distance to the starChemistry ⁽¹⁾ H 5.2×10^{-5} He 1.4×10^{-1} N 3.0×10^{-5} H2 5.0×10^{-1} H2O 1.9×10^{-4} CO 1.3×10^{-4} N2 1.2×10^{-5} NH3 8.1×10^{-6} Mg ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} S ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} Fe ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} tend 5 Myr	M.	$25 M_{\odot}$	Mass of the central star
X_x $7.9 \times 10^{26} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ X-ray luminosity of the central star T_x $7 \times 10^7 \text{ K}$ Effective temperature of the X-ray radiation $\zeta_{c.r.}$ $1 \times 10^{-18} \text{ s}^{-1}$ Cosmic ray ionization rate Observational geometry 41° Disk inclination (0° is face-on) d 108.1 pc Disk inclination (0° is face-on) d 108.1 pc Disk inclination (0° is face-on) H 5.2×10^{-5} He 1.4×10^{-1} N 3.0×10^{-5} H2 5.0×10^{-4} CO 1.3×10^{-4} N2 1.2×10^{-5} NH3 8.1×10^{-6} Mg ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} St ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} Fe ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} t_{end} 5 Myr		36 L	Luminosity of the central star
T_X 7×10^7 K Effective temperature of the X-ray radiation $\zeta_{c.r.}$ $1 \times 10^{-18} \text{ s}^{-1}$ Cosmic ray ionization rate Observational geometry i 0 i 41° Disk inclination (0° is face-on) d 108.1 pc Distance to the star Chemistry ⁽¹⁾ H 5.2×10^{-5} He 1.4×10^{-1} N 3.0×10^{-5} H ₂ 5.0×10^{-1} H ₂ O 1.9×10^{-4} CO 1.3×10^{-4} N ₂ 1.2×10^{-5} NH ₃ 8.1×10^{-11} Si ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} Fe ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} Fe ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} Fe ⁺ 5 Myr	L_{\star} I_{N}	$7.9 \times 10^{28} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$	X-ray luminosity of the central star
$\chi_{c.r.}$ 1 × 10 ⁻¹⁸ s ⁻¹ Enterior component of the r1 ky induction $Q_{c.r.}$ 1 × 10 ⁻¹⁸ s ⁻¹ Cosmic ray ionization rate Observational geometry 41° Disk inclination (0° is face-on) d 108.1 pc Disk inclination (0° is face-on) d 108.1 pc Disk inclination (0° is face-on) H 5.2×10^{-5} He 1.4×10^{-1} N 3.0×10^{-5} H2 5.0×10^{-1} H2O 1.9×10^{-4} CO 1.3×10^{-4} N2 1.2×10^{-5} NH ₃ 8.1×10^{-6} Mg ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} Si ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} Fee ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} Fee ⁺ 5 Myr	$\frac{D_{\Lambda}}{T_{Y}}$	$7 \times 10^7 \text{ K}$	Effective temperature of the X-ray radiation
Constructional geometry 41° Disk inclination (0° is face-on) d 108.1 pc Disk inclination (0° is face-on) d 108.1 pc Disk inclination (0° is face-on) d 108.1 pc Disk inclination (0° is face-on) H 5.2×10^{-5} Distance to the star Chemistry ⁽¹⁾ H 5.2×10^{-5} He 1.4×10^{-1} N N 3.0×10^{-5} H ₂ 5.0×10^{-1} H ₂ O 1.9×10^{-4} CO 1.3×10^{-4} N ₂ 1.2×10^{-5} NH ₃ 8.1×10^{-6} Mg ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} S ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} Fe ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} Fe ⁺ 5 Myr	Υ χ. γ	$1 \times 10^{-18} \text{ s}^{-1}$	Cosmic ray ionization rate
Observational geometry 41° Disk inclination (0° is face-on) i 108.1pc Distance to the star Chemistry ⁽¹⁾ 114×10^{-1} Disk inclination (0° is face-on) H 5.2×10^{-5} 14×10^{-1} N 3.0×10^{-5} 14×10^{-1} N 3.0×10^{-5} 19×10^{-4} CO 1.3×10^{-4} 10×10^{-11} N2 1.2×10^{-5} 10×10^{-11} Si ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} 5^{+} Fe ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} 5^{+} Fe ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} 5^{-}	5c.r.	1 × 10 5	cosinie ray romzarion rate
i 41° Disk inclination (0° is face-on) d 108.1 pc Distance to the star Chemistry ⁽¹⁾ H 5.2×10^{-5} He 1.4×10^{-1} N N 3.0×10^{-5} H ₂ 5.0×10^{-1} H ₂ O 1.9×10^{-4} CO 1.3×10^{-4} N ₂ 1.2×10^{-5} NH ₃ 8.1×10^{-6} Mg ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} Si ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} Fe ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} Fe ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} Fe ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11}	Observational geometry		
d108.1 pcDistance to the starChemistry(1)H 5.2×10^{-5} He 1.4×10^{-1} N 3.0×10^{-5} H2 5.0×10^{-1} H2O 1.9×10^{-4} CO 1.3×10^{-4} N2 1.2×10^{-5} NH3 8.1×10^{-6} Mg ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} Si ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} Fe ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} Fe ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11}	i	41°	Disk inclination (0° is face-on)
$\begin{array}{cccc} Chemistry^{(1)} \\ H & 5.2 \times 10^{-5} \\ He & 1.4 \times 10^{-1} \\ N & 3.0 \times 10^{-5} \\ H_2 & 5.0 \times 10^{-1} \\ H_2O & 1.9 \times 10^{-4} \\ CO & 1.3 \times 10^{-4} \\ N_2 & 1.2 \times 10^{-5} \\ NH_3 & 8.1 \times 10^{-6} \\ Mg^+ & 1.0 \times 10^{-11} \\ Si^+ & 1.0 \times 10^{-11} \\ Si^+ & 1.0 \times 10^{-11} \\ Fe^+ & 5 Myr \end{array}$	d	108.1 pc	Distance to the star
H 5.2×10^{-5} He 1.4×10^{-1} N 3.0×10^{-5} H ₂ 5.0×10^{-1} H ₂ O 1.9×10^{-4} CO 1.3×10^{-4} N ₂ 1.2×10^{-5} NH ₃ 8.1×10^{-6} Mg ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} Si ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} Fe ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} Fe ⁺ 5 Myr	C_{1}		
H 5.2×10^{-5} He 1.4×10^{-1} N 3.0×10^{-5} H ₂ 5.0×10^{-1} H ₂ O 1.9×10^{-4} CO 1.3×10^{-4} N ₂ 1.2×10^{-5} NH ₃ 8.1×10^{-6} Mg ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} Si ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} Fe ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} Fe ⁺ 5 Myr	Chemistry ⁽¹⁾	5 2 10-5	
He 1.4×10^{-1} N 3.0×10^{-5} H2 5.0×10^{-1} H2O 1.9×10^{-4} CO 1.3×10^{-4} N2 1.2×10^{-5} NH3 8.1×10^{-6} Mg ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} Si ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} Fe ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} Fe ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} fend 5 Myr	H	5.2×10^{-5}	
N 3.0×10^{-3} H2 5.0×10^{-1} H2O 1.9×10^{-4} CO 1.3×10^{-4} N2 1.2×10^{-5} NH3 8.1×10^{-6} Mg ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} Si ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} Fe ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} Fe ⁺ 5 Myr	He	1.4×10^{-1}	
H_2 5.0×10^{-1} H_2O 1.9×10^{-4} CO 1.3×10^{-4} N_2 1.2×10^{-5} NH_3 8.1×10^{-6} Mg ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} Si ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} Fe ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} fend 5 Myr	N	3.0×10^{-5}	
H_2O 1.9×10^{-4} CO 1.3×10^{-4} N_2 1.2×10^{-5} NH ₃ 8.1×10^{-6} Mg ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} Si ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} Fe ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} Fe ⁺ 5 Myr	H ₂	5.0×10^{-1}	
CO 1.3×10^{-4} N2 1.2×10^{-5} NH3 8.1×10^{-6} Mg ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} Si ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} S ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} Fe ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} fend 5 Myr	H_2O	1.9×10^{-4}	
N2 1.2×10^{-5} NH3 8.1×10^{-6} Mg ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} Si ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} S ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} Fe ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} fend 5 Myr	CO	1.3×10^{-4}	
NH ₃ 8.1×10^{-6} Mg ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} Si ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} S ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} Fe ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} fend 5 Myr	N ₂	1.2×10^{-5}	
Mg ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} Si ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} S ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} Fe ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} fend 5 Myr	NH ₃	8.1×10^{-6}	
Si ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} S ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} Fe ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} t _{end} 5 Myr	Mg^+	1.0×10^{-11}	
S ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} Fe ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} t_{end} 5 Myr	Si ⁺	1.0×10^{-11}	
Fe ⁺ 1.0×10^{-11} t_{end} 5 Myr	S^+	1.0×10^{-11}	
t _{end} 5 Myr	Fe ⁺	1.0×10^{-11}	
	tend	5 Myr	

Notes. ⁽¹⁾ Abundance w.r.t. the total number of hydrogen atoms. All molecules start as gas-phase species.

B.2.2. Emission profiles and emitting heights of CO isotopologues

The azimuthally averaged radial profiles of the CO isotopologue emission are presented in Fig. B.3. The models with a drop in gas density and small dust density of 1 (no drop) up to 10^{-5} are shown in the same figure with the colored lines. The model

without a drop in the gas and small dust density overpredicts the $C^{18}O$ and $C^{17}O$ emission that are the most optically thin and thus the most sensitive to the gas column density. On the other hand, the models with a deeper gap $(10^{-2}, 10^{-3})$ than the fiducial model (10^{-1}) , underpredict the $C^{18}O$ and $C^{17}O$ emission in the gap. Additionally, these models show a clear gap in the brighter ^{12}CO and ^{13}CO lines that is not seen in the observations. This cannot



Fig. B.2: Column density of $C^{18}O$ and $C^{17}O$ in the fiducial disk model. The steep drop in these column densities at ~ 150 – 175 au is due to the lack of self-shielding. Note that the column density of $C^{18}O$ and $C^{17}O$ at 175 au is very similar to the respective column densities in the gap just before self-shielding becomes ineffective.

be due to the limited spatial resolution of the observations as the gas gap is fully resolved with 4 beams across the gas gap for $C^{17}O$, the lowest spatial resolution line in this work, and 14 for $C^{18}O$ which has the highest spatial resolution. Outside the gas gap, at the outer dust ring, the $C^{18}O$ emission is overpredicted. However, a lower gas and thus CO isotopologue column density lowers the $C^{17}O$ column density in the gas gap to a value below its minimum value to self-shield. Therefore, a model that fits the $C^{18}O$ in that region will not reproduce the shelf in $C^{17}O$. Therefore, the CO isotopologue observations show that the gas density is depleted by at most a factor of 10^{-1} between the two dust rings under the assumption that the gas and dust gap have the same widths.

The emitting heights of the ¹²CO J = 2 - 1 and J = 7 - 6 transitions together with that of the ¹³CO J = 2 - 1 transition are presented in Fig. B.4. These emitting heights are derived using the ALFAHOR package by masking the individual channels after visually inspecting the data (Paneque-Carreño et al. 2023). Wölfer et al. subm. found evidence for an asymmetry in the vertical structure between the blue and red shifted sides of this disk. As the DALI models used in this work are azimuthally symmetric, the observed emitting heights are presented as an average of both disk sides.

The fiducial model roughly reproduces the observed emitting height of the ¹²CO J = 2 - 1 and J = 7 - 6 transitions within the scatter for a radius up to 150 au, as expected with the ~ 10 au scatter in the ¹²CO J = 2 - 1 emitting surface. Still, emitting surfaces of ¹²CO and ¹³CO in the IM Lup, HD 163296, and the MWC 480 disks generally follow the same vertical modulations in the derived ¹³CO emitting layer as are seen in the ¹²CO layer despite the large scatter. Therefore, the derived ¹²CO J = 2 - 1surface in the HD 100546 disk is robust. The lack of vertical segregation between different transitions of one molecule is seen in other DALI models as well (Paneque-Carreño in prep.) and the emitting height of the ¹²CO J = 2 - 1 transition observed in the HD 100546 disk is similar to that observed in other disks (Law et al. 2022, 2023; Stapper et al. 2023). Finally, the ¹³CO emission is seen very close to the disk midplane at a height of only a few au in the observations. The model reproduces the trend that the ¹³CO emission comes from deeper disk layers than the ¹²CO, and is consistent within ~ 2σ from the observations up to 150 au.

B.2.3. Emission profiles and column densities of [C I], HCN, CN, C₂H, NO, and HCO⁺ for different gas gaps

The azimuthally averaged radial profiles for the HCN, CN, C₂H, NO, and HCO⁺ moment 0 maps presented in Fig. 5 are presented in Fig. B.5. Instead of the $C^{18}O$ emission, the profile for the atomic carbon line is presented in the top left corner. The colored lines indicate the models for different gas gap depths between the two dust rings, identical to those in e.g. Fig. B.3. The rings seen in the observations (black) do not coindice with those seen in the models in general. Additionally, the modelled [C I] emission inside 200 au is up to more than one order of magnitude weaker than what is observed. At larger radii, the model slightly overpredicts the observations, but emission at these scales may be missing due to the small maximum recoverable scale (2" diameter, 108 au radius) of the observation. As the models predict that the molecules are abundant in an elevated layer at $z/r \sim 0.2-0.3$, the disk inclination of 41° causes deprojection effects that wash out some of the rings in the CN and move those in C2H to the location of the outer dust ring. Therefore, the azimuthally averaged radial profiles predicted by a face-on disk model are presented in Fig. B.6.

Similar to the trend seen for the HCO⁺ column density, the HCO⁺ emission in the gap decreases for deeper gap depths. Even for the shallowest gas gap ($\delta_{gas gap} = 10^{-1}$), a shallow gap and a ring are seen in the HCO⁺ emission. For deeper gas gaps, the double ring nature of the HCO⁺ emission becomes more pronounced (see Fig. B.5 for a more quantitative figure). The modelled intensity in the outer ring lays within a factor of 2.5 of the data for all models. To reproduce the diffuse emission observed in the gas gap, a model with a moderately deep gap of $10^{-2} - 10^{-3}$ is needed. These models as well as those, with even deeper gap of $10^{-4} - 10^{-5}$ match the intensity of the HCO⁺ in the gas cavity within 2.5 σ , though the models predict a ring at 30 – 40 au instead of centrally peaked emission.

The CN emission shows a bright inner ring just outside the inner dust ring and diffuse emission outwards. For gaps up to $\delta_{\text{gas gap}} = 10^{-3}$, the CN intensity stays more or less constant. For deeper gaps, the CN intensity follows the trends seen in the column density and the inner ring becomes weaker. The models with gaps deeper than 10^{-2} all show emission from an elevated layer as the outer ring consists of two ellipses that are offset along the disk minor axis. This is not seen in the data where the outer CN ring is centred at the position of the star. Apart from this, the model with a deep gap of 4 orders of magnitude less gas resembles the observations quite closely as two distinct rings with an intensity within a factor of ~ 3 of the observations are seen.

 C_2H emits as a bright outer ring seen at 375 au due to the high column density in this region. This is much further out than the second ring seen in the data and not affected by the depth of the gas gap. Inside the gap, a ring at low intensity is seen. This ring follows the trend seen in the column density, moves inwards, and brightens as the gas gap becomes deeper. The bright ring seen in the model with a 10^{-4} deep gas gap is only peaks one beam further out than the ring seen in the observations but the modelled intensity is a factor of 40 too low. The inward travelling ring is also seen in the NO emission causing a very bright inner ring for the two models with the deepest gas gaps. The outer ring

A&A proofs: manuscript no. main



Fig. B.3: Azimuthally averaged radial profiles of the dust and CO isotopologue emission observed in the HD 100546 disk (black) together with model predictions for different gaps. In the models, the gas density in the gap (40 - 175 au) is reduced by a factor of 1 (no gap; dark red), 10^{-1} (orange), 10^{-2} (pink), 10^{-3} (purple), 10^{-4} (blue), and 10^{-5} (very deep gap; light blue). For all these models, the drop in the small dust density follows that of the gas. The beam is indicated with the horizontal bar in the bottom right corner of each panel.



Fig. B.4: Emitting heights of the ¹²CO J = 2 - 1, and J = 7 - 6, and the ¹³CO J = 2 - 1 transition. The observed emitting heights are presented in black and those of the fiducial model in orange.

seen just outside the outer dust ring in the models is not detected in the observations.

B.2.4. Abundances and column densities for different C/O ratios

Finally, the HCN shows a bright inner ring with an intensity within a factor of two of the observations for the models with a shallow gas gap. For the models with a gap deeper than 10^{-2} a gap and then a very weak ($\leq 5 \%$) ring at the location of the outer dust ring are seen as highlighted by the white contours in Fig. 8. This ring has an intensity of only 3-5 times weaker than what is observed.

Figure B.7 presents the 2D abundance structure of C_2H (top row) and NO (bottom row) for the model with the fiducial C/O ratio of 0.4 and models with a higher C/O ratio of 0.99 and 1.01. The C_2H and NO molecules show opposite trends where the C_2H abundance increases with an increasing C/O ratio and the NO abundances decreases. The column densities of C, HCN, CN, C_2H , NO, and HCO⁺ for different C/O ratios are presented in Fig. B.8. The column densities of the molecules that contain a

Article number, page 24 of 33



Fig. B.5: Azimuthally averaged radial profiles of the [C I], HCN, CN, C₂H, NO, and HCO⁺ emission predicted by models with a gas gap depth of 1 (no gap; dark red), 10^{-1} (orange), 10^{-2} (pink), 10^{-3} (purple), 10^{-4} (blue), and 10^{-5} (very deep gap; light blue). The C₂H emission is increased by a factor of 50 for all models to show it on the same scale as the observations. The peak C₂H intensity of the full disk model is 2 mJy km s⁻¹ beam⁻¹. The small dust density is dropped by the same factor in the gap. The observed intensities are indicated with the black lines.

carbon atom but no oxygen, C, HCN, CN, and C₂H, all increase with increasing C/O. In particular, the CN and C₂H are sensitive to a C/O ratio increasing from 0.99 to 1.01 as their column densities increase by one and two orders of magnitude, respectively. The NO molecule, that only carries an oxygen atom and no carbon, shows the opposite trend in general. The only exception to this is the high NO column density just outside the inner dust ring in the models with C/O > 1. Finally, the HCO⁺ is not sensitive to the C/O ratio in most disk regions as it carries both oxygen and carbon atoms.

B.2.5. The effect of grain growth on C₂H

The C₂H molecule is particularly sensitive to the C/O ratio and the UV field. In this subsection, the effect of grain growth, modelled as an increase in the fraction of large grains from 0.85 to 0.99, is presented. An increased fraction of large grains effectively removes small grains from the upper layers of the disk. This effect is shown in the top row of Fig. B.9A. The C₂H abundance map is mainly affected in the surface layer around 300 au. This is also reflected in the emission that is similar between the two models (Fig. B.9B).

B.3. Molecular ratios

B.3.1. Column density ratios for different gap depths

The HCO⁺/CO ratio for gaps up to two orders of magnitude deep is mainly driven by the CO density that is directly proportional to the gas density and the HCO⁺ density that goes with the square root of the gas density (see Figs. 6 and B.10). For deeper gaps, CO photodissociation drives the HCO⁺/CO ratio up. Even though no HCO⁺ photodissociation is included in the small network, its photodissociation rate is 44 times lower than that of CO (Heays et al. 2017). Therefore, the HCO⁺/CO ratio is most sensitive to the gas density.

The C₂H/CO ratio is primarily driven by the drop in the CO column density for gaps up to 10^{-3} as the C₂H column density only starts to drop steeply for gaps deeper than that. This causes the C₂H/CO ratio to saturate at a few 10^{-3} in the outer regions of the 10^{-5} deep gas gap. The CN/HCN ratio rapidly increases

A&A proofs: manuscript no. main



Fig. B.6: Same as Fig. B.5 but then for face-on disk. The modelled C_2H emission is increased by a factor of 50 to show it on the same scale as the observations.



Fig. B.7: The C₂H (top row) and NO (bottom row) abundance for different C/O ratios. From left to right: C/O = 0.4 (fiducial value), 0.99, and 1.01. Only the regions with a gas number density above 10^5 cm⁻³ are shown. The white contour indicates the NO snowline.

Article number, page 26 of 33



Fig. B.8: Column densities of the molecular lines studied in this work for different C/O ratios.



Fig. B.9: UV field and C_2H abundance map for the fiducial model and a model with grain growth (panel A) and the predicted C_2H emission (panel B).

when the gap depth is lowered from 10^{-1} to 10^{-2} as the midplane HCN component is removed inside of ~ 80 au. For deeper gaps, the ratio settles between a value of 10 - 100 inside the gap. Outside the gap, CN is a factor of ten more abundant than HCN.

In contrast to the former ratios, the CN/NO ratio is almost independent of gap depths for a disk with no gas gaps and gaps with up to two orders of magnitude less gas. This is due to the CN and NO column density that are both insensitive to the gap depths for these models. For deeper gaps, both the CN and the NO column density decrease as the gas gap becomes deeper but the CN column density does so at a slower rate than that of NO.



Fig. B.10: Column density ratios of HCO⁺/CO (top left), C₂H/CO (top right), CN/HCN (bottom left), and CN/NO (bottom right) for different gas gap depths.

Therefore, the CN/NO column density ratio is higher inside the gap than outside.

B.3.2. Emission line ratios for different gap depths

The corresponding figure for the emission lines is presented in Fig. B.11. For each ratio, the profile of the molecule at the highest spatial resolution is smoothed to the resolution of the image at lower resolution. The modelled ratios of HCO⁺/CO, C₂H/CO, CN/HCN, and CN/NO all peak inside the gas gap if the gas gap is at least 10^{-1} deep. The column density ratio increases with gap depth for most regions inside the gas gap, however, the ratio of the integrated intensity does not. This is due to the beam size of the observations. The size and orientation of the beam is such that the dust gap is sampled by only ~ 2 beams across the disk minor axis. Therefore, the line ratio in the gap is dominated by the bright emission at the gap edges. If the models are convolved with a 3 – 4 times smaller beam of 0''.1, the gap depth can be traced up to a very deep gas gap of 10^{-4} times less gas, see Fig. B.12.

All models reproduce the HCO⁺/C¹⁷O ratio seen inside the inner dust ring (r < 20 au) and at 200 au where the outer dust ring is located. The observations show that this ratio first decreases in the gap and then increases, whereas the models with a gas gap show a peak at 125 au ($\delta_{gas gap} = 10^{-2} - 10^{-5}$) or 150 au ($\delta_{gas gap} = 10^{-1}$). The fiducial model with a gap of only one order of magnitude best reproduces the observed ratio outside 100 au. The other models show a ratio of HCO⁺/C¹⁷O that is too high, indicating that the ionisation is too high or the abundance of gas-phase water as traced by HCO⁺ is too low in the gap. The latter is consistent with the detection of cold, gas-phase water lines originating from ~ 40 – 300 au in this disk (van Dishoeck et al. 2021; Pirovano et al. 2022). This is because the modelled HCO⁺ abundance does not account for photodesorbed water.

The observed $C_2H/C^{17}O$ ratio peaks just outside the inner dust ring and at the outer edge of the outer dust ring. The models with a gas gap show the opposite behaviour where a peak at ~ 100 au in the $C_2H/C^{17}O$ ratio is predicted. Only the model without a drop in the gas density reproduces the overall trend of the observations with an offset of two orders of magnitude. This offset is driven by the modelled C_2H emission being too weak by that same factor.

The ratio of CN/HCN increases with radius, with a peak seen inside the gas gap and a decrease in the outer dust ring. The models with a gas gap predict the same trend across the disk, with the ratio agreeing within a factor of ~ 4 for the models with gaps up to 10^{-2} . The observations are most consistent with a gap depth of one to two orders of magnitude. The UV field in the models with deeper gas gaps is too intense to reproduce the CN/HCN ratio.

Finally, the CN/NO ratio is presented in the bottom right panel of B.11. The CN/NO ratio of ~ 5 at the inner dust ring is reproduced by the models that do not have a very deep gas gap of $10^{-4} - 10^{-5}$. For the latter models, the NO intensity is much higher, lowering the CN/NO ratio. In the outer dust ring the observed ratio is ~ 10, whereas the models underpredict this by an order of magnitude.

In summary, the line ratios of HCO^+/CO , $\text{C}_2\text{H}/\text{CO}$, and CN/HCN at the spatial resolution of the observations are only sensitive to the gas gap depths up to a gap with two orders of magnitude less gas. The latter ratio is well reproduced by the models, whereas the $\text{C}_2\text{H}/\text{C}^{17}\text{O}$ is not due to the weak C_2H emission. The $\text{HCO}^+/\text{C}^{17}\text{O}$ ratio can be used to trace gas gaps that are up to two orders of magnitude depleted in gas.

B.3.3. Column density and line ratios for different C/O ratios

The column density ratios for various molecules as function of the C/O ratio are presented in Fig. B.13. The corresponding



Fig. B.11: Emission line ratios of HCO^+/CO (top left), C_2H/CO (top right), CN/HCN (bottom left), and CN/NO (bottom right) for different gas gap depths.



Fig. B.12: Same as Fig. B.11 but then for a small beam of 0".1.

emission line ratios are presented in Fig. B.14. The $HCO^+/C^{17}O$ ratio presented in the top left corner does not depend on the C/O ratio of the gas for most disk regions. Only outside the outermost dust ring, the $HCO^+/C^{17}O$ ratio decreases by one order of mag-

nitude down to the observed value for a C/O of 0.99 instead of 0.4.

The $C_2H/C^{17}O$ ratio is driven by the C_2H emission that increases steeply with an increasing C/O ratio. Between the two

A&A proofs: manuscript no. main



Fig. B.13: Column density ratios for different molecules and different C/O ratios.



Fig. B.14: Emission line ratios of HCO^+/CO (top left), C_2H/CO (top right), CN/HCN (bottom left), and CN/NO (bottom right) for different gas gap depths. The black line indicated the observed emission line ratio for each pair.

dust rings, the observed $C_2H/C^{17}O$ is best explained by a model with a C/O just below 1 at 0.99, whereas in the outer dust ring the model with a C/O of 1.01 is close to the observed ratio. The C/O ratio greatly affects the value of the $C_2H/C^{17}O$ ratio, but it does not change the location of the peaks and dips in the profile. Therefore, a global change in the C/O ratio alone cannot explain the observations.

Increasing the C/O ratio increases the CN intensity more than it does so for the HCN. Therefore, the CN/HCN ratio increases

with increasing C/O ratio. The morphology of the observed ratio is best reproduced by a C/O of 0.4-0.8, whereas the value inside the gap is reproduced within ~ 1σ for C/O = 0.99. The CN/NO ratio on the other hand is best reproduced by a low C/O of 0.4. In the outer disk, the CN/NO ratio increases by one order of magnitude for a C/O of 0.4, 0.8, 0.99, 1.01, and 1.2. Even though the S/N on the CN/NO ratio is low, the value in the outer dust ring is indicative of a slightly elevated C/O ratio of 0.8.



Fig. B.15: Column densities of different molecules studied in this work for different background UV radiation fields.

B.4. Background UV

In Fig. B.15, the column densities for models with a lower background UV radiation field are presented. This parameter mainly affects the column densities in the outer disk where a lower UV field lowers the column density of C, CN, C_2H , and NO, whereas it increases that of HCN somewhat due to less efficient photodissociation.

B.5. Flaring

The column density and azimuthally averaged radial profiles of CO, HCN, CN, C_2H , NO, and HCO⁺ are presented in Fig. B.16 and B.17, respectively. Flaring alone has a minor to no effect on the CO and HCO⁺ column densities, whereas those of HCN, CN, C_2H , and NO do generally increase with decreasing flaring indices. These differences in the column densities are partially countered by the changing temperature structure as less flared disks intercept less UV.

B.6. X-ray luminosity

Fig. B.18 presents the intensity of [C I], HCN, CN, C₂H, NO, and HCO⁺ for the mean and maximum X-ray luminosities reported in Skinner & Güdel (2020) that are a factor of 4 and 6 higher than that in the fiducial model. The higher X-ray luminosity mainly affects the emission in the inner 100 au of the disk. The morphology of the C₂H emission is better reproduced by the model with a higher X-ray luminosities due to an inner ring at 30 au in the C₂H in these models. However, these models predict CN emission in the inner tens of au that is not seen in the observations. The effect of the increased X-ray luminosity on the HCO⁺ emission is modelled as in increase in the effective cosmic ray ionisation rate by a factor of 2 and 5 respectively. This increases the modelled HCO⁺ intensity by less than a factor of 2 in all disk regions.

A&A proofs: manuscript no. main



Fig. B.16: Column densities of different molecules studied in this work for different flaring indices.



Fig. B.17: Molecular line emission of different molecules studied in this work for different flaring indices.



Fig. B.18: Molecular line emission of different molecules studied in this work for different X-ray luminosities. The effect of an increased X-ray luminosity on the HCO⁺ is modelled as an increase in the effective cosmic ray ionisation rate to 2×10^{-18} s⁻¹ (purple) and 2×10^{-18} s⁻¹ (cyan), respectively.