

A BRIDGE CONNECTING CONVEX ANALYSIS AND COMPLEX ANALYSIS AND L^2 -ESTIMATE OF d AND $\bar{\partial}$

FUSHENG DENG, JINJIN HU, WEIWEN JIANG, AND XIANGSEN QIN

ABSTRACT. We propose a way to connect complex analysis and convex analysis. As applications, we derive some results about L^2 -estimate for d -equation and prove some curvature positivity related to convex analysis from well known L^2 -estimate for $\bar{\partial}$ -equation or the results we prove in complex analysis.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
Acknowledgements	8
2. Preliminaries	8
2.1. Notations and conventions	8
2.2. Regularized max function	10
2.3. Curvature positivity of Hermitian holomorphic vector bundles	10
2.4. L^2 -estimates in complex analysis	11
2.5. Curvature positivity of real vector bundles	12
2.6. Some basic knowledge from measure theory	13
3. The proof of Theorem 1.1	14
4. The proof of Theorem 1.2	15
5. The proof of Theorem 1.3	16
6. The proof of Theorem 1.4	18
7. The proof of Theorem 1.6	27
References	28

1. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that complex analysis and convex analysis are related or analogous in some aspects. For example, a function $\varphi(z)$ on $\mathbb{C}^n$ that is independent of the imaginary part of z is plurisubharmonic if and only if it is convex when viewed as a function on $\mathbb{R}^n$, and a tube domain of the form $D + i\mathbb{R}^n \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is pseudoconvex if and only if its base D is a convex domain

in $\mathbb{R}^n$. In the present paper, we propose another principle that connecting complex analysis and convex analysis in a certain way. As applications, we show that some important (both old and new) results in convex analysis can be deduced from analogous results in complex analysis.

The principle can be described as follows. Starting from a domain (connected open set) $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, one can form a tube domain $T_D := D + i\mathbb{R}^n$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Now the domain T_D admits the obvious symmetry $\mathbb{R}^n$ given by translations along the imaginary part. But the trouble is that it is difficult to make use of the symmetry since $\mathbb{R}^n$ is noncompact as a Lie group. So we take a step forward by considering the domain

$$R_D := \exp T_D = \{(e^{z_1}, \dots, e^{z_n}) \mid (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in T_D\},$$

which is a Reinhardt domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$ that has no intersection with the coordinate axes. Now the key point is that translation symmetry of T_D induces rotation symmetry of R_D given by the compact Lie group $\mathbb{T}^n$, the torus group of dimension n . Through this way, we get a one to one correspondence between domains in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and Reinhardt domains in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$. It follows that, in principle, problems about D can be translated to analogous rotation-invariant problems about R_D , and vice versa.

This principle has been implicitly used in [8] and [11] for the study of positivity of direct image sheaves, and has applied in [9], [10] deducing some important results about real analysis from analogous results from complex analysis.

The above principle has a lot of other applications. In this paper, we give some typical examples to show the power of it.

The first example is the following

Theorem 1.1. Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}_x^n$ be a convex domain, $V \subset \mathbb{C}_\tau^m$ be a pseudoconvex domain, and let $W_z := D + i\mathbb{R}_y^n \subset \mathbb{C}_z^n$. Let $\varphi(\tau, z)$, $\psi(\tau, z)$ be plurisubharmonic functions on $V \times W$, which are independent of $\text{Im}(z)$ (the imaginary part of z) for any $z \in W$. We assume that ψ is C^2 and is strictly plurisubharmonic with respect to τ . Then for any $\bar{\partial}$ -closed measurable $(0, 1)$ -form $f := \sum_{j=1}^n f_j d\bar{\tau}_j$ in V , we can solve the equation $\bar{\partial}u = f$ in V with the estimate

$$\int_{V \times D} |u|^2 e^{-\varphi - \psi} d\lambda \leq \int_{V \times D} \sum_{j,k=1}^n \psi^{j\bar{k}} f_j \bar{f}_k e^{-\varphi - \psi} d\lambda,$$

provided the right hand side is finite, where $(\psi^{j\bar{k}})_{n \times n} := \left(\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \tau_j \partial \bar{\tau}_k} \right)_{n \times n}^{-1}$.

Theorem 1.1 is a slight generalization of [1, Proposition 2.3], which plays an essential role in Berndtsson's proof of a Prékopa-type inequality for plurisubharmonic functions on tube domains. Berndtsson's original proof involves taking limit of balls in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and an infinite dimensional version of the Arzelà-Ascoli Theorem. Our proof of Theorem 1.1, based on the principle mentioned above, will be very simple and different. Following Berndtsson's method, Inayama proved an analogue of Theorem 1.1 for L^2 -extension of holomorphic functions.

Theorem 1.2 ([15, Theorem 1.1]). For any $r > 0$, let $\Delta := \{\tau \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\tau| < r\}$. Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}_x^n$ be a bounded convex domain, $\varphi(\tau, z)$ be a plurisubharmonic function on $\Delta_\tau \times (D_x + i\mathbb{R}_y^n)$, which is independent of $\text{Im}(z)$. Then there exists a holomorphic function f on Δ satisfying $f(0) = 1$ and

$$\int_{\Delta \times D} |f(\tau)|^2 e^{-\varphi(\tau, x)} d\lambda(\tau, x) \leq \pi r^2 \int_D e^{-\varphi(0, x)} d\lambda(x),$$

provided the right hand side is finite.

We will show that, combining the optimal L^2 -extension theorems for holomorphic functions in [4], [13], Theorem 1.2 can be also deduced from the above principle directly. Of course, the disc Δ in Theorem 1.2 can be replaced by general bounded domains in $\mathbb{C}^k$, with the form of the estimate modified accordingly. But to clarify the main idea of the proof, we only consider the case $k = 1$.

We now turn to the discussion of L^2 -estimate for the d -operator on convex domains. In complex analysis, the L^2 -estimate of $\bar{\partial}$ -equation on general pseudoconvex domains was established by Hörmander in 1965 in his fundamental work [14]. Hörmander's result was generalized to much more general context by Demailly and other authors in the early eighties (see e.g. [7]). Surprisingly, one had not seen analogous work about the L^2 -estimate for the d -operator until a decade later when Brascamp and Lieb proved a parallel result in [5] on $\mathbb{R}^n$. The L^2 -estimate for the d -operator on general convex domains was proved only recently in [16] for line bundles. For positively curved vector bundles on the whole $\mathbb{R}^n$, the L^2 -estimate for the d -operator parallel to Brascamp-Lieb's result is given in [6] in 2019. The main motivation to [5], [6] is to prove the Brunn-Minkowski type result in convex analysis for Riemannian vector bundles with positive curvature. As indicated in Hörmander's work, generalizing an estimate for the d -operator from the whole $\mathbb{R}^n$ to general convex domains may require a highly nontrivial effort to prove some integral inequalities for certain forms that are not smooth. In the present paper, we generalize the result in [6] from $\mathbb{R}^n$ to general convex

domains very easily by applying well known results about the L^2 -estimate of $\bar{\partial}$ and the above proposed principle.

Theorem 1.3. Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a convex domain, and let (E, g) be a Nakano positive Riemannian vector bundle of finite rank r over D , then for any d -closed $f \in L^2(D, \Lambda^1 T^* D \otimes E)$, there exists $u \in L^2(D, E)$ with $du = f$ and satisfying the estimate

$$\int_D |u|_g^2 d\lambda \leq \int_D \langle (\Theta^{(E, g)})^{-1} f, f \rangle_g d\lambda.$$

In the above theorem,

$$\Theta^{(E, g)} := \left(\theta_{jk}^{(E, g)} \right)_{n \times n}, \quad \theta_{jk}^{(E, g)} := -\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \left(g^{-1} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^j} \right)$$

is the curvature operator of (E, g) . We will give the definition of the involved Nakano positivity of (E, g) later (see Definition 2.2).

Theorem 1.3 can be generalized to closed E -valued forms of higher degree via the same argument. We omit the exact formulation for the general context here. The main idea in the proof of Theorem 1.3 is as follows. We transform from D to R_D and then solve the analogous $\bar{\partial}$ -equation on R_D with the L^2 -estimate known in complex analysis. Since everything on R_D that we are handling is invariant under the natural torus action on R_D , the uniqueness of the minimal solution implies that the minimal solution of the $\bar{\partial}$ -equation is also invariant under the torus action. It follows that the minimal solution on R_D induces a solution of the d -equation on D with the desired L^2 -estimate.

Now we consider the curvature strict positivity of the direct image bundles associated to a strictly pseudoconvex family of bounded domains. We consider the families that all fibers are circular domains or Reinhardt domains. Recall that a domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ is called a circular domain if it is invariant under the action of $\mathbb{S}^1$ on $\mathbb{C}^m$ given by

$$e^{i\theta} \cdot (z_1, \dots, z_m) := (e^{i\theta} z_1, \dots, e^{i\theta} z_m), \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R},$$

and is called a Reinhardt domain if it is invariant under the action of the torus group $\mathbb{T}^m$ on $\mathbb{C}^m$ given by

$$(e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_m}) \cdot (z_1, \dots, z_m) := (e^{i\theta_1} z_1, \dots, e^{i\theta_m} z_m), \quad \theta_i \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Definition 1.1. Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a domain, and let $p: \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ be the natural projection.

- (1) A *family of bounded domains* (of dimension m over U) is a domain $\Omega \subset U \times \mathbb{C}^m$ such that $p(\Omega) = U$ and all fibers $\Omega_t := p^{-1}(t) \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ ($t \in U$) are bounded.

- (2) A family of bounded domains Ω over U has C^2 boundary if there exists a C^2 -function ρ defined on $U \times \mathbb{C}^m$ such that $\Omega = \{(t, z) \in U \times \mathbb{C}^m \mid \rho(t, z) < 0\}$ and $d(\rho|_{\Omega_t}) \neq 0$ on $\partial\Omega_t$ for all $t \in U$. Such a function ρ is called a *defining function* of Ω .
- (3) A family of bounded domains $\Omega \subset U \times \mathbb{C}^m$ is called *strictly pseudoconvex* if it admits a C^2 -boundary defining function that is strictly plurisubharmonic on some neighborhood of $\overline{\Omega}$ in $U \times \mathbb{C}^m$.

For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let P^k be the space of homogenous polynomials on $\mathbb{C}^m$ of degree k .

Theorem 1.4. Let $\Omega \subset U \times \mathbb{C}^m$ be a strictly pseudoconvex family of bounded domains over $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, and let (F, h^F) be a Nakano semi-positive trivial Hermitian vector bundle of finite rank r over some neighborhood of $\overline{\Omega}$ in $U \times \mathbb{C}^m$. We assume that all fibers Ω_t ($t \in U$) are (connected) circular domains in $\mathbb{C}^m$ containing the origin and $h_{(t,z)}^F$ is $\mathbb{S}^1$ -invariant with respect to z . Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$ be the canonical holomorphic frame of F . For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \in U$, set

$$E_t^k := \{f = \sum_{\lambda=1}^r f_\lambda \otimes e_\lambda \mid f_\lambda \in P^k \text{ for all } \lambda\}$$

with inner product h_t given by

$$h_t(f, g) := \int_{\Omega_t} \sum_{\lambda, \mu=1}^r f_\lambda(z) \overline{g_\mu(z)} h_{(t,z)}^F(e_\lambda, e_\mu) d\lambda(z), \quad \forall f, g \in E_t^k.$$

We set $E^k := \cup_{t \in U} E_t^k$ and view it as a (trivial) holomorphic vector bundle over U in a natural way, then the curvature of (E^k, h) is strictly positive in the sense of Nakano.

Considering strictly pseudoconvex family of Reinhardt domains, we have the following parallel result, whose proof is similar to that of Theorem 1.4, so we omit it.

Theorem 1.5. Let $\Omega \subset U \times \mathbb{C}^m$ be a strictly pseudoconvex family of bounded domains over a domain $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, and let (F, h^F) be a Nakano positive trivial Hermitian vector bundle of finite rank r defined on some neighborhood of $\overline{\Omega}$. We assume that all fibers Ω_t ($t \in U$) are (connected) Reinhardt domains and $h_{(t,z)}^F$ is $\mathbb{T}^n$ -invariant with respect to z . Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$ be the canonical holomorphic frame of F . For any $k_1, \dots, k_m \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \in U$, set

$$E_t^{k_1, \dots, k_m} := \{f = \sum_{\lambda=1}^r f_\lambda \otimes e_\lambda \mid f_\lambda \in \mathbb{C} z_1^{k_1} \dots z_m^{k_m} \text{ for all } \lambda\}$$

with inner product h_t given by

$$h_t(f, g) := \int_{\Omega_t} \sum_{\lambda, \mu=1}^r f_\lambda(z) \overline{g_\mu(z)} h_{(t,z)}^F(e_\lambda, e_\mu) d\lambda(z), \quad \forall f, g \in E_t^{k_1, \dots, k_m}.$$

We set

$$E^{k_1, \dots, k_m} := \bigcup_{t \in U} E_t^{k_1, \dots, k_m}$$

and view it as a (trivial) holomorphic vector bundle over U in a natural way, then the curvature of $(E^{k_1, \dots, k_m}, h)$ is strictly positive in the sense of Nakano.

In Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.5, the vector bundle F is assumed to be trivial just for simplicity of presentation.

We should remark that the strict positivity of the curvature of the direct images in Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.5 comes from the strict pseudoconvexity of the families, but not from the curvature positivity of (F, h^F) . Similar considerations have appeared in [19] and [9] for direct images of Hermitian line bundles. The proofs of Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.5 rely on a development of the method in [9]. Our argument depends on Deng-Ning-Wang-Zhou's integral characterization of Nakano positivity in [12] and is different from the method in [19].

Assume that $D \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ is a bounded circular domain containing the origin and φ is an $\mathbb{S}^1$ -invariant continuous function on $\overline{D}$. Let $A^2(D, \varphi)$ be the space of L^2 holomorphic functions on D with weight $e^{-\varphi}$. Then $P^k \subset A^2(D, \varphi)$ for $k \geq 0$, and $P^k \perp P^l$ provided that $k \neq l$. Moreover, we have the decomposition

$$A^2(D, \varphi) = \overline{\bigoplus_k P^k},$$

where $\overline{\bigoplus}$ means taking orthogonal direct sum first and then taking closure. In terms of representation theory, $\mathbb{S}^1$ has a natural unitary representation on $A^2(D, \varphi)$ and P^k is the submodule corresponding to the irreducible character $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^k$ of $\mathbb{S}^1$. For Reinhardt domains, we have similar decompositions by considering representation of the torus group $\mathbb{T}^m$. In this meaning, Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.5 can be generalized to any compact group actions. The case of the trivial group action (without group action) is carried out in detail by the last author [17].

If we just consider curvature positivity but not strict positivity of the direct image, Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.5 are due to Deng-Zhang-Zhou [11] for the line bundle case, based on the fundamental result of Berndtsson in [3].

Using our principle and Theorem 1.5, we can get the strict curvature positivity of the direct images of Riemannian vector bundles over a strict convex family of bounded domains in $\mathbb{R}^m$.

Recall that a C^2 function defined on a domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is called strictly convex if its real Hessian is positive definite everywhere.

Definition 1.2. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a domain, and let $p: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be the natural projection.

- (1) A *family of bounded domains* (of dimension m over U) is a domain $D \subset U \times \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $p(D) = U$ and all fibers $D_t := p^{-1}(t)(t \in U)$ are bounded.
- (2) A family of bounded domains D over U has C^2 *boundary* if there exists a C^2 -function ρ defined on $U \times \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $D = \{(t, x) \in U \times \mathbb{R}^m \mid \rho(t, x) < 0\}$ and $d(\rho|_{D_t}) \neq 0$ on ∂D_t for all $t \in U$. Such a function ρ is called a *defining function* of D .
- (3) A family of bounded domains $D \subset U \times \mathbb{C}^m$ is called *strictly convex* if it admits a C^2 -boundary defining function that is strictly convex on some neighborhood of $\overline{D}$.

Theorem 1.6. Let $D \subset U_0 \times \mathbb{R}^m$ be a strictly convex family of bounded domains (with connected fibers) over a domain $U_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, and let (F, g^F) be a trivial vector bundle of finite rank r defined on some neighborhood of $\overline{D}$. Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$ be the canonical frame of F . For any $t \in U_0$, set $E_t := \mathbb{R}^r$, with an inner product g_t^E given by

$$g_t^E(u, v) := \int_{D_t} \sum_{\lambda, \mu=1}^r u_\lambda v_\mu g_{(t,x)}^F(e_\lambda, e_\mu) d\lambda(x)$$

for all

$$u := (u_1, \dots, u_r) \in E_t, v := (v_1, \dots, v_r) \in E_t.$$

We set $E := \cup_{t \in U_0} E_t$ and view it as a Riemannian (trivial) vector bundle over U_0 , then the curvature of (E, g^E) is strictly positive in the sense of Nakano if (F, g^F) is Nakano positive on some neighborhood of $\overline{D}$.

The curvature positivity of (E, g^E) is originally proved in [18] in the case that $D = \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m$ is a product, based on the idea of [3] and Fourier transform, and is proved by different methods in [6] and [8]. Here we are interested in the curvature strict positivity of (E, g^E) and on deriving it from Theorem 1.5 following the principle proposed in the beginning of this section.

Acknowledgements. This research is supported by National Key R&D Program of China (No. 2021YFA1003100), NSFC grants (No. 11871451, 12071310), and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we fix some notations, conventions and collect some knowledge that are needed in our discussions.

2.1. Notations and conventions.

Our convention for $\mathbb{N}$ is that $\mathbb{N} := \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, and set $\mathbb{C}^* := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid z \neq 0\}$. For any complex number $z \in \mathbb{C}$, let $\operatorname{Re}(z)$ (resp. $\operatorname{Im}(z)$) denote the real part (rep. imaginary part) of z . For any $z := (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, set

$$\operatorname{Re}(z) := (\operatorname{Re}(z_1), \dots, \operatorname{Re}(z_n)), \quad \operatorname{Im}(z) := (\operatorname{Im}(z_1), \dots, \operatorname{Im}(z_n)).$$

For any subset $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, let $\overline{A}$ denote the closure of A in $\mathbb{R}^n$, and let $\partial A := \overline{A} \setminus A$. Any neighborhood of a subset $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is open by default. We say U is a domain of $\mathbb{R}^n$ if it is a connected open subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

For any $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$. If $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an open subset, then we let $C^k(U)$ be the space of complex valued functions which are of class C^k . More generally, if $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an arbitrary subset, let $C^k(A)$ denote the space of complex valued functions which is of class C^k in an open neighborhood of A . We write $A \subset\subset U$ if $\overline{A}$ is a compact subset of U . A real valued function $\varphi \in C^2(U)$ is strictly convex if its real Hessian $(\varphi_{jk})_{1 \leq j, k \leq n}$ is positive definite for any $x \in U$, where

$$\varphi_{jk} := \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial x_j \partial x_k}, \quad 1 \leq j, k \leq n,$$

and we let $(\varphi^{jk})_{1 \leq j, k \leq n}$ be the inverse matrix of its real Hessian. We recall that an open subset $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is strictly convex if it has C^2 -boundary, and it has a C^2 -boundary defining function which is strictly convex in a neighborhood of $\overline{U}$.

If $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is an open subset, let $\mathcal{O}(U)$ denote the space of holomorphic functions in U . We recall an upper semi-continuous function $f: U \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$ is plurisubharmonic if its restriction to every complex line in U is subharmonic, i.e. satisfying the submean value inequality. A real valued function $\varphi \in C^2(U)$ is strictly plurisubharmonic if its complex Hessian $(\varphi_{j\bar{k}})_{1 \leq j, k \leq n}$ is positive definite for any $z \in U$, where

$$\varphi_{j\bar{k}} := \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_k}, \quad 1 \leq j, k \leq n,$$

and we let $(\varphi^{j\bar{k}})_{1 \leq j, k \leq n}$ be the inverse matrix of its complex Hessian. We recall that U is pseudoconvex if it has a smooth plurisubharmonic function p such that its sublevel sets are relatively compact in U , i.e.

$$\{z \in U \mid p(z) \leq c\} \subset\subset U$$

for any $c \in \mathbb{R}$. U is strictly pseudoconvex if it has C^2 -boundary, and it has a C^2 -boundary defining function which is strictly plurisubharmonic in a neighborhood of $\overline{U}$.

Let $d\lambda$ denote the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Note that all measurable sets, measurable maps, measurable differential forms will be Lebesgue measurable. If $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a measurable subset, we also write $|A|$ for the measure of A . If an open subset $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ has C^1 -boundary, let dS denote the surface measure on ∂U .

For any open subset $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, let $L^2(U)$ denote the space of L^2 -integrable complex valued functions on U . For any $f \in L^2(U)$, let $\|f\|_{L^2(U)}$ denote the L^2 -norm of f .

For any open subset $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ (resp. $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$), and for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ (resp. $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$), let $\Lambda^k T^*U$ (resp. $\Lambda^{p,q} T^*U$) denote the bundle of smooth, complex valued k -forms (resp. (p, q) -forms) on U .

If (X, ω) is a Kähler manifold, then we write $d\lambda(\omega)$ for the Riemannian volume form determined by ω .

A metric on a vector bundle will be of class C^2 , all sections of a vector bundle will be global unless stated otherwise. Let (E, h^E) be a Riemannian smooth (resp. Hermitian holomorphic) vector bundle of finite rank r over an open subset $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ (resp. $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$), and let $e_1, \dots, e_r$ be a local smooth (resp. holomorphic) frame of E . For any $x \in U$, let h_x^E denote the inner product on the fiber E_x of x . For any $1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq r$, set

$$h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}^E := h^E(e_\alpha, e_\beta),$$

and let $((h^E)^{\alpha\bar{\beta}})_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq r}$ be the inverse matrix of $(h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}^E)_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq r}$. Let u, v be any measurable sections of E , write

$$u = \sum_{\lambda=1}^r u_\lambda e_\lambda, \quad v = \sum_{\mu=1}^r v_\mu e_\mu,$$

then we let

$$|u|^2 := \sum_{\lambda=1}^r |u_\lambda|^2, \quad \langle u, v \rangle_{h^E} := h^E(u, v) = \sum_{\lambda, \mu=1}^r u_\lambda \overline{v_\mu} h^E(e_\lambda, e_\mu),$$

$$|u|_h^2 := \langle u, u \rangle_h, \quad (u, v)_h := \int_U \langle u, v \rangle_{h^E} d\lambda, \quad \|u\|_{h^E}^2 := (u, u)_{h^E}.$$

Let $L^2(U, E)$ be the space of measurable E -valued sections which are L^2 -integrable on U , i.e.

$$L^2(U, E) := \{u \text{ is a measurable } E\text{-valued section of } E \mid \|u\|_{h^E}^2 < \infty\}.$$

For any $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, let $C^k(U, E)$ be the space of E -valued sections which are of class C^k . We also let $C_c^k(U, E)$ be the space of elements of $C^k(U, E)$ which has compact support.

2.2. Regularized max function.

Let $\psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be a nonnegative even function with support in $[-1, 1]$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(x) dx = 1.$$

Lemma 2.1 ([7, Lemma 5.18, Chapter I]). For any $\eta := (\eta_1, \eta_2) \in (0, +\infty) \times (0, +\infty)$, the function $\max_\eta: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$(t_1, t_2) \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \max\{t_1 + x_1, t_2 + x_2\} \frac{1}{\eta_1 \eta_2} \psi\left(\frac{x_1}{\eta_1}\right) \psi\left(\frac{x_2}{\eta_2}\right) dx_1 dx_2$$

has the following properties

- (1) $\max_\eta\{t_1, t_2\}$ is non decreasing in all variables, smooth and convex on $\mathbb{R}^2$;
- (2) $\max\{t_1, t_2\} \leq \max_\eta\{t_1, t_2\} \leq \max\{t_1 + \eta_1, t_2 + \eta_2\}$;
- (3) If u_1, u_2 are plurisubharmonic functions, then $\max_\eta\{u_1, u_2\}$ is also plurisubharmonic.

2.3. Curvature positivity of Hermitian holomorphic vector bundles.

Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}_t^n$ be a domain, and let (E, h^E) be a Hermitian holomorphic trivial vector bundle over U of finite rank r . The Chern connection is now given by a collection of differential operators $\{D_{t_j}^E\}_{1 \leq j \leq n}$, which satisfies

$$\partial_{t_j} h^E(u, v) = h^E(D_{t_j}^E u, v) + h^E(u, \bar{\partial}_{t_j} v), \quad \forall u, v \in C^2(U, E),$$

where $\partial_{t_j} := \frac{\partial}{\partial t_j}$ and $\bar{\partial}_{t_j} := \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{t}_j}$ for any $1 \leq j \leq n$. For any $1 \leq j, k \leq n$, let $\Theta_{jk}^{(E, h^E)} := [D_{t_j}^E, \bar{\partial}_{t_k}]$ (Lie bracket), then the Chern curvature of (E, h^E) is given by

$$\Theta^{(E, h^E)} = \sum_{j, k=1}^n \Theta_{jk}^{(E, h^E)} dt_j \wedge d\bar{t}_k.$$

Definition 2.1. The curvature of (E, h^E) is said to be positive (resp. strictly positive) in the sense of Nakano if for any nonzero n -tuple $(u_1, \dots, u_n) \in C^\infty(U, E)$, we have

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^n h^E \left(\Theta_{jk}^{(E, h^E)} u_j, u_k \right) \geq 0 \text{ (resp. } > 0 \text{)}.$$

We need another simple lemma in the proof of Theorem 1.4.

Lemma 2.2 (see [7, Theorem (14.5), Chapter V]). Let (F, h) be a Hermitian holomorphic vector bundle over X , and let E, G be two holomorphic subbundles of F such that $F = E \oplus G$ and E is orthogonal to G , then the curvature of these bundles satisfies

$$\Theta^{(F, h)} = \Theta^{(E, h)} \oplus \Theta^{(G, h)}.$$

Although the above lemma is originally stated for finite rank bundles, it can be easily generalized to infinite rank bundles.

2.4. L^2 -estimates in complex analysis.

In this subsection, we collect some well known L^2 -estimates in complex analysis that will be used later.

Lemma 2.3 ([2, Lemma 1.6.4]). Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a pseudoconvex domain, let φ be a plurisubharmonic function on Ω , and let $\psi \in C^2(\Omega)$ be a strictly plurisubharmonic function. Then for any $\bar{\partial}$ -closed measurable $(0, 1)$ -form $f := \sum_{j=1}^n f_j d\bar{z}_j$ on Ω , we can solve $\bar{\partial}u = f$ with the estimate

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^2 e^{-\varphi - \psi} d\lambda \leq \int_{\Omega} \sum_{j,k=1}^n \psi^{j\bar{k}} f_j \bar{f}_k e^{-\varphi - \psi} d\lambda,$$

provided the right hand side is finite.

Lemma 2.4 ([4, Theorem 1]). Let $0 \in \Delta \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a bounded domain, let $g_{\Delta}(\cdot, z)$ be the (negative) Green function for Δ with pole at z , and let $\Omega \subset \Delta \times \mathbb{C}^n$ be a pseudoconvex domain. Then for any plurisubharmonic function φ on Ω , holomorphic function f on $H := \{(z_1, \dots, z_{n+1}) \in \Omega \mid z_1 = 0\}$, there exists $F \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ such that $F|_H = f$ and

$$\int_{\Omega} |F|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda \leq \frac{\pi}{(c_{\Delta}(0))^2} \int_H |f|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda,$$

provided the right hand side is finite, where

$$c_{\Delta}(0) := \exp(\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} (g_{\Delta}(z, 0) - \log |z|)).$$

A fundamental result about the L^2 -estimate of $\bar{\partial}$ for a Hermitian holomorphic vector bundle is the following, which is due to Hörmander and Demailly.

Lemma 2.5 ([7, Theorem 6.1, Chapter VIII]). Let X be a complete Kähler manifold with a Kähler metric ω which is not necessarily complete. Let (E, h^E) be a holomorphic vector bundle over X with a Nakano positive Hermitian metric h^E , and define the operator $A := i\Theta^{(E, h^E)} \wedge \Lambda_\omega$ acts on $\Lambda^{n, q} T^* X \otimes E$ (Λ_ω is the adjoint operator of the E -valued Lefschetz operator, $q \geq 1$). Then for any $v \in L^2(X, \Lambda^{n, q} T^* X \otimes E)$ satisfying $\bar{\partial}v = 0$, there exists $u \in L^2(X, \Lambda^{n, q-1} T^* X \otimes E)$ such that $\bar{\partial}u = v$ and

$$\int_X |u|_{h^E}^2 d\lambda(\omega) \leq \int_X \langle A^{-1}v, v \rangle_{h^E} d\lambda(\omega),$$

provided the right hand side is finite.

The following result of Deng-Ning-Wang-Zhou shows that the converse of the above Lemma also holds, and hence gives an equivalent integral form characterization of the curvature positivity of Hermitian holomorphic vector bundles.

Lemma 2.6 ([12, Theorem 1.1]). Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a bounded domain with the standard Kähler metric $\omega := i \sum_{j=1}^n dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_j$, (E, h) be a Hermitian holomorphic vector bundle over U with smooth Hermitian metric h , and let $\theta \in C^0(U, \wedge^{1,1} T^* U \otimes \text{End}(E))$ with $\theta^* = \theta$. If for any strictly plurisubharmonic function ψ on U and $v \in C_c^\infty(U, \wedge^{n,1} T^* U \otimes E)$ with $\bar{\partial}f = 0$ and $i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi \otimes \text{Id}_E + \theta > 0$ on $\text{supp}(v)$ (support of v), there is a measurable section u of $\wedge^{n,0} T^* U \otimes E$, satisfying $\bar{\partial}u = v$ and

$$(2.1) \quad \int_U |u|_h^2 e^{-\psi} d\lambda(z) \leq \int_U \langle B_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi, \theta}^{-1} v, v \rangle_h e^{-\psi} d\lambda(z),$$

provided that the right hand side is finite, then $i\Theta^E \geq \theta$ in the sense of Nakano, where

$$B_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi, \theta} := [i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi \otimes \text{Id}_E + \theta, \Lambda_\omega].$$

Let us also note that the following simple lemma.

Lemma 2.7 ([7, Theorem 5.2, Chapter VIII]). Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a pseudoconvex domain, then Ω is a complete Kähler manifold.

2.5. Curvature positivity of real vector bundles.

In this subsection, we give the definition of curvature positivity of a real vector bundle, which is similar to Definition 2.1.

Definition 2.2 ([18, Definition 2]). Let g be a C^2 Riemannian metric on the trivial vector bundle $E := D \times \mathbb{R}^r$ over an open subset $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, and let

$$\Theta_{jk}^{(E,g)} := -\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \left(g^{-1} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^j} \right), \quad 1 \leq j, k \leq n,$$

where differentiation should be interpreted elementwise. The curvature of (E, g) is said to be positive (resp. strictly positive) in the sense of Nakano if for any nonzero n -tuple $(u_1, \dots, u_n) \in C^\infty(U, \mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^n g(\Theta_{jk}^{(E,g)} u_j, u_k) \geq 0 \quad (\text{resp. } > 0).$$

2.6. Some basic knowledge from measure theory.

In this subsection, we give some basic knowledge from measure theory that will be used several times when we consider applications to convex analysis.

Definition 2.3. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be two measurable subsets, and let $\phi: U \rightarrow V$ be a measurable map. For any $A \subset V$ which is measurable, define $\mu(A) := |\phi^{-1}(A)|$, then μ is a measure on V , which is called the pushforward measure of the Lebesgue measure on U via ϕ .

Lemma 2.8. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be two measurable subsets, let $\phi: U \rightarrow V$ be a (Lebesgue) measurable map, and let μ be the pushforward measure of the Lebesgue measure on U via ϕ , then for any measurable function $f: V \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$, we have

$$\int_U f d\mu = \int_{\phi^{-1}(U)} f \circ \phi d\lambda$$

provided one side of the above exists.

Lemma 2.9. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open subset, $\phi: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a C^1 map, then for any integrable function f on $\phi(U)$, we know

$$\int_{\phi(U)} f(y) d\lambda(y) = \int_U f(\phi(x)) J_\phi(x) d\lambda(x),$$

where $J_\phi := |\det(D(\phi))|$ is the absolute value of the Jacobian of ϕ .

Combining the above two lemmas, we have the following

Corollary 2.10. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open subset, $\phi: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a C^1 map which is injective and has non-vanishing Jacobian on U , and let μ be the pushforward measure of the Lebesgue measure on U via ϕ , then the

Nadon-Nikodym derivative of μ with respect to the Lebesgue measure λ on V is

$$\frac{d\mu}{d\lambda} = J_{\phi}^{-1} \circ \phi^{-1}.$$

3. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In this section, we give the proof of Theorem 1.1. For convenience, we restate it here.

Theorem 3.1 (= Theorem 1.1). Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}_x^n$ be a convex domain, $V \subset \mathbb{C}_\tau^m$ be a pseudoconvex domain, and let $W_z := D_x + i\mathbb{R}_y^n \subset \mathbb{C}_z^n$. Let $\varphi(\tau, z)$, $\psi(\tau, z)$ be plurisubharmonic functions on $V \times W$, which are independent of $\text{Im}(z)$ for any $z \in W$. We assume that ψ is a C^2 , strictly plurisubharmonic function with respect to τ . Then for any $\bar{\partial}$ -closed measurable $(0, 1)$ -form $f := \sum_{j=1}^n f_j d\bar{\tau}_j$ in V , we can solve the equation $\bar{\partial}u = f$ in V with the estimate

$$\int_{V \times D} |u|^2 e^{-\varphi - \psi} d\lambda \leq \int_{V \times D} \sum_{j,k=1}^n \psi^{j\bar{k}} f_j \bar{f}_k e^{-\varphi - \psi} d\lambda,$$

provided the right hand side is finite, where $\left(\psi^{j\bar{k}}\right)_{n \times n} := \left(\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \tau_j \partial \bar{\tau}_k}\right)_{n \times n}^{-1}$.

PROOF. Let $\Omega := V \times W$. By the usual approximation technique, we may assume ψ is of class C^2 on Ω . Consider the map

$$\text{id} \times \phi: \mathbb{C}_\tau^m \times \mathbb{C}_z^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\tau^m \times (\mathbb{C}_w^*)^n, \quad (\tau, z_1, \dots, z_n) \rightarrow (\tau, e^{z_1}, \dots, e^{z_n}),$$

and let $\Omega' := (\text{id} \times \phi)(\Omega)$, then Ω' is a pseudoconvex domain. It is clear that ψ induces a C^2 , plurisubharmonic function ψ' on Ω' by

$$\psi'(\tau, e^{z_1}, \dots, e^{z_n}) = \psi(\tau, z_1, \dots, z_n),$$

and ψ' is strictly plurisubharmonic with respect to τ . Similarly, φ also induces a plurisubharmonic function φ' on Ω' . We may regard f as a $\bar{\partial}$ -closed $(0, 1)$ -form on Ω' . For any measurable subset $U \subset (\mathbb{C}_w^*)^n$, define

$$\mu(U) := |\{z \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid \phi(z) \in U, \text{Im}(z_1), \text{Im}(z_2), \dots, \text{Im}(z_n) \in (0, 2\pi)\}|,$$

then μ is a measure on $(\mathbb{C}_w^*)^n$, and by Corollary 2.10, we know

$$d\mu(w) = \frac{1}{|w_1|^2 \dots |w_n|^2} d\lambda(w).$$

By Lemma 2.8, we have

$$(3.1) \quad \int_{\Omega'} \sum_{j,k=1}^n (\psi')^{j\bar{k}} f_j \bar{f}_k e^{-\varphi' - \psi'} d\mu = (2\pi)^n \int_{V \times D} \sum_{j,k=1}^n \psi^{j\bar{k}} f_j \bar{f}_k e^{-\varphi - \psi} d\lambda < \infty.$$

Let $\varphi''(w) := \varphi'(w) + \sum_{j=1}^n \log |w_j|^2$ for any $w \in \Omega'$, then φ'' is a plurisubharmonic function on Ω' , and

$$e^{-\varphi'} d\mu(w) = e^{-\varphi''} d\lambda(w), \quad \forall w \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n.$$

By Lemma 2.3, we can solve $\bar{\partial}_{(\tau,w)} u = f$ on Ω' with the estimate

$$\int_{\Omega'} |u|^2 e^{-\varphi'' - \psi'} d\lambda \leq \int_{\Omega'} \sum_{j,k=1}^n (\psi')^{j\bar{k}} f_j \bar{f}_k e^{-\varphi'' - \psi'} d\lambda,$$

i.e.

$$(3.2) \quad \int_{\Omega'} |u|^2 e^{-\varphi' - \psi'} d\mu \leq \int_{\Omega'} \sum_{j,k=1}^n (\psi')^{j\bar{k}} f_j \bar{f}_k e^{-\varphi' - \psi'} d\mu,$$

Take u to be minimal, then u is independent of $\text{Im}(w)$ by the uniqueness of minimal solution. As f is independent of w , we know u is holomorphic in w , so u is independent of w . Thus, we can regard u as a function on V , then we have $\bar{\partial}u = f$. By Lemma 2.8 again, we have

$$(3.3) \quad \int_{\Omega'} |u|^2 e^{-\varphi' - \psi'} d\mu = (2\pi)^n \int_{V \times D} |u|^2 e^{-\varphi - \psi} d\lambda.$$

Combining Formulas (3.1), (3.2), (3.3), we complete the proof. $\square$

4. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

In this section, we give and prove a more general version of Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 4.1. Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}_x^n$ be a convex domain, $0 \in \Delta \subset \mathbb{C}_\tau$ be a bounded domain, and let $\varphi(\tau, z)$ be a plurisubharmonic function on $\Delta_\tau \times (D_x + i\mathbb{R}_y^n)$, which is independent of $\text{Im}(z)$. Then there exists a holomorphic function f on Δ satisfying $f(0) = 1$ and

$$\int_{\Delta \times D} |f(\tau)|^2 e^{-\varphi(\tau, x)} d\lambda(\tau, x) \leq \frac{\pi}{(c_\Delta(0))^2} \int_D e^{-\varphi(0, x)} d\lambda(x),$$

provided the right hand side is finite.

PROOF. Let $W_z := D_x + i\mathbb{R}_y^n \subset \mathbb{C}_z^n$, $\Omega := \Delta \times W$. Consider the map

$$\text{id} \times \phi: \mathbb{C}_\tau^m \times \mathbb{C}_z^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\tau^m \times (\mathbb{C}_w^*)^n, \quad (\tau, z_1, \dots, z_n) \rightarrow (\tau, e^{z_1}, \dots, e^{z_n}),$$

and let $\Omega' := (\text{id} \times \phi)(\Omega)$, then Ω' is a pseudoconvex domain. It is clear that φ induces a C^2 , plurisubharmonic function φ' on Ω' by

$$\varphi'(\tau, e^{z_1}, \dots, e^{z_n}) = \varphi(\tau, z_1, \dots, z_n).$$

Similar as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we may get a measure on $(\mathbb{C}_w^*)^n$ via ϕ which satisfies

$$d\mu(w) = \frac{1}{|w_1|^2 \cdots |w_n|^2} d\lambda(w), \quad \forall w \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n.$$

Let $\varphi''(w) := \varphi'(w) + \sum_{j=1}^n \log |w_j|^2$ for any $w \in \Omega'$, then φ'' is a plurisubharmonic function on Ω' , and

$$e^{-\varphi'} d\mu(w) = e^{-\varphi''} d\lambda(w).$$

Let $H := \Omega' \cap \{\tau = 0\} = \{0\} \times \pi(W)$, then by Lemma 2.8, we have

$$(4.1) \quad \int_H e^{-\varphi''(0,w)} d\lambda(w) = \int_H e^{-\varphi'(0,w)} d\mu(w) = (2\pi)^n \int_D e^{-\varphi(0,x)} d\lambda(x) < \infty$$

By Lemma 2.4, we know there is a holomorphic function f on Ω' such that $f|_H = 1$ and

$$(4.2) \quad \int_{\Omega'} |f(\tau, w)|^2 e^{-\varphi''(\tau, w)} d\lambda(\tau) d\lambda(w) \leq \frac{\pi}{(c_\Delta(0))^2} \int_H e^{-\varphi''(0, w)} d\lambda(w),$$

i.e.

$$(4.3) \quad \int_{\Omega'} |f(\tau, w)|^2 e^{-\varphi'(\tau, w)} d\lambda(\tau) d\mu(w) \leq \frac{\pi}{(c_\Delta(0))^2} \int_H e^{-\varphi'(0, w)} d\mu(w).$$

Take f to be minimal, then we know f is independent of the argument of w , and then f is independent of w as f is holomorphic in w . We may thus regard f as a holomorphic function on Δ , then $f(0) = 1$. By Lemma 2.8 again, we have

$$(4.4) \quad \int_{\Omega'} |f(\tau, w)|^2 e^{-\varphi'(\tau, w)} d\lambda(\tau) d\mu(w) = (2\pi)^n \int_{\Delta \times D} |f(\tau)|^2 e^{-\varphi(\tau, x)} d\lambda(\tau, x).$$

Therefore, the proof is complete by combining Formulas (4.1), (4.3), (4.4). $\square$

5. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

To prove Theorem 1.3, let us firstly recall the complexification of a real inner product. Let (V, g) be a finite dimensional real inner product space, and let $V_{\mathbb{C}} := V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ be the complexification of V , then we can extend g to a Hermitian inner product h on $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ by setting

$$h(x \otimes z, y \otimes w) = z\bar{w}g(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in V, \quad \forall z, w \in \mathbb{C}.$$

When we define a Hermitian inner product h as above, we always say g extends to a Hermitian inner product h for brevity.

Now we give the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Theorem 5.1 (= Theorem 1.3). Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a convex domain, and let (E, g) be a Nakano positive trivial vector bundle of finite rank r over D , then for any d -closed $f \in L^2(D, \Lambda^1 T^* D \otimes E)$, there exists $u \in L^2(D, E)$ such that

$$du = f, \quad \int_D |u|_g^2 d\lambda \leq \int_D \langle (\Theta^{(E,g)})^{-1} f, f \rangle_g d\lambda,$$

provided the right hand side is finite.

PROOF. Let $\Omega_z := D_x + i\mathbb{R}_y^n \subset \mathbb{C}_z^n$. Consider the map

$$\phi: \mathbb{C}_z^n \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}_w^*)^n, \quad (z_1, \dots, z_n) \mapsto (e^{z_1}, \dots, e^{z_n}),$$

and let $\Omega' := \phi(\Omega)$, then Ω' is a complete Kähler manifold with the canonical Kähler metric ω by Lemma 2.7. Similar as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we may get a measure on $(\mathbb{C}_w^*)^n$ via ϕ which satisfies

$$d\mu(w) = \frac{1}{|w_1|^2 \dots |w_n|^2} d\lambda(w), \quad \forall w \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n.$$

Let $E' := \Omega' \times \mathbb{C}^r$ be the trivial vector bundle over Ω' . We extend g to a Hermitian metric h on the trivial vector bundle $\Omega \times \mathbb{C}^r$ over Ω such that h is independent of $\text{Im}(z)$ for any $z \in \Omega$, then h induces a Hermitian metric h' on E' via ϕ . Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1, we know f induces a $\bar{\partial}$ -closed $(0, 1)$ -form $f' \in L^2(\Omega', \Lambda^{0,1} T^* \Omega' \otimes E')$. For any $w \in \Omega'$, we set

$$(h'')_w := \frac{1}{|w_1|^2 \dots |w_n|^2} (h')_w.$$

Since (E, g) is Nakano positive, then

$$A_{E'} := [i\Theta^{(E', h'')}, \Lambda_\omega] \geq 0 \text{ on } \Lambda^{0,1} T^* \Omega' \otimes E'$$

by a simple computation. By Lemma 2.8, we have

$$(5.1) \quad \int_{\Omega'} \langle A_{E'}^{-1} f', f' \rangle_{h''} d\lambda = \int_{\Omega'} \langle A_{E'}^{-1} f', f' \rangle_{h'} d\mu = (2\pi)^n \int_D \langle (\Theta^{(E,g)})^{-1} f, f \rangle_g d\lambda < \infty.$$

By Lemma 2.5, we may solve $\bar{\partial}u' = f'$ with the estimate

$$(5.2) \quad \int_{\Omega'} |u'|_{h''}^2 d\lambda \leq \int_{\Omega'} \langle A_{E'}^{-1} f', f' \rangle_{h''} d\lambda.$$

Take u' to be minimal, then u' is independent of $\text{Im}(w)$. For any $x \in D$, define $u(x) := u'(\pi(x))$, then $du = f$. By Lemma 2.8 again, we know

$$(5.3) \quad \int_{\Omega'} |u'|_{h''}^2 d\mu = (2\pi)^n \int_D |u|_g^2 d\lambda.$$

Thus, the desired result follows from Formulas (5.1), (5.2), (5.3). $\square$

6. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4

In this section, we will give the proof of Theorem 1.4. Let us first fix some notations and make some preparations.

In this section, we always let $j, k = 1, \dots, n$ represent the indices of the components of $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in U$, $p, q, s, a = 1, \dots, m$ represent the indices of the components of $z = (z_1, \dots, z_m) \in \mathbb{C}^m$, $\lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta, \gamma = 1, \dots, r$ represent the indices of the components of F . To simplify the notation, we always write dz for $dz_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dz_m$.

Write

$$D_{t_j}^F e_\lambda = \sum_{\mu} \Gamma_{j\lambda}^\mu dt_j \otimes e_\mu, \quad D_{z_p}^F e_\lambda = \sum_{\mu} \Gamma_{p\lambda}^\mu dz_p \otimes e_\mu,$$

and we also adopt the following notations

$$\begin{pmatrix} (A_{pq\lambda}^\alpha) & (A_{pj\lambda}^\alpha) \\ (A_{jp\lambda}^\alpha) & (A_{jk\lambda}^\alpha) \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} \left(-\frac{\partial \Gamma_{p\lambda}^\alpha}{\partial z_q} \right) & \left(-\frac{\partial \Gamma_{p\lambda}^\alpha}{\partial t_j} \right) \\ \left(-\frac{\partial \Gamma_{j\lambda}^\alpha}{\partial \bar{z}_p} \right) & \left(-\frac{\partial \Gamma_{j\lambda}^\alpha}{\partial t_k} \right) \end{pmatrix}, \quad h_{\lambda\mu}^F := h_{(t,z)}^F(e_\lambda, e_\mu),$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} (A_{pq\lambda\mu}) & (A_{pj\lambda\mu}) \\ (A_{jp\lambda\mu}) & (A_{jk\lambda\mu}) \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} \left(-\frac{\partial \Gamma_{p\lambda}^\alpha}{\partial \bar{z}_q} h_{\alpha\mu}^F \right) & \left(-\frac{\partial \Gamma_{p\lambda}^\alpha}{\partial t_j} h_{\alpha\mu}^F \right) \\ \left(-\frac{\partial \Gamma_{j\lambda}^\alpha}{\partial t_j} h_{\alpha\mu}^F \right)^* & \left(-\frac{\partial \Gamma_{j\lambda}^\alpha}{\partial t_k} h_{\alpha\mu}^F \right) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $*$ represents the conjugate of the transpose of a matrix. It is clear that

$$\Theta_{jk}^F = [D_{t_j}^F, \bar{\partial}_{t_k}] = \sum_{\lambda, \alpha} A_{jk\lambda}^\alpha e_\lambda^* \otimes e_\alpha,$$

where $e_1^*, \dots, e_r^*$ is the dual basis of $e_1, \dots, e_r$.

To prove Theorem 1.4, we need the following key lemma, which is a generalization of [3, Formula (3.1)].

Lemma 6.1. Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a pseudoconvex domain, and let $D \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ be a pseudoconvex bounded domain. let (F, h^F) be a Nakano positive trivial vector bundle of finite rank r defined on some neighborhood of the closure of $\Omega := U \times D$. Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$ be the canonical holomorphic frame of (F, h^F) . For any $t \in U$, set

$$E_t := \{f = \sum_{\lambda=1}^r f_\lambda \otimes e_\lambda \mid f_\lambda \in \mathcal{O}(D) \text{ for all } \lambda, \|f\|_t^2 < \infty\},$$

where

$$\|f\|_t^2 := \int_D \sum_{\lambda, \mu=1}^r f_\lambda(z) \overline{f_\mu(z)} h_{(t,z)}^F(e_\lambda, e_\mu) d\lambda(z),$$

and set $E := \cup_{t \in U} E_t$, then E is a holomorphic vector bundle (of infinite rank) over U with a Hermitian metric h given by

$$h_t^E(f, g) := \int_D \sum_{\lambda, \mu=1}^r f_\lambda(z) \overline{g_\mu(z)} h_{(t,z)}^F(e_\lambda, e_\mu) d\lambda(z), \quad \forall f, g \in E_t.$$

Then for any smooth sections $u_j := \sum_\lambda u_{j\lambda} dz \otimes e_\lambda$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$) of E , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j,k} h^E(\Theta_{jk}^E u_j, u_k) &\geq \int_D \sum_{j,k,\beta,\gamma} \left(A_{jk\beta\gamma} - \sum_{p,q,\lambda,\mu} B_{pq\lambda\mu} A_{jq\beta\mu} A_{pk\lambda\gamma} \right) u_{j\beta} \overline{u_{k\gamma}} d\lambda \\ &=: \int_D \sum_{j,k,\beta,\gamma} H(h^F)_{jk\beta\gamma} u_{j\beta} \overline{u_{k\gamma}} d\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

where B is uniquely determined by A and satisfies

$$\sum_{q,\beta} A_{pq\lambda\beta} B_{sq\alpha\beta} = \delta_{ps} \delta_{\lambda\alpha}.$$

PROOF. For any $t \in U$, set

$$G_t := \{u := \sum_\lambda u_\lambda dz \otimes e_\lambda \mid u_\lambda \in L^2(D) \text{ for all } \lambda\}$$

with an inner product h_t^G given by

$$h_t^G(u, v) := \int_{\Omega_t} \sum_{\lambda, \mu} u_\lambda(z) \overline{v_\mu(z)} h_{(t,z)}^F(e_\lambda, e_\mu) d\lambda(z), \quad \forall u, v \in G_t,$$

then $G := \cup_{t \in U} G_t$ can be viewed as a Hermitian holomorphic (trivial) vector bundle over U . Let $\pi: G \rightarrow E$ be the fiberwise orthogonal projection, and let $\pi_\perp$ be the orthogonal projection on the orthogonal complement of E in G . Put

$$w := \pi_\perp \left(\sum_j D_{t_j}^F u_j \right), \quad \|w\|_{h^F}^2 := \int_D h^F(w, w) d\lambda,$$

then we have

$$D^E = \pi \circ D^G$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j,k} h^E(\Theta_{jk}^E u_j, u_k) \\ &= \sum_{j,k} h^G(\Theta_{jk}^G u_j, u_k) - h^G \left(\pi_\perp \sum_j D_{t_j}^G u_j, \pi_\perp \sum_j D_{t_j}^G u_j \right) \\ &= \sum_{j,k} \int_D h^F(\Theta_{jk}^F u_j, u_k) d\lambda - \|w\|_{h^F}^2 \end{aligned}$$

for any smooth sections $u_1, \dots, u_n$ of E . For fixed $t \in U$, w solves the $\bar{\partial}_z$ -equation

$$\bar{\partial}_z w = \bar{\partial}_z \left(\pi_\perp \sum_j D_{t_j}^F u_j \right) = \bar{\partial}_z \left(\sum_j D_{t_j}^F u_j \right).$$

We will use Lemma 2.5 to give an estimate of $\|w\|_{h^F}^2$. By Lemma 2.7, we know D is a complete Kähler manifold. Let ω be the standard Kähler metric on D , and set $A := [i\Theta^{(F_t, h^F)}, \Lambda_\omega]$, then we know $A \geq 0$ as (F, h^F) is Nakano strictly positive. Set $v := (-1)^n \bar{\partial}_z w \in L^2(D, \wedge^{n,1} T^* D \otimes F_t)$, then v satisfies $\bar{\partial}_z v = 0$. By Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\|w\|_{h^F}^2 \leq \int_D \langle A^{-1} v, v \rangle_{h^F} d\lambda(\omega),$$

then

$$\sum_{j,k} h^E(\Theta_{jk}^E u_j, u_k) \geq \sum_{j,k} \int_D h^F(\Theta_{jk}^F u_j, u_k) d\lambda - \int_D \langle A^{-1} v, v \rangle_{h^F} d\lambda.$$

Now we need to compute the right hand side the above inequality. To do so, we need some simple but long computation.

It is clear that

$$\sum_{j,k} h^F(\Theta_{jk}^F u_j, u_k) = \sum_{j,k,\lambda,\mu} A_{jk\lambda}^\alpha u_{j\lambda} \overline{u_{k\mu}} h_{\alpha\mu}^F = \sum_{j,k,\lambda,\mu} A_{jk\lambda\mu} u_{j\lambda} \overline{u_{k\mu}},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} v &= \bar{\partial}_z((-1)^n \sum_j D_{t_j}^F u_j) = \sum_{j,\lambda,\mu} u_{j\lambda} \frac{\partial \Gamma_{j\lambda}^\mu}{\partial \bar{z}_p} dz \wedge d\bar{z}_p \otimes e_\mu \\ &= \sum_{j,\lambda,\mu} u_{j\mu} A_{jp\mu}^\lambda dz \wedge d\bar{z}_p \otimes e_\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Hence it suffices to prove that

$$\langle A^{-1} v, v \rangle_{h^F} = \sum_{j,k,p,q,\lambda,\mu,\beta,\gamma} B_{pq\lambda\mu} A_{jq\beta\mu} A_{pk\lambda\gamma} u_{j\beta} \overline{u_{k\gamma}}$$

for some B to be determined later.

For any

$$g := \sum_{p,\lambda} g_{p\lambda} dz \wedge d\bar{z}_p \otimes e_\lambda \in L^2(D, \wedge^{n,1} T^* D \otimes F_t),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} Ag &= i\Theta^{(F, h^F)}(\Lambda_\omega g) = \left(i \sum_{p, q, \lambda, \mu} A_{pq\lambda}^\mu dz_p \wedge d\bar{z}_q \otimes e_\lambda^* \otimes e_\mu \right) (\Lambda_\omega g) \\ &= \sum_{p, q, j, \lambda, \mu} A_{pq\lambda}^\mu g_{p\lambda} dz \wedge d\bar{z}_q \otimes e_\mu, \end{aligned}$$

where $e_1^*, \dots, e_r^*$ is the dual frame of $e_1, \dots, e_r$. Note that we may view A as a linear map T which satisfies

$$T(d\bar{z}_p \otimes e_\lambda) = \sum_{q, \mu} A_{pq\lambda}^\mu d\bar{z}_q \otimes e_\mu.$$

Since (F, h^F) is Nakano strictly positive, then for any nonzero matrices $u_{p\lambda}$, we have

$$\sum_{p, q, \lambda, \mu} A_{pq\lambda\mu} u_{p\lambda} \overline{u_{q\mu}} > 0,$$

and then T is invertible. Let T^{-1} be the inverse map of T , and write

$$T^{-1}(d\bar{z}_q \otimes e_\mu) = \sum_{p, \lambda} B_{pq\lambda}^\mu d\bar{z}_p \otimes e_\lambda,$$

then we have

$$d\bar{z}_p \otimes e_\lambda = T^{-1} \left(\sum_{q, \mu} A_{pq\lambda}^\mu d\bar{z}_q \otimes e_\mu \right) = \sum_{q, s, \alpha, \mu} A_{pq\lambda}^\mu B_{sq\alpha}^\mu d\bar{z}_s \otimes e_\alpha,$$

i.e.,

$$\sum_{q, \mu} A_{pq\lambda}^\mu B_{sq\alpha}^\mu = \delta_{ps} \delta_{\lambda\alpha}.$$

Let

$$B_{sq\alpha\beta} := \sum_{\mu} B_{sq\alpha}^\mu (h^F)^{\beta\mu},$$

where $((h^F)^{\beta\mu})_{1 \leq \beta, \mu \leq r}$ is the inverse matrix of $(h_{\beta\mu}^F)_{1 \leq \beta, \mu \leq r}$, then we have

$$\sum_{q, \beta} A_{pq\lambda\beta} B_{sq\alpha\beta} = \sum_{q, \mu} A_{pq\lambda}^\mu B_{sq\alpha}^\mu = \delta_{ps} \delta_{\lambda\alpha}.$$

Define linear maps

$$\begin{aligned} S_1(d\bar{z}_p \otimes e_\lambda) &= \sum_{q, \mu} A_{pq\lambda\mu} d\bar{z}_q \otimes e_\mu, \quad S_2(d\bar{t}_j \otimes e_\lambda) = \sum_{q, \mu} A_{jq\lambda\mu} d\bar{z}_q \otimes e_\mu, \\ S_3(d\bar{z}_p \otimes e_\lambda) &= \sum_{k, \mu} A_{pk\lambda\mu} d\bar{t}_k \otimes e_\mu, \quad S_4(d\bar{t}_j \otimes e_\lambda) = \sum_{k, \mu} A_{jk\lambda\mu} d\bar{t}_k \otimes e_\mu, \\ B(d\bar{z}_q \otimes e_\mu) &= \sum_{p, \lambda} B_{pq\lambda\mu} d\bar{z}_p \otimes e_\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

then we have

$$BS_1(d\bar{z}_p \otimes e_\lambda) = B \left(\sum_{q,\mu} A_{pq\lambda\mu} d\bar{z}_q \otimes e_\mu \right) = \sum_{q,s,\mu,\alpha} A_{pq\lambda\mu} B_{sq\alpha\mu} d\bar{z}_s \otimes e_\alpha = d\bar{z}_p \otimes e_\lambda,$$

so $B = S_1^{-1}$.

Let

$$v_{q\lambda} := \sum_{j,\mu} u_{j\mu} A_{jp\mu}^\lambda,$$

then we know

$$A^{-1}v = \sum_{p,q,\lambda,\mu} B_{pq\lambda}^\mu v_{q\mu} dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n \wedge d\bar{z}_p \otimes e_\lambda,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A^{-1}v, v \rangle_{h^F} &= \sum_{p,q,\lambda,\mu,\alpha} B_{pq\lambda}^\mu v_{q\mu} \overline{v_{p\alpha}} h_{\lambda\alpha}^F = \sum_{p,q,j,k,\lambda,\mu,\beta,\gamma} B_{pq\lambda}^\mu A_{jq\beta}^\mu \overline{A_{kp\gamma\lambda}} u_{j\beta} \overline{u_{k\gamma}} \\ &= \sum_{j,k,p,q,\lambda,\mu,\beta,\gamma} B_{pq\lambda\mu} A_{jq\beta\mu} A_{pk\lambda\gamma} u_{j\beta} \overline{u_{k\gamma}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the proof is complete. $\square$

Now we shall prove Theorem 1.4.

Theorem 6.2 (= Theorem 1.4). Let $\Omega \subset U \times \mathbb{C}^m$ be a strictly pseudoconvex family of bounded domains over $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, and let (F, h^F) be a Nakano positive trivial Hermitian vector bundle of finite rank r over some neighborhood of $\bar{\Omega}$ in $U \times \mathbb{C}^m$. We assume that all fibers Ω_t ($t \in U$) are (connected) circular domains in $\mathbb{C}^m$ containing the origin and $h_{(t,z)}^F$ is $\mathbb{S}^1$ -invariant with respect to z . Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$ be the canonical holomorphic frame of F . For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \in U$, set

$$E_t^k := \{f = \sum_{\lambda=1}^r f_\lambda \otimes e_\lambda \mid f_\lambda \in P^k \text{ for all } \lambda\}$$

with inner product h_t given by

$$h_t(f, g) := \int_{\Omega_t} \sum_{\lambda,\mu=1}^r f_\lambda(z) \overline{g_\mu(z)} h_{(t,z)}^F(e_\lambda, e_\mu) d\lambda(z), \quad \forall f, g \in E_t^k.$$

We set $E^k := \cup_{t \in U} E_t^k$ and view it as a (trivial) holomorphic vector bundle over U in a natural way, then the curvature of (E^k, h) is strictly positive in the sense of Nakano.

PROOF. Since Ω is strictly pseudoconvex with C^2 -boundary, there is a defining function ρ which is C^2 -smooth and strictly plurisubharmonic on some neighborhood of $\bar{\Omega}$ and $\mathbb{S}^1$ -invariant with respect to z . For any fixed $t_0 \in U$ and any $q > 0$, let $D := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid \rho(t_0, z) < q\}$, then there exists a neighborhood $U' \subset\subset U$ of t_0 and $0 < q < 1$ such that

- (1) ρ is defined, C^2 -smooth and strictly plurisubharmonic on some neighborhood of the closure of $U' \times D$;
- (2) (F, h^F) is defined and Nakano positive on some neighborhood of the closure of $U' \times D$;
- (3) let $p: \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ be the natural projection, then $p^{-1}(U') \cap \Omega \subset U' \times D$;
- (4) D is a pseudoconvex circular domain;
- (5) $\Omega_{t_0} \subset\subset D$.

Since the result to be proved is local, we may assume

$$U = U', \quad \Omega \subset U \times D =: \tilde{\Omega}, \quad \Omega_{t_0} \subset\subset D.$$

For any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\epsilon > 0$, we set

$$h_N^F := h^F e^{-N \max(\frac{1}{N^3}, \frac{1}{N^3})\{0, \rho\}}, \quad h_{N, \epsilon}^F := h_N^F e^{-\epsilon|t|^2 - \epsilon|z|^2},$$

where $\max_{(-, -)}$ is the regularized max function defined as in Lemma 2.1. It is clear that $(F, h_{N, \epsilon}^F)$ is Nakano strictly positive on some neighborhood of the closure of $\tilde{\Omega}$.

Let E be the trivial vector bundle over U as in Lemma 6.1. For any $t \in U$, $f, g \in E_t$, set

$$(h_N^E)_t(f, g) := \int_{\Omega_t} \sum_{\lambda, \mu=1}^r f_\lambda \bar{g}_\mu (h_N^F)_{(t, z)}(e_\lambda, e_\mu) d\lambda(z),$$

then h_N^E is a Hermitian metric on E . We may similarly define $h_{N, \epsilon}^E$ according to $h_{N, \epsilon}^F$. It is clear that E^k is a holomorphic subbundle of E (the metric on E^k is similar to that on E). Similar to the proof of [9, Lemma 3.1], by Lemma 6.1, we know for any sections $u_1, \dots, u_n$ of E^k , we have

$$(6.1) \quad \sum_{j, l} h_{N, \epsilon}^E \left(\Theta_{jl}^{(E^k, h_{N, \epsilon}^E)} u_j, u_l \right) \Big|_{t_0} \geq \int_D \sum_{j, l, \beta, \gamma} H(h_{N, \epsilon}^F)_{j l \beta \gamma}(t_0, z) u_{j \beta} \bar{u}_{l \gamma} d\lambda(z).$$

In fact, since D is a circular domain containing the origin, any $f \in \mathcal{O}(D)$ can be represented as a series

$$f = \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} f_j$$

that is convergent locally uniformly on D , where each f_j is a homogenous polynomial of degree j . For any $\mathbb{S}^1$ -invariant continuous bounded function ψ on D , and any homogenous polynomials g_j, g_l of degree j and l respectively, we have

$$\int_D g_j \bar{g}_l \psi d\lambda = 0, \quad \forall j \neq l.$$

It follows that, for any $t \in U$, an element f in the orthogonal complement $(E^k)_t^\perp$ of E_t^k in E_t has the form

$$f = \sum_{j \geq 0, j \neq k} f_j,$$

where each f_j is a homogeneous polynomial of degree j . Hence $(E^k)_t^\perp$ as a vector space is independent of the choice of the hermitian metric h^F and is also a holomorphic subbundle of E . By Lemma 6.1 and Lemma 2.2, we know Inequality (6.4) holds.

For any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $s > \frac{1}{N^2}$, set

$$d(z, \Omega_{t_0}) := \inf_{w \in \Omega_{t_0}} |z - w|, \quad \Omega_{t_0, s}^N := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^m \mid \frac{1}{N^2} < d(z, \Omega_{t_0}) < s\},$$

Fix an s such that $\Omega_{t_0, s}^N \subset\subset D$ for all $N \gg 1$. It is clear that

$$\max_{(\frac{1}{N^3}, \frac{1}{N^3})} \{0, \rho\} = \rho \text{ on } \Omega_{t_0, s}^N$$

for all $N \gg 1$, then we have

$$(6.2) \quad \int_{\Omega_{t_0, s}} N \sum_{j, l, \beta, \gamma} H(h_{N, \epsilon}^F)_{jl\beta\gamma} u_{j\beta} \bar{u}_{l\gamma} d\lambda \geq \int_{\Omega_{t_0, s}} \frac{N}{2} \sum_{j, l, \beta} H(\rho)_{jl} u_{j\beta} \bar{u}_{l\beta} e^{-N\rho} d\lambda$$

on $\Omega_{t_0, s}^N$ for all $N \gg 1$, where $H(\rho)_{jl} = \rho_{jl} - \sum_{p, q} \rho^{pq} \rho_{jq} \rho_{pl}$. The remaining is similar to the proof of Theorem 4.2 of [9]. We will first prove $i\Theta^{(E^k, h_N^E)} \geq \theta$ in the sense of Nakano for some θ , then by Lemma 2.6, we get $i\Theta_{(E^k, h)} \geq \theta$ whenever $N \rightarrow \infty$, which will completes the proof. The details is stated as follows.

By the assumption and the tube neighborhood theorem, it is clear that there are constants $\delta_0, \delta_1 > 0$ such that (in the following, whenever we say a number is a constant, we mean that it is a number that does not depend

on the sections of E and N, ϵ, s)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega_{t_0, s}} N \sum_{j, l, \beta} H(\rho)_{jl} u_{j\beta} \overline{u_{l\beta}} e^{-N\rho} d\lambda \geq \delta_0 \int_{\Omega_{t_0, s}} N \sum_{j, \beta} |u_{j\beta}|^2 e^{-N\rho} d\lambda \\
& \geq \delta_1 \int_{\zeta \in \partial\Omega_{t_0}} dS(\zeta) \int_{1/N^2}^s N \sum_{j, \beta} |u_{j\beta}(\zeta + \tau \mathbf{n}_\zeta)|^2 e^{-N\rho(\zeta + \tau \mathbf{n}_\zeta)} d\tau \\
& \geq \delta_1 \sum_{j, \beta} \int_{\zeta \in \partial\Omega_{t_0}} dS(\zeta) \inf_{1/N^2 \leq \tau \leq s} |u_{j\beta}(\zeta + \tau \mathbf{n}_\zeta)|^2 \int_{1/N^2}^s N e^{-N\rho(\zeta + \tau \mathbf{n}_\zeta)} d\tau \\
& \geq \delta_1 \sum_{j, \beta} \int_{\zeta \in \partial\Omega_{t_0}} dS(\zeta) \inf_{0 \leq \tau \leq s} |u_{j\beta}(\zeta + \tau \mathbf{n}_\zeta)|^2 \int_{1/N^2}^s N e^{-NT\tau} d\tau,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{n}_\zeta$ is the unit outward normal vector of $\partial\Omega_{t_0}$ at ζ , and $T > 0$ is a constant such that $\rho(\zeta + \tau \mathbf{n}_\zeta) \leq T\tau$ for all $\zeta \in \partial\Omega_{t_0}$ and $0 \leq \tau \leq s$. Since

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{1/N^2}^s N e^{-NT\tau} d\tau = \frac{1}{T} > 0,$$

then for N sufficiently large, we have

$$(6.3) \quad \int_{1/N^2}^s N e^{-NT\tau} d\tau \geq \frac{1}{2T}.$$

Combining Inequalities (6.4), (6.2) and (6.3), we know there is a constant $\delta_4 > 0$ such that, for any sections $u_1, \dots, u_n$ of E^k , we have

$$(6.4) \quad \sum_{j, l} h_{N, \epsilon}^E \left(\Theta_{jl}^{(E^k, h_{N, \epsilon}^E)} u_j, u_l \right) \Big|_{t_0} \geq \delta_4 \sum_{j, \beta} \int_{\partial\Omega_{t_0}} \inf_{0 \leq \tau \leq s} |u_{j\beta}(\zeta + \tau \mathbf{n}_\zeta)|^2 dS(\zeta).$$

Let $\epsilon \rightarrow 0+$, we get

$$(6.5) \quad \sum_{j, l} h_N^E \left(\Theta_{jl}^{(E^k, h_N^E)} u_j, u_l \right) \Big|_{t_0} \geq \delta_4 \sum_{j, \beta} \int_{\partial\Omega_{t_0}} \inf_{0 \leq \tau \leq s} |u_{j\beta}(\zeta + \tau \mathbf{n}_\zeta)|^2 dS$$

for N sufficiently large.

We claim that there is a constant $\delta_5 > 0$ such that, for any sections $u_1, \dots, u_n$ of E^k , we have

$$(6.6) \quad \sum_{j, \beta} \int_{\partial\Omega_{t_0}} \inf_{0 \leq \tau \leq s} |u_{j\beta}(\zeta + \tau \mathbf{n}_\zeta)|^2 dS \geq \delta_5 \sum_j h^E(u_j, u_j).$$

Without loss of generality, we only need to sections $u_1, \dots, u_n$ of E^k such that

$$\sum_{j, \beta} \int_{\Omega_{t_0}} |u_{j\beta}|^2 d\lambda = 1.$$

Since each $u_{j\beta}$ is a homogenous polynomial of degree k and Ω_{t_0} contains the origin, we may choose a constant $M_1 > 0$ and a large ball B with

$\overline{D} \subset B$ such that $\sum_{j,\beta} \int_B |u_{j\beta}|^2 d\lambda(z) \leq M_1$. By Cauchy's Inequality for holomorphic functions, there is a constant $M_2 > 0$ such that $\sum_j |du_j^2| \leq M_2$ on D , then it is obvious that

$$(6.7) \quad \sum_{j,\beta} \inf_{0 \leq \tau \leq s} |u_{j\beta}(\zeta + \tau \mathbf{n}_\zeta)|^2 \geq \sum_{j,\beta} |u_{j\beta}(\zeta)|^2 - nrsM_2$$

for all $\zeta \in \partial\Omega_{t_0}$. By Corollary 1.7 of [10], there is a constant $\delta_6 > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{j,\beta} \int_{\partial\Omega_{t_0}} |u_{j\beta}(\zeta)|^2 dS \geq \delta_6 \sum_{j,\beta} \int_{\Omega_{t_0}} |u_{j\beta}|^2 d\lambda = \delta_6.$$

Choose $N \gg 1$ enough large and $s > 0$ enough small, then by continuity, we know there exists a constant $\delta_7 > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{j,\beta} \int_{\Omega_{t_0}} |u_{j\beta}|^2 d\lambda - nrsM_2 \geq \delta_7 \sum_j h^E(u_j, u_j),$$

which completes the proof of Inequality (6.6).

By Inequality (6.5) and Inequality (6.7), there is a constant $\delta_8 > 0$ such that for N sufficiently large and all sections $u_1, \dots, u_n$ of E^k , we have

$$(6.8) \quad \sum_{j,l} h_N^E \left(\Theta_{jl}^{(E^k, h_N^E)} u_j, u_l \right) \Big|_{t_0} \geq \delta_8 \sum_j h^E(u_j, u_j).$$

In another word, if we take (where we will shrink U if necessary to guarantee δ_8 is a continuous function of t_0)

$$\theta := i\delta_8 \sum_j dt_j \wedge d\bar{t}_j \otimes \text{Id}_{E^k} \in C^0(U, \wedge^{1,1} T_U^* \otimes \text{End}(E^k)),$$

then we have $i\Theta^{(E^k, h_N^E)} \geq \theta$ in the sense of Nakano.

Now we prove $i\Theta^{(E^k, h^E)} \geq \theta$ in the sense of Nakano. Let ψ be a strictly plurisubharmonic function on U , and $f \in C_c^\infty(U, \wedge^{n,1} T_U^* \otimes E^k)$ satisfies $\bar{\partial}f = 0$ and

$$\int_U h^E \left(B_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi, \theta}^{-1} f, f \right) e^{-\psi} d\lambda < +\infty,$$

where $B_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi, \theta}$ is given as in Lemma 2.6. Then there exists a constant $M_3 > 0$ such that

$$\int_U h_N^E \left(B_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi, \theta}^{-1} f, f \right) e^{-\psi} d\lambda \leq M_3, \quad \forall N \gg 1.$$

By Lemma 2.5, for all $N \gg 1$, there are measurable sections u_N of $\wedge^{n,0} T_U^* \otimes E^k$ on U , such that $\bar{\partial}u_N = f$ and

$$\int_U h_N^E(u_N, u_N) e^{-\psi} d\lambda_t \leq \int_U h_N^E \left(B_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi, \theta}^{-1} f, f \right) e^{-\psi} d\lambda_t \leq M_3.$$

Since $h_N^E = h^E$ on Ω , we have

$$\int_U h^E(u_N, u_N) e^{-\psi} d\lambda \leq \int_U h_N^E(u_N, u_N) e^{-\psi} d\lambda \leq M_3, \quad \forall N \gg 1.$$

Since every bounded sequence in a Hilbert space has a weakly convergent subsequence, by taking a subsequence if necessary, we may assume u_N converges weakly to u , which is a measurable section of $\wedge^{n,0} T_U^* \otimes E^k$. Moreover we have $\bar{\partial}u = f$ in the sense of distribution and

$$\int_U h^E(u, u) e^{-\psi} d\lambda \leq \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_U h^E(u_N, u_N) e^{-\psi} d\lambda \leq M_3.$$

By Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem, we know

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_U h_N^E \left(B_{i\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}\psi, \theta}^{-1} f, f \right) e^{-\psi} d\lambda = \int_U h^E \left(B_{i\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}\psi, \theta}^{-1} f, f \right) e^{-\psi} d\lambda,$$

so we get

$$\int_U h^E(u, u) e^{-\psi} d\lambda \leq \int_U h^E \left(B_{i\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}\psi, \theta}^{-1} f, f \right) e^{-\psi} d\lambda.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.6 that $i\Theta^{(E^k, h)} \geq \theta$ in the sense of Nakano. In particular, the curvature of (E^k, h) is strictly positive in the sense of Nakano. $\square$

7. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.6

In this section, we give the proof of Theorem 1.6.

Theorem 7.1 (= Theorem 1.6). Let $D \subset U_0 \times \mathbb{R}^m$ be a strictly convex family of bounded domains (with connected fibers) over a domain $U_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, and let (F, g^F) be a trivial vector bundle of finite rank r defined on some neighborhood of $\bar{D}$. Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$ be the canonical frame of F . For any $t \in U_0$, set $E_t := \mathbb{R}^r$, with an inner product g_t^E given by

$$g_t^E(u, v) := \int_{D_t} \sum_{\lambda, \mu=1}^r u_\lambda v_\mu g_{(t,x)}^F(e_\lambda, e_\mu) d\lambda(x)$$

for all

$$u := (u_1, \dots, u_r) \in E_t, \quad v := (v_1, \dots, v_r) \in E_t.$$

We set $E := \cup_{t \in U_0} E_t$ and view it as a Riemann (trivial) vector bundle over U_0 , then the curvature of (E, g^E) is strictly positive in the sense of Nakano if (F, g^F) is Nakano positive on some neighborhood of $\bar{D}$.

PROOF. Consider the map

$$\phi: \mathbb{C}_z^m \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}_w^*)^m, (z_1, \dots, z_m) \mapsto (e^{z_1}, \dots, e^{z_m}).$$

Similar as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we may get a measure on $(\mathbb{C}_w^*)^n$ via ϕ which satisfies

$$d\mu(w) = \frac{1}{|w_1|^2 \dots |w_n|^2} d\lambda(w), \quad \forall w \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n.$$

Set $U := U_0 + i\mathbb{R}^n \subset \mathbb{C}_\tau^n$. For any $\tau \in U$, set

$$\Omega_\tau := D_{\text{Re}(\tau)} + i\mathbb{R}^m \subset \mathbb{C}_z^m, \quad (\Omega')_\tau := \phi(D_{\text{Re}(\tau)} + i\mathbb{R}^m) \subset (\mathbb{C}_w^*)^m,$$

then both $\Omega := \cup_{\tau \in U} \Omega_\tau$ and $\Omega' := \cup_{\tau \in U} (\Omega')_\tau$ are strictly pseudoconvex family of domains over U . It is clear that each Ω_τ is a (connected) Reinhardt domain for each $\tau \in U$. We extend g^E to a Hermitian metric $h^{E'}$ on the trivial vector bundle $E' := U \times \mathbb{C}^r$ over U such that $h^{E'}$ is independent of $\text{Im}(\tau)$ for any $\tau \in U$. We also extend g^F to a Hermitian metric h' on the trivial vector bundle $F' := \Omega \times \mathbb{C}^r$ over Ω such that h' is independent of $\text{Im}(\tau)$, $\text{Im}(z)$ for any $(\tau, z) \in \Omega$. h'' clearly induces a Hermitian metric h'' on the trivial vector bundle $F'' := \Omega' \times \mathbb{C}^r$. It is obvious that (F'', h'') is Nakano positive on some neighborhood of the closure of Ω' by assumption. Let

$$h_{(\tau, w)}'' := \frac{h_{(\tau, w)}''}{|w_1|^2 \dots |w_m|^2} \text{ for any } w \in \Omega_\tau \text{ and any } \tau \in U,$$

then (F'', h) is again Nakano positive on some neighborhood of the closure of Ω' , and $h_{(\tau, w)}$ is $\mathbb{T}^n$ -invariant with respect to w for any $w \in \Omega_\tau$ and any $\tau \in U$. Let $\{e_1'', \dots, e_r''\}$ be the canonical holomorphic frame of F'' .

For any $\tau \in U$, by Lemma 2.8, we have

$$h_\tau^{E'}(u, v) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^m} \int_{(\Omega')_\tau} \sum_{\lambda, \mu=1}^r u_\lambda \overline{v_\mu} h_{(\tau, w)}''(e_\lambda'', e_\mu'') d\lambda(w), \quad \forall u, v \in (E')_\tau.$$

By Theorem 1.5, we know $(E', h^{E'})$ is Nakano strictly positive on U . Therefore, (E, g^E) is also strictly positive in the sense of Nakano. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Berndtsson, *Prekopa's theorem and Kiselman's minimum principle for plurisubharmonic functions*, Math. Ann. 312, 785-792(1998)
- [2] B. Berndtsson, *L^2 -methods for the $\bar{\partial}$ -equation*, Kass University Press, Masthugget, Sisjön, 1995
- [3] B. Berndtsson, *Curvature of vector bundles associated to holomorphic fibrations*, Ann. of Math. (2) 169, 531-560(2009)
- [4] Z. Blocki, *Suita conjecture and the Ohsawa-Takegoshi extension theorem*, Invent. Math. 193, 149-158(2013)

- [5] H. J. Brascamp, E. H. Lieb, *On Extensions of the Brunn-Minkowski and Prékopa-Leindler Theorems, Including Inequalities for Log Concave Functions, and with an Application to Diffusion Equation*, Journal of Functional Analysis 22, 366-389(1976)
- [6] D. Cordero-Erausquin, *On matrix-valued log-concavity and related Prékopa and Brascamp-Lieb inequalities*, Advances in Mathematics 351, 96-116(2019)
- [7] J. P. Demailly, *Complex analytic and differential geometry*, electric book, available on the author's homepage
- [8] F. Deng, J. Hu, W. Jiang, *Curvature positivity of invariant direct images of Hermitian vector bundles*, Ann. Mat. Pure Appl. (4) 202, no. 2, 927-937(2023)
- [9] F. Deng, J. Hu, X. Qin, *Curvature strict positivity of direct image bundles associated to pseudoconvex families of domains*, Arxiv: 2301.00160 (2022)
- [10] F. Deng, W. Jiang, X. Qin, *$\bar{\partial}$ Poincaré inequality and an improved L^2 -estimate on bounded strictly pseudoconvex open subsets in $\mathbb{C}^n$* , preprint, Arxiv: 2401.15597(2024)
- [11] F. Deng, H. Zhang, X. Zhou, *Positivity of character subbundles and minimum principle for noncompact group actions*, Math. Z., 286, 431-442(2017)
- [12] F. Deng, J. Ning, Z. Wang, X. Zhou, *Positivity of holomorphic vector bundles in terms of L^p -properties of $\bar{\partial}$* , Math. Ann. 385, 575-607(2023)
- [13] Q. Guan, X. Zhou, *A solution of an L^2 extension problem with an optimal estimate and applications*, Ann. Math. (2) 181, No. 3, 1139-1208 (2015)
- [14] L. Hormander, *L^2 estimates and existence theorems for the $\bar{\partial}$ operator*, Acta Math. 113:89-152 (1965)
- [15] T. Inayama, *Optimal L^2 -extensions on tube domains and a simple proof of Prékopa's theorem*, Journal of Functional Analysis 32, 32(2022)
- [16] Q. Ji, X. Liu, G. Yu, *L^2 -estimate on p -convex Riemannian manifolds*, Adv. Math. 253, 234-280 (2014)
- [17] X. Qin, *Curvature formulas for vector bundles and curvature strict positivity of direct image bundles*, preprint, Arxiv: 2403.19152 (2024)
- [18] H. Raufi, *Log concavity for matrix-valued functions and a matrix-valued Prékopa theorem*, preprint, Arxiv: 1311.7343 (2013)
- [19] X. Wang, *A curvature formula associated to a family of pseudoconvex domains*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 67, no. 1, 269C313(2017)

FUSHENG DENG: SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, BEIJING 100049, P. R. CHINA

Email address: fshdeng@ucas.ac.cn

JINJIN HU: SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, BEIJING 100049, P. R. CHINA

Email address: hujinjin21@mails.ucas.ac.cn

WEIWEI JIANG: INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, ACADEMY OF MATHEMATICS AND SYSTEMS SCIENCE, CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, BEIJING 100190, P. R. CHINA

Email address: jiangweiwei@amss.ac.cn

XIANGSEN QIN: SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, BEIJING 100049, P. R. CHINA

Email address: qinxiangsen19@mails.ucas.ac.cn