

HOMOGENEOUS SPACES OF SEMIDIRECT PRODUCTS AND FINITE GELFAND PAIRS

TULLIO CECCHERINI-SILBERSTEIN, FABIO SCARABOTTI, AND FILIPPO TOLLI

ABSTRACT. Let $K \leq H$ be two finite groups and let $C \leq A$ be two finite abelian groups, with H acting on A as a group of isomorphisms admitting C as a K -invariant subgroup. We study the homogeneous space $X := (H \ltimes A) / (K \ltimes C)$ and determine the decomposition of the permutation representation of $H \ltimes A$ acting on X . We then characterize when this is multiplicity-free, that is, when $(H \ltimes A, K \ltimes C)$ is a Gelfand pair. If this is the case, we explicitly calculate the corresponding spherical functions. From our general construction and related analysis, we recover Dunkl's results on the q -analog of the nonbinary Johnson scheme.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries and notation	3
3. The homogeneous space	5
4. A decomposition of the permutation representation	9
5. An intermediate basis	18
6. The spherical functions	23
7. The group theoretical structure of the q -analog of the nonbinary Johnson scheme	31
8. Spherical functions for the q -analog of the nonbinary Johnson scheme	42
9. Open problems	52
References	54

1. INTRODUCTION

Finite Gelfand pairs and their spherical functions constitute a useful tool in many areas of pure and applied mathematics, such as Algebraic Combinatorics [1, 2], the theory of Discrete Orthogonal Polynomials [18, 27], Probability and Statistics [5, 7, 15], Number Theory [29], Finite Geometries and Design Theory [25], and Symmetric Functions [22]. Furthermore, Okounkov and Vershik [23] (see also [10]) used methods from the theory of finite Gelfand pairs in order to give a new approach to the representation theory of the symmetric groups. Several fundamental examples of finite Gelfand pairs were constructed

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20C15, 20G40, 33C55, 43A90, 20E22.

Key words and phrases. Multiplicity-free representation, Gelfand pair, q -analog of the nonbinary Johnson scheme, spherical function, q -Hahn polynomial, q -Krawtchouk polynomial.

by means of suitable actions of linear groups over finite fields in a series of papers of Delsarte, Dunkl, and Stanton. A survey on these examples with the description of the associated spherical functions is [27], while the point of view of association schemes is well exposed in the celebrated monograph [1], now available also in the new expanded edition [2]. An introduction to this subject is [7, Chapter 8], while a further fundamental example, the finite upper half-plane, is exposed in Terras' monograph [29, Chapter 19].

Recall that if G is a finite group and $K \leq G$ is a subgroup, then (G, K) is a finite Gelfand pair when the permutation representation of G acting on the homogeneous space $X := G/K$ is multiplicity-free, that is, it decomposes into pairwise inequivalent irreducible sub-representations. Actually, in many cases of “low rank” Gelfand pairs (cf. [3, 4] for the definition of “rank” in the finite case) the following phenomenon occurs: the permutation representation of K on each of its orbits in X is multiplicity-free, and this was a key fact in Dunkl's derivation of addition formulas for orthogonal polynomials (see [18]). In particular, this happens in the so-called q -Johnson scheme: here, we have $G = \mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{F}_q^n)$, the general linear group of $n \times n$ matrices with coefficients in a finite field with q elements, X is the Grassmannian variety of all m -dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n , $0 \leq m \leq n/2$, and $K = (\mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{F}_q^m) \times \mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{F}_q^{n-m})) \ltimes \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_q^{n-m}, \mathbb{F}_q^m)$ is the stabilizer of an m -dimensional subspace. In [17, Section 4.5] Dunkl showed that the action of K on each of its orbits gives rise to a multiplicity-free permutation representation, and computed the corresponding spherical functions (actually, he computed a more general set of invariant functions). He got this results by means of a formidable set of detailed computations involving actions on subspaces and on linear operators. During his visit in Rome in February 2023, Eiichi Bannai suggested us to look at these calculations: we then decided to develop a general theory in order to get a better understanding of the framework. The present paper is devoted to a presentation of the outcomes.

In Section 2, we establish the basic notation. In Section 3, we describe a general construction that includes Dunkl's example. Here, we have two finite groups $K \leq H$, two finite abelian groups $C \leq A$ (written additively), with H acting on A as a group of isomorphisms admitting C as a K -invariant subgroup. We then study the homogeneous space $X := (H \ltimes A) / (K \ltimes C)$. In Section 4 we obtain the decomposition of the permutation representation of $H \ltimes A$ acting on X and we characterize, in a representation theoretical way, when it is multiplicity-free, that is, when $(H \ltimes A, K \ltimes C)$ is a Gelfand pair. The key tool is the Mackey-Wigner little group method, to which we have devoted an entire book [14]. Then, in Section 5, we introduce a suitable vector basis (called an intermediate basis) of the space of all $(K \ltimes C)$ -invariant functions on X , made up of sums of characters of abelian groups. These correspond to the q -Krawtchouk polynomials in Dunkl's calculations. In Section 6, we compute the spherical functions under the assumption that $(H \ltimes A, K \ltimes C)$ is a Gelfand pair; one tricky point is to specify their values on the $(K \ltimes C)$ -orbits. Section 7 is devoted to Dunkl's example and it is mainly an exposition, in the framework of the general construction presented in Section 3, of the homogeneous space studied by Dunkl in [17, Section 4]. We also adopt an approach that is both coordinate-free and characteristic-free: we use linear operators and direct sum decompositions in place of matrices and vector

space bases (as in Dunkl's paper) and almost all results are formulated for vector spaces over an arbitrary field. In Section 8, we apply the results in Sections 4, 5, and 6 to the homogeneous space described in Section 7 when the field is finite, and we compute the corresponding spherical representations and spherical functions, recovering Dunkl's results in a very different way. In particular, we show how the spherical representations can be described by means of induced representations and the Mackey-Wigner method. In the final section, we collect some open problems related to possible further generalizations of our main construction.

Dunkl's example, that we analyze in Sections 7 and 8, may be considered a q -analog of the nonbinary Johnson scheme. Indeed, the Johnson scheme coincides with the homogeneous space $S_n/(S_k \times S_{n-k})$, that is, the set of all k -subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, while the nonbinary Johnson scheme ([28], [7, Section 8.8]) is the $S_m \wr S_n$ -homogeneous space made up of all pairs (A, f) where A is a k -subset of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $f: A \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ is a map. Similarly, in Section 7, we fix a direct sum decomposition $V \equiv \mathbb{F}_q^n = V_0 \oplus V_1$, where V_0 is the m -dimensional subspace stabilized by K , and, for $0 \leq r \leq m$ and $0 \leq s \leq n - m$, the K -homogeneous space X is made up of all triples (U_0, U_1, Ψ) , where U_0 is an r -dimensional subspace of V_0 , U_1 is an s -dimensional subspace of V_1 , and $\Psi \in \text{Hom}(U_1, V_0/U_0)$. These triples are used to parameterize the subspaces U of \mathbb{F}_q^n such that $\dim U = r + s$ and $\dim(U \cap V_0) = r$. Moreover, for r and s fixed, the set of all such subspaces constitutes an orbit of K .

These homogeneous spaces were also studied, from the point of view of the theory of association schemes, in several papers: Wang, Guo, and Li in [30] proved that these are symmetric association schemes (this is essentially our Corollary 7.11) and in [31] they determined the parameters of this association scheme in the case $r = 0$; Kurihara in [21], again in the case $r = 0$, following a method developed by Tarnanen, Aaltonen and Goethals in [28] (which in turn depends on Dunkl's calculations for the nonbinary Johnson scheme in [16]), computes the character table of the association scheme.

In our previous paper [6, Section 6], we developed a far-reaching generalization of the nonbinary Johnson scheme, that includes, as particular cases, several previously studied examples of finite Gelfand pairs. Similarly, the results in Sections 3, 4, 5, and 6 of the present paper may be considered a further development of those in [6, Section 5]. The particular case $K = H$ and $C = \{0_A\}$ is also studied in the recent paper [19, Section 4].

In the present paper, the notation is often quite cumbersome but this is necessary because we have to take care, at almost every step of the proofs, of several actions or representations of groups or subgroups and of various operations on representations.

2. PRELIMINARIES AND NOTATION

In this paper, all groups are finite and all of their representations are unitary and finite dimensional.

Let G be a group.

We denote by ι_G the trivial representation of G . If (σ, U) and (θ, W) are equivalent G -representations, we then write $\sigma \sim \theta$ and/or $U \simeq W$ (\sim for the representations, \simeq for their spaces).

For a finite set X , we denote by $L(X)$ the vector space of all complex valued functions on X . Also, in order to avoid unnecessary heavy notation, given an action of G on a set X (e.g., a group representation), when the action is clear from the context, we shall often simply write gx to denote the image of the point $x \in X$ under the group element $g \in G$. If G acts on X , the associated *permutation representation* is the representation of G on $L(X)$ defined by setting $[gf](x) := f(g^{-1}x)$, for all $x \in X$, $g \in G$, and $f \in L(X)$. When $X = G$, the above is the *left regular representation* of G . Alternatively, fixing a point $x_0 \in X$ and denoting by $K := \{g \in G : gx_0 = x_0\}$ the G -stabilizer of x_0 , we may identify X with the space G/K , of all left cosets of K in G , and $L(X)$ with the vector space $L^K(G) := \{f \in L(G) : f(gk) = f(g) \text{ for all } k \in K \text{ and } g \in G\}$ of all right K -invariant complex functions on G . Note that $L^K(G)$ is invariant under the left regular representation of G . Explicitly, a natural equivalence $L(X) \simeq L^K(G)$ (thus, between the permutation representation and the restriction of the left regular representation to the vector subspace of all K -invariant functions) is given by the map

$$(2.1) \quad L(X) \ni f \mapsto \overset{\Delta}{f} \in L(G/K), \quad \text{where} \quad \overset{\Delta}{f}(g) := f(gx_0), \quad g \in G.$$

If $K \leq G$ is a subgroup and (σ, V) is a (unitary) K -representation, then the associated *induced representation* is the G -representation $(\text{Ind}_K^G \sigma, \text{Ind}_K^G V)$ where $\text{Ind}_K^G V$ is the vector space made up of all functions $f: G \rightarrow V$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad f(gk) = \sigma(k^{-1})f(g),$$

for all $g \in G$ and $k \in K$, and $\text{Ind}_K^G \sigma$ is given by

$$[gf](h) := f(g^{-1}h)$$

for all $g, h \in G$.

Remark 2.1. In the notation above, if we fix $g \in G$, then setting $[{}^g\sigma](s) := \sigma(g^{-1}sg)$, $s \in gKg^{-1}$, defines a representation ${}^g\sigma$ of the conjugate subgroup gKg^{-1} on the same space V . Then $\text{Ind}_{gKg^{-1}}^G ({}^g\sigma)$ is equivalent to $\text{Ind}_K^G \sigma$. In order to get a concrete equivalence, define $\rho(g): \text{Ind}_K^G V \rightarrow \text{Ind}_{gKg^{-1}}^G V$ by setting $[\rho(g)f](h) := f(hg)$, for all $g, h \in G$ and $f \in \text{Ind}_K^G V$. Indeed, for $h \in G$ and $s = gkg^{-1} \in gKg^{-1}$, we have

$$[\rho(g)f](hs) = f(g_0sg) = f(hgk) = \sigma(k^{-1})f(hg) = [{}^g\sigma](s^{-1})[\rho(g)f](h),$$

so that $\rho(g)f$ really belongs to $\text{Ind}_{gKg^{-1}}^G V$. It is obvious that setting $\lambda := \text{Ind}_K^G \sigma$ and $\lambda' := \text{Ind}_{gKg^{-1}}^G {}^g\sigma$ we have $\lambda'(h)\rho(g) = \rho(g)\lambda(h)$, for all $h \in G$, so that $\rho(g)$ yields the desired equivalence.

If A is a (finite) abelian group then \hat{A} denotes its dual group, which is made up of all characters of A (these are the group homomorphisms $\chi: A \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ of A into the circle group $\mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\} \cong \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$). If $\chi \in \hat{A}$, then $\bar{\chi}$ denotes the conjugate of χ .

If X is a finite set then $\text{Sym}(X)$ denotes the symmetric group on X , that is, the group of all permutations of X .

The symbols \sqcup and \bigsqcup will denote disjoint unions.

We will use the symbol \cong to denote group isomorphisms and \simeq to denote isomorphisms of vector spaces.

The symbol $:=$ will be systematically used to denote definitions, and \equiv for obvious identities.

If V and W are finite dimensional vector spaces, we denote by $\text{Hom}(V, W)$ the vector space of all linear maps from V to W . When $W = V$ we set $\text{End}(V) := \text{Hom}(V, V)$. For $S \in \text{Hom}(V, W)$ we denote by $\text{rk}(S) := \dim \text{Im}(S)$ its rank and, for $0 \leq r \leq \dim(W)$ denote by $\text{Hom}_r(V, W) := \{S \in \text{Hom}(V, W) : \text{rk}(S) = r\}$ the subset of all linear maps of rank r .

3. THE HOMOGENEOUS SPACE

Let H be a finite group and let A be a finite abelian group (written additively). Suppose we are given an action H on A by automorphisms. Thus we have $h(a + a') = ha + ha'$, $h(h'a) = (hh')a$, and $1_H a = a$, for all $h, h' \in H$ and $a, a' \in A$. We can form the semidirect product $H \ltimes A$ by defining the product law by setting (cf. [11, Definition 1.3.12], [12, Definition 8.14.2], and [14, Definition 1.6]):

$$(3.1) \quad (h, a)(h', a') := (hh', a + ha')$$

for all $h, h' \in H$ and $a, a' \in A$. The inverse of an element (h, a) is then given by

$$(3.2) \quad (h, a)^{-1} = (h^{-1}, -h^{-1}a).$$

Note also that the action of H on A becomes conjugation in $H \ltimes A$:

$$(3.3) \quad (h, 0_A)(1_H, a)(h, 0_A)^{-1} = (1_H, ha)$$

for all $h \in H$ and $a \in A$.

Suppose now that H acts transitively on a set Y . We shall then refer to Y as to a H -homogeneous space. Let also $(B_y)_{y \in Y}$ be a family of pairwise isomorphic finite abelian groups (written additively) indexed by the finite set Y . For $h \in H$ and $y \in Y$, we fix a group isomorphism

$$(3.4) \quad h: B_y \rightarrow B_{hy}.$$

Thus, (3.4) is a bijection satisfying $h(b + b') = hb + hb'$ for all $b, b' \in B_y$. We also assume that $(hh')b = h(h'b)$ and $1_H b = b$ for all $h, h' \in H$ and $b \in B_y$. The final assumption is the following: for every $y \in Y$ we are given a surjective group homomorphism $\pi_y: A \rightarrow B_y$ such that

$$(3.5) \quad h\pi_y = \pi_{hy}h \quad \text{for all } y \in Y \text{ and } h \in H.$$

Note that the h on the left (resp. right) denotes the map 3.4 (resp. the action of H on A). In particular, if $y_0 \in Y$ and $K \leq H$ denotes its stabilizer, then

$$(3.6) \quad k\pi_{y_0} = \pi_{y_0}k \quad \text{for all } k \in K,$$

and $kb \in B_{y_0}$ for all $k \in K$ and $b \in B_{y_0}$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $X := \{(y, b) : y \in Y, b \in B_y\}$ and set*

$$(3.7) \quad (h, a)(y, b) := (hy, \pi_{hy}(a) + hb)$$

for all $(h, a) \in H \ltimes A$ and $(y, b) \in X$. Then (3.7) defines an action of $H \ltimes A$ on X . Moreover, if y_0 and K are as in (3.6) then $C := \text{Ker}(\pi_{y_0})$ is a K -invariant subgroup of A and the stabilizer of $(y_0, 0_{B_{y_0}})$ is $K \ltimes C$. Finally,

$$(3.8) \quad \text{Ker}(\pi_{hy_0}) = hC \quad \text{for all } h \in H.$$

Proof. Let $(h, a), (h', a') \in H \ltimes A$ and $(y, b) \in X$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (h, a)[(h', a')(y, b)] &= (h, a)(h'y, \pi_{h'y}(a') + h'b) && \text{(by (3.7))} \\ &= ((h(h'y), \pi_{h(h'y)}(a) + h(\pi_{h'y}(a') + h'b)) && \text{(by (3.7))} \\ &= (hh'y, \pi_{hh'y}(a) + h\pi_{h'y}(a') + hh'b) \\ &= ((hh')y, \pi_{(hh')y}(a + ha') + (hh')b) && \text{(by (3.5))} \\ &= (hh', a + ha')(y, b) && \text{(by (3.7))} \\ &= [(h, a)(h', a')](y, b) && \text{(by (3.1)).} \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $(1_H, 0_A)(y, b) = (y, b)$. The K -invariance of C follows from (3.6). Also, $(h, a)(y_0, 0_{B_{y_0}}) \equiv (hy_0, \pi_{hy_0}(a))$ is equal to $(y_0, 0_{B_{y_0}})$ if and only if $h \in K$ and $a \in C$. Finally, from (3.5) it follows that $\pi_{hy_0} = h\pi_{y_0}h^{-1}$, and this yields (3.8), \square

In other words, X corresponds to the homogeneous space $(H \ltimes A) / (K \ltimes C)$.

Remark 3.2. The above construction can be reversed as follows. Suppose that H, A, Y, y_0, K are as above and that $C \leq A$ is a K -invariant subgroup of A . For $y \in Y$, let $h \in H$ such that $hy_0 = y$ and set $B_y := A/(hC)$ (cf. (3.8)). Then define $h' : B_y \rightarrow B_{h'y}$ by setting $h'(a + hC) := h'a + h'hC$, for all $h' \in H$ and $a \in A$, and $\pi_y : A \rightarrow B_y$ by setting $\pi_y(a) := a + hC$, for all $a \in A$. It is then easy to check that we get a system with all the above properties.

Let Z be a complete set of representatives for the orbits of K on Y (so that $y_0 \in Z$). Let $z \in Z$. Then the set $\sqcup_{y \in Kz} B_y$ is K -invariant (with respect to (3.4)). Moreover, if K_z denotes the stabilizer of z in K , then B_z is K_z -invariant: we denote by Ψ_z a complete set of representatives for the orbits of K_z on B_z . It follows that $\{(z, d) : z \in Z, d \in \Psi_z\}$ constitutes a set of representatives for the orbits of K on X . Indeed, for all $y \in Kz$ and $b \in B_y$ there exists $k \in K$ such that $kz = y$ and therefore (3.7) yields $(k^{-1}, 0_A)(y, b) = (z, k^{-1}b)$, so that (y, b) is in the K -orbit of $(z, k^{-1}b)$ with $k^{-1}b \in B_z$. If $d \in \Psi_z$ is the representative of the K_z -orbit of the element $k^{-1}b \in B_z$, we can find $k_z \in K_z$ such that $k_z d = k^{-1}b$. Setting $k' := kk_z \in K$, we then have $(k', 0_A)(z, d) = (y, b)$.

By virtue of (3.5), B_z is also $K_z \ltimes C$ -invariant, and we may thus select a complete set $\Psi_z^0 \subset \Psi_z$ of representatives for the $K_z \ltimes C$ -orbits on B_z . This way, we have that

$$(3.9) \quad \Gamma_0 := \{(z, d) : z \in Z, d \in \Psi_z^0\}$$

is a complete set of representatives for the orbits of $K \ltimes C$ on X . Clearly, the action of C on $B = B_{y_0}$ is trivial and therefore $\Psi_{y_0} \equiv \Psi_{y_0}^0$. Moreover, $(y_0, 0_{B_{y_0}}) \in \Gamma_0$. Finally, by [12, Proposition 10.4.12],

$$X \times X = \bigsqcup_{(z,d) \in \Gamma_0} \{((hz, \pi_{hz}(a) + hd), (hy_0, \pi_{hy_0}(a))) : h \in H, a \in A\}$$

is the decomposition of $X \times X$ into the $H \ltimes A$ -diagonal orbits.

From (3.5) it follows that $\pi_z(C)$ is a K_z -invariant subgroup of B_z and therefore we may consider the natural action of K_z on $B_z/\pi_z(C)$ defined by setting $k(b + \pi_z(C)) := kb + \pi_z(C)$, for all $k \in K_z$ and $b \in B_z$. We denote by $K_{z,b}$ the stabilizer of $b + \pi_z(C)$ in K_z , that is, $K_{z,b} := \{k \in K_z : kb \in b + \pi_z(C)\}$.

Lemma 3.3. *The cardinality of the $(K \ltimes C)$ -orbit containing $(z, d) \in \Gamma_0$ is given by*

$$(3.10) \quad \frac{|K| \cdot |C|}{|K_{z,d}| \cdot |hC \cap C|}$$

where $h \in H$ is such that $hy_0 = z$.

Proof. From (3.7) we deduce that, for $(z, d) \in \Gamma_0$ and $(k, c) \in K \ltimes C$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (k, c)(z, d) = (z, d) &\iff kz = z \text{ and } \pi_z(c) + kd = d, \\ &\iff k \in K_{z,d} \text{ and } \pi_z(c) = d - kd, \end{aligned}$$

so that the stabilizer of (z, d) in $K \ltimes C$ is equal to

$$(K \ltimes C)_{(z,d)} := \{(k, c) \in K_{z,d} \ltimes C : \pi_z(c) = d - kd\}.$$

Note also that if $(k, c') \in (K \ltimes C)_{(z,d)}$ and $c \in C$ then (k, c) is in $(K \ltimes C)_{(z,d)}$ if and only if $c - c' \in \text{Ker } \pi_z \cap C = hC \cap C$, with $h \in H$ such that $hy_0 = z$ (cf. (3.8)). In other words, for each $k \in K_{z,d}$ there exist $|C \cap hC|$ elements $c \in C$ such that $(k, c) \in (K \ltimes C)_{(z,d)}$ and therefore the cardinality $\frac{|K \ltimes C|}{|(K \ltimes C)_{(z,d)}|}$ of the $(K \ltimes C)$ -orbit containing $(z, d) \in \Gamma_0$ is equal to (3.10). \square

Example 3.4. We now examine some particular cases of our construction.

- (1) If $K = \{1_H\}$ (so that $Y = H$), then every $C \leq A$ is K -invariant but, in general, it is not normal in $H \ltimes A$ (cf. (3.3)). Moreover, $B_h = A/hC$, for all $h \in H$, and $X = \{(h, b) : h \in H, b \in B_h\}$.
- (2) If $K = H$ (so that $Y = \{y_0\}$) and $C \leq A$ is H -invariant, then by (3.3) it is actually normal in $H \ltimes A$. Moreover, $X \equiv B = A/C$ with the action $(h, a)b = hb + \pi(a)$, where $\pi : A \rightarrow B$ is the quotient homomorphism.
- (3) If $C = A$ and K is arbitrary, then $X \equiv Y$ as an $H \ltimes A$ -homogeneous space, with A acting trivially.
- (4) If $C = \{0_A\}$ and K is arbitrary, then $X = Y \times A$ with the action of $H \ltimes A$ given by $(h, a)(y, a') = (hy, a + ha')$, for $a, a' \in A$, $y \in Y$, and $h \in H$.

Remark 3.5. Our target is to study the permutation representation of $H \ltimes A$ on $L(X)$. This is quite a hard problem which includes the following important cases. (i) For $K = \{1_H\}$ and $C = \{0_A\}$ (so that $X = H \ltimes A$) it corresponds with the whole representation theory of $H \ltimes A$, which is given by the little group method (we shall recall it in Section 4). (ii) For $A = C = \{0_A\}$ it corresponds to the study of the permutation representation of H on $L(H/K)$. In the general case, we need to develop a sort of interpolation between cases (i) and (ii).

For future reference, we explicitly express the representation of $H \ltimes A$ on $L(X)$: from (3.2), (3.7), and (3.5) it follows that, for $f \in L(X)$, $(y, b) \in X$, and $(h, a) \in H \ltimes A$, then

$$(3.11) \quad [(h, a)f](y, b) = f(h^{-1}y, -h^{-1}\pi_y(a) + h^{-1}b).$$

Recall that $((H \ltimes A), (K \ltimes C))$ is a symmetric Gelfand pair (cf. [7, Section 4.3]) if for all choices of $h \in H$ and $a \in A$ there exist $k_1, k_2 \in K$ and $c_1, c_2 \in C$ such that

$$(h^{-1}, -h^{-1}a) \equiv (h, a)^{-1} = (k_1, c_1)(h, a)(k_2, c_2) \equiv (k_1 h k_2, c_1 + k_1 a + k_1 h c_2)$$

that is

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{cases} h^{-1} = k_1 h k_2 \\ -h^{-1}a = k_1 h c_2 + k_1 a + c_1. \end{cases}$$

Note that the first condition is satisfied if and only if (H, K) is a symmetric Gelfand pair.

Alternatively (cf. [7, Lemma 4.3.4]), $(H \ltimes A, K \ltimes C)$ is a symmetric Gelfand pair if and only if for all $(y, b), (y', b') \in Y$ one has that $((y, b), (y', b'))$ and $((y', b'), (y, b))$ belong to the same orbit under the diagonal action of $H \ltimes A$ on $X \times X$, that is, if and only if there exist $h \in H$ and $a \in A$ such that $(h, a)(y, b) = (y', b')$ and $(h, a)(y', b') = (y, b)$, i.e.,

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{cases} hy = y' \text{ and } \pi_{hy}(a) + hb = b' \\ hy' = y \text{ and } \pi_{hy'}(a') + hb' = b. \end{cases}$$

We now present two examples, that will be further discussed in Section 4, in order to clarify some tricky points connected with the action of H on the dual of A .

Example 3.6. Let H be a group acting transitively on a finite set Z and let D be a finite abelian group. Then the corresponding *wreath product* is the group $D \wr H$ made up of all pairs (h, f) , where $h \in H$ and $f \in D^Z$, with the product law given by $(h, f)(h', f') := (hh', f + hf')$, where $[hf'](z) := f'(h^{-1}z)$, for all $h, h' \in H$, $f, f' \in D^Z$, and $z \in Z$. It is the semidirect product of H with $A := D^Z$ (cf. [11, Section 2.1]). We then suppose that K is a subgroup of H whose action on Z is not transitive. We then denote by $Z = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^{\ell} Z_j$ the decomposition of Z into K -orbits (with $\ell \geq 2$).

- (1) We first take C as the subgroup of D^Z consisting of all $f \in D^Z$ such that $\sum_{z \in Z_j} f(z) = 0_D$, for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$, that is, such that the sum of the values of f over each K -orbit is zero. Then C is clearly K -invariant.

In general, $(H \ltimes A, K \ltimes C)$ is not a symmetric Gelfand pair: one can easily generalize [7, Example 4.8.3]. For instance, take $Z_1 := \{1\}$, $Z_2 := \{2, 3\}$, $Z :=$

$Z_1 \sqcup Z_2$, $H = \text{Sym}(Z)$, $K = \text{Sym}(Z_1) \times \text{Sym}(Z_2)$, and $D = \mathbb{Z}_n$, the cyclic group of order $n \geq 3$. If $h := (1\ 2)$ (transposition) then in (3.12) we must take $k_1 = k_2 = 1_H$ and if $(d_1, d_2, d_3) \in A = D^Z$, $c_1 = (0, d', -d')$, $c_2 = (0, d'', -d'') \in C$, then the second equation in (3.12) becomes

$$(-d_2, -d_1, -d_3) = (d'' + d_1, d_2 + d', -d'' + d_3 - d') \iff \begin{cases} d'' = -d_1 - d_2 \\ d' = -d_1 - d_2 \\ d' + d'' = 2d_3 \end{cases}$$

which has no solutions if $2(d_1 + d_2 + d_3) \neq 0$.

On the other hand, in Example 4.9 we will prove that this specific case is, anyway, a Gelfand pair.

- (2) We now take C as the subgroup of D^Z consisting of all $f \in D^Z$ which are constant on the orbits of K . As in the previous case, C is clearly K -invariant.

4. A DECOMPOSITION OF THE PERMUTATION REPRESENTATION

We begin by recalling the Mackey-Wigner little group method for finite semidirect products with an abelian normal subgroup, that is, for groups of the form $H \ltimes A$ as in Section 3. We refer to our monographs [11, Definition 1.3.11], where this powerful tool is deduced, even in a more general form, from Clifford theory; [12, Theorem 11.6.2], where it is deduced from Mackey theory (see also [26, Chapter 5] for a similar approach); and to the whole of [14], which contains several generalizations due to Schur and Mackey among others.

Let H be a group acting by automorphisms of an abelian group A . The action induces an action of H on the dual group \widehat{A} : if ψ is a character of A and $h \in H$, then the h -conjugate (or translate) of ψ is the character ${}^h\psi$ given by setting (cf. (3.3)):

$$(4.1) \quad {}^h\psi(a) := \psi(h^{-1}a), \quad \text{for all } a \in A.$$

Let Π be a set of representatives for the orbits of H on \widehat{A} and, for each $\psi \in \Pi$, denote by $H_\psi := \{h \in H : {}^h\psi = \psi\}$ the H -stabilizer of ψ . Then the group $H_\psi \ltimes A$ is called the *inertia group* of ψ and this character has an extension $\widetilde{\psi}$ to $H_\psi \ltimes A$ given by setting $\widetilde{\psi}(h, a) := \psi(a)$, for all $(h, a) \in H_\psi \ltimes A$. If σ is an irreducible representation of H_ψ , we denote by $\overset{\Delta}{\sigma}$ its *inflation* on $H_\psi \ltimes A$, that is, the representation given by setting $\overset{\Delta}{\sigma}(h, a) := \sigma(h)$, for all $(h, a) \in H_\psi \ltimes A$. We then have:

$$(4.2) \quad \widehat{H \ltimes A} = \left\{ \text{Ind}_{H_\psi \ltimes A}^{H \ltimes A} \left(\widetilde{\psi} \otimes \overset{\Delta}{\sigma} \right) : \psi \in \Pi, \sigma \in \widehat{H_\psi} \right\}.$$

That is, (4.2) gives a list of all irreducible representations of $H \ltimes A$ and, for distinct choices of ψ and σ , we get inequivalent representations. Note that, in the present case, the tensor product $\widetilde{\psi} \otimes \overset{\Delta}{\sigma}$ is just a product of an operator-valued representation by a scalar-valued one, that is, $\widetilde{\psi} \otimes \overset{\Delta}{\sigma} \equiv \widetilde{\psi} \cdot \overset{\Delta}{\sigma}$; however, we prefer to maintain the tensor product notation in order to distinguish this significant case from other occurrences of products by scalar-valued representations.

In the sequel, we shall need to deal with the irreducible representations of groups of the form $H \ltimes A$, so that we now describe them explicitly.

Proposition 4.1. *With the above notation, let U be the representation space of σ . For every $f \in \text{Ind}_{H_\psi}^H U$ define $F_f: H \ltimes A \rightarrow U$ by setting*

$$(4.3) \quad F_f(h, a) := {}^h \overline{\psi}(a) f(h), \quad \text{for all } a \in A \text{ and } h \in H.$$

Then the space W of $\text{Ind}_{H_\psi \ltimes A}^{H \ltimes A} \left(\tilde{\psi} \otimes \overset{\Delta}{\sigma} \right)$ is made up of all such functions F_f . Moreover, defining $\psi^b(a) \in L(H)$ by setting

$$(4.4) \quad [\psi^b(a)](h) := \psi(h^{-1}a) \equiv {}^h \psi(a),$$

for all $h \in H$ and $a \in A$, then the pointwise product $\psi^b(a)f$ belongs to $\text{Ind}_{H_\psi}^H U$, for all $f \in \text{Ind}_{H_\psi}^H U$, and the action of $H \ltimes A$ is given by

$$(4.5) \quad (h, a)F_f = F_{\psi^b(a)[hf]}$$

for all $h \in H$, $a \in A$, and $f \in \text{Ind}_{H_\psi}^H U$.

Finally, if $f_1, f_2 \in \text{Ind}_{H_\psi}^H U$, then

$$(4.6) \quad \langle F_{f_1}, F_{f_2} \rangle_W = \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle_{\text{Ind}_{H_\psi}^H U},$$

that is, the map $f \mapsto F_f$ is an isometry.

Proof. The representation $\tilde{\psi} \otimes \overset{\Delta}{\sigma}$ may be realized on the space U by setting

$$(4.7) \quad \left[\left(\tilde{\psi} \otimes \overset{\Delta}{\sigma} \right) (h', a') \right] w = \psi(a') \sigma(h') w,$$

for all $w \in U$, $h' \in H_\psi$, and $a' \in A$. Recall that W is made up of all $F: H \ltimes A \rightarrow U$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} F((h, a)(h', a')) &= \left[\left(\tilde{\psi} \otimes \overset{\Delta}{\sigma} \right) ((h', a')^{-1}) \right] F(h, a) \\ (\text{by (3.2) and (2.2)}) &= \left[\left(\tilde{\psi} \otimes \overset{\Delta}{\sigma} \right) (h'^{-1}, -h'^{-1}a') \right] F(h, a), \end{aligned}$$

equivalently (cf. (3.1), (4.7), and recalling that ${}^{h'} \psi = \psi$)

$$(4.8) \quad F(hh', a + ha') = \overline{\psi}(a') \sigma(h')^* F(h, a),$$

for all $h \in H$, $h' \in H_\psi$ and $a, a' \in A$.

Note that, by setting $h' = 1_H$, $a' = h^{-1}a_0$, and $a = 0_A$ the above yields

$$(4.9) \quad F(h, a_0) = \overline{\psi}(h^{-1}a_0) F(h, 0_A)$$

while, for $a = a' = 0_A$, one obtains

$$(4.10) \quad F(hh', 0_A) = \sigma(h')^* F(h, 0_A).$$

Conversely, it is easy to check that conditions (4.9) and (4.10) imply (4.8), and that, moreover, given $F \in W$ and defining $f: H \rightarrow U$ by setting $f(h) := F(h, 0_A)$, one has that $f \in \text{Ind}_{H_\psi}^H U$ and $F = F_f$. This shows that $W \equiv \{F_f: f \in \text{Ind}_{H_\psi}^H U\}$. We now note that

$$[\psi^b(a)](hh') = \psi(h'^{-1}h^{-1}a) = \psi(h^{-1}a) = [\psi^b(a)](h),$$

for all $h \in H$, $h' \in H_\psi$, and $a \in A$, and this implies that $\psi^b(a)f \in \text{Ind}_{H_\psi}^H U$ for all $f \in \text{Ind}_{H_\psi}^H U$. The action of $(h, a) \in H \ltimes A$ on W is given by

$$\begin{aligned} [(h, a)F_f](h', a') &= F_f((h, a)^{-1}(h', a')) = F_f(h^{-1}h', -h^{-1}a + h^{-1}a') \\ (\text{by (4.3) and (4.4)}) &= {}^{h'}\bar{\psi}(a') {}^{h'}\psi(a) [hf](h') = F_{\psi^b(a)[hf]}(h', a'), \end{aligned}$$

for all $f \in \text{Ind}_{H_\psi}^H U$, $h \in H$, $h' \in H_\psi$, and $a, a' \in A$. Finally, if $f_1, f_2 \in \text{Ind}_{H_\psi}^H U$ then (cf. [12, (11.3)] and recalling that $|\psi(a'')| = 1$ for $a'' \in A$)

$$\langle F_{f_1}, F_{f_2} \rangle_W = \frac{1}{|A| \cdot |H_\psi|} \sum_{a \in A, h \in H} |\psi(h^{-1}a)|^2 \langle f_1(h), f_2(h) \rangle_U = \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle_{\text{Ind}_{H_\psi}^H U}.$$

□

Remark 4.2. In the above notation, let $h \in H$ and suppose that ${}^h\psi \neq \psi$. Then $H_{{}^h\psi} = hH_\psi h^{-1}$ and for $h_0 \in H, a \in A$, we have $(h, 0_A)^{-1}(h_0, a)(h, 0_A) = (h^{-1}h_0h, h^{-1}a)$ so that, by (4.7),

$$(h, 0_A) \left(\tilde{\psi} \otimes \overset{\Delta}{\sigma} \right) = {}^h\tilde{\psi} \otimes \overset{\Delta}{h}\sigma,$$

where ${}^h\sigma$ is as in Remark 2.1. Since $(h, 0_A)(H_\psi \ltimes A)(h, 0_A)^{-1} = H_{{}^h\psi} \ltimes A$, from Remark 2.1 it follows that $\text{Ind}_{H_\psi \ltimes A}^{H \ltimes A} \left(\tilde{\psi} \otimes \overset{\Delta}{\sigma} \right)$ and $\text{Ind}_{H_{{}^h\psi} \ltimes A}^{H \ltimes A} \left({}^h\tilde{\psi} \otimes \overset{\Delta}{h}\sigma \right)$ are equivalent representations of $H \ltimes A$.

We now use all the notation in Section 3 but we set $B := B_{y_0} \equiv A/C$ and $\pi := \pi_{y_0}$. Consider the dual group \widehat{B} and if $\theta \in \widehat{B}$ define its inflation to A by setting:

$$(4.11) \quad \overset{\Delta}{\theta}(a) := \theta(\pi(a)), \quad \text{for all } a \in A.$$

Then we set

$$(4.12) \quad H_\theta := \left\{ h \in H: {}^h\overset{\Delta}{\theta} = \overset{\Delta}{\theta} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad K_\theta := H_\theta \cap K,$$

and we denote by $\widetilde{\theta}$ the extension of $\overset{\Delta}{\theta}$ to $H_\theta \ltimes A$, that is,

$$(4.13) \quad \widetilde{\theta}(h, a) := \overset{\Delta}{\theta}(a) \equiv \theta(\pi(a)),$$

for all $(h, a) \in H_\theta \ltimes A$. Finally, we denote by $\widetilde{\widetilde{\theta}} := \text{Res}_{K_\theta \ltimes A}^{H_\theta \ltimes A} \widetilde{\theta}$ the extension of $\overset{\Delta}{\theta}$ to $K_\theta \ltimes A$.

Next, consider the conjugacy action of K on \widehat{B} given by setting ${}^k\theta(b) := \theta(k^{-1}b)$, for all $\theta \in \widehat{B}$, $k \in K$, and $b \in B$. Denote by Θ a complete set of representatives for the orbits of this action and note that, by (3.6), the stabilizer of $\theta \in \Theta$ coincides with K_θ in (4.12). We also consider the orbits of H on \widehat{A} that contain characters inflated from B . First of all, from (3.6) it follows that, for $k \in K$, one has ${}^k\theta^\Delta = ({}^k\theta)^\Delta$, and in the sequel we shall simply write ${}^k\theta^\Delta$, so that the K -orbit $\{{}^k\theta : k \in K\}$ in \widehat{B} corresponds to the K -orbit $\left\{{}^k\theta^\Delta : k \in K\right\}$ in \widehat{A} which, in turn, is contained in the H -orbit $\left\{{}^h\theta^\Delta : h \in H\right\}$. In general, an H -orbit contains more than one of these K -orbits: consequently, we select a subset

$$(4.14) \quad \Xi \subseteq \Theta$$

such that $\left\{\xi^\Delta : \xi \in \Xi\right\}$ constitutes a complete set of representatives for the H -orbits in \widehat{A} that contain characters inflated from B . Finally, for $\xi \in \Xi$ we set

$$(4.15) \quad [\xi] := \left\{\theta \in \Theta : \left\{{}^k\theta^\Delta : k \in K\right\} \subseteq \left\{{}^h\theta^\Delta : h \in H\right\}\right\}.$$

We now examine a particular case of Example 1 (resp. of Example 2) which easily provides an equality (resp. a strict inclusion) in (4.14). In some sense, these Examples are dual one to each other.

Example 4.3. Assume all the notation in Example 3.6.1 with $H = \text{Sym}(Z)$, $\ell = 2$, and $K = \text{Sym}(Z_1) \times \text{Sym}(Z_2)$. Then the map $\pi : A = D^Z \rightarrow A/C = D \times D$ is given by $\pi(f) = (\sum_{z \in Z_1} f(z), \sum_{z \in Z_2} f(z))$, for all $f \in D^Z$ (cf. [20, Corollary 4.12]). In particular, if $k \in K$ then $k\pi(f) = \pi(f)$, that is, the action of K on $B = A/C = D^Z/\text{Ker}(\pi) = D \times D$ (and therefore also on \widehat{B}) is trivial. If $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \widehat{D}$ we set $[\theta_1 \otimes \theta_2](d_1, d_2) := \theta_1(d_1)\theta_2(d_2)$, for all $d_1, d_2 \in D$. Therefore, for all $f \in A = D^Z$,

$$[\theta_1 \otimes \theta_2]^\Delta(f) = \theta_1\left(\sum_{z \in Z_1} f(z)\right) \cdot \theta_2\left(\sum_{z \in Z_2} f(z)\right)$$

and moreover, if $h \in H$,

$$(4.16) \quad \begin{aligned} {}^h[\theta_1 \otimes \theta_2]^\Delta(f) &= [\theta_1 \otimes \theta_2]^\Delta(h^{-1}f) = \theta_1\left(\sum_{z \in Z_1} f(hz)\right) \cdot \theta_2\left(\sum_{z \in Z_2} f(hz)\right) \\ &= \theta_1\left(\sum_{z \in Z_1 \cap hZ_1} f(z)\right) \cdot \theta_1\left(\sum_{z \in Z_2 \cap hZ_1} f(z)\right) \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot \theta_2\left(\sum_{z \in Z_1 \cap hZ_2} f(z)\right) \cdot \theta_2\left(\sum_{z \in Z_2 \cap hZ_2} f(z)\right). \end{aligned}$$

From (4.16) it follows that if $|Z_1| = |Z_2|$, $hZ_1 = Z_2$ (so that, necessarily $hZ_2 = Z_1$), and $\theta_1 \neq \theta_2$ then

$${}^h[\theta_1 \overset{\Delta}{\otimes} \theta_2] = [\theta_2 \overset{\Delta}{\otimes} \theta_1] \neq [\theta_1 \overset{\Delta}{\otimes} \theta_2].$$

This yields an example of an inflated character whose H -orbit contains at least two distinct K -orbits of inflated characters so that, in this case, the inclusion in (4.14) is strict.

On the other hand, if $|Z_1| < |Z_2|$, $\theta_1 \neq \theta_2$, and $h \in H \setminus K$ then ${}^h[\theta_1 \overset{\Delta}{\otimes} \theta_2]$ is not an inflated character. Indeed, on the one hand, there exists $d \in D$ such that $\theta_1(d) \neq \theta_2(d)$ and, on the other hand, we necessarily have $Z_2 \cap hZ_1 \neq \emptyset \neq Z_2 \cap hZ_2$, so that there exists $f \in D^Z$ such that

$$\sum_{z \in Z_1 \cap hZ_1} f(z) = 0_D, \quad \sum_{z \in Z_2 \cap hZ_1} f(z) = d, \quad \sum_{z \in Z_1 \cap hZ_2} f(z) = 0_D, \quad \sum_{z \in Z_2 \cap hZ_2} f(z) = -d.$$

Then $f \in C$ but from (4.16) and our assumptions it follows that ${}^h[\theta_1 \overset{\Delta}{\otimes} \theta_2](f) = \theta_1(d)\theta_2(-d) \neq 1$.

Finally, from (4.16) it immediately follows that ${}^h[\theta \overset{\Delta}{\otimes} \theta] = [\theta \overset{\Delta}{\otimes} \theta]$, for all $\theta \in \widehat{D}$ and $h \in H$.

In conclusion, if $|Z_1| < |Z_2|$ then the H -orbit of an inflated character contains exactly one K -orbit of inflated characters (more precisely, exactly one inflated character) and in this case (4.14) is an equality. We have also proved that, in this case, $H_{\theta_1 \otimes \theta_2} = K$ if $\theta_1 \neq \theta_2$ while $H_{\theta \otimes \theta} = H$.

Example 4.4. Assume the notation in Example 3.6.2 with H, K , and Z as in Example 4.3. Then the map π may be defined in the following way: fix $z_j \in Z_j$, set $Z'_j := Z_j \setminus \{z_j\}$, $j = 1, 2$, and then, for $f \in D^Z$, set $[\pi(f)](z) := f(z) - f(z_j)$ for all $z \in Z'_j$, $j = 1, 2$. Then we get a surjective homomorphism $\pi: D^Z \cong A \rightarrow D^{Z'_1 \sqcup Z'_2} \cong B$. A character of D^Z may be represented as a tensor product $\bigotimes_{z \in Z} \theta_z$, where $\theta_z \in \widehat{D}$ for all $z \in Z$, with $[\bigotimes_{z \in Z} \theta_z](f) := \prod_{z \in Z} \theta_z(f(z))$, for all $f \in D^Z$. The action of $H \equiv \text{Sym}(Z)$ on $\widehat{D^Z}$ is given by permuting the factors:

$${}^h \left[\bigotimes_{z \in Z} \theta_z \right] (f) = \left[\bigotimes_{z \in Z} \theta_z \right] (h^{-1}f) = \prod_{z \in Z} \theta_z(f(hz)) = \prod_{z \in Z} \theta_{h^{-1}z}(f(z)),$$

for all $f \in D^Z$, that is,

$$(4.17) \quad {}^h \left[\bigotimes_{z \in Z} \theta_z \right] = \bigotimes_{z \in Z} \theta_{h^{-1}z}.$$

Analogously, a character of B is of the form $\left(\bigotimes_{z \in Z'_1} \theta_z\right) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{z \in Z'_2} \theta_z\right)$ and its inflation to A is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\left(\bigotimes_{z \in Z'_1} \theta_z \right) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{z \in Z'_2} \theta_z \right) \right]^\Delta (f) &= \left[\left(\bigotimes_{z \in Z'_1} \theta_z \right) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{z \in Z'_2} \theta_z \right) \right] (\pi(f)) \\ &= \prod_{z \in Z'_1} \theta_z(f(z)) \cdot \prod_{z \in Z'_1} \bar{\theta}_z(f(z_1)) \cdot \prod_{z \in Z'_2} \theta_z(f(z)) \cdot \prod_{z \in Z'_2} \bar{\theta}_z(f(z_2)), \end{aligned}$$

for all $f \in D^Z$, that is,

$$\left(\bigotimes_{z \in Z'_1} \theta_z \right) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{z \in Z'_2} \theta_z \right)^\Delta = \left(\bigotimes_{z \in Z_1} \xi_z \right) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{z \in Z_2} \xi_z \right)$$

where

$$\xi_z = \begin{cases} \theta_z & \text{if } z \in Z'_1 \sqcup Z'_2 \\ \prod_{z' \in Z'_1} \bar{\theta}_{z'} & \text{if } z = z_1 \\ \prod_{z' \in Z'_2} \bar{\theta}_{z'} & \text{if } z = z_2. \end{cases}$$

It follows that a character of D^Z is inflated from B if and only if it satisfies the conditions:

$$\prod_{z \in Z_1} \theta_z = \mathbf{1} \quad \text{and} \quad \prod_{z \in Z_2} \theta_z = \mathbf{1},$$

where $\mathbf{1}$ is the trivial character of D . Similarly, the h -translate in (4.17) is inflated from B if and only if

$$\prod_{z \in Z_1} \theta_{h^{-1}z} = \mathbf{1} \quad \text{and} \quad \prod_{z \in Z_2} \theta_{h^{-1}z} = \mathbf{1}.$$

It is then easy to construct examples of inflated characters whose H -orbits contain inflated characters from other K -orbits, so that the inclusion (4.14) is strict. Indeed, if the four sets $Z_i \cap hZ_j$, $i, j = 1, 2$, are nonempty, we can choose the θ_z 's in such a way that

$$(4.18) \quad \prod_{z \in Z_i \cap hZ_j} \theta_{h^{-1}z} = \mathbf{1} \quad i, j = 1, 2, \quad \text{and} \quad {}^k \left[\bigotimes_{z \in Z_1} \theta_z \right] \neq \bigotimes_{z \in Z_1} \theta_{h^{-1}z}, \quad \forall k \in K.$$

Consequently, $\bigotimes_{z \in Z} \theta_z$ and ${}^h \left[\bigotimes_{z \in Z} \theta_z \right]$ are both inflated from B but belong to distinct K -orbits. Note that in order to satisfy (4.18), it suffices to suppose that (i) $D = D_1 \times D_2$, with both D_1 and D_2 nontrivial and each $\theta_z \in \widehat{D}$ with $z \in Z_j$ trivial on D_j , for $j = 1, 2$; (ii) $|Z_i \cap hZ_j| \geq 2$, for $i, j = 1, 2$.

Consider now the following representation of $K \ltimes A$ on $L(B)$: for $(k, a) \in K \ltimes A$, $f \in L(B)$, and $b \in B$ we set

$$(4.19) \quad [(k, a)f](b) := f(-k^{-1}\pi(a) + k^{-1}b).$$

This is just (3.11) restricted to $K \ltimes A$ and to the invariant subspace $L(B) \equiv L(\{y_0\} \times B)$ (cf. (3.6)). In other words, the restriction of (3.7) defines an action of $K \ltimes A$ on B and (4.19) is just the associated permutation representation. Since the stabilizer of $(y_0, 0_B)$ in $H \ltimes A$ is $K \ltimes C$, it follows that (4.19) is equivalent to $\text{Ind}_{K \ltimes C}^{K \ltimes A} \iota_{K \ltimes C}$. The following is a refinement of the results in [6, Section 5.2].

Theorem 4.5. *With the above notation, the following holds.*

- (1) *For every $\theta \in \Theta$ let V_θ denote the subspace of $L(B)$ spanned by the K -translates of θ . Then the representation of $K \ltimes A$ on V_θ is irreducible and, moreover, it is equivalent to $\text{Ind}_{K_\theta \ltimes A}^{K \ltimes A} \widetilde{\theta}$.*
- (2) *The irreducible $(K \ltimes A)$ -representations V_θ , $\theta \in \Theta$, are pairwise inequivalent.*
- (3) *The decomposition of $L(B)$ into irreducible $(K \ltimes A)$ -representations is given by $L(B) = \bigoplus_{\theta \in \Theta} V_\theta$.*
- (4) *$((K \ltimes A), (K \ltimes C))$ is a Gelfand pair.*

Proof. (1) Let $\theta \in \Theta$. First of all, note that

$$(4.20) \quad V_\theta \equiv \left\{ \sum_{k \in K} f(k) {}^k\theta : f \in L(K/K_\theta) \right\}.$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 4.1 and (4.13), the space of

$$\text{Ind}_{K_\theta \ltimes A}^{K \ltimes A} \widetilde{\theta} \sim \text{Ind}_{K_\theta \ltimes A}^{K \ltimes A} \left(\widetilde{\theta} \otimes \overset{\Delta}{\iota}_{K_\theta} \right)$$

is made up of the restriction to $K \ltimes A$ of the function F_f , with $f \in L(K/K_\theta) \equiv \text{Ind}_{K_\theta}^K \iota_{K_\theta}$, that is (cf. (4.3)), $F_f(k, a) = \theta(\pi(k^{-1}a))f(k)$, for all $(k, a) \in K \ltimes A$. Define a linear map $T: \text{Ind}_{K_\theta \ltimes A}^{K \ltimes A} \widetilde{\theta} \rightarrow V_\theta$ by setting

$$(4.21) \quad TF_f := \sum_{k \in K} f(k) {}^k\theta,$$

for all $f \in L(K/K_\theta)$. Since the ${}^k\theta$, $k \in K/K_\theta$, are pairwise inequivalent, the map T is injective and therefore surjective (since $\dim \text{Ind}_{K_\theta \ltimes A}^{K \ltimes A} \widetilde{\theta} = [K \ltimes A : K_\theta \ltimes A] = [K : K_\theta] =$

$\dim V_\theta$). Moreover, for $b \in B$, $k \in K$, and $a \in A$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[(k, a)TF_f](b) &= [TF_f](-k^{-1}\pi(a) + k^{-1}b) && \text{(by (4.19))} \\
&= \sum_{k_1 \in K} f(k_1) {}^{k_1}\theta(-k^{-1}\pi(a) + k^{-1}b) && \text{(by (4.21))} \\
&= \sum_{k_0 \in K} f(k^{-1}k_0) \theta(-\pi(k_0^{-1}a)) {}^{k_0}\theta(b) && (k_0 = kk_1) \\
&= \sum_{k_0 \in K} [(k, a)F_f](k_0, 0_A) {}^{k_0}\theta(b) && \text{(by (4.5))} \\
&= [T(k, a)F_f](b),
\end{aligned}$$

that is, $(k, a)TF_f = T(k, a)F_f$. This proves that T is an intertwining operator yielding the desired equivalence between V_θ and the irreducible representation $\text{Ind}_{K_\theta \rtimes A}^{K \rtimes A} \tilde{\theta}$. For another proof of the irreducibility of V_θ see Remark 4.6 below.

(2) From the little group method (cf. (4.2)) it follows that different choices of $\theta \in \Theta$ give rise to inequivalent representations. It follows from (1) that the V_θ , $\theta \in \Theta$, are pairwise inequivalent.

(3) The elements of Θ form a complete system of representatives for the orbits of K on \widehat{B} (see also Remark 4.6) and the characters of B form a basis of $L(B)$. Since the V_θ , $\theta \in \Theta$, are the subspaces spanned by the characters in a single K -orbit, we deduce that the direct sum of these subspaces is the whole of $L(B)$.

(4) The permutation representation of $K \rtimes A$ on $L(B)$ (with $B \equiv A/C = K \rtimes A/K \rtimes C$) is multiplicity-free by (1)-(3) and this is equivalent to $(K \rtimes A, K \rtimes C)$ being a Gelfand pair. \square

Remark 4.6. We briefly indicate a different (and folklore) proof of the fact that the V_θ 's are irreducible. If $W \leq V_\theta$ is a nontrivial $(K \rtimes A)$ -invariant subspace, then $\text{Res}_A^{K \rtimes A} W$ contains a character ψ of A , that is, there exists $f \in W$, $f \neq 0$, such that $(1_K, a)f = \psi(a)f$, for all $a \in A$. From (4.19) it follows that

$$f(\pi(a)) = [(1_H, -a)f](0_B) = \overline{\psi}(a)f(0_B),$$

for all $a \in A$, so that $f(0_B) \neq 0$ and we may assume that $f(0_B) = 1$. This implies that $f(\pi(a)) = \overline{\psi}(a)$, for all $a \in A$. Therefore, f is a character of B and it thus coincides with one of the ${}^k\theta$, $\theta \in \Theta$. On the other hand, W must contain the whole K -orbit of θ so that it coincides with V_θ . A similar argument may be used to prove that the V_θ 's are pairwise inequivalent: indeed, different K_θ -orbits yield different ψ 's.

For every $\theta \in \Theta$ let

$$(4.22) \quad L(H_\theta/K_\theta) = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{n_\theta} m_{\theta,j} U_{\theta,j} \quad \left(\text{equivalently, } \text{Ind}_{K_\theta}^{H_\theta} \iota_{K_\theta} \sim \bigoplus_{j=0}^{n_\theta} m_{\theta,j} \sigma_{\theta,j} \right)$$

denote the decomposition of the permutation representation of H_θ on $L(H_\theta/K_\theta)$ into irreducible representations; thus the positive integer $m_{\theta,j}$ is the multiplicity of the irreducible

representation $(\sigma_{\theta,j}, U_{\theta,j})$. We assume that $\sigma_{\theta,0}$ is the trivial representation of H_θ , so that $m_{\theta,0} = 1$. We use the notation in (4.14), (4.15), and in Remark 4.2.

Theorem 4.7. *With the above notation,*

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{K \rtimes C}^{H \rtimes A} \iota_{K \rtimes C} \sim \bigoplus_{\theta \in \Theta} \bigoplus_{j=0}^{n_\theta} m_{\theta,j} \mathrm{Ind}_{H_\theta \rtimes A}^{H \rtimes A} \left(\widetilde{\theta} \otimes \sigma_{\theta,j}^\Delta \right)$$

is a decomposition of the permutation representation of $H \rtimes A$ on $L(X)$ into irreducible representations. Moreover, if $\xi \in \Xi$ and $\sigma \in \widehat{H_\xi}$, then multiplicity of the irreducible representation $\mathrm{Ind}_{H_\xi \rtimes A}^{H \rtimes A} \left(\widetilde{\xi} \otimes \sigma^\Delta \right)$ is equal to

$$(4.23) \quad \sum m_{\theta,j}$$

where the sum runs over all pairs (θ, j) , $\theta \in \Theta$ and $0 \leq j \leq n_\theta$, such that

$${}^h \widetilde{\xi}^\Delta = \widetilde{\theta}^\Delta \quad (\text{so that } \theta \in [\xi]) \quad \text{and} \quad {}^h \sigma \sim \sigma_{\theta,j}, \quad \text{for some } h \in H.$$

In particular, the multiplicity of $\mathrm{Ind}_{H_\xi \rtimes A}^{H \rtimes A} \left(\widetilde{\xi} \otimes \iota_{H_\xi}^\Delta \right)$ is equal to the cardinality of $[\xi]$.

Proof. We have the following chain of equivalences, where we repeatedly use transitivity of induction (cf. [11, Proposition 1.1.10] and [12, 11.1.5]):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Ind}_{K \rtimes C}^{H \rtimes A} \iota_{K \rtimes C} &\sim \mathrm{Ind}_{K \rtimes A}^{H \rtimes A} \mathrm{Ind}_{K \rtimes C}^{K \rtimes A} \iota_{K \rtimes C} \\ (\text{by Theorem 4.5}) &\sim \bigoplus_{\theta \in \Theta} \mathrm{Ind}_{K \rtimes A}^{H \rtimes A} \mathrm{Ind}_{K_\theta \rtimes A}^{K \rtimes A} \widetilde{\theta} \\ \left(\widetilde{\theta} = \mathrm{Res}_{K_\theta \rtimes A}^{H_\theta \rtimes A} \widetilde{\theta} \right) &\sim \bigoplus_{\theta \in \Theta} \mathrm{Ind}_{K_\theta \rtimes A}^{H \rtimes A} \mathrm{Res}_{K_\theta \rtimes A}^{H_\theta \rtimes A} \widetilde{\theta} \\ &\sim \bigoplus_{\theta \in \Theta} \mathrm{Ind}_{H_\theta \rtimes A}^{H \rtimes A} \mathrm{Ind}_{K_\theta \rtimes A}^{H_\theta \rtimes A} \mathrm{Res}_{K_\theta \rtimes A}^{H_\theta \rtimes A} \widetilde{\theta} \\ (\text{by [12, Corollary 11.1.17]}) &\sim \bigoplus_{\theta \in \Theta} \mathrm{Ind}_{H_\theta \rtimes A}^{H \rtimes A} \left[\widetilde{\theta} \otimes \mathrm{Ind}_{K_\theta \rtimes A}^{H_\theta \rtimes A} \left(\widetilde{\iota_A} \otimes \iota_{K_\theta}^\Delta \right) \right] \\ (\text{by Proposition 4.1 with } \psi = \iota_A) &\sim \bigoplus_{\theta \in \Theta} \mathrm{Ind}_{H_\theta \rtimes A}^{H \rtimes A} \left(\widetilde{\theta} \otimes \mathrm{Ind}_{K_\theta}^{H_\theta} \iota_{K_\theta}^\Delta \right) \\ (\text{by (4.22)}) &\sim \bigoplus_{\theta \in \Theta} \bigoplus_{j=0}^{n_\theta} m_{\theta,j} \mathrm{Ind}_{H_\theta \rtimes A}^{H \rtimes A} \left(\widetilde{\theta} \otimes \sigma_{\theta,j}^\Delta \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that every summand $\mathrm{Ind}_{H_\theta \rtimes A}^{H \rtimes A} \left(\widetilde{\theta} \otimes \sigma_{\theta,j}^\Delta \right)$ is irreducible by the little group method (cf. (4.2)).

In order to compute the multiplicities (4.23), first note that if $\theta \in [\xi]$ and $\theta \neq \xi$, then there exists $h \in H \setminus K$ such that ${}^h(\widetilde{\xi}^\Delta) = \widetilde{\theta}^\Delta$. It follows that, when using the little group

method, $\bar{\theta}$ and $\bar{\xi}$ give rise to equivalent representations (see also Remark 4.2) and the sum in (4.23) follows immediately. In particular, since the h -conjugate of the trivial representation is the trivial representation, the corresponding sum is just $\sum_{\theta \in [\xi]} 1 = |[\xi]|$. \square

Corollary 4.8. *The permutation representation of $H \ltimes A$ on $L(X)$ is multiplicity-free if and only if both the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i) $\Xi = \Theta$ in (4.14);
- (ii) *for every $\theta \in \Theta$ the permutation representation of H_θ on $L(H_\theta/K_\theta)$ is multiplicity-free.*

Moreover, if this is the case, the decomposition of $L(X)$ into irreducible representations is:

$$L(X) \sim \bigoplus_{\theta \in \Theta} \bigoplus_{j=0}^{n_\theta} \text{Ind}_{H_\theta \ltimes A}^{H \ltimes A} \left(\tilde{\theta} \otimes \sigma_{\theta,j}^\Delta \right),$$

where, for different pairs (θ, j) , the representations are pairwise inequivalent. Finally,

$$(4.24) \quad \dim \text{Ind}_{H_\theta \ltimes A}^{H \ltimes A} \left(\tilde{\theta} \otimes \sigma_{\theta,j}^\Delta \right) = \frac{|H| \dim \sigma_{\theta,j}}{|H_\theta|}.$$

Proof. All statements immediately follow from the above theorem. Just note that (4.24) is deduced from the formula for the dimension of an induced representation (cf. [11, (1.5)] and [12, (11.10)]). \square

Example 4.9. In Example 4.3, we proved that when $|Z_1| < |Z_2|$, then condition (i) in Corollary 4.8 is satisfied. Note that, in fact, also the second condition is satisfied: indeed H_θ is equal to either $\text{Sym}(Z)$ or $\text{Sym}(Z_1) \times \text{Sym}(Z_2)$ (so that $K_\theta = H_\theta \cap H = \text{Sym}(Z_1) \times \text{Sym}(Z_2)$) and it is well known that $(\text{Sym}(Z), \text{Sym}(Z_1) \times \text{Sym}(Z_2))$ is a Gelfand pair (cf. [7, Chapter 6]).

5. AN INTERMEDIATE BASIS

In order to give a concise expression for the spherical functions and for the spherical representations for the Gelfand pair in Corollary 4.8, we shall introduce a suitable basis in $L(X)$, made up of characters, and then a suitable basis for the vector space of all $(K \ltimes C)$ -invariant functions, made up of sum of characters. For $y \in Y$ and $\chi \in \widehat{B_y}$, define $\chi_y^\sharp \in L(X)$ by setting

$$(5.1) \quad \chi^\sharp(y', b) := \begin{cases} \chi(b) & \text{if } y' = y \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for all $(y', b) \in X$. Clearly, the set $\{\chi^\sharp: \chi \in \widehat{B_y}, y \in Y\}$ is an orthogonal basis for $L(X)$. The norm of χ^\sharp is given by

$$(5.2) \quad \|\chi^\sharp\|^2 = \sum_{(y', b) \in X} |\chi^\sharp(y', b)|^2 = \sum_{b \in B_y} |\chi(b)|^2 = \|\chi\|^2 = |B|.$$

From (2.1) and (3.7) it follows that, for $(h, a) \in H \ltimes A$,

$$(5.3) \quad \overset{\Delta}{\chi}^\sharp(h, a) = \chi^\sharp((h, a)(y_0, 0_A)) = \chi^\sharp(hy_0, \pi_{hy_0}(a)) = \begin{cases} \chi(\pi_{hy_0}(a)) & \text{if } hy_0 = y \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For $h \in H$, $y \in Y$, and $\chi \in \widehat{B}_y$, define ${}^h\chi \in \widehat{B}_{hy}$ by setting

$$(5.4) \quad {}^h\chi(b) := \chi(h^{-1}b), \quad \text{for all } b \in B_{hy}.$$

This defines an action of H on $\bigsqcup_{y \in Y} \widehat{B}_y$. We then denote by K_y the stabilizer in K of $y \in Y$

and by K_χ the stabilizer in K_y of $\chi \in \widehat{B}_y$. Note that $K = K_{y_0}$ and the stabilizer K_θ in K of $\theta \in \widehat{B} = \widehat{B}_{y_0}$ is nothing but $H_\theta \cap K$ (cf. (4.12)). For, on the one hand, if $k \in H_\theta \cap K$ then ${}^k\theta = \overset{\Delta}{\theta}$, and, on the other hand, for $b \in B$ there exists $a \in A$ such that $\pi(a) = b$; it follows that ${}^k\theta(b) = {}^k\theta(\pi(a)) = {}^k\overset{\Delta}{\theta}(a) = \overset{\Delta}{\theta}(a) = \theta(\pi(a)) = \theta(b)$, showing that $H_\theta \cap K \subset K_\theta$. The reverse inclusion follows the same lines.

Remark 5.1. Given $\chi \in \widehat{B}_y$, $y \in Y$, there exists $h = h(\chi) \in H$ such that $hy_0 = y$ and ${}^{h^{-1}}\chi \in \Theta$. Indeed, since the action of H on Y is transitive, we can find $h_0 \in H$ such that $h_0y_0 = y$. Then, ${}^{h_0^{-1}}\chi \in \widehat{B}$ so that there exist $k \in K$ and $\theta \in \Theta$ such that ${}^{h_0^{-1}}\chi = {}^k\theta$. We then set $h = h(\chi) := h_0k$. Note that $\theta = \theta(\chi)$ (the representative in Θ of the K -orbit in \widehat{B} containing ${}^{h_0^{-1}}\chi$) is unique, while the element $k \in K$ (and, consequently, $h(\chi)$) is defined up to right multiplication by an element $k' \in K_\theta$. Finally, for $\theta \in \Theta$ and $y \in Y$, we denote by $\widehat{B}_{y,\theta}$ the set of all characters $\chi \in \widehat{B}_y$ such that ${}^{h(\chi)}\theta = \chi$, equivalently, $\theta(\chi) = \theta$.

We now collect some useful results.

Proposition 5.2. *Let $\chi \in \widehat{B}_y$, h_0 and h in H such that $hy_0 = y$, and $\theta \in \Theta$. Then*

$$(5.5) \quad \sum_{a \in A} {}^{h_0}\overset{\Delta}{\theta}(a) \overset{\Delta}{\chi}^\sharp(h, a) = \left\langle {}^{h^{-1}h_0}\overset{\Delta}{\theta}, {}^{h^{-1}}\overset{\Delta}{\chi} \right\rangle_{L(A)} \equiv \begin{cases} |A| & \text{if } {}^{h^{-1}h_0}\overset{\Delta}{\theta} = {}^{h^{-1}}\overset{\Delta}{\chi} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where ${}^{h^{-1}}\overset{\Delta}{\chi}$ denotes the inflation of ${}^{h^{-1}}\chi \in \widehat{B}$ to A .

Proof. We have,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a \in A} {}^{h_0}\overset{\Delta}{\theta}(a) \overset{\Delta}{\chi}^\sharp(h, a) &= \sum_{a \in A} \theta(\pi(h_0^{-1}a)) \overline{\chi}(\pi_{hy_0}(a)) && \text{(by (4.1), (4.11) and (5.3))} \\ &= \sum_{a' \in A} \theta(\pi(h_0^{-1}ha')) {}^{h^{-1}}\overline{\chi}(\pi(a')) && \text{(by (3.5), (5.4) and } a = ha') \\ &= \left\langle {}^{h^{-1}h_0}\overset{\Delta}{\theta}, {}^{h^{-1}}\overset{\Delta}{\chi} \right\rangle_{L(A)} && \left(\text{by (4.1), (4.11) and } {}^{h^{-1}}\chi \in \widehat{B} \right). \end{aligned}$$

This shows the first equality in (5.5). The other equality follows from the orthogonality relations for characters. \square

Proposition 5.3. *Let $h \in H$, $a \in A$, and $\chi \in \widehat{B}_y$. Then*

$$(5.6) \quad (h, a)\chi^\sharp = \overline{\chi}(\pi_y(h^{-1}a)) ({}^h\chi)^\sharp.$$

In particular, χ^\sharp is C -invariant if and only if

$$(5.7) \quad \chi(\pi_y(c)) = 1 \quad \text{for all } c \in C.$$

Moreover, if χ^\sharp is C -invariant then also $({}^k\chi)^\sharp$ is C -invariant, for all $k \in K$.

Proof. Let $y' \in Y$ and $b \in B_{y'}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} [(h, a)\chi^\sharp](y', b) &= \chi^\sharp(h^{-1}y', -h^{-1}\pi_{y'}(a) + h^{-1}b) && \text{(by (3.11))} \\ &= \begin{cases} {}^h\chi(b)\overline{\chi}(\pi_y(h^{-1}a)) & \text{if } h^{-1}y' = y \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} && \text{(by (3.5), (5.1) and (5.4))} \\ &= \overline{\chi}(\pi_y(h^{-1}a)) ({}^h\chi)^\sharp(y', b), \end{aligned}$$

and this proves (5.6). In particular, if $c \in C$ then $(1_H, c)\chi^\sharp = \overline{\chi}(\pi_y(c))\chi^\sharp$; it follows that χ^\sharp is C -invariant if and only if χ satisfies (5.7). Finally, if χ satisfies (5.7) and $k \in K$ then, for all $c \in C$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} {}^k\chi(\pi_{ky}(c)) &= \chi(k^{-1}\pi_{ky}(c)) && \text{(by (5.4))} \\ &= \chi(\pi_y(k^{-1}c)) && \text{(by (3.5))} \\ &= 1 && \text{(as } C \text{ is } K\text{-invariant).} \end{aligned}$$

\square

Clearly, condition (5.7) is equivalent to $\text{Ker}(\chi \circ \pi_y) \supseteq C + h_0C$, where $h_0y_0 = y$ (cf. (3.8)).

We denote by \widehat{B}_y^0 the set of all $\chi \in \widehat{B}_y$ that satisfy (5.7). Note that \widehat{B}_y^0 is nonempty as it contains the trivial character. By Proposition 5.3, the set $\mathcal{B} := \bigsqcup_{y \in Y} \widehat{B}_y^0$ is K -invariant.

Setting $\mathcal{B}' := \{(y, \chi) : y \in Y, \chi \in \widehat{B}_y^0\}$, the map $\chi \mapsto (y, \chi)$ yields a K -equivariant bijection of \mathcal{B} onto \mathcal{B}' , so that we identify them. Consequently, we choose a complete set Γ of representatives for the orbits of K on \mathcal{B} of the form (cf. (3.9)):

$$(5.8) \quad \Gamma := \{(z, \chi) : z \in Z, \chi \in \mathcal{B}_z^0\},$$

where $\mathcal{B}_z^0 \subset \widehat{B}_z^0$ is a complete set of representatives of the K -orbits in \mathcal{B} . This way, we have

$$(5.9) \quad \bigsqcup_{y \in Y} \widehat{B}_y^0 = \bigsqcup_{(z, \chi) \in \Gamma} {}^K\chi,$$

where ${}^K\chi := \{{}^k\chi : k \in K\}$ is the K -orbit of χ .

Remark 5.4. By Remark 5.1, given $(z, \chi) \in \Gamma$ there exists exactly one $\theta \in \Theta$ such that $hy_0 = z$ and ${}^h\theta = \chi$ for some $h \in H$: in the notation therein, we write $h = h(\chi)$. In this case, θ satisfies the condition $\text{Ker} \left(\overset{\Delta}{\theta} \right) \supseteq h(\chi)^{-1}C + C$. Note that this condition is trivially satisfied if $h(\chi) \in H_\theta$. Finally, for $\theta \in \Theta, y \in Y$, we denote by $\widehat{B}_{y,\theta}^0$ the set of all $\chi \in \widehat{B}_y^0$ such that ${}^{h(\chi)}\theta = \chi$. Note that $\widehat{B}_{y,\theta}^0$ consists of all $\chi \in \widehat{B}_{y,\theta}$ satisfying condition (5.7).

Recall that H_θ stabilizes $\overset{\Delta}{\theta}$ but not θ (cf. (4.12) and (5.4)). In fact, the stabilizer of θ , is $K_\theta = H_\theta \cap K$ (cf. (3.6) and (4.11)).

Lemma 5.5. *Let $\theta \in \Theta$. Then, for $(z, \chi) \in \Gamma$ one has*

$$Kz \cap H_\theta y_0 \neq \emptyset \iff K(z, \chi) \cap H_\theta(y_0, \theta) \neq \emptyset.$$

Moreover, if $z \in Z$ and $Kz \cap H_\theta y_0 \neq \emptyset$ then there exists exactly one $\chi \in \widehat{B}_z^0$ such that $(z, \chi) \in \Gamma$ and $K(z, \chi) \cap H_\theta(y_0, \theta) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. If $z \in Z$ and Kz intersect $H_\theta y_0$, that is, $kh y_0 = z$ for some $h \in H_\theta$ and $k \in K$, then ${}^h\theta \in \widehat{B}_{hy_0,\theta}^0$ (by definition: cf. Remark 5.4) so that, by Proposition 5.3, ${}^{kh}\theta \in \widehat{B}_{z,\theta}^0$. Then by (5.9) the pair $(z, {}^{kh}\theta)$ belongs to the K -orbit of some (unique) $(z, \chi) \in \Gamma$ (with the same z). In particular one obtains the implication \implies . Note that the reverse implication is obvious. Let us now prove uniqueness of χ : if

$$k, k' \in K, \quad h_0, h'_0 \in H_\theta, \quad kh_0 y_0 = z = k'h'_0 y_0, \quad \chi = {}^{kh_0}\theta \quad \text{and} \quad \chi' = {}^{k'h'_0}\theta$$

then $k_1 := k'h'_0 h_0^{-1} k^{-1} \in K_z$ and ${}^{k_1}\chi = \chi'$, so that χ and χ' belong to the same K -orbit in (5.9). \square

Remark 5.6. For $\theta \in \Theta$ let Γ_θ denote the set of all $(z, \chi) \in \Gamma$ such that the equivalent conditions in Lemma 5.5 are satisfied, that is, there exist $k(\chi) \in K$ and $h_0(\chi) \in H_\theta$ such that

$$(5.10) \quad z = k(\chi)h_0(\chi)y_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \chi = {}^{k(\chi)h_0(\chi)}\theta.$$

Note that for $(z, \chi) \in \Gamma_\theta$ the element $h(\chi)$ in Remark 5.4 can be chosen of the form $h(\chi) = k(\chi)h_0(\chi)$ with $k(\chi) \in K$ and $h_0(\chi) \in H_\theta$. Also, observe that $h_0(\chi)$ is defined up to left/right multiplication by elements of K_θ : indeed, if

$$k, k' \in K, \quad h_0, h'_0 \in H_\theta, \quad kh_0 y_0 = z = k'h'_0 y_0 \quad \text{and} \quad {}^{kh_0}\theta = \chi = {}^{k'h'_0}\theta,$$

then $k_2 := h_0^{-1}k^{-1}k'h'_0 \in K_\theta$ and $k^{-1}k' = h_0 k_2 h'_0{}^{-1} \in K \cap H_\theta = K_\theta$, so that $h'_0 = (k'^{-1}k)h_0 k_2$.

For $(z, \chi) \in \Gamma$, we define $\Lambda_{z,\chi} \in L(X)$ by setting

$$(5.11) \quad \Lambda_{z,\chi} := \frac{1}{|K_z| \cdot |C|} \sum_{(k,c) \in K \times C} (k, c) \chi^\sharp = \frac{1}{|K_z|} \sum_{k \in K} ({}^k\chi)^\sharp,$$

where the last equality follows from (5.6) combined with $\chi \in \widehat{B_z}^0$; the normalization factor is chosen in such a way that $\Lambda_{z,\chi}(z, 0_{B_z}) = 1$.

Theorem 5.7. *The set $\{\Lambda_{z,\chi} : (z, \chi) \in \Gamma\}$ is an orthogonal basis for the vector space of all $(K \ltimes C)$ -invariant functions in $L(X)$. Moreover, $\Lambda_{z,\chi}$ vanishes outside $\bigsqcup_{y \in Kz} (y, B_y)$ and its norm is given by*

$$\|\Lambda_{z,\chi}\|_{L(X)} = \frac{\sqrt{|K_\chi| \cdot |B| \cdot |K|}}{|K_z|}.$$

Proof. The fact that each $\Lambda_{z,\chi}$ is $(K \ltimes C)$ -invariant follows immediately from its averaging expression. From (5.6) it follows that $(1_K, a)\chi^\sharp = \overline{\chi}(\pi_y(a))\chi^\sharp$, for all $a \in A$. As a consequence, the χ^\sharp 's yield a decomposition of $L(X)$ into one-dimensional (and therefore irreducible) representations of A (and therefore of C). Let $f \in L(X)$. Suppose that f is $(K \ltimes C)$ -invariant. As f is, in particular, C -invariant, it is necessarily expressed as a linear combination of C -invariant χ^\sharp 's (in other words, f belongs to the isotypic component of the trivial representation of C). Thus, if \mathcal{T}_χ denotes a complete set of representatives for the left cosets of K_χ in K we have

$$f = \sum_{(z,\chi) \in \Gamma} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{T}_\chi} \alpha(z, \chi, k) ({}^k\chi)^\sharp$$

for suitable coefficients $\alpha(z, \chi, k) \in \mathbb{C}$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(k',c) \in K \ltimes C} (k', c)f &= |C| \sum_{(z,\chi) \in \Gamma} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{T}_\chi} \alpha(z, \chi, k) \sum_{k' \in K} ({}^{k'k}\chi)^\sharp && \text{(by (5.6) and (5.7))} \\ &= |C| \cdot |K_\chi| \sum_{(z,\chi) \in \Gamma} \left(\sum_{k \in \mathcal{T}_\chi} \alpha(z, \chi, k) \right) \sum_{k_1 \in \mathcal{T}_\chi} ({}^{k_1}\chi)^\sharp. && (k_1 = k'k) \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{1}{|K \ltimes C|} \sum_{(k',c) \in K \ltimes C} (k', c)$ represents the orthogonal projection onto the vector space of all $(K \ltimes C)$ -invariant vectors, then f is $(K \ltimes C)$ -invariant if and only if

$$f = \frac{1}{|K \ltimes C|} \sum_{(k',c) \in K \ltimes C} (k', c)f,$$

that is, if and only if

$$\alpha(z, \chi, k) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{T}_\chi|} \sum_{k' \in \mathcal{T}_\chi} \alpha(z, \chi, k')$$

so that $\beta(z, \chi) := \alpha(z, \chi, k)$ does not depend on $k \in \mathcal{T}_\chi$. Therefore if f is $(K \ltimes C)$ -invariant we can write

$$f = \sum_{(z,\chi) \in \Gamma} \beta(z, \chi) \sum_{k \in \mathcal{T}_\chi} ({}^k\chi)^\sharp = \sum_{(z,\chi) \in \Gamma} \frac{|K_z| \beta(z, \chi)}{|K_\chi|} \Lambda_{z,\chi} \quad \text{(by (5.11))}$$

and this proves that $\Lambda_{z,\chi}$'s span the $(K \ltimes C)$ -invariant functions in $L(X)$. It follows from its definition (5.11) and the expressions in (5.1) and (5.4) that $\Lambda_{z,\chi}$ vanishes outside

$\bigsqcup_{y \in Kz} (y, B_y)$. Therefore, if $(z, \chi), (z', \chi') \in \Gamma$ and $z \neq z'$ then $\Lambda_{z, \chi}$ and $\Lambda_{z', \chi'}$, having disjoint supports, are orthogonal. If $z = z'$ but $\chi \neq \chi'$ then $\Lambda_{z, \chi}$ and $\Lambda_{z, \chi'}$ are orthogonal by (5.9) and the orthogonal relations of characters.

Finally, we compute the norm:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Lambda_{z, \chi}\|_{L(X)}^2 &= \sum_{(y, b) \in X} |\Lambda_{z, \chi}(y, b)|^2 \\
&= \sum_{y \in Kz} \sum_{b \in B_y} \frac{1}{|Kz|^2} \sum_{k', k \in K} \left({}^k \chi\right)^\#(y, b) \cdot \left({}^k \overline{\chi}\right)^\#(y, b) \\
(\text{by (5.1) and (5.4)}) \quad &= \sum_{y \in Kz} \sum_{b \in B_y} \frac{1}{|Kz|^2} \sum_{\substack{k', k \in K: \\ k'z = kz = y}} {}^{k'} \chi(b) \cdot {}^k \overline{\chi}(b) \\
(b' = k^{-1}b) \quad &= \sum_{y \in Kz} \frac{1}{|Kz|^2} \sum_{\substack{k', k \in K: \\ k'z = kz = y}} \sum_{b' \in B_z} {}^{k'} \chi(kb') \cdot {}^k \overline{\chi}(kb') \\
&= \frac{1}{|Kz|^2} \sum_{\substack{k', k \in K: \\ k^{-1}k'z = z}} \left\langle {}^{k^{-1}k'} \chi, \chi \right\rangle_{L(B_z)} \\
&= \frac{|B|}{|Kz|^2} |\{(k', k) \in K \times K : k^{-1}k' \in K_\chi\}| \\
&= \frac{|K| \cdot |K_\chi| \cdot |B|}{|Kz|^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Evaluating the elements in (5.11) on the representatives (3.9) we obtain (cf. (5.1))

$$(5.12) \quad \Lambda_{z, \chi}(z', d) = \delta_{z, z'} \frac{1}{|Kz|} \sum_{k \in K} \left({}^k \chi\right)^\#(z, d) = \delta_{z, z'} \frac{1}{|Kz|} \sum_{k \in K_z} \chi(k^{-1}d)$$

for all $(z, \chi) \in \Gamma$ and $(z', d) \in \Gamma_0$.

6. THE SPHERICAL FUNCTIONS

In the present section, we assume that the conditions in Corollary 4.8 are satisfied: we then use the notations therein. Let $\theta \in \Theta$. For $j = 0, 1, \dots, n_\theta$, let $u_{\theta, j} \in U_{\theta, j}$ be a unitary K_θ -invariant vector in the representation space of $\sigma_{\theta, j}$, so that the spherical function associated with this irreducible H_θ -representation is given by

$$(6.1) \quad \varphi_{\theta, j}(h) = \langle u_{\theta, j}, \sigma_{\theta, j}(h)u_{\theta, j} \rangle, \quad h \in H_\theta$$

see [7, Corollary 4.6.4]. Moreover, the operator $T_{\theta,j}$ that intertwines $U_{\theta,j}$ with $L(H_\theta/K_\theta)$ is given by (cf. again [7, Corollary 4.6.4])

$$(6.2) \quad [T_{\theta,j}u](h) = \sqrt{\frac{|K_\theta| \dim \sigma_{\theta,j}}{|H_\theta|}} \langle u, \sigma_{\theta,j}(h) u_{\theta,j} \rangle, \quad u \in U_{\theta,j}, h \in H_\theta.$$

In the following remark, we establish some elementary group theoretical counting arguments.

Remark 6.1. (1) Let $k_1, k_2 \in K$ and $h_0 \in H$. Then there exists $h \in H$ such that $k_1 \in hH_\theta$ and $k_2 \in h_0^{-1}hH_\theta$ if and only if $k_2^{-1}h_0^{-1}k_1 \in H_\theta$, and if this is the case then the number of these h s is equal to $|H_\theta|$. Proof of the “only if” part: $k_1 = hh_1, k_2 = h_0^{-1}hh_2$ with $h \in H, h_1, h_2 \in H_\theta \implies k_2^{-1}h_0^{-1}k_1 = h_2^{-1}h^{-1}h_0 \cdot h_0^{-1} \cdot hh_1 = h_2^{-1}h_1 \in H_\theta$. Proof of the “if” part: take $h \in k_1H_\theta$ so that $k_1 \in hH_\theta$ and $k_2 \in h_0^{-1}k_1H_\theta = h_0^{-1}hH_\theta$.
(2) Given $h_0 \in H$, the number of pairs $(k_1, k_2) \in K \times K$ such that $k_2^{-1}h_0^{-1}k_1 \in H_\theta$ is equal to $|(Kh_0K) \cap H_\theta| \cdot |K \cap h_0Kh_0^{-1}|$. Indeed, if $h' \in (Kh_0K) \cap H_\theta$ then there are $|K \cap h_0Kh_0^{-1}|$ pairs $(k_1, k_2) \in K \times K$ such that $k_2^{-1}h_0^{-1}k_1 = h'$ (cf. [12, Remark 11.3.2]).

Let \mathcal{T}_θ (resp. \mathcal{S}_θ) denote a complete set of representatives for the left cosets of H_θ in H (resp. of K_θ in H_θ). Thus, $H = \sqcup_{t \in \mathcal{T}_\theta} tH_\theta$ (resp. $H_\theta = \sqcup_{s \in \mathcal{S}_\theta} sK_\theta$). We also have $H = \sqcup_{t \in \mathcal{T}_\theta} \sqcup_{s \in \mathcal{S}_\theta} tsK_\theta$ and therefore, for all $y \in Y$ and $\chi \in \widehat{B}_y$ there exist unique $t(\chi) \in \mathcal{T}_\theta$, $s(\chi) \in \mathcal{S}_\theta$, and $k(\chi) \in K_\theta$ such that

$$(6.3) \quad h(\chi) = t(\chi)s(\chi)k(\chi),$$

where $h(\chi)$ is as in Remark 5.1.

Theorem 6.2. (1) For $\theta \in \Theta$, $0 \leq j \leq n_\theta$, $h \in H$, and $a \in A$ set

$$(6.4) \quad F_{\theta,j}(h, a) := \frac{h_\theta^\Delta(a)}{\sqrt{|K| \cdot |K_\theta|}} \sum_{h_0 \in (h^{-1}K) \cap H_\theta} \sigma_{\theta,j}(h_0^{-1})^* u_{\theta,j}.$$

Then, $F_{\theta,j}$ is a unitary $(K \rtimes C)$ -invariant vector in $W_{\theta,j} := \text{Ind}_{H_\theta \rtimes A}^{H \rtimes A} (\widetilde{\theta} \otimes \sigma_{\theta,j}^\Delta)$.

(2) The spherical function associated with $F_{\theta,j}$ has the expression

$$(6.5) \quad \Phi_{\theta,j} = \frac{|K_\theta|}{|K|} \sum_{(z, \chi) \in \Gamma_\theta} \frac{|K_z|}{|K_\chi|} \varphi_{\theta,j}(h_0(\chi)) \Lambda_{z, \chi},$$

where Γ_θ and $h_0(\chi)$ are as in Remark 5.6, $\Lambda_{z, \chi}$ is as in (5.11), and $\varphi_{\theta,j}$ is as in (6.1).

(3) The intertwining operator $S_{\theta,j}: W_{\theta,j} \rightarrow L(X)$ associated with $F_{\theta,j}$ is given by

$$(6.6) \quad S_{\theta,j}F_f = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|B|}} \sum_{y \in Y} \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{B}_{y, \theta}} [T_{\theta,j}f(t(\chi))] (s(\chi)) \chi^\sharp, \quad \text{for all } f \in \text{Ind}_{H_\theta}^H U_{\theta,j},$$

where F_f is as in (4.3), $\widehat{B}_{y,\theta}$ and $h(\chi)$ are as in Remark 5.1, $t(\chi)$ and $s(\chi)$ are as in (6.3), and $\chi^\#$ is as in (5.1).

Proof. (1) First of all, we note that $F_{\theta,j} \in W_{\theta,j}$. Indeed, by (4.3) it suffices to observe that the function $f_{\theta,j}: H \rightarrow U_{\theta,j}$ defined by setting

$$(6.7) \quad \begin{aligned} f_{\theta,j}(h) &:= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|K| \cdot |K_\theta|}} \sum_{h_0 \in (h^{-1}K) \cap H_\theta} \sigma_{\theta,j}(h_0^{-1})^* u_{\theta,j} \\ (k = hh_0) &\equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{|K| \cdot |K_\theta|}} \sum_{k \in K \cap (hH_\theta)} \sigma_{\theta,j}(k^{-1}h)^* u_{\theta,j}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $h \in H$, belongs to the space of $\text{Ind}_{H_\theta}^H \sigma_{\theta,j}$ and that $F_{\theta,j} = F_{f_{\theta,j}}$. Note also that, from the condition $K \cap (hH_\theta) \neq \emptyset$, it follows that $f_{\theta,j}$ vanishes outside KH_θ . Then, by (4.6) and [12, (11.3)],

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_{\theta,j}\|^2 &= \|f_{\theta,j}\|^2 \\ (\text{by (6.7)}) &= \frac{1}{|K| \cdot |K_\theta| \cdot |H_\theta|} \sum_{h \in H} \sum_{h_0, h_1 \in (h^{-1}K) \cap H_\theta} \langle \sigma_{\theta,j}(h_0^{-1})^* u_{\theta,j}, \sigma_{\theta,j}(h_1^{-1})^* u_{\theta,j} \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{|K| \cdot |K_\theta| \cdot |H_\theta|} \sum_{h \in H} \sum_{h_0, h_1 \in (h^{-1}K) \cap H_\theta} \langle u_{\theta,j}, \sigma_{\theta,j}(h_0^{-1}h_1) u_{\theta,j} \rangle \\ (h_0 = h^{-1}k_0, h_1 = h^{-1}k_1) &= \frac{1}{|K| \cdot |K_\theta| \cdot |H_\theta|} \sum_{h \in H} \sum_{k_1, k_2 \in K \cap (hH_\theta)} \langle u_{\theta,j}, \sigma_{\theta,j}(k_0^{-1}k_1) u_{\theta,j} \rangle \\ (\text{by Remark 6.1.1, } h_0 = 1) &= \frac{1}{|K| \cdot |K_\theta|} \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2 \in K: \\ k_1^{-1}k_2 \in K_\theta}} \langle u_{\theta,j}, \sigma_{\theta,j}(k_1^{-1}k_2) u_{\theta,j} \rangle \\ (\text{by Remark 6.1.2, } h_0 = 1) &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, suppose that $(k_0, c) \in K \rtimes C$ and $(h, a) \in H \rtimes A$. From (6.7) and $(h^{-1}k_0K) \cap H_\theta = (h^{-1}K) \cap H_\theta$ it follows that $k_0 f_{\theta,j} = f_{\theta,j}$ and, in the notation of Proposition 4.1, for $h = kh'$ with $k \in K$ and $h' \in H_\theta$, we have

$$(6.8) \quad \left[\left(\frac{\triangle}{\bar{\theta}} \right)^b (c) \right] (h) = \frac{\triangle}{\bar{\theta}}(h'^{-1}k^{-1}c) = \frac{\triangle}{\bar{\theta}}(k^{-1}c) = 1.$$

Therefore, from (4.5) we deduce that

$$(k_0, c)F_{\theta,j} \equiv (k_0, c)F_{f_{\theta,j}} = F_{\left(\frac{\triangle}{\bar{\theta}} \right)^b (c) \cdot (k_0 f_{\theta,j})} = F_{\theta,j},$$

where in the last equality we used (6.8) (which only holds for $h \in KH_\theta$) combined with the fact that $f_{\theta,j}$ vanishes outside KH_θ . This ends the proof that $F_{\theta,j}$ is a unitary $(K \rtimes C)$ -invariant vector.

(2) The spherical function $\Phi_{\theta,j}$ associated with $F_{\theta,j}$ may be computed by means of [7, Corollary 4.6.4] and [12, (11.3)] (and taking into account (2.1)): for all $h_0 \in H$ and $a_0 \in A$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \overset{\Delta}{\Phi}_{\theta,j}(h_0, a_0) = \langle F_{\theta,j}, (h_0, a_0) F_{\theta,j} \rangle_{W_{\theta,j}} \\
& \text{(by (4.5) and (4.6))} = \left\langle f_{\theta,j}, \left(\overset{\Delta}{\theta} \right)^b (a_0) \cdot (h_0 f_{\theta,j}) \right\rangle_{\text{Ind}_{H_\theta}^H \sigma_{\theta,j}} \\
& \text{(by (4.4))} = \frac{1}{|H_\theta|} \sum_{h \in H} \theta(\pi(h^{-1} a_0)) \cdot \langle f_{\theta,j}(h), f_{\theta,j}(h_0^{-1} h) \rangle_{U_{\theta,j}} \\
& \text{(by (6.7))} = \frac{1}{|K| \cdot |K_\theta| \cdot |H_\theta|} \sum_{h \in H} \sum_{\substack{k_1 \in K \cap (h H_\theta) \\ k_2 \in K \cap (h_0^{-1} h H_\theta)}} \theta(\pi(k_2^{-1} h_0^{-1} a_0)) \cdot \\
& \quad \cdot \langle \sigma_{\theta,j}(k_1^{-1} h)^* u_{\theta,j}, \sigma_{\theta,j}(k_2^{-1} h_0^{-1} h)^* u_{\theta,j} \rangle_{U_{\theta,j}} \\
& \text{(by Remark 6.1.1)} = \frac{1}{|K| \cdot |K_\theta|} \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2 \in K : \\ k_1^{-1} h_0 k_2 \in H_\theta}} h_0 k_2 \overset{\Delta}{\theta}(a_0) \cdot \\
& \quad \cdot \langle u_{\theta,j}, \sigma_{\theta,j}(k_1^{-1} h_0 k_2) u_{\theta,j} \rangle_{U_{\theta,j}} \\
& \text{(by (6.1))} = \frac{1}{|K| \cdot |K_\theta|} \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2 \in K : \\ k_1^{-1} h_0 k_2 \in H_\theta}} h_0 k_2 \overset{\Delta}{\theta}(a_0) \varphi_{\theta,j}(k_1^{-1} h_0 k_2).
\end{aligned}$$

We have obtained an intermediate expression of the spherical functions as bi- $(K \ltimes C)$ -invariant functions defined on $(H \ltimes A)$. We now use the basis in Theorem 5.7 to get an

expression of these spherical functions as elements in $L(X)$. We have (cf. (2.1) and (5.11)):

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle \overset{\Delta}{\Phi}_{\theta,j}, \overset{\Delta}{\Lambda}_{z,\chi} \right\rangle_{L(H \ltimes A)} &= \frac{1}{|K| \cdot |K_\theta| \cdot |K_z|} \sum_{h \in H, a \in A} \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2 \in K: \\ k_1^{-1} h k_2 \in H_\theta}} \sum_{k \in K} {}^{hk_2} \overset{\Delta}{\theta}(a) \\
&\quad \cdot \varphi_{\theta,j}(k_1^{-1} h k_2) \left(\overset{k}{\chi} \right)^\#(h, a) \\
(\text{by (5.3)}) &= \frac{1}{|K| \cdot |K_\theta| \cdot |K_z|} \sum_{k \in K} \sum_{\substack{h \in H: \\ h y_0 = k z}} \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2 \in K: \\ k_1^{-1} h k_2 \in H_\theta}} \varphi_{\theta,j}(k_1^{-1} h k_2) \\
&\quad \cdot \sum_{a \in A} {}^{hk_2} \overset{\Delta}{\theta}(a) \left(\overset{k}{\chi} \right)^\#(h, a) \\
(\text{by (5.5), } h = h_0) &= \frac{|A|}{|K| \cdot |K_\theta| \cdot |K_z|} \sum_{k \in K} \sum_{\substack{h \in H: \\ h y_0 = k z}} \sum_{\substack{k_2 \in K: \\ k_2 \theta = h^{-1} k_\chi}} \sum_{\substack{k_1 \in K: \\ k_1^{-1} h k_2 \in H_\theta}} \varphi_{\theta,j}(k_1^{-1} h k_2)
\end{aligned}$$

and this is zero unless $\chi \in \widehat{B_{z,\theta}}^0$ (cf. Remark 5.4). If this is the case, then

- all the h 's in the second sum are of the form $h = kh(\chi)k_3$, with $k_3 \in K$ and $h(\chi)$ as in Remark 5.1 and Remark 5.4;
- in the third sum $k_2 = k_3^{-1}k'$, with $k' \in K_\theta$;
- in the fourth sum we have $k_1^{-1} h k_2 = k_1^{-1} k h(\chi) k' \in H_\theta$ if and only if $k_1 \in kh(\chi)H_\theta$.

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle \overset{\Delta}{\Phi}_{\theta,j}, \overset{\Delta}{\Lambda}_{z,\chi} \right\rangle_{L(H \ltimes A)} &= \frac{|A|}{|K_z|} \sum_{k \in K} \sum_{k_1 \in (kh(\chi)H_\theta) \cap K} \varphi_{\theta,j}(k_1^{-1} kh(\chi)) \\
(k_0 := k^{-1}k_1) &= \frac{|A| \cdot |K|}{|K_z|} \sum_{k_0 \in (h(\chi)H_\theta) \cap K} \varphi_{\theta,j}(k_0^{-1} h(\chi)).
\end{aligned}$$

But $(h(\chi)H_\theta) \cap K \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $h(\chi) = kh_1$ with $k \in K$ and $h_1 \in H_\theta$ so that, by Remark 5.6, necessarily $(z, \chi) \in \Gamma_\theta$ and $h(\chi) = k(\chi)h_0(\chi)$, as in (5.10). It follows that

$$(h(\chi)H_\theta) \cap K = (k(\chi)H_\theta) \cap K = k(\chi)K_\theta$$

and setting $k' := k(\chi)^{-1}k_0$, we have $k' \in K_\theta$ and $k_0^{-1}h(\chi) = k'^{-1}h_0(\chi)$, so that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle \overset{\Delta}{\Phi}_{\theta,j}, \overset{\Delta}{\Lambda}_{z,\chi} \right\rangle_{L(H \ltimes A)} &= \frac{|A| \cdot |K|}{|K_z|} \sum_{k' \in K_\theta} \varphi_{\theta,j}(k'^{-1} h_0(\chi)). \\
&= \frac{|A| \cdot |K| \cdot |K_\theta|}{|K_z|} \varphi_{\theta,j}(h_0(\chi)).
\end{aligned}$$

This gives us

$$\langle \Phi_{\theta,j}, \Lambda_{z,\chi} \rangle_{L(X)} = \frac{|B| \cdot |K_\theta|}{|K_z|} \varphi_{\theta,j}(h_0(\chi)).$$

Finally, from the orthogonality relations in Theorem 5.7 we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\theta,j} &= \sum_{(z,\chi) \in \Gamma_\theta} \frac{|K_z|^2}{|K| \cdot |K_\chi| \cdot |B|} \langle \Phi_{\theta,j}, \Lambda_{z,\chi} \rangle_{L(X)} \Lambda_{z,\chi} \\ &= \sum_{(z,\chi) \in \Gamma_\theta} \frac{|K_z| \cdot |K_\theta|}{|K| \cdot |K_\chi|} \varphi_{\theta,j}(h_0(\chi)) \Lambda_{z,\chi}. \end{aligned}$$

(3) From [7, Corollary 4.6.4], [12, (11.3)], (2.1), and (4.24) we get, for all $h_0 \in H, a_0 \in A$, and F_f as in (4.3) (with $f \in \text{Ind}_{H_\theta}^H U_{\theta,j}$),

$$\begin{aligned} \left[S_{\theta,j} \overset{\Delta}{F}_f \right] (h_0, a_0) &= \sqrt{\frac{\dim \sigma_{\theta,j} |K| \cdot |C|}{|A| \cdot |H_\theta|}} \langle (h_0, a_0)^{-1} F_f, F_{\theta,j} \rangle_{W_{\theta,j}} \\ \text{(by (4.5) and (4.6))} \quad &= \sqrt{\frac{\dim \sigma_{\theta,j} |K| \cdot |C|}{|A| \cdot |H_\theta|}} \left\langle \left(\overset{\Delta}{\theta} \right)^b (h_0^{-1} a_0) \cdot (h_0^{-1} f), f_{\theta,j} \right\rangle_{\text{Ind}_{H_\theta}^H \sigma_{\theta,j}} \\ \text{(by (4.4) and (6.7))} \quad &= \frac{\sqrt{\dim \sigma_{\theta,j} |C|}}{|H_\theta|^{3/2} \sqrt{|A| \cdot |K_\theta|}} \sum_{h \in H} \sum_{k \in K \cap (h H_\theta)} h_0 h \overset{\Delta}{\theta}(a_0) \\ &\quad \cdot \langle f(h_0 h), \sigma_{\theta,j}(k^{-1} h)^* u_{\theta,j} \rangle_{U_{\theta,j}} \\ \text{(by (2.2) and } h_1 := h^{-1} k) \quad &= \frac{\sqrt{\dim \sigma_{\theta,j} |C|}}{|H_\theta|^{3/2} \sqrt{|A| \cdot |K_\theta|}} \sum_{h_1 \in H_\theta, k \in K} h_0 k h_1^{-1} \overset{\Delta}{\theta}(a_0) \langle f(h_0 k), u_{\theta,j} \rangle_{U_{\theta,j}} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{\dim \sigma_{\theta,j} |C|}}{\sqrt{|H_\theta| \cdot |A| \cdot |K_\theta|}} \sum_{k \in K} h_0 k \overset{\Delta}{\theta}(a_0) \langle f(h_0 k), u_{\theta,j} \rangle_{U_{\theta,j}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then for all $\chi \in \widehat{B}_y, y \in Y$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle S_{\theta,j} \overset{\Delta}{F}_f, \overset{\Delta}{\chi}^\# \right\rangle_{L(H \rtimes A)} &= \frac{\sqrt{\dim \sigma_{\theta,j} |C|}}{\sqrt{|H_\theta| \cdot |A| \cdot |K_\theta|}} \sum_{a \in A, h \in H} \sum_{k \in K} h k \overset{\Delta}{\theta}(a) \langle f(hk), u_{\theta,j} \rangle_{U_{\theta,j}} \overset{\Delta}{\chi}^\#(a, h) \\ \text{(by (5.3))} \quad &= \frac{\sqrt{\dim \sigma_{\theta,j} |C|}}{\sqrt{|H_\theta| \cdot |A| \cdot |K_\theta|}} \sum_{\substack{h \in H: \\ h y_0 = y}} \sum_{k \in K} \langle f(hk), u_{\theta,j} \rangle_{U_{\theta,j}} \sum_{a \in A} h k \overset{\Delta}{\theta}(a) \overset{\Delta}{\chi}^\#(a, h) \\ \text{(by (5.5))} \quad &= \frac{\sqrt{\dim \sigma_{\theta,j} |C| \cdot |A|}}{\sqrt{|H_\theta| \cdot |K_\theta|}} \sum_{\substack{h \in H: \\ h y_0 = y}} \sum_{\substack{k \in K: \\ k \theta = h^{-1} \chi}} \langle f(hk), u_{\theta,j} \rangle_{U_{\theta,j}} \end{aligned}$$

and, as in the the proof of (2), this is zero unless $\chi \in \widehat{B}_{y,\theta}$ (now, cf. Remark 5.1). If this is the case, then all the h 's in the first sum are of the form $h = h(\chi)k_0$, with $k_0 \in K$ and $h(\chi)$ as in Remark 5.1, while in the second sum $k = k_0^{-1}k'$, with $k' \in K_\theta$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle S_{\theta,j} F_f, \chi^\sharp \right\rangle_{L(H \times A)} &= \frac{\sqrt{\dim \sigma_{\theta,j} |C| \cdot |A|}}{\sqrt{|H_\theta| \cdot |K_\theta|}} \sum_{k_0 \in K} \sum_{k' \in K_\theta} \langle f(h(\chi)k'), u_{\theta,j} \rangle_{U_{\theta,j}} \\ &= |K| \frac{\sqrt{\dim \sigma_{\theta,j} |C| \cdot |A| \cdot |K_\theta|}}{\sqrt{|H_\theta|}} \langle f(h(\chi)), u_{\theta,j} \rangle_{U_{\theta,j}} \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from (2.2) and the K_θ -invariance of $u_{\theta,j}$. Finally,

$$\langle S_{\theta,j} F_f, \chi^\sharp \rangle_{L(X)} = \frac{\sqrt{\dim \sigma_{\theta,j} |A| \cdot |K_\theta|}}{\sqrt{|H_\theta| \cdot |C|}} \langle f(h(\chi)), u_{\theta,j} \rangle_{U_{\theta,j}}$$

and therefore, recalling that $\|\chi^\sharp\|^2 = |B|$ (cf. 5.2),

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\theta,j} F_f &= \sum_{y \in Y} \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{B}_y} \frac{1}{|B|} \langle S_{\theta,j} F_f, \chi^\sharp \rangle_{L(X)} \chi^\sharp \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{\dim \sigma_{\theta,j} |K_\theta|}}{\sqrt{|H_\theta| \cdot |B|}} \sum_{y \in Y} \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{B}_{y,\theta}} \langle f(h(\chi)), u_{\theta,j} \rangle_{U_{\theta,j}} \chi^\sharp \\ \text{(by (6.3))} \quad &= \frac{\sqrt{\dim \sigma_{\theta,j} |K_\theta|}}{\sqrt{|H_\theta| \cdot |B|}} \sum_{y \in Y} \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{B}_{y,\theta}} \langle f(t(\chi)s(\chi)k(\chi)), u_{\theta,j} \rangle_{U_{\theta,j}} \chi^\sharp \\ \text{(by (2.2))} \quad &= \frac{\sqrt{\dim \sigma_{\theta,j} |K_\theta|}}{\sqrt{|H_\theta| \cdot |B|}} \sum_{y \in Y} \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{B}_{y,\theta}} \langle f(t(\chi)), \sigma_{\theta,j}(s(\chi))u_{\theta,j} \rangle_{U_{\theta,j}} \chi^\sharp \\ \text{(by (6.2))} \quad &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|B|}} \sum_{y \in Y} \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{B}_{y,\theta}} [T_{\theta,j} f(t(\chi))] (s(\chi)) \chi^\sharp. \end{aligned}$$

□

We now evaluate a spherical harmonic in Theorem 6.2.3 on an element of X . We use (5.1) and the decomposition of f in [12, (11.8)], namely, $f = \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}_\theta} t f_{u_t}$, where $u_t \in U_{\theta,j}$ and $f_{u_t}(h) = \sigma_{\theta,j}(h^{-1})u_t$, for $h \in H_\theta$, and f_{u_t} vanishes in $H \setminus H_\theta$. The value of (6.6) on

$(y, b) \in X$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.9) \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{|B|}} \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{B}_{y,\theta}} \chi(b) [T_{\theta,j} f(t(\chi))] (s(\chi)) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|B|}} \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}_\theta} \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{B}_{y,\theta}} \chi(b) [T_{\theta,j} t f_{u_t}(t(\chi))] (s(\chi)) \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|B|}} \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}_\theta} \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{B}_{y,\theta}} \chi(b) [T_{\theta,j} f_{u_t}(t^{-1}t(\chi))] (s(\chi)) \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|B|}} \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}_\theta} \sum_{\substack{\chi \in \widehat{B}_{y,\theta} : \\ t(\chi)=t}} \chi(b) [T_{\theta,j} f_{u_t}(1_H)] (s(\chi)) \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|B|}} \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}_\theta} \sum_{\substack{\chi \in \widehat{B}_{y,\theta} : \\ t(\chi)=t}} \chi(b) [T_{\theta,j} u_t] (s(\chi)).
\end{aligned}$$

We are now in a position to compute the spherical functions on the representatives (3.9).

Corollary 6.3. *Let $\theta \in \Theta$ and $j = 0, 1, \dots, n_\theta$. For $(z, d) \in \Gamma_0$, we have*

$$\Phi_{\theta,j}(z, d) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad Kz \cap H_\theta y_0 = \emptyset;$$

otherwise, if (z, χ) is the unique element in Γ_θ with z in the first position, then

$$\Phi_{\theta,j}(z, d) = \frac{|K_\theta|}{|K| \cdot |K_\chi|} \varphi_{\theta,j}(h_0(\chi)) \sum_{k \in K_z} \chi(k^{-1}d),$$

where $h_0(\chi) \in H_\theta$ (here Γ_θ and $h_0(\chi)$ are as in Remark 5.6).

Proof. This follows immediately from Remark 5.6, and formulæ (5.12), and (6.5). \square

The orthogonality relations for the spherical functions may be easily deduced from [7, Corollary 4.6.4] and (4.24): we have

$$(6.10) \quad \|\Phi_{\theta,j}\|_{L(X)}^2 = \frac{|X| \cdot |H_\theta|}{|H| \dim \sigma_{\theta,j}} = \frac{|A| \cdot |H_\theta|}{|K| \cdot |C| \dim \sigma_{\theta,j}}$$

so that, taking into account that the spherical functions are constant on the orbits of $(K \ltimes C)$ on X (whose cardinality are expressed in (3.10)), we get

$$(6.11) \quad \sum_{(z,d) \in \Gamma_0} \Phi_{\theta,j}(z, d) \overline{\Phi_{\theta',j'}(z, d)} \frac{|K| \cdot |C|}{|K_{z,d}| \cdot |hC \cap C|} = \delta_{(\theta,j),(\theta',j')} \frac{|A| \cdot |H_\theta|}{|K| \cdot |C| \dim \sigma_{\theta,j}}$$

for all $\theta, \theta' \in \Theta, 0 \leq j \leq n_\theta$, and $0 \leq j' \leq n_{\theta'}$.

7. THE GROUP THEORETICAL STRUCTURE OF THE q -ANALOG OF THE NONBINARY JOHNSON SCHEME

In this section, we treat the linear algebraic aspects of the q -analog of the nonbinary Johnson scheme in [17, pp. 17-32]. We closely follow Dunkl's paper and extensively use his notation, but we emphasize the characteristic-free features of the approach: we work on finite dimensional vector spaces over an arbitrary field \mathbb{F} (finite fields appear only in Corollary 7.11). Moreover, we use a coordinate-free approach (which was extensively used by Dunkl): in place of matrices, we work with linear operators in order to reveal the underlying group theoretic aspects (semidirect products, groups actions, etc.); in place of bases, we use suitable direct sum decompositions. We also point out when a construction (a map, etc.) is canonical, that is, it does not depend on the choice of a basis. Finally, most of the results are described by using the group theoretical setting that we developed in Section 3.

Let V be a finite dimensional vector space over a field \mathbb{F} . We fix, once and for all, a decomposition $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$ of V as a direct sum of two nontrivial subspaces. Let then $P: V \rightarrow V_1$ denote the projection onto V_1 along V_0 , and set $n := \dim V$ and $m := \dim V_0$. Finally, let \mathcal{G}_n denote the lattice of all subspaces of V and set

$$(7.1) \quad \mathcal{H}_n := \{(U_0, U_1, \Psi): U_0 \leq V_0, U_1 \leq V_1, \Psi \in \text{Hom}(U_1, V_0/U_0)\}.$$

With each $U \in \mathcal{G}_n$ we associate the triple $(U_0, U_1, \Psi) \in \mathcal{H}_n$ defined as follows:

- $U_0 := U \cap V_0$ and $U_1 := P(U)$;
- for $u_1 \in U_1$ let $v_0 \in V_0$ such that $v_0 + u_1 \in U$ and set $\Psi(u_1) := v_0 + U_0 \in V_0/U_0$.

Proposition 7.1. *Ψ is a well defined linear map in $\text{Hom}(U_1, V_0/U_0)$. Moreover, the map*

$$(7.2) \quad U \longmapsto (U_0, U_1, \Psi)$$

yields a bijection between \mathcal{G}_n and \mathcal{H}_n . Finally, $U/U_0 \simeq U_1$, so that $\dim U = \dim U_0 + \dim U_1$.

Proof. Let $u_1 \in U_1$. Then, there exists $u \in U$ such that $P(u) = u_1$. On the other hand, there exist unique $v_0 \in V_0$ and $v_1 \in V_1$ such that $u = v_0 + v_1$. By applying P , we have $u_1 = P(u) = P(v_0) + P(v_1) = P(v_1)$ so that $u_1 = v_1$ and therefore $v_0 + u_1 = u \in U$. Moreover, $u'_0 \in V_0$ satisfies $u'_0 + u_1 \in U$ if and only if $u'_0 - u_0 \in U \cap V_0 = U_0$. As a consequence, $\{u_0 \in V_0: u_0 + u_1 \in U\} = u_0 + U_0$ is an element of V_0/U_0 , and Ψ is well defined. It follows that

$$(7.3) \quad U = \{u_0 + u_1: u_1 \in U_1 \text{ and } u_0 \in \Psi(u_1)\}.$$

Conversely, any triple $(U_0, U_1, \Psi) \in \mathcal{H}_n$ is the image of the unique $U \in \mathcal{G}_n$ defined by means of (7.3). We end the proof by noting that the kernel of the projection $P|_U: U \rightarrow U_1$ is just U_0 so that $U/\text{Ker } P|_U = U/U_0 \cong \text{Im}(P|_U) = P(U) = U_1$. \square

Set

$$(7.4) \quad H \equiv H_{m,n-m} := \text{GL}(V_0) \times \text{GL}(V_1) \quad \text{and} \quad A \equiv A_{n-m,m} := \text{Hom}(V_1, V_0)$$

and define an action of H on A by setting

$$(7.5) \quad (g_0, g_1)T := g_0 T g_1^{-1}, \quad \text{for all } g_0 \in \text{GL}(V_0), g_1 \in \text{GL}(V_1), \text{ and } T \in \text{Hom}(V_1, V_0).$$

Consider the semidirect product $H \ltimes A$ as in Section 3. Fix $0 \leq r \leq m$, $0 \leq s \leq n - m$, and set

$$(7.6) \quad Y \equiv Y_{r,s} := \{(U_0, U_1) : U_0 \leq V_0, U_1 \leq V_1, \dim U_0 = r, \dim U_1 = s\}$$

which is naturally an H -homogeneous space: $(g_0, g_1)(U_0, U_1) := (g_0 U_0, g_1 U_1)$. For each $y \equiv (U_0, U_1) \in Y$ define the vector space

$$(7.7) \quad B_y := \text{Hom}(U_1, V_0/U_0)$$

and a family of isomorphisms as in (3.4) by setting

$$(7.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (g_0, g_1) : \text{Hom}(U_1, V_0/U_0) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(g_1 U_1, V_0/g_0 U_0) \\ \Psi & \longmapsto & (g_0|_{V_0/U_0}) \Psi (g_1^{-1}|_{g_1 U_1}) \end{array}$$

where $g_1^{-1}|_{g_1 U_1}$ is the restriction of g_1^{-1} to $g_1 U_1$ while $g_0|_{V_0/U_0}$ is the linear map $V_0/U_0 \rightarrow V_0/g_0 U_0$ defined by setting $g_0|_{V_0/U_0}(v_0 + U_0) := g_0 v_0 + g_0 U_0$. For the sake of simplicity, we will often write $g_0 \Psi g_1^{-1}$ to denote $(g_0|_{V_0/U_0}) \Psi (g_1^{-1}|_{g_1 U_1})$. For $y \equiv (U_0, U_1) \in Y$ the projection $\pi_y : A \rightarrow B_y$ is given by

$$(7.9) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \pi_y : \text{Hom}(V_1, V_0) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(U_1, V_0/U_0) \\ T & \longmapsto & \pi_{U_0} T \iota_{U_1} \end{array}$$

where $\pi_{U_0} : V_0 \rightarrow V_0/U_0$ is the canonical projection and $\iota_{U_1} : U_1 \rightarrow V_1$ is the inclusion map. We now check the fundamental relation (3.5) in the present setting:

$$h \pi_y T = (g_0, g_1) (\pi_{U_0} T \iota_{U_1}) = g_0|_{V_0/U_0} (\pi_{U_0} T \iota_{U_1}) g_1^{-1}|_{g_1 U_1} = \pi_{g_0 U_0} (g_0 T g_1^{-1}) \iota_{g_1 U_1} = \pi_{h y} h T,$$

for all $h = (g_0, g_1) \in H$, $y = (U_0, U_1) \in Y$, and $T \in A$. Then the homogeneous space X as in Proposition 3.1 is made up of all triples (U_0, U_1, Ψ) with $y = (U_0, U_1) \in Y$ and $\Psi \in B_y$. The action in (3.7) becomes, for all $(g_0, g_1, T) \in H \ltimes A$ and $(U_0, U_1, \Psi) \in X$,

$$(7.10) \quad (g_0, g_1, T)(U_0, U_1, \Psi) = (g_0 U_0, g_1 U_1, \pi_{g_0 U_0} T \iota_{g_1 U_1} + g_0 \Psi g_1^{-1}).$$

The group $H \ltimes A$ also acts on the vector space $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$ in a natural way: for $u = u_0 + u_1 \in V$, $u_0 \in V_0, u_1 \in V_1$, and $(g_0, g_1, T) \in H \ltimes A$, then

$$(7.11) \quad (g_0, g_1, T)(u_0 + u_1) = \overbrace{(g_0 u_0 + T g_1 u_1)}^{\in V_0} + \overbrace{g_1 u_1}^{\in V_1}.$$

This way, $H \ltimes A$ corresponds to a subgroup of $\text{GL}(V)$ and it is precisely the stabilizer of the subspace V_0 (see [7, Sections 8.6 and 8.7] and [17, p.15]). The homogeneous space $\text{GL}(V)/(H \ltimes A)$ is then $\mathcal{G}_{n,m}$, the Grassmannian of all m -dimensional vector subspaces of V . The orbits of $H \ltimes A$ on $\mathcal{G}_{n,m}$ are

$$(7.12) \quad \mathcal{G}_{n,m,k} := \{U \in \mathcal{G}_{n,m} : \dim(U \cap V_0) = k\}, \quad \max\{0, 2m - n\} \leq k \leq m.$$

Proposition 7.2. *The correspondence (7.2) yields a conjugacy between the actions of $H \ltimes A$ on \mathcal{G}_n by means of (7.11) and on \mathcal{H}_n by means of (7.10).*

Proof. Let $U \leq V$. From (7.3) and (7.11) it follows that a vector $v = v_0 + v_1 \in V$, with $v_0 \in V_0$ and $v_1 \in V_1$, belongs to $(g_0, g_1, T)U$ if and only if there exist $u_1 \in U_1$ and $u_0 \in \Psi(u_1)$ such that $v_0 = g_0 u_0 + T g_1 u_1$ and $v_1 = g_1 u_1$. But

$$\begin{aligned} u_0 \in \Psi(u_1) &\iff g_0 u_0 \in g_0 \Psi(u_1) = (g_0 \Psi g_1^{-1})(g_1 u_1) \\ &\iff g_0 u_0 + T g_1 u_1 \in \{(g_0 \Psi g_1^{-1})(g_1 u_1) + [\pi_{g_0 U_0} T \iota_{g_1 U_1}](g_1 u_1)\} \\ &\iff g_0 u_0 + T g_1 u_1 \in (\pi_{g_0 U_0} T \iota_{g_1 U_1} + g_0 \Psi g_1^{-1})(g_1 u_1). \end{aligned}$$

In other words, with respect to (7.2), U corresponds to (U_0, U_1, Ψ) if and only if $(g_0, g_1, T)U$ corresponds to $(g_0, g_1, T)(U_0, U_1, \Psi)$. \square

If we fix $y_0 = (W_0, W_1) \in Y_{r,s}$, then its stabilizer $K_{(W_0, W_1)}$ in H is isomorphic to the direct product of two groups of the same structure of $H \ltimes A$. Namely, if $V_0 = W_0 \oplus Z_0$ and $V_1 = W_1 \oplus Z_1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (7.13) \quad K_{(W_0, W_1)} &\cong (H_{r, m-r} \ltimes A_{m-r, r}) \times (H_{s, n-m-s} \ltimes A_{n-m-s, s}) \\ &= \{(g_{00}, g_{01}, T_0), (g_{10}, g_{11}, T_1) : g_{00} \in \text{GL}(W_0), g_{01} \in \text{GL}(Z_0), \\ &\quad T_0 \in \text{Hom}(Z_0, W_0), g_{10} \in \text{GL}(W_1), g_{11} \in \text{GL}(Z_1), T_1 \in \text{Hom}(Z_1, W_1)\}, \end{aligned}$$

where the action of $k_0 := (g_{00}, g_{01}, T_0)$ (resp. $k_1 := (g_{10}, g_{11}, T_1)$) on $V_0 = W_0 \oplus Z_0$ (resp. $V_1 = W_1 \oplus Z_1$) is defined as in (7.11). Moreover,

$$(7.14) \quad C = \text{Ker } \pi_{y_0} = \{T \in \text{Hom}(V_1, V_0) : T(W_1) \leq W_0\} \cong \text{Hom}(W_1, W_0) \oplus \text{Hom}(Z_1, V_0).$$

Finally, for $\max\{2r - m, 0\} \leq h \leq r$ and $\max\{2s - n + m, 0\} \leq i \leq s$, select

$$(7.15) \quad z_{h,i} := (U_{0,h}, U_{1,i}) \in Y_{r,s} \text{ such that } \dim(W_0 \cap U_{0,h}) = h \text{ and } \dim(W_1 \cap U_{1,i}) = i.$$

Then the family of all pairs $(U_{0,h}, U_{1,i})$ constitutes a complete set of representatives for the orbits of $K_{(W_0, W_1)}$ on $Y_{r,s}$. Indeed, $Y_{r,s}$ clearly coincides with $\mathcal{G}_{m,r} \times \mathcal{G}_{n-m,s}$, and, taking (7.13) into account, we apply (7.12) to $K_{(W_0, W_1)}$.

Denote by V' the dual vector space of V and, for $U \leq V$, denote by U° the annihilator of U , that is, the vector subspace consisting of all $\phi \in V'$ such that $\phi(u) = 0$ for all $u \in U$. For $g \in \text{GL}(V)$ define $g^t \in \text{GL}(V')$ by setting

$$(7.16) \quad [g^t \phi](v) := \phi(g^{-1}v)$$

for all $\phi \in V'$ and $v \in V$. Then, the map $\text{GL}(V) \ni g \mapsto g^t \in \text{GL}(V')$ is an isomorphism. Moreover, if denoting by \mathcal{G}'_n the set of all vector subspaces of V' , then the map $\mathcal{G}_n \ni U \mapsto U^\circ \in \mathcal{G}'_n$ is a bijection and we have

$$(7.17) \quad (gU)^\circ = g^t(U^\circ)$$

for all $g \in \text{GL}(V)$ and $U \in \mathcal{G}_n$. Indeed, for $\phi \in V'$, $U \in \mathcal{G}_n$, and $g \in \text{GL}(V)$, one has $\phi \in (gU)^\circ$ if and only if $0 = \phi(gu) = [(g^{-1})^t \phi](u)$ for all $u \in U$, that is, if and only if $\phi \in g^t(U^\circ)$. In the following, for the sake of simplicity, we identify $\text{GL}(V)$ and $\text{GL}(V')$ via (7.16). It follows that (in $\text{GL}(V)$) the stabilizer of U° equals the stabilizer of U . Since $(U \cap V_0)^\circ = U^\circ + V_0^\circ$ (cf. [24, Theorem 3.15]), in our setting, the orbits of $H \ltimes A$ (the stabilizer of V_0) in $\mathcal{G}'_{n, n-m}$ (the Grassmannian formed by all $(n - m)$ -dimensional vector

subspaces of V') are exactly the sets $\mathcal{G}'_{n,n-m,k} := \{U' \in \mathcal{G}'_{n,n-m} : \dim(U' + V_0^\circ) = n - k\}$, for $\max\{0, 2m - n\} \leq k \leq m$. Note that $\mathcal{G}'_{n,n-m,k}$ is the image of $\mathcal{G}_{n,m,k}$ in (7.12) under the correspondence $U \mapsto U^\circ$. Finally, recall that $U \leq W$ in V implies that $W^\circ \leq U^\circ$ in V' .

Consider now the natural action of H on $\text{Hom}(V_0, V_1)$ given by setting $(g_0, g_1)S := g_1 S g_0^{-1}$, for all $(g_0, g_1) \in H$ and $S \in \text{Hom}(V_0, V_1)$.

Let $S \in \text{Hom}(V_0, V_1)$ and fix decompositions $V_0 = \text{Ker}S \oplus Z_0$ and $V_1 = \text{Im}S \oplus Z_1$.

Lemma 7.3. *The stabilizer H_S of S in H is made up of all elements in $K_{(\text{Ker}S, \text{Im}S)}$ as in (7.13) such that $S|_{Z_0} = g_{10} S g_{01}^{-1}$.*

Proof. Let $(g_0, g_1) \in H$ and suppose that it stabilizes S . Then g_0 stabilizes $\text{Ker}S$ and g_1 stabilizes $\text{Im}S$, so that $(g_0, g_1) \in K_{(\text{Ker}S, \text{Im}S)}$. Moreover, from (3.2), (7.5), (7.11), and (7.13) (with (W_0, W_1) replaced by $(\text{Ker}S, \text{Im}S)$), it follows that, for all $u_0 \in \text{Ker}S$ and $z_0 \in Z_0$,

$$(7.18) \quad \begin{aligned} (g_{10}, g_{11}, T_1)S(g_{00}, g_{01}, T_0)^{-1}(u_0 + z_0) &= (g_{10}, g_{11}, T_1)S(g_{00}^{-1}, g_{01}^{-1}, -g_{00}^{-1}T_0g_{01})(u_0 + z_0) \\ &= (g_{10}, g_{11}, T_1)S[(g_{00}^{-1}u_0 - g_{00}^{-1}T_0z_0) + g_{01}^{-1}z_0] \\ (g_{00}^{-1}u_0 - g_{00}^{-1}T_0z_0 \in \text{Ker}S) &= (g_{10}, g_{11}, T_1)Sg_{01}^{-1}z_0 \\ (Sg_{01}^{-1}z_0 \in \text{Im}S) &= g_{10}Sg_{01}^{-1}z_0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $S(u_0 + z_0) = Sz_0$, we deduce that $(g_{10}, g_{11}, T_1)S(g_{00}, g_{01}, T_0)^{-1} = S$ if and only if $S|_{Z_0} = g_{10} S g_{01}^{-1}$. \square

The orbits of H on $\text{Hom}(V_0, V_1)$ are parameterized by the rank, so that we define $\text{Hom}_\ell(V_0, V_1)$ as the set of all linear maps from V_0 to V_1 of rank $\ell = 0, 1, \dots, \min\{n - m, m\}$, so that

$$\text{Hom}(V_0, V_1) = \bigsqcup_{\ell=0}^{\min\{n-m, m\}} \text{Hom}_\ell(V_0, V_1)$$

is the decomposition into H -orbits. For $\ell = 0, 1, \dots, \min\{n - m, m\}$, pick $S_\ell \in \text{Hom}_\ell(V_0, V_1)$. Then

$$(7.19) \quad \{S_\ell : \ell = 0, 1, \dots, \min\{m, n - m\}\}$$

is a set of representatives for these orbits.

If $u_0 \in \text{Ker}S$, $z_0 \in Z_0$, $u_1 \in \text{Im}S$, $z_1 \in Z_1$, and $((g_{00}, g_{01}, T_0), (g_{10}, g_{11}, T_1)) \in K_{(\text{Ker}S, \text{Im}S)}$, then, by (7.11),

$$((g_{00}, g_{01}, T_0), (g_{10}, g_{11}, T_1))(u_0 + z_0 + u_1 + z_1) = (g_{00}u_0 + T_0g_{01}z_0) + g_{01}z_0 + (g_{10}u_1 + T_1g_{11}z_1) + g_{11}z_1$$

so that, for $z_0 = 0$,

$$(7.20) \quad ((g_{00}, g_{01}, T_0), (g_{10}, g_{11}, T_1)) [u_0 + (z_1 + \text{Im}S)] = g_{00}u_0 + (g_{11}z_1 + \text{Im}S).$$

Then with each $h = ((g_{00}, g_{01}, T_0), (g_{10}, g_{11}, T_1)) \in H_S \leq K_{(\text{Ker}S, \text{Im}S)}$ (cf. Lemma (7.3)) we associate the linear map $\mathcal{L}_h \in \text{GL}(\text{Ker}S) \times \text{GL}(V_1/\text{Im}S)$ defined by means of (7.20):

$$(7.21) \quad \mathcal{L}_h [u_0 + (z_1 + \text{Im}S)] := g_{00}u_0 + (g_{11}z_1 + \text{Im}S).$$

Proposition 7.4. *Let $\mathcal{L}: H_S \rightarrow \text{GL}(\text{Ker}S) \times \text{GL}(V_1/\text{Im}S)$ denote the map defined by (7.21). Then the following holds.*

- (1) \mathcal{L} is a surjective group homomorphism;
- (2) $\text{Ker}(\mathcal{L})$ consists of all elements $h = ((g_{00}, g_{01}, T_0), (g_{10}, g_{11}, T_1)) \in H_S$ such that $g_{00} = \text{Id}_{\text{Ker}S}$ and $g_{11} = \text{Id}_{Z_1}$;
- (3) Suppose that $W_0 \leq \text{Ker}S$ and $\text{Im}S \leq W_1$. Then the \mathcal{L} -image of $K_{(W_0, W_1)} \cap H_S$ is the stabilizer of $(W_0, W_1/\text{Im}S)$ in $\text{GL}(\text{Ker}S) \times \text{GL}(V_1/\text{Im}S)$.

Proof. (1) Surjectivity is obvious: by Lemma 7.3, the elements $g_{00} \in \text{GL}(\text{Ker}S)$ and $g_{11} \in \text{GL}(Z_1)$ are arbitrary.

Also, given $h = ((g_{00}, g_{01}, T_0), (g_{01}, g_{11}, T_1))$ and $h' = ((g'_{00}, g'_{01}, T'_0), (g'_{01}, g'_{11}, T'_1))$ in H_S ,

$$\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{L}_{h'} [u_0 + (z_1 + \text{Im}S)] = \mathcal{L}_h [g'_{00} u_0 + (g'_{11} z_1 + \text{Im}S)] = g_{00} g'_{00} u_0 + (g_{11} g'_{11} z_1 + \text{Im}S),$$

and from (3.1) we immediately conclude that $\mathcal{L}_h \mathcal{L}_{h'} = \mathcal{L}_{hh'}$. This shows that \mathcal{L} is a surjective group homomorphism.

(2) The expression for the kernel of \mathcal{L} is immediately deduced from (7.21).

(3) Observe that \mathcal{L}_h stabilizes $(W_0, W_1/\text{Im}S)$ if and only if $g_{00} z_0 \in W_0$ (resp. $g_{11} z_1 + \text{Im}S \in W_1/\text{Im}S$, equivalently, $g_{11} z_1 \in W_1$) for all $z_0 \in W_0$ (resp. $z_1 \in W_1$), that is, h stabilizes (W_0, W_1) . \square

Suppose that $W_0 \leq \text{Ker}S$ and $\text{Im}S \leq W_1$. It follows from Proposition 7.4.(2) that the kernel of \mathcal{L} is contained in $K_{(W_0, W_1)} \cap H_S$. From the Third Isomorphism Theorem for groups and Proposition 7.4.(1) and (3), we then deduce that $\mathcal{L}: H_S \rightarrow \text{GL}(\text{Ker}S) \times \text{GL}(V_1/\text{Im}S)$ induces a group isomorphism

$$H_S / (K_{(W_0, W_1)} \cap H_S) \cong (\text{GL}(\text{Ker}S) \times \text{GL}(V_1/\text{Im}S)) / \text{Stab}(W_0, W_1/\text{Im}S).$$

Since $\text{Stab}(W_0, W_1/\text{Im}S) = \text{Stab}(W_0) \times \text{Stab}(W_1/\text{Im}S)$, we deduce the following:

Corollary 7.5. *Let $(W_0, W_1) \in Y_{r,s}$ such that $W_0 \leq \text{Ker}S$ and $\text{Im}S \leq W_1$, and set $\ell := \text{rk}(S)$. Then, as homogeneous spaces,*

$$(7.22) \quad \begin{aligned} H_S / (K_{(W_0, W_1)} \cap H_S) &\cong \text{GL}(\text{Ker}S) / \text{Stab}(W_0) \times \text{GL}(V_1/\text{Im}S) / \text{Stab}(W_1/\text{Im}S) \\ &\equiv \mathcal{G}_{m-\ell, r} \times \mathcal{G}_{n-m-\ell, s-\ell}. \end{aligned}$$

\square

For vector subspaces $U_0 \leq V_0$ and $U_1 \leq V_1$ set

$$(7.23) \quad D_{(U_0, U_1)} := \{\Omega \in \text{Hom}(V_0, V_1) : \text{Ker}\Omega \geq U_0, \text{Im}\Omega \leq U_1\}$$

and, for every $\Omega \in D_{(U_0, U_1)}$, denote by $\Omega_{U_0, U_1} \in \text{Hom}(V_0/U_0, U_1)$ the quotient operator defined by setting

$$(7.24) \quad \Omega_{U_0, U_1}(v_0 + U_0) = \Omega(v_0),$$

for all $v_0 + U_0 \in V_0/U_0$. Clearly,

$$(7.25) \quad \Omega = \iota_{U_1} \Omega_{U_0, U_1} \pi_{U_0},$$

and the map $D_{(U_0, U_1)} \ni \Omega \mapsto \Omega_{U_0, U_1} \in \text{Hom}(V_0/U_0, U_1)$ is a vector space isomorphism. The group H (cf. (7.4)) acts on $\sqcup_{y \in Y} D_y$ in the obvious way: for $(g_0, g_1) \in H$, $(U_0, U_1) \in Y$, and $\Omega \in D_{(U_0, U_1)}$, then $(g_0, g_1)\Omega \equiv g_1\Omega g_0^{-1} \in D_{(g_0 U_0, g_1 U_1)}$.

Fix $y_0 = (W_0, W_1) \in Y_{r,s}$. Then, for $\Omega \in D_{(W_0, W_1)}$, $k \in K_{(W_0, W_1)}$ as in (7.13), $w_0 \in W_0$, and $z_0 \in Z_0$, arguing as in (7.18) we get:

(7.26)

$$\begin{aligned} [k\Omega](w_0 + z_0) &= (g_{10}, g_{11}, T_1)\Omega(g_{00}^{-1}, g_{01}^{-1}, -g_{00}^{-1}T_0g_{01})(w_0 + z_0) \\ &= (g_{10}, g_{11}, T_1)\Omega[(g_{00}^{-1}w_0 - g_{00}^{-1}T_0z_0) + g_{01}^{-1}z_0] \\ (g_{00}^{-1}w_0 - g_{00}^{-1}T_0z_0 \in W_0 \leq \text{Ker}\Omega) &= (g_{10}, g_{11}, T_1)\Omega g_{01}^{-1}z_0 \\ (\Omega g_{01}^{-1}z_0 \in \text{Im}\Omega \leq W_1) &= g_{10}\Omega g_{01}^{-1}z_0. \end{aligned}$$

If also $\Omega' \in D_{(W_0, W_1)}$ and $\text{rk}(\Omega') = \text{rk}(\Omega)$, then $\text{rk}(\Omega'|_{Z_0}) = \text{rk}(\Omega|_{Z_0})$ so that there exist $g_{01} \in \text{GL}(Z_0)$ and $g_{10} \in \text{GL}(W_1)$ such that $g_{10}(\Omega|_{Z_0})g_{01}^{-1} = \Omega'|_{Z_0}$. From (7.26) it follows that there exists $k \in K_{(W_0, W_1)}$ such that $k\Omega = \Omega'$. Then, for $\ell = 0, 1, \dots, \min\{m-r, s\}$ we choose $\Omega_\ell \in D_{(W_0, W_1)}$ such that $\text{rk}(\Omega_\ell) = \ell$ so that

$$(7.27) \quad \{\Omega_\ell : \ell = 0, 1, \dots, \min\{m-r, s\}\}$$

constitutes a complete set of representatives for the orbits of $K_{(W_0, W_1)}$ on $D_{(W_0, W_1)}$.

Lemma 7.6. *Let $(W_0, W_1) \in Y_{r,s}$. Then, for $U_0 \leq V_0$, $U_1 \leq V_1$, and $\Omega \in D_{(U_0, U_1)}$, one has $\text{Tr}[\Omega T] = 0$ for all $T \in C$ (cf. (7.14)) if and only if $\text{Ker}\Omega \geq (W_0 + U_0)$ and $\text{Im}\Omega \leq W_1 \cap U_1$, that is, if and only if $\Omega \in D_{(W_0 + U_0, W_1 \cap U_1)}$.*

Proof. Consider the decomposition

$$(7.28) \quad V_0 = X_0 \oplus X_1 \oplus X_2 \oplus X_3,$$

where $X_0 := W_0 \cap U_0$, X_1 (resp. X_2) is a complementary subspace of X_0 in W_0 (resp. U_0) so that $W_0 = X_0 \oplus X_1$ (resp. $U_0 = X_0 \oplus X_2$), and X_3 is a complementary subspace of $U_0 + W_0 = X_0 \oplus X_1 \oplus X_2$ in V_0 . We may also suppose that $Z_0 = X_2 \oplus X_3$ (the choice of the complementary subspace Z_0 in (7.13) is arbitrary). Analogously, consider the decomposition

$$(7.29) \quad V_1 = Y_0 \oplus Y_1 \oplus Y_2 \oplus Y_3,$$

where $Y_0 := W_1 \cap U_1$, Y_1 (resp. Y_2) is a complementary subspace of Y_0 in W_1 (resp. U_1) so that $W_1 = Y_0 \oplus Y_1$ (resp. $U_1 = Y_0 \oplus Y_2$), and Y_3 is a complementary subspace of $U_1 + W_1 = Y_0 \oplus Y_1 \oplus Y_2$ in V_1 . Once again, we may also suppose that $Z_1 = Y_2 \oplus Y_3$. Then every $T \in C$ (resp. $\Omega \in D_{(U_0, U_1)}$) admits a decomposition

$$T = \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^1 \bigoplus_{j=0}^1 T_{ij} \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=2}^3 \bigoplus_{j=0}^3 T_{ij} \right),$$

with $T_{ij} \in \text{Hom}(Y_i, X_j)$ (resp.

$$\Omega = \Omega_{10} \oplus \Omega_{12} \oplus \Omega_{30} \oplus \Omega_{32},$$

with $\Omega_{ji} \in \text{Hom}(X_j, Y_i)$ and therefore

$$\text{Tr}[\Omega T] = \text{Tr}[\Omega_{10}T_{01}] + \text{Tr}[\Omega_{12}T_{21}] + \text{Tr}[\Omega_{32}T_{23}].$$

It follows that $\text{Tr}[\Omega T] = 0$ for all $T \in C$ if and only if $\Omega_{10} = \Omega_{12} = \Omega_{32} = 0$, equivalently, $\Omega \equiv \Omega_{30} \in \text{Hom}(X_3, Y_0)$, and this holds if and only if $\text{Ker}\Omega \geq X_0 \oplus X_1 \oplus X_2 \equiv U_0 + W_0$ and $\text{Im}\Omega \leq Y_0 = U_1 \cap W_1$. \square

For $U_0 \leq V_0$ and $U_1 \leq V_1$ as above, and $\ell \geq 0$, denote by $D_{(U_0, U_1)}^\ell$ the set of all $\Omega \in D_{(U_0, U_1)}$ such that $\text{rk}(\Omega) = \ell$. By means of (7.26), we can compute the action of an element $k = (k_0, k_1) \in K_{(W_0, W_1)}$ as in (7.13) on an operator $\Omega \in D_{(W_0 + U_0, W_1 \cap U_1)}$. Clearly, $k\Omega \equiv k_1\Omega k_0^{-1} \in D_{(W_0 + k_0U_0, W_1 \cap k_1U_1)}$ so that, using the decompositions in the proof of Lemma 7.6, we deduce that if $x_i \in k_0X_i$, $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$, then $[k\Omega](x_0 + x_1 + x_2 + x_3) = (g_{10}|_{W_1 \cap U_1})\Omega_{30}g_{01}^{-1}x_3$, that is,

$$(7.30) \quad [k\Omega]_{W_0 + k_0U_0, W_1 \cap k_1U_1} = (g_{10}|_{W_1 \cap U_1})\Omega_{W_0 + U_0, W_1 \cap U_1}(g_{01}^{-1}|_{V_0/(W_0 + k_0U_0)}),$$

where $g_{01}^{-1}|_{V_0/(W_0 + k_0U_0)}$ is defined as in (7.8).

With i and h as in (7.15) and for

$$(7.31) \quad 0 \leq \ell \leq \min\{i, m - 2r + h\},$$

we choose $\Omega_{h,i}^\ell \in D_{(W_0 + U_{0,h}, W_1 \cap U_{1,i})}^\ell$. It follows from (7.30) that the set Γ' of all triples

$$(7.32) \quad (U_{0,h}, U_{1,i}, \Omega_{h,i}^\ell)$$

is a complete set of representatives for the orbits of K on $\{(U_0, U_1, \Omega) : (U_0, U_1) \in Y_{r,s}, \Omega \in D_{(W_0 + U_0, W_1 \cap U_1)}\}$.

We now examine the condition in Lemma 5.5 in the present setting.

Lemma 7.7. *Let $\Omega_\ell \in D_{(W_0, W_1)}$ as in (7.27). Then the H_{Ω_ℓ} -orbit of (W_0, W_1) intersects the $K_{(W_0, W_1)}$ -orbit of $(U_{0,h}, U_{1,i})$ (cf. (7.15)) if and only if $h \geq 2r - m + \ell$ and $i \geq \ell$.*

Proof. Recall that $\Omega_\ell \in \text{Hom}(V_0, V_1)$ with $\text{Ker}\Omega_\ell \geq W_0$ and $\text{Im}\Omega_\ell \leq W_1$, and $(g_0, g_1) \in H$ fixes Ω_ℓ if $g_1\Omega_\ell g_0^{-1} = \Omega_\ell$. It follows that if $(g_0, g_1) \in H_{\Omega_\ell}$ and $(U_0, U_1) = (g_0, g_1)(W_0, W_1)$, then, on the one hand, $\Omega_\ell U_0 = g_1\Omega_\ell g_0^{-1}(g_0W_0) = g_1\Omega_\ell W_0 = 0$ (since $W_0 \leq \text{Ker}\Omega_\ell$) so that $U_0 \leq \text{Ker}\Omega_\ell$, and, on the other hand, $\Omega_\ell V_0 = g_1\Omega_\ell g_0^{-1}V_0 \leq g_1\Omega_\ell V_0 \leq g_1W_1 = U_1$, so that $\text{Im}\Omega_\ell \leq U_1$. (Alternatively, recall that $H_{\Omega_\ell} \leq K_{(\text{Ker}\Omega_\ell, \text{Im}\Omega_\ell)}$, by Lemma 7.3.) Consequently, if the orbits in the statement intersect, since $W_0 + U_0 \leq \text{Ker}\Omega_\ell$, $h = \dim(W_0 \cap U_{0,h})$, $r = \dim W_0 = \dim U_0$, and $m = \dim V_0$, from the Grassmann identity we deduce that

$$h = \dim(W_0 \cap U_{0,h}) = \dim(W_0 \cap U_0) = 2r - \dim(W_0 + U_0) \geq 2r - \dim \text{Ker}\Omega_\ell = 2r - (m - \ell)$$

and, since $\text{Im}\Omega_\ell \leq W_1 \cap U_1$, trivially

$$i = \dim(W_1 \cap U_{1,i}) = \dim(W_1 \cap U_1) \geq \dim(\text{Im}\Omega_\ell) = \text{rk}(\Omega_\ell) = \ell.$$

Conversely, suppose that $h \geq 2r - m + \ell$ (so that, once again by the Grassmann identity, $\dim(W_0 + U_{0,h}) = 2r - h \leq m - \ell = \dim(\text{Ker}\Omega_\ell)$) and $i \geq \ell$ (so that $\dim(W_1 \cap U_{1,i}) = i \geq \ell = \text{rk}(\Omega_\ell) = \dim(\text{Im}\Omega_\ell)$). Then we can find $k = (k_0, k_1) \in K_{(W_0, W_1)}$ such that

$$k_0(W_0 + U_{0,h}) \equiv W_0 + (k_0U_{0,h}) \leq \text{Ker}\Omega_\ell \quad \text{and} \quad k_1(W_1 \cap U_{1,i}) \equiv W_1 \cap (k_1U_{1,i}) \geq \text{Im}\Omega_\ell.$$

From Proposition 7.4 it follows that H_{Ω_ℓ} “induces” all the operators in $\text{GL}(\text{Ker}\Omega_\ell) \times \text{GL}(V_1/\text{Im}\Omega_\ell)$, so that there exists $(g_0, g_1) \in H_{\Omega_\ell}$ such that $(g_0, g_1)(W_0, W_1) = (k_0 U_{0h}, k_1 U_{1i})$. Now, the latter clearly belongs to both the H_{Ω_ℓ} -orbit of (W_0, W_1) and the $K_{(W_0, W_1)}$ -orbit of (U_{0h}, U_{1i}) . \square

Now suppose that $(U_0, U_1, \Psi), (W_0, W_1, \Phi) \in X$. Since $U_0 \leq U_0 + W_0 \leq V_0$ we have a canonical projection $\pi_{U_0, W_0}: V_0/U_0 \rightarrow V_0/(U_0 + W_0)$, whose kernel is $(U_0 + W_0)/U_0 \simeq W_0/(U_0 \cap W_0)$; similarly, we define $\pi_{W_0, U_0}: V_0/W_0 \rightarrow V_0/(U_0 + W_0)$.

$$(7.33) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & V_0/U_0 & & \\ & \nearrow \Psi|_{U_1 \cap W_1} & & \searrow \pi_{U_0, W_0} & \\ U_1 \cap W_1 & & & & V_0/(U_0 + W_0) \\ & \searrow \Phi|_{U_1 \cap W_1} & & \nearrow \pi_{W_0, U_0} & \\ & & V_0/W_0 & & \end{array}$$

Lemma 7.8. *Let $\Omega \in D_{(W_0+U_0, W_1 \cap U_1)}$ and $\Psi \in B_{(U_0, U_1)}$. Then*

$$(7.34) \quad \text{Tr} [\Omega_{U_0, U_1} \Psi] = \text{Tr} [\Omega_{U_0+W_0, U_1 \cap W_1} (\pi_{U_0, W_0} \Psi|_{U_1 \cap W_1})].$$

Proof. Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 7.6 and using the same notation therein, one easily finds that

$$\text{Tr} [\Omega_{U_0, U_1} \Psi] = \text{Tr} [\Omega_{30} \tilde{\Psi}_{03}],$$

where $\tilde{\Psi}_{03}: Y_0 \rightarrow X_3$ in the decomposition

$$\Psi = \Psi_{01} + \Psi_{03} + \Psi_{21} + \Psi_{23} \quad \text{with} \quad \Psi_{ij} \in \text{Hom}(Y_i, (X_j \oplus U_0)/U_0)$$

and $\tilde{\Psi}_{03}: Y_0 \rightarrow X_3$ is defined by means of $(X_3 \oplus U_0)/U_0 \simeq X_3$. \square

For $\Psi \in B_{(U_0, U_1)} = \text{Hom}(U_1, V_0/U_0)$ and $\Phi \in B_{(W_0, W_1)} = \text{Hom}(W_1, V_0/W_0)$ set (cf. (7.33))

$$(7.35) \quad \Psi \cap \Phi := \{v \in U_1 \cap W_1: \pi_{U_0, W_0}[\Psi(v)] = \pi_{W_0, U_0}[\Phi(v)]\}$$

and define $\delta: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ by

$$(7.36) \quad \delta((U_0, U_1, \Psi), (W_0, W_1, \Phi)) := \left(\dim(U_0 \cap W_0), \dim(U_1 \cap W_1), \dim(\Psi \cap \Phi) \right).$$

Theorem 7.9. *The pairs $((U_0, U_1, \Psi), (W_0, W_1, \Phi))$ and $((U'_0, U'_1, \Psi'), (W'_0, W'_1, \Phi'))$ belong to the same diagonal $(H \ltimes A)$ -orbit in $X \times X$ if and only if*

$$(7.37) \quad \delta((U_0, U_1, \Psi), (W_0, W_1, \Phi)) = \delta((U'_0, U'_1, \Psi'), (W'_0, W'_1, \Phi')).$$

Proof. The pairs in the statement belong to the same diagonal $(H \ltimes A)$ -orbit in $X \times X$ if there exists $(g_0, g_1, T) \in H \ltimes A$ such that

$$(7.38) \quad (g_0, g_1, T)(U_0, U_1, \Psi) = (U'_0, U'_1, \Psi') \text{ and } (g_0, g_1, T)(W_0, W_1, \Phi) = (W'_0, W'_1, \Phi'),$$

that is (cf. (7.10)),

$$(7.39) \quad \begin{cases} g_0 U_0 = U'_0, & g_0 W_0 = W'_0 \\ g_1 U_1 = U'_1, & g_1 W_1 = W'_1 \\ \pi_{U'_0} T \iota_{U'_1} + g_0 \Psi g_1^{-1} = \Psi' \\ \pi_{W'_0} T \iota_{W'_1} + g_0 \Phi g_1^{-1} = \Phi'. \end{cases}$$

If this is the case, we immediately have that $\dim(U_0 \cap W_0) = \dim(U'_0 \cap W'_0)$ and $\dim(U_1 \cap W_1) = \dim(U'_1 \cap W'_1)$. We claim that g_1 yields a linear isomorphism $\Psi \cap \Phi \rightarrow \Psi' \cap \Phi'$. Indeed, for $v \in \Psi \cap \Phi$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{U'_0, W'_0}[\Psi'(g_1 v)] &= \pi_{g_0 U_0, g_0 W_0}[\pi_{g_0 U_0} T(g_1 v) + g_0 \Psi(v)] \\ &= \pi_{g_0 U_0, g_0 W_0}[g_0 \Psi(v)] \\ &= g_0 \pi_{U_0, W_0}[\Psi(v)] \\ &= g_0 \pi_{W_0, U_0}[\Phi(v)] \quad (\text{as } v \in \Psi \cap \Phi) \\ &= \pi_{g_0 W_0, g_0 U_0}[g_0 \Phi(v)] \\ &= \pi_{g_0 W_0, g_0 U_0}[\pi_{g_0 W_0} T(g_1 v) + g_0 \Phi(v)] \\ &= \pi_{W'_0, U'_0}[\Phi'(g_1 v)], \end{aligned}$$

and the claim follows. We deduce that $\dim(\Psi \cap \Phi) = \dim(g_1(\Psi \cap \Phi)) = \dim(\Psi' \cap \Phi')$, so that (7.37) holds, showing the only if part.

Conversely, assume (7.37). Decompose V_0 as

$$(7.40) \quad V_0 = X_0 \oplus X_1 \oplus X_2 \oplus X_3 = X'_0 \oplus X'_1 \oplus X'_2 \oplus X'_3,$$

where

- $X_0 := U_0 \cap W_0$ and $X'_0 := U'_0 \cap W'_0$,
- X_1, X_2, X'_1 and X'_2 are complementary subspaces such that $U_0 = X_0 \oplus X_1$, $U'_0 = X'_0 \oplus X'_1$, $W_0 = X_0 \oplus X_2$, and $W'_0 = X'_0 \oplus X'_2$,
- X_3 and X'_3 are complementary subspaces such that (7.40) holds true.

Observe that by our hypothesis (7.37) one has that $\dim X_0 = \dim X'_0$ so that $\dim X_j = \dim X'_j$, for $j = 1, 2, 3$. We then decompose V_1 as

$$(7.41) \quad V_1 = Z_0 \oplus Z_1 \oplus Z_2 \oplus Z_3 \oplus Z_4 = Z'_0 \oplus Z'_1 \oplus Z'_2 \oplus Z'_3 \oplus Z'_4$$

where

- $Z_0 := \Psi \cap \Phi$ and $Z'_0 := \Psi' \cap \Phi'$,
- $Z_1, Z_2, Z_3, Z'_1, Z'_2$, and Z'_3 are complementary subspaces such that $U_1 \cap W_1 = Z_0 \oplus Z_1$, $U'_1 \cap W'_1 = Z'_0 \oplus Z'_1$, $U_1 = Z_0 \oplus Z_1 \oplus Z_2$, $U'_1 = Z'_0 \oplus Z'_1 \oplus Z'_2$, $W_1 = Z_0 \oplus Z_1 \oplus Z_3$, and $W'_1 = Z'_0 \oplus Z'_1 \oplus Z'_3$,
- Z_4 and Z'_4 are complementary subspaces such that (7.41) holds true.

Again, by (7.37) one has that $\dim Z_0 = \dim Z'_0$ and $\dim Z_1 = \dim Z'_1$, so that $\dim Z_k = \dim Z'_k$, for $k = 2, 3, 4$.

We denote by $\pi_j: V_0 \rightarrow X_j$ and $\pi'_j: V_0 \rightarrow X'_j$, $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$ (resp. $\iota_k: Z_k \rightarrow V_1$, $\iota'_k: Z'_k \rightarrow V_1$, $k = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$) the canonical projections (resp. inclusions) and let

$$\sigma_j: V_0/U_0 \rightarrow X_j, \quad \sigma'_j: V_0/U'_0 \rightarrow X'_j, \quad j = 2, 3$$

and

$$\theta_j: V_0/W_0 \rightarrow X_j, \quad \theta'_j: V_0/W'_0 \rightarrow X'_j, \quad j = 1, 3,$$

denote the (not canonical) surjective linear maps defined in the obvious way: for instance, $\sigma_2(x_2 + x_3 + U_0) := x_2$ for $x_2 \in X_2$ and $x_3 \in X_3$. Clearly, $\sigma_2 + \sigma_3$ and other similar sums are isomorphisms. Consider also the (not canonical) isomorphisms $\xi: V_0/(U_0 + W_0) \rightarrow X_3$ and $\xi': V_0/(U'_0 + W'_0) \rightarrow X'_3$ defined by setting $\xi(x_3 + (U_0 + W_0)) := x_3$, for $x_3 \in X_3$, and similarly for ξ' . Keeping in mind (7.33), we have the commutative diagrams:

$$(7.42) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} V_0/U_0 & \xrightarrow{\pi_{U_0, W_0}} & V_0/(U_0 + W_0) \\ & \searrow \sigma_3 & \downarrow \xi \\ & & X_3 \end{array}$$

$$\sigma_3 = \xi \pi_{U_0, W_0}, \quad \theta_3 = \xi \pi_{W_0, U_0}$$

$$\sigma'_3 = \xi' \pi_{U'_0, W'_0}, \quad \theta'_3 = \xi' \pi_{W'_0, U'_0}$$

Choose $g_j \in \text{GL}(V_j)$, $j = 0, 1$, in such a way that

$$(7.43) \quad g_0(X_j) = X'_j, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, 3, \quad \text{and} \quad g_1(Z_k) = Z'_k, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

Then the four conditions in the first two rows in (7.39) are satisfied:

$$g_0(U_0) = g_0(X_0 \oplus X_1) = X'_0 \oplus X'_1 = U'_0,$$

and similarly for the other three conditions. Actually, the choice of $g_0|_{X_j}$, $j = 0, 1, 2$, and of $g_1|_{Z_k}$, $k = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$, is arbitrary, while $g_0|_{X_3}$ must satisfy a condition that will be determined at the end of the proof.

We have $g_0(\sigma_2 + \sigma_3) = (\sigma'_2 + \sigma'_3)g_0$ and $g_0(\theta_1 + \theta_3) = (\theta'_1 + \theta'_3)g_0$. For instance, if $v_0 \in V_0$ and $v_0 = x_0 + x_1 + x_2 + x_3$ with $x_j \in X_j$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma'_2 g_0(v_0 + U_0) &= \sigma'_2(g_0 x_2 + g_0 x_3 + U'_0) \\ &= g_0 x_2 \\ &= g_0 \sigma_2(v_0 + U_0). \end{aligned} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} V_0/U_0 & \xrightarrow{\sigma_2} & X_2 \\ g_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow g_0 \\ V_0/U'_0 & \xrightarrow{\sigma'_2} & X'_2 \end{array}$$

By multiplying the third row of (7.39) by $\sigma'_2 + \sigma'_3$ (resp. the fourth row by $\theta'_1 + \theta'_3$) on the left, we get the equivalent conditions

$$(7.44) \quad \begin{cases} (\sigma'_2 + \sigma'_3) \pi_{U'_0} T \iota_{U'_1} + g_0(\sigma_2 + \sigma_3) \Psi g_1^{-1} = (\sigma'_2 + \sigma'_3) \Psi' \\ (\theta'_1 + \theta'_3) \pi_{W'_0} T \iota_{W'_1} + g_0(\theta_1 + \theta_3) \Phi g_1^{-1} = (\theta'_1 + \theta'_3) \Phi'. \end{cases}$$

Taking into account

- $(\sigma_2 + \sigma_3)\Psi = (\sigma_2 + \sigma_3)\Psi_{\iota_{U_1}} = (\sigma_2 + \sigma_3)\Psi(\iota_0 + \iota_1 + \iota_2)$ and other similar relations;
- for g_1 defined by means of (7.43), we may view g_1^{-1} as restricted to $g_1 U_1 = U'_1$ (resp. to $g_1 W_1 = W'_1$) and we may write $g_1^{-1}\iota'_k = \iota_k g_1^{-1}\iota'_k$;
- $\sigma_2 \pi_{U_0} = \pi_2$ because $\sigma_2 \pi_{U_0}(x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4) = \sigma_2(x_2 + x_3 + U_0) = x_2 = \pi_2(x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4)$ and other similar relations,

we deduce that (7.44) is in turn equivalent to

$$(7.45) \quad \begin{cases} \pi'_j T \iota'_k + g_0 \sigma_j \Psi \iota_k g_1^{-1} \iota'_k = \sigma'_j \Psi' \iota'_k, & j = 2, 3, k = 0, 1, 2, \\ \pi'_j T \iota'_k + g_0 \theta_j \Phi \iota_k g_1^{-1} \iota'_k = \theta'_j \Phi' \iota'_k, & j = 1, 3, k = 0, 1, 3. \end{cases}$$

Decomposing T as a sum of linearly independent operators:

$$T = \sum_{j=0}^3 \sum_{k=0}^4 \pi'_j T \iota'_k$$

we have that the operators $\pi'_0 T \iota'_k \in \text{Hom}(Z'_k, X'_0)$, $k = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ (resp. $\pi'_j T \iota'_4 \in \text{Hom}(Z'_4, X'_j)$, $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$, resp. $\pi'_2 T \iota'_3 \in \text{Hom}(Z'_3, X'_2)$, resp. $\pi'_1 T \iota'_2 \in \text{Hom}(Z'_3, X'_1)$) may be chosen arbitrarily because they do not appear in (7.45).

We then set

$$\begin{aligned} \pi'_2 T \iota'_k &:= \sigma'_2 \Psi' \iota'_k - g_0 \sigma_2 \Psi \iota_k g_1^{-1} \iota'_k, & k = 0, 1, 2, \\ \pi'_1 T \iota'_k &:= \theta'_1 \Phi' \iota'_k - g_0 \theta_1 \Phi \iota_k g_1^{-1} \iota'_k, & k = 0, 1, 3, \\ \pi'_3 T \iota'_2 &:= \sigma'_3 \Psi' \iota'_2 - g_0 \sigma_3 \Psi \iota_2 g_1^{-1} \iota'_2, \\ \pi'_3 T \iota'_3 &:= \theta'_3 \Phi' \iota'_3 - g_0 \theta_3 \Phi \iota_3 g_1^{-1} \iota'_3, \end{aligned}$$

because these conditions on the operators in the left hand sides are contained in only one row of (7.45). On the other hands, the operators $\pi'_3 T \iota'_0$ and $\pi'_3 T \iota'_1$ appear in both rows of (7.45) and therefore they require a more careful analysis.

First of all, from (7.35), (7.42), and the definition of Z_0 and Z'_0 , we get

$$\sigma_3 \Psi \iota_0 = \xi \pi_{U_0, W_0} \Psi \iota_0 = \xi \pi_{W_0, U_0} \Phi \iota_0 = \theta_3 \Phi \iota_0$$

and similarly $\sigma'_3 \Psi' \iota'_0 = \theta'_3 \Phi' \iota'_0$ so that we may set

$$\pi'_3 T \iota'_0 := \sigma'_3 \Psi' \iota'_0 - g_0 \sigma_3 \Psi \iota_0 g_1^{-1} \iota'_0 \equiv \theta'_3 \Phi' \iota'_0 - g_0 \theta_3 \Phi \iota_0 g_1^{-1} \iota'_0.$$

Finally, from (7.35), the definition of Z_1, Z'_1 , and (7.42) we deduce that

$$\sigma_3 \Psi \iota_1(z_1) \neq \theta_3 \Phi \iota_1(z_1) \text{ for all } z_1 \in Z_1 \text{ and } \sigma'_3 \Psi' \iota'_1(z'_1) \neq \theta'_3 \Phi' \iota'_1(z'_1) \text{ for all } z'_1 \in Z'_1,$$

so that the maps

$$(7.46) \quad \sigma_3 \Psi \iota_1 - \theta_3 \Phi \iota_1: Z_1 \longrightarrow X_3, \quad \sigma'_3 \Psi' \iota'_1 - \theta'_3 \Phi' \iota'_1: Z'_1 \longrightarrow X'_3,$$

are injective. Given $g_1|_{Z_1}$, we are now in a position to determine the condition for $g_0|_{X_3}$ we alluded to above. Just define $g_0|_{X_3}$ in such a way that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
Z_1 & \xrightarrow{\sigma_3 \Psi_{\iota_1} - \theta_3 \Phi_{\iota_1}} & X_3 \\
\downarrow g_1|_{Z_1} & & \downarrow g_0|_{X_3} \\
Z'_1 & \xrightarrow{\sigma'_3 \Psi'_{\iota'_1} - \theta'_3 \Phi'_{\iota'_1}} & X'_3
\end{array}$$

(this is possible since (7.46) are injective). It follows that $\sigma'_3 \Psi'_{\iota'_1} - g_0 \sigma_3 \Psi_{\iota_1} g_1^{-1} \iota'_1 = \theta'_3 \Phi'_{\iota'_1} - g_0 \theta_3 \Phi_{\iota_1} g_1^{-1} \iota'_1$ and therefore we may set

$$\pi'_3 T \iota'_1 := \sigma'_3 \Psi'_{\iota'_1} - g_0 \sigma_3 \Psi_{\iota_1} g_1^{-1} \iota'_1.$$

This completes the construction of the element $(g_0, g_1, T) \in H \ltimes A$ mapping (U_0, U_1, Ψ) (resp. (W_0, W_1, Φ)) to (U'_0, U'_1, Ψ') (resp. (W'_0, W'_1, Φ')), and this ends the proof. \square

Corollary 7.10. *Fix $y_0 = (W_0, W_1) \in Y_{r,s}$. Then the orbits of $K \ltimes C$ (the stabilizer of $(y_0, 0_{B_{y_0}})$) on X are*

$$\{(U_0, U_1, \Psi) \in X : \delta((U_0, U_1, \Psi), (W_0, W_1, 0_{B_{y_0}})) = (h, i, j)\},$$

for

$$(7.47) \quad 2r - m \leq h \leq r, \quad 2s - n + m \leq i \leq s, \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq j \leq i.$$

\square

A complete set of representatives for the $(K \ltimes C)$ -orbits on X is then given by (cf. (3.9))

$$(7.48) \quad \Gamma_0 := \{(U_{0h}, U_{1i}, \Psi_{hij}) : h, i, j \text{ as in (7.47)}\},$$

where (U_{0h}, U_{1i}) are as in (7.15) and $\Psi_{hij} \in \text{Hom}(U_{1i}, V_0/U_{0h})$ is chosen in such a way that $\dim(\Psi_{hij} \cap 0_{B_{y_0}}) = j$. Note that, by virtue of (7.35), the latter condition is equivalent to

$$(7.49) \quad \dim \text{Ker}(\pi_{U_0, W_0} \Psi_{hij}|_{W_1 \cap U_{1i}}) = j.$$

Corollary 7.11. *If V is a vector space on a finite field \mathbb{F}_q then $((H \ltimes A), (K \ltimes C))$ is a finite, symmetric Gelfand pair. In particular, its spherical functions are real valued.*

Proof. The function δ in (7.36) is symmetric and therefore we may apply the criterion in (3.13) (cf. [7, Lemma 4.3.4]). The fact that the spherical functions of a finite, symmetric Gelfand pair are real valued is a characterization of symmetry due to Adriano Garsia (cf. [7, Theorem 4.8.2]). \square

8. SPHERICAL FUNCTIONS FOR THE q -ANALOG OF THE NONBINARY JOHNSON SCHEME

In Section 7 above we have reformulated and generalized Dunkl's analysis of the q -analog of the nonbinary Johnson scheme. In the present section we revisit this symmetric Gelfand pair in terms of the notions and results that we have developed in Sections 4, 5, and 6.

We assume that $V = \mathbb{F}_q^n$ (the canonical n -dimensional vector space over the finite field \mathbb{F}_q , q a prime power), and that we have a decomposition $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$ with $V_0 \simeq \mathbb{F}_q^m$ and $V_1 \simeq \mathbb{F}_q^{n-m}$, $0 \leq m \leq n-1$. Then, cf. (7.4), $H = H_{m, n-m} \cong \text{GL}(\mathbb{F}_q^m) \times \text{GL}(\mathbb{F}_q^{n-m})$ and $A = A_{n-m, m} \simeq \text{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_q^{n-m}, \mathbb{F}_q^m)$. Fix a nontrivial additive character τ of \mathbb{F}_q (cf. [12, Section 7.1]); then the map $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_q^m, \mathbb{F}_q^{n-m}) \ni S \mapsto \psi_S \in \hat{A}$, where $\psi_S(T) := \tau(\text{Tr}[ST])$, $T \in A$,

is an isomorphism of abelian groups (cf. [7, Exercise 8.7.14] and [17, p. 18]). The action (4.1) is then given by

$$\begin{aligned} [(g_0, g_1) \psi_S](T) &= \psi_S(g_0^{-1} T g_1) && \text{(by (7.5))} \\ &= \tau(\text{Tr}[S g_0^{-1} T g_1]) = \tau(\text{Tr}[g_1 S g_0^{-1} T]) = \psi_{g_1 S g_0^{-1}}(T) \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$(8.1) \quad (g_0, g_1) \psi_S = \psi_{g_1 S g_0^{-1}}$$

for all $(g_0, g_1) \in H$. It follows that the orbits of H on \widehat{A} are parameterized by the orbits of H on $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_q^m, \mathbb{F}_q^{n-m})$, and a complete system of representatives for these orbits is given by $\Pi := \{\psi_{S_\ell} : \ell = 0, 1, \dots, \min\{m, n-m\}\}$, where S_ℓ is as in (7.19).

Fix $0 \leq r \leq m$, $0 \leq s \leq n-m$. For $y = (U_0, U_1) \in Y_{r,s}$ (cf. (7.6)) we have $B_y \simeq \text{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_q^s, \mathbb{F}_q^m / \mathbb{F}_q^r)$ (cf. (7.7)), and with each $\Omega \in D_y$ (cf. (7.23)) we associate the character $\chi_\Omega \in \widehat{B}_y$ defined by setting (cf. (7.24))

$$(8.2) \quad \chi_\Omega(\Psi) := \tau(\text{Tr}[\Omega_{U_0, U_1} \Psi]), \quad \Psi \in B_y.$$

Then the map $D_y \ni \Omega \rightarrow \chi_\Omega \in \widehat{B}_y$ is an isomorphism of abelian groups. Moreover, (5.4) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} [(g_0, g_1) \chi_\Omega](\Psi) &= \chi_\Omega(g_0^{-1} \Psi g_1) && \text{(by (7.8))} \\ (8.3) \quad &= \tau(\text{Tr}[\Omega_{U_0, U_1} g_0^{-1} \Psi g_1]) = \tau(\text{Tr}[(g_1|_{U_1}) \Omega_{U_0, U_1} (g_0^{-1}|_{V_0/g_0 U_0}) \Psi]) \\ &= \tau(\text{Tr}[(g_1 \Omega g_0^{-1})_{g_0 U_0, g_1 U_1} \Psi]) = \chi_{g_1 \Omega g_0^{-1}}(\Psi), && \text{(by 7.24)} \end{aligned}$$

where $g_1 \Omega g_0^{-1} \in D_{(g_0 U_0, g_1 U_1)}$, $\Psi \in B_{(g_1 U_1, g_0 U_0)}$.

Fix $y_0 = (W_0, W_1) \in Y$, set $B := B_{y_0}$, and take $\Omega \in D := D_{y_0}$, $\theta_\Omega := \chi_\Omega$, $\pi := \pi_{y_0}$. Then (4.11) becomes, for all $T \in A$,

$$\begin{aligned} \overset{\Delta}{\theta}_\Omega(T) &= \theta_\Omega(\pi(T)) \\ \text{(by (7.9))} &= \theta_\Omega(\pi_{W_0} T \iota_{W_1}) \\ \text{(by (8.2))} &= \tau(\text{Tr}[\Omega_{W_0, W_1} (\pi_{W_0} T \iota_{W_1})]) \\ &= \tau(\text{Tr}[(\iota_{W_1} \Omega_{W_0, W_1} \pi_{W_0}) T]) = \psi_{\iota_{W_1} \Omega_{W_0, W_1} \pi_{W_0}}(T) \\ \text{(by (7.25))} &= \psi_\Omega(T), \end{aligned}$$

that is, $\overset{\Delta}{\theta}_\Omega = \psi_\Omega$. From (8.1) it follows that ${}^{(g_0, g_1)}(\overset{\Delta}{\theta}_\Omega) = \overset{\Delta}{\theta}_\Omega$ if and only if $(g_0, g_1)\Omega = \Omega$, and therefore $H_{\theta_\Omega} \equiv H_\Omega$ (cf. (4.12) and Lemma 7.3). Moreover, the orbits of $K := K_{(W_0, W_1)}$ on $\widehat{B} \equiv \{\theta_\Omega : \Omega \in D\}$ are parameterized by the rank of the operators in D , and a complete system of representatives is $\Theta = \{\theta_\ell : \ell = 0, 1, \dots, \min\{m-r, s\}\}$, where $\theta_\ell := \theta_{\Omega_\ell}$; cf. (7.27). As indicated in Remark 5.6, H_{Ω_ℓ} is *not* the stabilizer of $\theta_\ell \equiv \chi_{\Omega_\ell}$ (which is $K \cap H_{\Omega_\ell}$; see also (8.3)).

We do not need an explicit description of $K_{\Omega_\ell} := K \cap H_{\Omega_\ell}$ because we may use Corollary 7.5 to study the corresponding homogeneous space. We denote by \mathcal{G}_n^q the lattice of all subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n (identified with \mathcal{H}_n^q ; cf. (7.1) and Proposition 7.2) and by $\mathcal{G}_{n,m}^q$ the Grassmannian of all m -dimensional subspaces.

In the following we recover Corollary 7.11 by using the representation theory we developed in Section 4.

Proposition 8.1. *With the above notation, the following holds.*

(1) *As homogeneous spaces,*

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\Omega_\ell}/K_{\Omega_\ell} &\cong \mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{F}_q^{m-\ell})/(H_{r,m-\ell-r} \ltimes A_{m-\ell-r,r}) \times \mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{F}_q^{n-m-\ell})/(H_{s-\ell,n-m-s} \ltimes A_{n-m-s,s-\ell}) \\ &\equiv \mathcal{G}_{m-\ell,r}^q \times \mathcal{G}_{n-m-\ell,s-\ell}^q. \end{aligned}$$

(2) *The conditions in Corollary 4.8 are fulfilled.*

Proof. (1) This is just a particular case of Corollary 7.5 (see also the comment preceding it).

(2) By the characterizations of the H - and K -orbit of θ_Ω by means of the rank, it follows that each H -orbit contains exactly one K -orbit, so that $\Xi = \Theta$. This shows that condition (i) in Corollary 4.8 is fulfilled. From (1) it follows that $(H_{\Omega_\ell}, K_{\Omega_\ell})$, being $H_{\Omega_\ell}/K_{\Omega_\ell}$ equivalent (as a homogeneous space) to the direct product of two q -Johnson schemes (cf. (8.7) below), is a Gelfand pair. This shows that condition (ii) in Corollary 4.8 is fulfilled as well. \square

Corollary 8.2. *Let $0 \leq m \leq n$. For all $0 \leq r \leq m$ and $0 \leq s \leq n - m$ set*

$$H := (\mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{F}_q^m) \times \mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{F}_q^{n-m})) \quad \text{and} \quad A := \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_q^{n-m}, \mathbb{F}_q^m),$$

$$\begin{aligned} K := & ((\mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{F}_q^r) \times \mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{F}_q^{m-r})) \ltimes \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_q^{m-r}, \mathbb{F}_q^r)) \\ & \times ((\mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{F}_q^s) \times \mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{F}_q^{n-m-s})) \ltimes \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_q^{n-m-s}, \mathbb{F}_q^s)), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$C := \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_q^s, \mathbb{F}_q^r) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_q^{n-m-s}, \mathbb{F}_q^m).$$

Then $(H \ltimes A, K \ltimes C)$ is a symmetric Gelfand pair.

Proof. This follows immediately from Proposition 8.1 and Corollary 4.8. \square

Example 8.3 (The q -analog of the Johnson scheme). In the notation of Corollary 8.2, for $m = 0$, and therefore $r = 0$, we have

- $H = \mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{F}_q^n)$,
- $A = \{0\}$,
- $K = (\mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{F}_q^s) \times \mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{F}_q^{n-s})) \ltimes \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_q^{n-s}, \mathbb{F}_q^s)$,
- $C = \{0\}$.

Thus, $H \ltimes A = H$, $K \ltimes C = K$, and therefore

$$(H \ltimes A, K \ltimes C) = (H, K) = (\mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{F}_q^n), (\mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{F}_q^s) \times \mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{F}_q^{n-s})) \ltimes \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_q^{n-s}, \mathbb{F}_q^s))$$

and we recover the q -analog of the Johnson scheme (cf. [7, Theorem 8.6.5]).

Note that, in this case, $V_0 = \{0\}$ and $V_1 = V$, and therefore $U_0 = \{0\}$ and $\text{Hom}(U_1, V_0/U_0) = \{0\}$ for all $U_1 \subset V$, so that the corresponding homogeneous space is given by $X = \mathcal{G}_{n,s}^q$, the Grassmannian of all s -dimensional vector subspaces of the n -dimensional vector space \mathbb{F}_q^n .

Example 8.4 (The q -analog of the Hamming scheme). In the notation of Corollary 8.2, for $m > 0$, $r = 0$, and $s = n - m$ we have

- $K = \text{GL}(\mathbb{F}_q^m) \times \text{GL}(\mathbb{F}_q^{n-m})$,
- $C = \{0\}$.

Thus, $K \ltimes C = K$, and therefore

$$(H \ltimes A, K \ltimes C) = ((\text{GL}(\mathbb{F}_q^m) \times \text{GL}(\mathbb{F}_q^{n-m})) \ltimes \text{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_q^{n-m}, \mathbb{F}_q^m), \text{GL}(\mathbb{F}_q^m) \times \text{GL}(\mathbb{F}_q^{n-m}))$$

and we recover the q -analog of the Hamming scheme (cf. [7, Theorem 8.7.9]).

Note that, in this case, $U_0 = \{0\}$, $V_0 = \mathbb{F}_q^m$, and $U_1 = V_1 = \mathbb{F}_q^{n-m}$, therefore $\text{Hom}(U_1, V_0/U_0) = \text{Hom}(U_1, V_0) = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_q^{n-m}, \mathbb{F}_q^m)$ so that the corresponding homogeneous space is given by $X = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_q^{n-m}, \mathbb{F}_q^m)$. Note that by virtue of Proposition 7.1, X can be identified with the space of all $(n - m)$ -dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n having a trivial intersection with \mathbb{F}_q^m . In the setting of Association Schemes, this is called the *Bilinear Forms Scheme* (cf. [2]).

Example 8.5 (The Attenuated Space). In the notation of Corollary 8.2, for $m > 0$ and $r = 0$ we have $U_0 = \{0\}$, $V_0 = \mathbb{F}_q^m$, and U_1 is an s -dimensional vector subspace of \mathbb{F}_q^{n-m} , therefore $\text{Hom}(U_1, V_0/U_0) = \text{Hom}(U_1, V_0) = \text{Hom}(U_1, \mathbb{F}_q^m)$, so that the corresponding homogeneous space X can be identified with the space of all s -dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n having a trivial intersection with \mathbb{F}_q^m . In the terminology of Association Schemes, this is called the *Attenuated Space* (cf. [2]. See also [31]). Note that if $s = n - m$, that is, s is maximal, we recover the Bilinear Forms Scheme from Example 8.4. The character table (i.e., the spherical functions) for the Attenuated Space was explicitly calculated in [21].

We now proceed with the harmonic analysis of the Gelfand pair in Corollary 8.2 by decomposing the corresponding permutation representation and giving an explicit expression for the associated spherical functions.

We keep the notation from Section 5 (cf., in particular, Remarks 5.1 and 5.4).

Let $y = (U_0, U_1) \in Y$ and $0 \leq \ell \leq \min\{m - r, s\}$. Recall that $\widehat{B}_{y, \theta_\ell} = \{\chi \in \widehat{B}_y : {}^{h(\chi)}\chi = \theta_\ell\}$ (resp. $D_y^\ell = \{\Omega \in \text{Hom}(V_0, V_1) : \text{Ker } \Omega \geq V_0, \text{Im } \Omega \leq U_1, \text{rk}(\Omega) = \ell\}$) and that the map $\Omega \mapsto \chi_\Omega$ defined by (8.2) yields a bijection from $D_y = \bigsqcup_\ell D_y^\ell$ onto $\widehat{B}_y = \bigsqcup_\ell \widehat{B}_{y, \theta_\ell}$. Since this bijection is H -equivariant (cf. (8.3)), we have $\widehat{B}_{y, \theta_\ell} = \{\chi_\Omega : \Omega \in D_y^\ell\}$ for all ℓ . Moreover, condition (5.7) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \text{for all } T \in C, \quad 1 &= \chi_\Omega(\pi_{U_0} T \iota_{U_1}) && \text{by (7.9)} \\ &= \tau(\text{Tr}[\Omega_{U_0, U_1} \pi_{U_0} T \iota_{U_1}]) && \text{by (8.2)} \\ &= \tau(\text{Tr}[\Omega T]) && \text{by (7.25)} \end{aligned}$$

and therefore, by Lemma 7.6, we have

$$\widehat{B}_y^0 = \{\chi_\Omega : \Omega \in D_{(W_0+U_0, W_1 \cap U_1)}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{B}_{y, \theta_\ell}^0 = \{\chi_\Omega : \Omega \in D_{(W_0+U_0, W_1 \cap U_1)}^\ell\}.$$

From (8.3) and (7.32) it also follows that the complete set Γ of representatives for the orbits of K on $\bigsqcup_{y \in Y} \widehat{B}_y^0$ (cf. (5.8) and (5.9)) is given by

$$\Gamma = \{(z_{h,i}, \chi_{h,i}^\ell) : h, i \text{ as in (7.15) and } \ell \text{ as in (7.31)}\},$$

where $\chi_{h,i}^\ell := \chi_{\Omega_{h,i}^\ell}$.

Moreover, from Lemma 7.7 it follows that, for $\ell = 0, 1, \dots, \min\{m-r, s\}$, Γ_θ in Remark 5.6 is given by:

$$\Gamma_{\theta_\ell} = \{(z_{h,i}, \chi_{h,i}^\ell) : \max\{2r-m+\ell, 0\} \leq h \leq r, \max\{2s-n+m, \ell\} \leq i \leq s\}.$$

It is well known that for $T \in \text{Hom}(V_1, V_0)$ with $\text{rk}(T) = x$ one has

$$(8.4) \quad \sum_{S \in \text{Hom}_\ell(V_0, V_1)} \tau(\text{Tr}[ST]) = K_\ell(x; n-m, m; q),$$

where $K_\ell(x; n-m, m; q)$ is a q -Krawtchouk polynomial. See [17, Theorem 4.5] and the reference therein. Actually, (8.4) could also be deduced from the calculations in [7, Section 8.7], in particular from Exercise 8.7.15 which does not use the q -Krawtchouk notation.

Proposition 8.6. *In the present setting, the intermediate basis in (5.11) has the following expression: the element of the basis corresponding to $\chi_{h,i}^\ell$ evaluated at the representatives in (7.48) is equal to*

$$(8.5) \quad \Lambda(h, i, \ell; \Psi_{h,i,j}) = \frac{1}{\binom{m-2r+h}{\ell}_q \prod_{t=0}^{\ell-1} (q^i - q^t)} K_\ell(i-j; i, m-2r+h; q),$$

$j = 0, 1, \dots, i$, and it is zero on $\Psi_{h',i',j}$ if $(h', i') \neq (h, i)$.

Proof. We have (cf. (5.12)):

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \in K} {}^k \chi_{h,i}^\ell(\Psi_{h,i,j}) &= \sum_{k=(k_0, k_1) \in K} \tau \left(\text{Tr} \left[(k_1 \Omega_{h,i}^\ell k_0^{-1})_{k_0 U_{0h}, k_1 U_{1i}} \Psi_{h,i,j} \right] \right) \quad (\text{by (8.3)}) \\ &= \sum_{k=(k_0, k_1) \in K_{z_{h,i}}} \tau \left(\text{Tr} \left[(k_1 \Omega_{h,i}^\ell k_0^{-1})_{W_0+U_{0h}, W_1 \cap U_{1i}} (\pi_{U_{0h}, W_0} \Psi_{h,i,j} |_{W_1 \cap U_{1i}}) \right] \right) \quad (\text{by (7.34)}). \end{aligned}$$

From (7.30) we deduce that, in the last sum, $(k_1 \Omega_{h,i}^\ell k_0^{-1})_{W_0+U_{0h}, W_1 \cap U_{1i}}$ runs over all operators in $\text{Hom}(V_0/(W_0+U_{0h}), W_1 \cap U_{1i})$ of rank ℓ , so that the number of times that every operator appears in the sum is

$$(8.6) \quad |K_{z_{h,i}}| / |\text{Hom}_\ell(\mathbb{F}_q^{m-2r+h}, \mathbb{F}_q^i)|.$$

The denominator in (8.5) coincides with the denominator in (8.6) (cf. [7, Exercise 8.7.12] or [17, Proposition 4.4]), while the factor $|K_{z_{h,i}}|$ in (5.12) simplifies. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{rk}(\pi_{U_{0h}, W_0} \Psi_{h,i,j}|_{W_1 \cap U_{1i}}) &= \dim(W_1 \cap U_{1i}) - \dim \operatorname{Ker}(\pi_{U_{0h}, W_0} \Psi_{h,i,j}|_{W_1 \cap U_{1i}}) \\ &\quad (\text{by (7.49)}) = i - j, \end{aligned}$$

applying (8.4) we end the proof of (8.5). If $(h', i') \neq (h, i)$ then $z_{h', i'}$ is not in the K -orbit of $z_{h,i}$ and therefore $\Lambda(h, i, \ell; \Psi_{h', i', j}) = 0$. \square

We now recall some basic facts on the q -Johnson scheme. In our notation, it is the Gelfand pair $(\operatorname{GL}(\mathbb{F}_q^n), H_{m, n-m} \ltimes A_{n-m, m})$ (cf. Example 8.3 with $m = s$). Note that these results are usually stated under the assumption $m \leq n - m$, but they may easily be extended to the case $m > n - m$ by means of the isomorphism $U \mapsto U^\circ$ in (7.17); see also [7, Exercise 8.6.7]. The corresponding homogeneous space is $\mathcal{G}_{n, m}^q$; cf. (7.11) and the subsequent discussion. We denote by V_0 the subspace stabilized by $H_{m, n-m} \ltimes A_{n-m, m}$. The decomposition of $L(\mathcal{G}_{n, m}^q)$ into spherical harmonics is given by

$$(8.7) \quad L(\mathcal{G}_{n, m}^q) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\min\{m, n-m\}} \mathcal{V}_{n, m, k}$$

where

$$(8.8) \quad \mathcal{V}_{n, m, k} = \begin{cases} (d^*)^{m-k} (L(\mathcal{G}_{n, k}^q) \cap \operatorname{Ker} d) & \text{if } m \leq n - m, \\ d^{n-m-k} (L(\mathcal{G}_{n, n-k}^q) \cap \operatorname{Ker}(d^*)) & \text{if } m > n - m, \end{cases}$$

and

$$d: \bigoplus_{k=1}^n L(\mathcal{G}_{n, k}^q) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{k=0}^{n-1} L(\mathcal{G}_{n, k}^q), \quad d^*: \bigoplus_{k=0}^{n-1} L(\mathcal{G}_{n, k}^q) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{k=1}^n L(\mathcal{G}_{n, k}^q)$$

are the *lowering* and *raising operators*, respectively, defined as follows. For $f_1 \in L(\mathcal{G}_{n, k+1}^q)$ and $f_2 \in L(\mathcal{G}_{n, k-1}^q)$ one defines $df_1 \in L(\mathcal{G}_{n, k}^q)$ and $d^*f_2 \in L(\mathcal{G}_{n, k}^q)$ by setting, for all $U \in \mathcal{G}_{n, k}^q$,

$$(df_1)(U) = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{G}_{n, k+1}^q: X \geq U} f_1(X), \quad (d^*f_2)(U) = \sum_{Y \in \mathcal{G}_{n, k-1}^q: Y \leq U} f_2(Y).$$

The spherical function $\varphi_{n, m, k} \in \mathcal{V}_{n, m, k}$ is given by:

$$(8.9) \quad \varphi_{n, m, k}(X) = (-1)^k \frac{q^{-mk}}{(q^{n-m})_k (q^m)_k} \cdot E_k(m, n-m, m, \dim(X \cap V_0); q^{-1}), \quad X \in \mathcal{G}_{n, m},$$

where E_k is a multiple of a q -Hahn polynomial; we refer to [17, Theorem 3.7] for the expression of the E_k 's in terms of the classical Q_k notation for the q -Hahn polynomials. In [7, Theorem 8.6.5] we gave a direct expression for these spherical functions avoiding the terminology of q -orthogonal polynomials in order to keep the book at a reasonable length.

Note that the expression of the spherical function in the case $m > n - m$, obtained by means of the isomorphism $U \mapsto U^\circ$ in (7.17), should be

$$\varphi_{n,m,k}(X) = (-1)^k \frac{q^{-(n-m)k}}{(q^m)_k (q^{n-m})_k} \cdot E_k(n-m, m, n-m, n-\dim(X+V_0); q^{-1})$$

but this is equal to (8.9) since

$$E_k(n-m, m, n-m, n-\dim(X+V_0); q^{-1}) = q^{-k(2m-n)} E_k(m, n-m, m, 2m-\dim(X+V_0); q^{-1})$$

([17, Proposition 2.3(ii)]) and $2m - \dim(X + V_0) = \dim(X \cap V_0)$.

From (8.7) it follows that, for each θ_ℓ , $\ell = 0, 1, \dots, \min\{s, m-r\}$,

$$(8.10) \quad L(\mathcal{G}_{m-\ell,r}^q \times \mathcal{G}_{n-m-\ell,s-\ell}^q) \sim \bigoplus_{u=0}^{\min\{r,m-\ell-r\}} \bigoplus_{v=0}^{\min\{s-\ell,n-m-s\}} (\mathcal{V}_{m-\ell,r,u} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{n-m-\ell,s-\ell,v})$$

is the decomposition, into irreducible representations, of the permutation representation associated with the action described in Proposition 8.1.(1); we denote by $\sigma_{u,v,\ell}$ the irreducible representation of H_{Ω_ℓ} over $\mathcal{V}_{m-\ell,r,u} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{n-m-\ell,s-\ell,v}$.

Theorem 8.7 (Spherical functions of the q -analog of the nonbinary Johnson scheme).
With the above notation, the following holds.

(1) *The decomposition of $L(X)$ into irreducible $(H \ltimes A)$ -representations is:*

$$(8.11) \quad L(X) \sim \bigoplus_{\ell=0}^{\min\{m-r,s\}} \bigoplus_{u=0}^{\min\{r,m-\ell-r\}} \bigoplus_{v=0}^{\min\{s-\ell,n-m-s\}} \text{Ind}_{H_{\Omega_\ell} \ltimes A}^{H \ltimes A} (\widetilde{\theta}_\ell \otimes \sigma_{u,v,\ell}^\Delta).$$

(2)

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \text{Ind}_{H_{\Omega_\ell} \ltimes A}^{H \ltimes A} (\widetilde{\theta}_\ell \otimes \sigma_{u,v,\ell}^\Delta) &= \binom{m}{\ell}_q \prod_{j=0}^{\ell-1} (q^{n-m} - q^j) \\ &\cdot \left(\binom{m-\ell}{u}_q - \binom{m-\ell}{u \pm 1}_q \right) \left(\binom{n-m-\ell}{v}_q - \binom{n-m-\ell}{v \pm 1}_q \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we use $u-1$ if $u \leq (m-\ell)/2$, and $u+1$ otherwise, and similarly for $v \pm 1$.

(3) *The spherical function associated with the parameters (u, v, ℓ) in (8.11) evaluated at the representative $(U_{0h}, U_{1i}, \Psi_{hij})$ in (7.48) is equal to:*

$$(8.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi_{u,v,\ell}(h, i, j) &:= \frac{(-1)^{u+v} q^{-ru-(s-\ell)v}}{(q^{m-\ell-r})_u (q^r)_u \cdot (q^{n-m-s})_v (q^{s-\ell})_v \cdot \binom{m-r}{\ell}_q \prod_{t=0}^{\ell-1} (q^s - q^t)} \\ &\cdot E_u(r, m-\ell-r, r, h; q^{-1}) \cdot E_v(s-\ell, n-m-s, s-\ell, i-\ell; q^{-1}) \\ &\cdot K_\ell(i-j; i, m-2r+h; q), \end{aligned}$$

for $\max\{2r-m+\ell, 0\} \leq h \leq r$, $\max\{2s-n+m, \ell\} \leq i \leq s$, and $0 \leq j \leq i$.

Proof. (1) This follows immediately from Corollary 4.8 and (8.10).

(2) First of all, taking into account that the orbits of H on $\text{Hom}(V_0, V_1)$ are parameterized by the rank (cf. (7.19)) and then using [7, Exercise 8.7.12] or [17, Proposition 4.4], we get:

$$|H/H_{\Omega_\ell}| = \binom{m}{\ell} \prod_{j=0}^{\ell-1} (q^{n-m} - q^j).$$

Then we may recall that (cf. [7, Theorem 8.6.5] and the isomorphism $U \mapsto U^\circ$ in (7.17))

$$\dim \sigma_{u,v,\ell} = \left(\binom{m-\ell}{u}_q - \binom{m-\ell}{u \pm 1}_q \right) \left(\binom{n-m-\ell}{v}_q - \binom{n-m-\ell}{v \pm 1}_q \right)$$

and apply (4.24).

(3) The bounds on h and i follow from (7.15) and Lemma 7.7, which are equivalent to the conditions in Lemma 5.5. In particular, as in Remark 5.6, $h_0(\chi)$ is an element $(g_0, g_1) \in H_{\Omega_\ell}$ such that, setting $(g_0, g_1)z_{r,s} =: (U_0, U_1)$ and $^{(g_0, g_1)}\theta_\ell =: \chi_\Omega$, the pair $((U_0, U_1), \chi_\Omega)$ is in the K -orbit of $(z_{h,i}, \chi_{h,i}^\ell)$: there exists $k = (k_0, k_1) \in K$ (the $k(\chi)$ in Remark 5.6) such that $k((U_0, U_1), \chi_\Omega) = (z_{h,i}, \chi_{h,i}^\ell)$. Clearly, $z_{r,s} = (W_0, W_1)$ is the point stabilized by K , $\dim(W_0 \cap U_0) = h$, and $\dim(W_1 \cap U_1) = i$. Moreover, in the notation of Proposition 7.4,

$$(8.13) \quad \mathcal{L}_{(g_0, g_1)}(W_0, W_1/\text{Im}\Omega_\ell) = (U_0, U_1/\text{Im}\Omega_\ell),$$

as $U_1 = g_1 W_1$ and $(g_0, g_1) \in H_{\Omega_\ell} \leq K_{(\text{Ker}\Omega_\ell, \text{Im}\Omega_\ell)}$ (cf. Lemma 7.3) imply that $\text{Im}\Omega_\ell \leq U_1$. Then

$$(8.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \dim[(W_1/\text{Im}\Omega_\ell) \cap (U_1/\text{Im}\Omega_\ell)] &= \dim\left((k_0, k_1)[(W_1 \cap U_1)/\text{Im}\Omega_\ell]\right) \\ &= \dim[(W_1 \cap U_{1i})/\text{Im}(k_1 \Omega_\ell k_0^{-1})] \\ &= i - \ell. \end{aligned}$$

From (6.5), Proposition 8.6, and (8.13) we then get a preliminary expression for the spherical function evaluated at $(U_{0h}, U_{1i}, \Psi_{hij})$:

$$(8.15) \quad \frac{|K_{z_{h,i}}/K_{\Omega_{h,i}^\ell}|}{|K/K_{\Omega_\ell}|} \varphi_{m-\ell, r, u}(U_{0h}) \varphi_{n-m-\ell, s-\ell, v}(U_{1i}/\text{Im}\Omega_\ell) \Lambda(h, i, \ell; \Psi_{hij}).$$

Then observe that $|K_{z_{h,i}}/K_{\Omega_{h,i}^\ell}|$ is just the denominator in (8.5) (cf. also (8.6)), so that they simplify each other, while $|K/K_{\Omega_\ell}|$ is just a particular case of these calculations: it is equal to the number of operators in $D_{(W_0, W_1)}$ of rank ℓ , that is to $|\text{Hom}_\ell(\mathbb{F}_q^{m-r}, \mathbb{F}_q^s)|$, which in turn is equal to $\binom{m-r}{\ell}_q \prod_{t=0}^{\ell-1} (q^s - q^t)$. In conclusion, by means of elementary calculations, and taking (8.14) into account, one easily shows that (8.15) is equal to (8.12). \square

Remark 8.8. The expression in (8.12) coincides with that in [17, Theorem 5.6], up to the normalization constant. Indeed, the parameters $i, j, r, a, b, m, n, v, w, z$ in Dunkl's paper correspond respectively to $r, s, \ell, m, n - m, u, v, h, i, i - j$ in the present paper. Note also

that Dunkl studied $H_{ij} - H_{xu}$ invariant functions and the spherical functions correspond to the case $x = i$ and $u = j$ in his paper.

Theorem 8.9 (Orthogonality relations for the spherical functions of the q -analog of the nonbinary Johnson scheme). *The spherical functions satisfy the following orthogonality relations (cf. (6.11)): for $0 \leq \ell, \ell' \leq \min\{m - r, s\}$, $0 \leq u, u' \leq \min\{r, m - \ell - r\}$, and $0 \leq v, v' \leq \min\{s - \ell, n - m - s\}$ we have*

$$\sum_{h=\max\{2r-m+\ell, 0\}}^r \sum_{i=\max\{2s-n+m, \ell\}}^s \sum_{j=0}^i \Phi_{u,v,\ell}(h, i, j) \Phi_{u',v',\ell'}(h, i, j) \gamma(h, i, j) = \delta_{u,u'} \delta_{v,v'} \delta_{\ell,\ell'} \beta(u, v, \ell)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(h, i, j) := & \binom{r}{h}_q \binom{m-r}{r-h}_q q^{(r-h)^2} \cdot \binom{s}{i}_q \binom{n-m-s}{s-i}_q q^{(s-i)^2} \cdot q^{i(r-h)} \cdot q^{(s-i)(m-r)} \\ & \cdot \binom{i}{j}_q \prod_{t=0}^{j-1} (q^{m-2r+h} - q^t). \end{aligned}$$

is the cardinality of the $(K \ltimes C)$ -orbit containing $(U_{0h}, U_{1i}, \Psi_{hij})$, and

$$\beta(u, v, \ell) := \frac{\binom{m}{r}_q \binom{n-m}{s}_q q^{s(m-r)}}{\binom{m}{\ell}_q \prod_{j=0}^{\ell-1} (q^{n-m} - q^j) \left(\binom{m-\ell}{u}_q - \binom{m-\ell}{u-1}_q \right) \left(\binom{n-m-\ell}{v}_q - \binom{n-m-\ell}{v-1}_q \right)}$$

is the square of the norm of $\Phi_{u,v,\ell}$.

Proof. Suppose that (U_0, U_1) belongs to the K -orbit of (U_{0h}, U_{1i}) in $Y_{r,s}$. In order to compute the number of $\Psi \in \text{Hom}(U_1, V_0/U_0)$ such that (U_0, U_1, Ψ) is in the $(K \ltimes C)$ -orbit of $(U_{0h}, U_{1i}, \Psi_{hij})$, we use the following decompositions:

$$\frac{V_0}{U_0} = \frac{X_0}{U_0} \oplus \frac{X_1}{U_0} \quad \text{and} \quad U_1 = Z_0 \oplus Z_1,$$

where $X_0 := W_0 + U_0$, $Z_0 := W_1 \cap U_1$, and X_1/U_0 and Z_1 are complementary subspaces. Then $\Psi = \Psi_{00} + \Psi_{01} + \Psi_{10} + \Psi_{11}$, where $\Psi_{ij} \in \text{Hom}(Z_i, X_j/U_0)$ and the condition $\dim \text{Ker}(\pi_{U_0, W_0} \Psi|_{W_1 \cap U_1}) = j$ (cf. (7.49)) is satisfied if and only if $\text{rk}(\Psi_{01}) = j$, while Ψ_{00}, Ψ_{10} and Ψ_{11} are arbitrary. The number of Ψ_{01} 's may be computed by means of [7, Exercise 8.7.12] or [17, Proposition 4.4] and it is equal to

$$\binom{i}{j}_q \prod_{t=0}^{j-1} (q^{m-2r+h} - q^t)$$

because $\dim(W_0 + U_0) = 2r - h$ implies that $\dim(X_1/U_0) = \dim(V_0/U_0) - \dim[(W_0 + U_0)/U_0] = m - 2r + h$. Moreover, note that the number of (U_0, U_1) 's is equal to $|K/K_{z_{h,i}}|$, the cardinality of the K -orbit on $Y_{r,s}$ containing (U_{0h}, U_{1i}) , and it may be computed by means of [7, Corollary 8.5.5] or [17, Corollary 3.2]:

$$|K/K_{z_{h,i}}| = \binom{r}{h}_q \binom{m-r}{r-h}_q q^{(r-h)^2} \cdot \binom{s}{i}_q \binom{n-m-s}{s-i}_q q^{(s-i)^2}.$$

In conclusion, the cardinality of the $(K \ltimes C)$ -orbit of $(U_{0h}, U_{1i}, \Psi_{hij})$ is equal to

$$|K/K_{z_{h,i}}| \cdot |\text{Hom}(Z_0, X_0/U_0)| \cdot |\text{Hom}(Z_1, X_0/U_0)| \cdot |\text{Hom}(Z_1, X_1/U_0)| \cdot |\text{Hom}_j(Z_0, X_1/U_0)|$$

and one easily gets the expression of $\gamma(h, i, j)$ in the statement.

The square of the norm of $\Phi_{u,v,\ell}$ is equal to (cf. (6.10) and [7, Corollary 4.6.4.(iii)])

$$\|\Phi_{u,v,\ell}\|_{L(X)}^2 = \frac{|X|}{\dim \text{Ind}_{H_{\Omega_\ell} \ltimes A}^{H \ltimes A} \left(\widetilde{\theta}_\ell \otimes \sigma_{u,v,\ell}^\Delta \right)}.$$

From [7, Corollary 8.5.6] we get:

$$|X| = |Y_{rs}| \cdot |B| = |\mathcal{G}_{m,r}^q| \cdot |\mathcal{G}_{n-m,s}^q| \cdot |\text{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_q^s, \mathbb{F}_q^m/\mathbb{F}_q^r)| = \binom{m}{r}_q \binom{n-m}{s}_q q^{s(m-r)}$$

and one finally derives the expression of $\beta(u, v, \ell)$ by applying Theorem 8.7.(2). \square

In order to get an explicit expression for the spherical harmonics, we now apply (6.9) in the present setting. For $0 \leq \ell \leq \min\{m-r, s\}$ and $S \in \text{Hom}_\ell(V_0, V_1)$ we choose $t_S \in H$ such that $t_S \Omega_\ell = S$. This way, we get a system of representatives for the left cosets of H_{Ω_ℓ} in H . We then apply Corollary 7.5 and, for every $y = (Y_0, Y_1) \in Y$ with $\Omega_\ell \in D_y$, we choose $s_y \in H_{\Omega_\ell}$ such that:

$$(8.16) \quad \mathcal{L}_{s_y}(W_0, W_1/\text{Im}\Omega_\ell) = (Y_0, Y_1/\text{Im}\Omega_\ell).$$

We thus obtain a complete system of representatives for the left cosets of K_{Ω_ℓ} in H_{Ω_ℓ} . Then, for all $0 \leq u \leq \min\{r, m-\ell-r\}$ and $0 \leq v \leq \min\{s-\ell, n-m-s\}$, we denote by $\mathcal{V}_{m-\ell,r,u}(\text{Ker}S) \otimes \mathcal{V}_{n-m-\ell,s-\ell,v}(V_1/\text{Im}S)$ the subspaces in (8.10) constructed as in (8.8) but using $\text{Ker}S$ and $V_1/\text{Im}S$ in place of $\text{Ker}\Omega_\ell$ and $V_1/\text{Im}\Omega_\ell$.

Theorem 8.10. *For every $S \in \text{Hom}_\ell(V_0, V_1)$ choose*

$$(8.17) \quad f_{0S} \otimes f_{1S} \in \mathcal{V}_{m-\ell,r,u}(\text{Ker}S) \otimes \mathcal{V}_{n-m-\ell,s-\ell,v}(V_1/\text{Im}S)$$

and define $F \in L(X)$ by setting

$$F(U_0, U_1, \Psi) = \sum_{\Omega \in D(U_0, U_1), \ell} \chi_\Omega(\Psi) f_{0\Omega}(U_0) f_{1\Omega}(U_1/\text{Im}\Omega),$$

for all $(U_0, U_1, \Psi) \in X$. Then the subspace made up of all these F 's corresponds to the image of the space of $\text{Ind}_{H_{\Omega_\ell} \ltimes A}^{H \ltimes A} \left(\widetilde{\theta}_\ell \otimes \sigma_{u,v,\ell}^\Delta \right)$ (cf. (8.11)) under the intertwining operator in Theorem 6.2.(3).

Proof. First of all, note that $t_S^{-1}(f_{0S} \otimes f_{1S})$ corresponds to $T_{\theta,j}u_t$ in (6.9). Indeed, if $(Y_0, Y_1) \in Y$ and $D_{(Y_0, Y_1)}$ contains Ω_ℓ , then

$$[t_S^{-1}(f_{0S} \otimes f_{1S})](Y_0, Y_1/\text{Im}\Omega_\ell) = f_{0S}(t_S Y_0) f_{1S}((t_S Y_1)/\text{Im}S),$$

that is, $t_S^{-1}(f_{0S} \otimes f_{1S}) \in \mathcal{V}_{m-\ell, r, u}(\text{Ker}\Omega_\ell) \otimes \mathcal{V}_{n-m-\ell, s-\ell, v}(V_1/\text{Im}\Omega_\ell)$, as these spaces are defined just by means of the inclusion relation and the dimension. Moreover, the decompositions (8.7) and (8.10) are given by describing directly the image of the intertwining operators, that is, in the present setting, $T_{\theta, j}u_t$ is just an arbitrary element in $\mathcal{V}_{m-\ell, r, u}(\text{Ker}\Omega_\ell) \otimes \mathcal{V}_{n-m-\ell, s-\ell, v}(V_1/\text{Im}\Omega_\ell)$.

If $\Omega \in D_{(U_0, U_1), \ell}$, then $\Omega \in \text{Hom}_l(V_0, V_1)$ and $t(\chi)$ in (6.3) is just t_Ω ; cf. (8.3). Since

$$t_\Omega^{-1}U_0 \leq t_\Omega^{-1}\text{Ker}\Omega = \text{Ker}\Omega_\ell \quad \text{and} \quad t_\Omega^{-1}U_1 \geq t_\Omega^{-1}\text{Im}\Omega = \text{Im}\Omega_\ell,$$

from (8.16) it follows that, in the present setting, the element $s(\chi)$ in (6.3) is given by $s_{(t_\Omega^{-1}U_0, t_\Omega^{-1}U_1)}$. Then, omitting the normalization factor $\frac{1}{\sqrt{|B|}}$, (6.9) yields the value at the point $(U_0, U_1, \Psi) \in X$ of the spherical harmonic corresponding to the choice in (8.17):

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\Omega \in D_{(U_0, U_1), \ell}^\ell} \chi_\Omega(\Psi) [t_S^{-1}(f_{0\Omega} \otimes f_{1\Omega})] \left(\mathcal{L}_{s_{(t_\Omega^{-1}U_0, t_\Omega^{-1}U_1)}}(W_0, W_1/\text{Im}\Omega_\ell) \right) \\ \text{(by (8.16))} \quad &= \sum_{\Omega \in D_{(U_0, U_1), \ell}} \chi_\Omega(\Psi) [t_\Omega^{-1}(f_{0\Omega} \otimes f_{1\Omega})] (t_\Omega^{-1}U_0, (t_\Omega^{-1}U_1)/\text{Im}\Omega_\ell) \\ &= \sum_{\Omega \in D_{(U_0, U_1), \ell}} \chi_\Omega(\Psi) f_{0\Omega}(U_0) f_{1\Omega}(U_1/\text{Im}\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 8.11. The space in Theorem 8.10 corresponds to $V_{uv\ell}$ in [17, Definition 4.14], but Dunkl used a different description. He introduced a basis for $L(\mathcal{G}_n^q) \equiv L(\mathcal{H}_n^q)$ (cf. Proposition 7.1) in the following way. For every triple (Y_0, Y_1, Ω) with $Y_0 \leq V_0, Y_1 \leq V_1$, and $\Omega \in \text{Hom}(V_0, V_1)$ such that $Y_0 \leq \text{Ker}\Omega$ and $\text{Im}\Omega \leq Y_1$ (in our notation, $\Omega \in D_{(Y_0, Y_1)}$), he defined a function $(Y_0, Y_1, \Omega)^\diamond: \mathcal{H}_n^q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by setting (cf. (8.2))

$$[(Y_0, Y_1, \Omega)^\diamond](U_0, U_1, \Psi) := \begin{cases} \tau(\text{Tr}[\Omega_{U_0, U_1}\Psi]) & \text{if } Y_0 \leq U_0 \leq \text{Ker}\Omega \text{ and } Y_1 \leq U_1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, on this basis, he defined suitable intertwining operator D_0 and D_1 , similar to d in (8.8), with D_0 acting on the V_0 -subspaces, and D_1 on the V_1 -subspaces. Finally, $V_{uv\ell}$ is described as the intersections of the kernels of D_0 and D_1 . We directly refer to Dunkl's paper for more details.

9. OPEN PROBLEMS

In this final section, we collect some open problems related to a possible further generalization of our main construction.

First recall that given a short exact sequence

$$(9.1) \quad 1 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{\iota} G \xrightarrow{\pi} H \rightarrow 1$$

of (finite) groups, one refers to the group $G = G(\iota, \pi)$ as to the *extension* of H by N (by means of the monomorphism ι and the epimorphism π). The representation theory of G

may be constructed by means of the representation theories of N and H , the action of H on the dual group \widehat{N} , and a generalization of the *little group method* of Mackey and Wigner (cf. [8, 9, 11, 12, 14]) by means of the so-called *projective representations* (see [14, Theorem 7.23]).

Recall that a short exact sequence (9.1) is termed *split* if there exists a *section* $t: H \rightarrow G$ (that is, $\pi \circ t = \text{Id}_H$) which is a group homomorphism. Equivalently, (9.1) is split if and only if $G = H \ltimes N$ is the semidirect product of N by H .

Definition 9.1. Let

$$(9.2) \quad 1 \rightarrow N_j \xrightarrow{\iota_j} G_j \xrightarrow{\pi_j} H_j \rightarrow 1$$

$j = 1, 2$, be two short exact sequences equipped with monomorphisms $\iota_{N_2}^{N_1}: N_2 \rightarrow N_1$, $\iota_{G_2}^{G_1}: G_2 \rightarrow G_1$, and $\iota_{H_2}^{H_1}: H_2 \rightarrow H_1$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} N_1 & \xrightarrow{\iota_1} & G_1 & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & H_1 \\ \uparrow \iota_{N_2}^{N_1} & & \uparrow \iota_{G_2}^{G_1} & & \uparrow \iota_{H_2}^{H_1} \\ N_2 & \xrightarrow{\iota_2} & G_2 & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & H_2 \end{array}$$

is commutative. One says that $G_2 = G(\iota_2, \pi_2)$ is a *sub-extension* of $G_1 = G(\iota_1, \pi_1)$.

Let A be an abelian group, let H be a group acting on A , let K a subgroup of H , and let C be a subgroup of A which is K -invariant. Let $G_1 := H \ltimes A$ and $G_2 := K \ltimes C$ denote the corresponding split extensions. Note that, via the inclusion maps, G_2 is a sub-extension of G_1 . In Theorem 4.7 we determined the decomposition of the associated permutation representation $L(G_1/G_2)$ into irreducible representations and in Corollary 4.8 we gave necessary and sufficient condition for (G_1, G_2) being a Gelfand pair. Moreover, if the latter is the case, in Section 6 we computed the corresponding spherical functions (cf. Theorem 6.2).

Open Problem 9.2. Let (9.2), $j = 1, 2$, be two short exact sequences such that G_2 is a sub-extension of G_1 . Determine the decomposition of the associated permutation representation $L(G_1/G_2)$ into irreducible representations. Determine general necessary and sufficient conditions for (G_1, G_2) being a Gelfand pair and, if this is the case, compute the corresponding spherical functions. In [14, Theorem 7.23] we presented a list of all irreducible representation of G_2 (by means of the representation theories of N_2 and H_2 , of the action of H_2 on the dual group $\widehat{N_2}$, and the generalization of the little group method in terms of projective representations we alluded to above). Let then $\sigma \in \widehat{G_2}$ (expressed via the above list) and determine the decomposition of its induction $\text{Ind}_{G_2}^{G_1} \sigma$. Also establish necessary and sufficient conditions for this decomposition being multiplicity-free (in our monograph [13], we have developed a theory of multiplicity-free induced representations, or Gelfand triples, and studied their associated spherical

functions). This last question is also relevant in the split case (this would constitute a generalization of Theorem 4.7).

The automorphism group of a finite abelian p -group is described in [12, Section 1.7].

Open Problem 9.3. Let A be a finite abelian p -group. Determine whether or not it is possible to construct an “abelian” analog of the q -Johnson scheme by considering the action of $\text{Aut}(A)$, the automorphism group of A , on the subgroups of A .

Open Problem 9.4. Let A and B be two finite abelian p -groups. Consider the natural action of $\text{Aut}(A) \times \text{Aut}(B)$ on $\text{Hom}(A, B)$ given by setting $(h, g)\varphi := h \circ \varphi \circ g^{-1}$ for all $h \in \text{Aut}(A)$, $g \in \text{Aut}(B)$, and $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(A, B)$. Determine whether or not it is possible to construct an “abelian” analog of the q -Hamming scheme described in [7, Section 8.7] by considering the natural action of $(\text{Aut}(A) \times \text{Aut}(B)) \ltimes \text{Hom}(A, B)$ on the pairs (ψ, C) such that $C \leq A$ and $\psi \in \text{Hom}(C, B)$ given by

$$(h, g, \varphi)(\psi, C) := ((h \circ \varphi \circ g^{-1})|_{hC}, hC).$$

Open Problem 9.5. Determine whether or not the Gelfand pair in Corollary 7.11 has an “abelian” analog obtained by replacing vector spaces (resp. the general linear group (and its subgroups)) by abelian p -groups (resp. by the automorphism group (and its subgroups) of these abelian p -groups).

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Bannai and T. Ito, *Algebraic combinatorics. I. Association schemes*. The Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Co., Inc., Menlo Park, CA, 1984.
- [2] E. Bannai, E. Bannai, T. Ito, and R. Tanaka, *Algebraic combinatorics*. Series in Discrete Mathematics and Applications 5, Walter de Gruyter GmbH, Berlin/Boston 2021.
- [3] E. Bannai, H. Kurihara, D. Zhao, and Y. Zhu, Multivariate P - and/or Q -polynomial association schemes. arXiv:2305.00707.
- [4] E. Bannai, H. Kurihara, D. Zhao, and Y. Zhu, Bivariate Q -polynomial structures for the nonbinary Johnson scheme and the association scheme obtained from attenuated spaces. arXiv:2403.05169.
- [5] T. Ceccherini-Silberstein, F. Scarabotti, and F. Tolli, Finite Gelfand pairs and their applications to Probability and Statistics. *J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.)*, **141** (2007), no. 2, 1182–1229.
- [6] T. Ceccherini-Silberstein, F. Scarabotti, and F. Tolli, Trees, wreath products and finite Gelfand pairs. *Adv. Math.*, **206** (2006), no. 2, 503–537.
- [7] T. Ceccherini-Silberstein, F. Scarabotti, and F. Tolli, *Harmonic Analysis on Finite Groups. Representation theory, Gelfand pairs and Markov chains*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, **108**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008.
- [8] T. Ceccherini-Silberstein, A. Machì, F. Scarabotti, and F. Tolli, Induced representation and Mackey theory. *J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.)* **156** (2009), no. 1, 11–28.

- [9] T. Ceccherini-Silberstein, F. Scarabotti, and F. Tolli, Clifford theory and applications. *J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.)* **156** (2009), no. 1, 29–43.
- [10] T. Ceccherini-Silberstein, F. Scarabotti, and F. Tolli: *Representation theory of the symmetric groups. The Okounkov-Vershik approach, character formulas, and partition algebras*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 121. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [11] T. Ceccherini-Silberstein, F. Scarabotti, and F. Tolli: *Representation Theory and Harmonic Analysis of wreath products of finite groups*. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series **410**, Cambridge University Press, 2014.
- [12] T. Ceccherini-Silberstein, F. Scarabotti, and F. Tolli, *Discrete Harmonic Analysis. Representations, Number Theory, Expanders, and the Fourier Transform*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, **172**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2018.
- [13] T. Ceccherini-Silberstein, F. Scarabotti, and F. Tolli, *Gelfand Triples and their Hecke Algebras: Harmonic Analysis for Multiplicity-Free Induced Representations of Finite Groups*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics **2267** Springer, Cham, 2020.
- [14] T. Ceccherini-Silberstein, F. Scarabotti, and F. Tolli, *Representation Theory of Finite Group Extensions. Clifford Theory, Mackey Obstruction, and the Orbit Method*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, Cham, 2022.
- [15] P. Diaconis, *Group Representations in Probability and Statistics*. IMS Hayward, CA. 1988.
- [16] Ch.F. Dunkl, A Krawtchouk polynomial addition theorem and wreath products of symmetric groups. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **25** (1976), 335–358.
- [17] Ch.F. Dunkl, An addition theorem for some q -Hahn polynomials. *Monatsh. Math.* **85** (1978), no. 1, 5–37.
- [18] Ch.F. Dunkl, Orthogonal functions on some permutation groups, *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.* **34**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (1979), 129–147.
- [19] K. Ito, Characters of finite permutation groups and Krein parameters. *J. Algebra* **514** (2018), 372–383.
- [20] A.W. Knap, *Basic algebra*. Cornerstones, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 2006.
- [21] H. Kurihara, Character tables of association schemes based on attenuated spaces. *Ann. Comb.* **17** (2013), no. 3, 525–541.
- [22] I.G. Macdonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials, II edition*. Oxford University Press 1995.
- [23] A. Okounkov and A. Vershik, A new approach to representation theory of symmetric groups. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* **2** (1996), no. 4, 581–605.
- [24] S. Roman, *Advanced linear algebra*. Grad. Texts in Math. **135**, Springer, New York, 2008.
- [25] J. Saxl, On multiplicity-free permutation representations, in *Finite Geometries and Designs*, p. 337–353, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Series, **48**, Cambridge University Press, 1981.
- [26] B. Simon, *Representations of finite and compact groups*. Grad. Stud. Math. **10**, American Math. Soc., 1996.
- [27] D. Stanton, Orthogonal Polynomials and Chevalley Groups, in “*Special Functions: Group Theoretical Aspects and Applications*” (R. Askey et al., Eds.) 87–128, Dordrecht, Boston, 1984.
- [28] H. Tarnanen, M. Aaltonen and J.-M. Goethals, On the nonbinary Johnson scheme. *European J. Combin.* **6** (1985), no. 3, 279–285.
- [29] A. Terras, *Fourier analysis on finite groups and applications*. London Mathematical Society Student Texts 43. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [30] K. Wang, J. Guo, and F. Li, Suborbits of subspaces of type (m, k) under finite singular general linear groups. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **431** (2009), no.8, 1360–1366.
- [31] K. Wang, J. Guo, and F. Li, Association schemes based on attenuated spaces. *European J. Combin.* **31** (1), 297–305 (2010)

ISTITUTO NAZIONALE DI ALTA MATEMATICA “FRANCESCO SEVERI”, 00185 ROMA, ITALY
Email address: `tulliocs@sbai.uniroma1.it`

DIPARTIMENTO SBAI, SAPIENZA UNIVERSITÀ DI ROMA, 00161 ROMA, ITALY

ISTITUTO NAZIONALE DI ALTA MATEMATICA “FRANCESCO SEVERI”, 00185 ROMA, ITALY
Email address: `fabio.scarabotti@sbai.uniroma1.it`

DIPARTIMENTO DIEM, UNIVERSITÀ ROMA TRE, 00146 ROMA, ITALY

ISTITUTO NAZIONALE DI ALTA MATEMATICA “FRANCESCO SEVERI”, 00185 ROMA, ITALY
Email address: `filippo.tolli@uniroma3.it`