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#### Abstract

We provide conditions under which a Riemann surface $X$ is the asymptotic boundary of a convex co-compact hyperbolic manifold, homeomorphic to a handlebody, of negative renormalized volume. We prove that this is the case when there are on $X$ enough closed curves of short enough hyperbolic length.


## 1. Introduction and Results

1.1. Hyperbolic manifolds of smallest volume. The volume of a closed hyperbolic 3 -manifold can be considered as a measure of its "complexity", and it is a natural to ask what is the closed, orientable hyperbolic manifold of smallest volume. The answer is the Weeks manifold [GMM09a].

Consider now a compact Riemann surface $X$. We can extend the previous question in the following manner - the case of closed hyperbolic manifolds corresponds to $X=\emptyset$.

Question 1.1. Given $X$, what is the convex co-compact hyperbolic manifold $M$ of smallest volume, with asymptotic boundary $X$ ?

Convex co-compact hyperbolic manifolds have infinite volume, but they have a welldefined renormalized volume (see Section 2.2 .4 below) which we consider here. The notion of renormalized volume was introduced first in the physics literature by Skenderis and Solodukhin [dHSS01], and then quickly introduced in the mathematics study of conformally compact Einstein manifolds [GW99]. For 3-dimensional hyperbolic manifolds, it is closely connected [Kra00] to the Liouville functional studied e.g. in [TZ87, TT03]. More recently, an explicit upper bound on the renormalized volume of quasifuchsian manifolds in terms of the Weil-Petersson distance between the conformal metrics at infinity, as well as a bound on the difference between the renormalized volume and the volume of the convex core [Sch13], led to bounds on the hyperbolic volume of mapping tori [KM18, BB16]. Moreover, the study of the gradient flow of the renormalized volume has brought a number of new results, see e.g. [BBVP23, BBB23, BBB19b].

Beyond those mathematical motivations, Question 1.1 also occurs naturally from a physical perspective, and specifically from the AdS/CFT correspondence. Very briefly, the AdS/CFT correspondence asserts the equality between the partition function of a conformal field theory (CFT) on a $d$-dimensional manifold $X$ and a sum, over all $d+1$ dimensional manifolds $M_{i}$ with boundary $X$, of a function of the action of a certain (super-)string theory on $M_{i}$. In a certain "gravity" limit, where many features disappear, it reduces to a very special and simplified statement: given a Riemann surface $X$, the partition function of a certain CFT on $X$ should be recovered as a sum of exponential of minus a constant times the renormalized volumes of all convex co-compact hyperbolic manifolds $M_{i}$ having $X$ as asymptotic boundary:

$$
\mathcal{A}(X)=a_{0} \sum_{\partial M_{i}=X} e^{-c V_{R}\left(M_{i}\right)} .
$$

where $a_{0}$ and $c$ are constants. In this simplified view, the main term on the $d+1$ dimensional "bulk" side corresponds to the convex co-compact manifold $M_{i}$ with the smallest renormalized volume.

This AdS/CFT correspondence leads to some conjectural statements. For instance, if $X$ is disconnected, the CFT should behave independently on the two connected component, and it might therefore be expected that the convex co-compact manifold of smallest volume "filling" $X$ should also be disconnected (see [SW22] for a more elaborate analysis).

For instance, if $X=X_{+} \cup X_{-}$is the disjoint union of two connected Riemann surfaces of genus at least 2 , with $X_{-}$equal to $X_{+}$with opposite orientation, we can compare:

- the Fuchsian manifold $M_{F}$ with ideal boundary $X_{+} \cup X_{-}$, which has (with the normalization used here) renormalized volume zero,
- any possible filling of $X_{+} \cup X_{-}$by the disjoint union of two handlebodies $M_{+}$and $M_{-}$, with $\partial_{\infty} M_{+}=X_{+}$and $\partial_{\infty} M_{-}=X_{-}$.
The heuristics above suggests that one of the disconnected fillings might have negative renormalised volume. This might be a motivation for the following conjecture, attributed to Maldacena (see [Pal19]).
Conjecture 1.2. Any connected Riemann surface of genus at least 2 is the asymptotic boundary of a Schottky manifold of negative renormalized volume.

By "Schottky manifold" here we mean a convex co-compact hyperbolic manifold homeomorphic to a handlebody.
1.2. Results. In what follows $S$ will always denote a closed orientable surface of genus at least 2 .
1.2.1. Existence of fillings of minimal renormalized volume. Before we consider the questions above, it is useful to know that, given a Riemann surface $X$ of finite type, there is at least one convex co-compact filling of $X$ of minimum renormalized volume, and that the set of those minimum volume fillings is finite. Precisely let $\mathcal{M}(X)$ be the set of convex co-compact hyperbolic manifolds with ideal conformal boundary $X$, then we think the following question to be true
Question 1.3. Let $V:=\inf _{M \in \mathcal{M}(X)} V_{R}(M, X)$. There exists $M_{V} \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ such that $V_{R}\left(M_{V}\right)=V$.

This is akin to the hyperbolic manifold case in which the Weeks manifold is the unique smallest volume hyperbolic 3-manifold [GMM09b].
1.2.2. An upper bound on the renormalized volume. The main result here is an upper bound on the renormalized volume of a Schottky manifold, when it is obtained from a pants decomposition for which some of the curves are short. We denote by $\varepsilon_{0}$ the 2 dimensional Margulis constant, equal to $\varepsilon_{0}=2 \operatorname{arsinh}(1)$. Given a pants decomposition $P$ of $S$, we denote by $M_{P}$ the handlebody with boundary $S$ in which all curves of $P$ are contractible (see $\S 2.2 .2$ ), and by $M_{P}(X)$ the convex co-compact hyperbolic manifold homeomorphic to $M_{P}$ with complex structure at infinity $X$. The complex structure has a unique hyperbolic metric in its conformal class and we will take lengths with respect to that. Thus, by $\ell_{X}(\gamma)$ we mean the length of $\gamma$ with respect to the hyperbolic structure induced by $X$. In the case in which there is no ambiguity we will often use $\ell(\gamma)$.
Theorem 1.4. Let $X$ be a closed Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 2$. Assume that there are $k$ disjoint simple closed curves $\gamma_{1}, \cdots, \gamma_{k}$ such that $\ell\left(\gamma_{i}\right) \leq 1,1 \leq i \leq k$, and there are no other geodesic loops of length less or equal than 1 in $X$. Then there exists a pants decomposition $P$ containing the $\gamma_{i}$ 's such that
$V_{R}\left(M_{P}(X)\right) \leq-\frac{\pi^{3}}{\sqrt{e}} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{\ell\left(\gamma_{i}\right)}+\left(9+\frac{3}{4} \operatorname{coth}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)\right) k+81 \operatorname{coth}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) \pi(3 g-3-k)(g-1)^{2}$.
By imposing the right hand side of the estimate in Theorem 1.4 to be negative we obtain for instance the following corollary.

Corollary 1.5. For all $g \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $g \geq 2,0<k \leq 3 g-3$ and $0<k_{1} \leq k$ there exists a constant $A=A\left(g, k_{1}, k-k_{1}\right)>0$ such, that if $X$ is a Riemann surface with $k_{1}$ geodesic loops of length less than $A$ and $k$ geodesic loops of length at most 1 , then $X$ admits a Schottky filling with negative renormalised volume.

Remark 1.6. Let us see a couple of examples for Corollary 1.5 in the two limit cases.

- Case $k=k_{1}=1$. We consider the inequality of Theorem 1.4, and we ask for

$$
A(g, 1)<\frac{\pi^{3}}{\sqrt{e}\left(9+\frac{3}{4} \operatorname{coth}^{2}(1 / 4)+81 \operatorname{coth}^{2}(1 / 4) \pi(3 g-4)(g-1)^{2}\right)},
$$

which in the best case of genus $g=2$ allows us to take

$$
A(2,1)=0.00221 .
$$

Note that, for large genus $g$, we obtain the asymptotic $A(g, 1) \sim g^{-3}$.

- Case $k=k_{1}=3 g-3$. By Theorem 1.4, since $k-k_{1}=0$, we are looking for an $A:=A(g, 3 g-3)$ such that

$$
A<\frac{\pi^{3}}{\sqrt{e}\left(9+\frac{3}{4} \operatorname{coth}^{2}(1 / 4)\right)},
$$

we can then take

$$
A(g, 3 g-3)=0.87458 .
$$

This statement can be compared to [PMG19, Corollary 5.6], also see [Pal19], which states that: if a Riemann surface $X$ of finite type and genus $g \geq 2$ has $g-1$ closed curves $\gamma_{1}, \cdots, \gamma_{g-1}$ such that the complement of their union is a disjoint union of punctured tori, and if

$$
\frac{1}{\pi-2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{g-1} \sqrt{\ell_{X}\left(\gamma_{i}\right)}\right)^{2} \leq\left(2 \pi^{2}\right)^{1 / 3}(g-1)^{\frac{2}{3}},
$$

then

$$
V_{R}(X, \mathcal{P}) \leq \pi(g-1)\left(3-\frac{\pi(\pi-2)(g-1)}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{g-1} \sqrt{\ell_{X}\left(\gamma_{i}\right)}\right)^{2}}\right)
$$

which is negative if

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{g-1} \sqrt{\ell_{X}\left(\gamma_{i}\right)} \leq\left(\frac{\pi(\pi-2)(g-1)}{3}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

and, in the case $g=2$, leads to a better $A(g, 1)=\left(\frac{\pi(\pi-2)}{3}\right)^{1 / 2}$.
1.2.3. Outline of the proof. The proof of Theorem 1.4 follows several steps. First, we introduce in Section 4 a notion of "symmetric" Riemann surfaces - those which admit an orientation-reversing involution with quotient a surface with boundary. We prove that given any Riemann surface $X$ of finite-type and any pants decomposition $P$ of $X$, there is a symmetric surface $X_{s}$ (for which the involution leaves $P$ invariant component-wise) obtained from $X$ by earthquakes along the curves of $P$ (see Lemma 3.7).

Then, in Section 4, we estimate the renormalized volume of "symmetric" Schottky fillings of symmetric surfaces. In Section 5, we provide a formula for the variation of the renormalized volume of a filling under an earthquake path of the boundary surface. The result expresses the estimates in terms of the Schwarzian derivative at infinity (see Section 2.2.3) at the core of tubes associated to the pants curves. Finally, Section 6 contains the proofs of the main results.
1.2.4. Convex co-compact fillings. The result in bounding the Schwarzian can also be applied in the more general setting of convex co-compact manifolds. Specifically it makes sense in the setting where $N\left(X_{0}\right) \in C C(M)$ is a convex co-compact hyperbolic 3-manifold, homeomorphic to $M$, with conformal boundary $X_{0} \in \mathcal{T}(\partial M)$. In this more general setting the boundary of $M$ can be disconnected and can be decomposed as $\partial M=F_{c} \cup F_{i}$ where $F_{i}$ does not compress in $M$ and $F_{c}$ compresses (i.e. it has at least a loop bounding a disk in $M$ ).

Let $c_{\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{m}}:[0,1] \rightarrow C C(M)$ be an earthquake path (we quake by a parameter $t_{i}$, with $\mathbf{t}=\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$, along the curve $\gamma_{i}$ ) along a multi-curve $\mathfrak{m}=\left\{\gamma_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n} \subseteq S$ such that with respect to the reference metric $X_{0}$ can be subdivided into:

- $\mathfrak{m}_{\infty}$ : the set of geodesic loops $\gamma$ of $\mathfrak{m}$ that are incompressible and such that no compressing disk of $M$ intersects $\gamma$;
- $\mathfrak{m}_{1}^{c}$ : the set of geodesic loops $\gamma$ of $\mathfrak{m}$ that bound disks and have length at most 1 ;
- $\mathfrak{m}_{1}$ : the set of geodesic loops $\gamma$ of $\mathfrak{m}$, and such that any compressing loop intersecting $\gamma$ essentially has length at least 1.
Note that not every $\mathfrak{m}$ admits such a decomposition with respect to the given $X_{0}$ and every geodesic loop in $\mathfrak{m}_{\infty}$ is contained in a component of $\partial M$ that is incompressible (there could be a $\gamma_{i} \in \mathfrak{m}$ in a compressible component, of length more then 1 and intersecting a short compressing loop).
Theorem 1.7. Let $X_{0} \in \mathcal{T}(\partial M)$ and $\mathfrak{m}=\mathfrak{m}_{\infty} \cup \mathfrak{m}_{1}^{c} \cup \mathfrak{m}_{1}$ be a multi-curve and $c_{\mathrm{t}}^{\mathfrak{m}}$ be an earthquake path terminating at $X_{1}$. Then

$$
\left|V_{R}\left(X_{1}\right)-V_{R}\left(X_{0}\right)\right| \leq \sum_{\gamma_{i} \in \pi_{0}\left(\mathbf{m}_{1}^{c}\right)}\left(3 \ell_{i} \operatorname{coth}^{2}\left(\ell_{i} / 4\right)\right) t_{i}+C \sum_{\alpha_{j} \in \mathfrak{m}_{1}} t_{j} \ell_{j}+\frac{3}{2} \sum_{\beta_{k} \in \mathbf{m}_{\infty}} t_{k} \ell_{k},
$$

for $C=3 \operatorname{coth}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)<50.013$.
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## 2. Notation and background

In this section we recall the main objects and tools that we will use in this work.

### 2.1. Hyperbolic surfaces.

2.1.1. Teichmüller space. Good references for Teichmüller space are [Hub16, Chapter 6-7] and [FM11], we now recall what we will need in this work. Any closed, oriented, surface of genus $\geq 2$ is hyperbolic, i.e. is homeomorphic to a quotient $\mathbb{H}^{2} / \Gamma$ of the hyperbolic space by a discrete, torsion-free subgroup of the orientation-preserving isometries of $\mathbb{H}^{2}$. The Teichmüller space of $S$ can be defined in the following various ways, in what follows we will use, depending on the set-up, the most suitable:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{T}(S)=\{\text { h hyperbolic metric on } S\} / \operatorname{Diffeo}_{0}(S), \\
& \mathcal{T}(S)=\{c \text { complex structure on } S\} / \operatorname{Diffeo}_{0}(S), \\
& \mathcal{T}(S)=\{[g] \text { s.t. } \mathrm{g} \text { is a Riemannian metric on } S\} / \operatorname{Diffeo}_{0}(S) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\operatorname{Diffeo}_{0}(S)$ is the group of diffeomorphisms of $S$ isotopic to the identity, and it acts by pull-back, moreover $g_{1} \in\left[g_{2}\right]$ if and only if there exists a smooth positive function $u: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$such that $g_{2}=e^{u_{1}} g_{1}$, i.e. [g] represent the class of Riemannian metrics conformal to $g$. In particular, to any complex structure on $S$ corresponds a conformal class of metrics $[g]$, in which, by the Riemann uniformization Theorem [Hub16, Theorem 1.1.1], there exists a unique hyperbolic metric $h \in[g]$.
2.1.2. Margulis tubes. Hyperbolic surfaces, and in general hyperbolic $n$-manifolds, have the important property that "short geodesics" have particularly nice neighbourhoods. We will mostly deal with the surface case and so we restrict ourselves to that setting.

Definition 2.1. By a thin tube, for a hyperbolic surface, we mean the set of points $\mathbb{T}(\ell)$ around a geodesic $\gamma$ of length $\ell \leq \varepsilon_{0}$ that are at a distance at most $L:=\operatorname{arsinh}\left(\frac{1}{\sinh \left(\frac{\ell}{2}\right)}\right)$. In $\mathbb{T}(\ell)$ the injectivity radius is bounded as

$$
\frac{\ell}{2} \leq \operatorname{inj}(p)=\operatorname{arsinh}(\sinh (\ell / 2) \cosh (L-d)), \quad d=d(p, \partial \mathbb{T})
$$

and its maximum is achieved on $\partial \mathbb{T}(\ell)$, see [Bus10, Thm 4.1.6]. The hyperbolic metric on $\mathbb{T}(\ell)$ can be written as $d \rho^{2}+\left(\frac{\ell}{2 \pi}\right)^{2} \cosh ^{2}(\rho) d \theta^{2}, \theta \in[0,2 \pi]$ and $\rho \in[-L, L]$. Moreover, any multi-curve $P$ such that each component is simple and has length at most $\varepsilon_{0}$ can be completed to a pants decomposition of $S$. For details see [Bus10, Thm 4.1.1].
2.1.3. Earthquakes along simple closed geodesics. We recall here some basic facts on earthquakes along closed geodesics, which will be needed below. For more background see [FM11, Sec 10.7.3] and [CEM06, Part III].

Given a simple closed geodesic $\gamma$ on a hyperbolic surface ( $S, h$ ) a (right) earthquake is a map $\varphi_{\gamma, t}$ from $S$ to itself, discontinuous along $\gamma$, defined by cutting $S$ along $\gamma$, twisting the left-hand side of $\gamma$ by a fixed length $t$ in the positive direction, and gluing back isometrically the two sides. ${ }^{1}$

Taking the push-forward of the hyperbolic metric by $\varphi_{\gamma, t}$ defines a new hyperbolic metric on $S$, and in this manner $\gamma$ and $t$ define a homeomorphism of $\mathcal{T}(S)$, which is also called the right earthquake of length $t$ along $\gamma$, and denoted by $E_{t}(\gamma)$.

By continuously varying the twisting length $t$, one gets a path of diffeomorphisms of $S \backslash \gamma$, and by pulling back $h$ through such a path, we get a path in $\mathcal{T}(S)$. This operation only depends on the isotopy class of $\gamma$, since we can then always consider its geodesic representative.

Let us now define earthquakes more carefully. Having fixed a simple closed curve $\gamma$ in $S$, we consider the unique geodesic on $(S, h)$ in the same isotopy class again by $\gamma$. Let $\ell$ be the length of $\gamma$ with respect to $h$, and $N_{r} \cong S^{1} \times[-r, r] \cong \mathbb{R} / \ell \mathbb{Z} \times[-r, r]$ the tubular $r$-neighborhood of $\gamma$ parameterized in such a way that $S^{1} \times\{0\}$ isometrically identifies with $\gamma$ and $\left\{e^{i \theta}\right\} \times[-r, r] \in S^{1} \times[-r, r]$ with a geometric segment of length $2 r$ orthogonal to $\gamma$ parameterized in unit velocity by the coordinate in $[-r, r]$. We now choose an arbitrary function $f:[-r, r] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f$ is smooth on $[-r, r] \backslash\{0\}$, increasing on $[-r, 0]$, constantly equal to 0 in a neighborhood of $-r$, constantly equal to 1 in a left neighbourhood of 0 , and equal to 0 on $(0, r]$. We then define $\varphi_{\gamma, t}: S \rightarrow S$, with $t \in[0, \infty)$, as the diffeomorphism of $S \backslash \gamma$ such that $\varphi_{\gamma, t}$ is the identity outside $N_{r}$, and

$$
\varphi_{\gamma, t}\left(e^{i \theta}, r\right)=\left(e^{i\left(\theta+\frac{2 \pi}{\ell} t f(r)\right)}, r\right)
$$

for any $\left(e^{i \theta}, r\right) \in N_{r}$. Note that $\varphi_{\gamma, 0}$ is the identity, and that $\varphi_{\gamma, \ell}$ extends to a diffeomorphism of $S$, which is called a Dehn twist.

Since $\varphi_{\gamma, t}$ acts by isometry on $S \backslash \gamma$ and it fixes the metric on $\gamma$, the push-forwards $\left(\varphi_{\gamma, t}\right)_{*}(h)$ is a new well defined hyperbolic Riemannian metric on $S$. We say that $\left(\varphi_{\gamma, t}\right)_{*}(h)$ is obtained by a (right) earthquake of parameter $t$ along $\gamma$.

We will define $\varphi_{\gamma}:[0, a] \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(S), a>0$, to be a earthquake path along $\gamma$ by $\varphi_{\gamma}(t)=$ $\left(\varphi_{\gamma, t}\right)_{*}(h)$. The infinitesimal earthquake along $\gamma$ is the derivative of $\varphi_{\gamma}$ in $t$ at $t=0$, this can also be seen as a vector field $v$ on $S$ by differentiating the path of diffeomorphisms

[^0]$\left(\varphi_{\gamma}(t)\right)_{t \in[0, \varepsilon]}$ with respect to $t$ and evaluating it at $t=0$. For more background see [FM11, Sec 10.7.3] and [CEM06, Part III].
2.2. Hyperbolic 3-manifolds. Some references on hyperbolic 3-manifolds are [Mar16b, MT98, Hem76], we now recall what we will need in this work. A 3-manifold $M$ is hyperbolic if it is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{H}^{3} / \Gamma$ for $\Gamma$ a discrete, torsion free, subgroup of $\mathbb{P} S L(2, \mathbb{C})$, the isometry group of $\mathbb{H}^{3}$.

The action of $\Gamma$ on $\mathbb{H}^{3}$ can be naturally extended to $\partial \mathbb{H}^{3}=\hat{\mathbb{C}}$, with $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ the Riemann sphere, but it does not remain properly discontinuous, that is, the closure of the orbit of a point $x \in \mathbb{H}^{3}$ has non-empty set of accumulation points $\Lambda_{x}(\Gamma)$ in $\mathbb{H}^{3} \cup \partial \mathbb{H}^{3}$. One can show that actually $\Lambda_{x}(\Gamma)$ does not depend on $x$. We then denote it simply by $\Lambda(\Gamma)$ and we call the complement $\Omega(\Gamma)=\partial \mathbb{H}^{3} \backslash \Lambda(\Gamma)=\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \backslash \Lambda(\Gamma)$ the domain of discontinuity of $\Gamma$. We observe that $\Lambda(\Gamma)$ is closed, and that both $\Lambda(\Gamma)$ and $\Omega(\Gamma)$ are $\Gamma$-invariant. The action of $\Gamma$ on $\Omega(\Gamma)$ is properly discontinuous, we can then define the boundary at infinity of $M=\mathbb{H}^{3} / \Gamma$ as the surface

$$
\partial_{\infty} M=\Omega(\Gamma) / \Gamma .
$$

Since $\Omega(\Gamma)$ is an open subset of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ and the elements of $\mathbb{P} S L(2, \mathbb{C})$ are in particular biholomorphism of $\mathbb{C} \subseteq \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$, the boundary at infinity $\partial_{\infty} M$ of $M$ is naturally equipped with a complex structure.
2.2.1. The convex core. We define the convex core of $M=\mathbb{H}^{3} / \Gamma$ as

$$
C(M)=\operatorname{Hull}(\Lambda(\Gamma)) / \Gamma,
$$

where $\operatorname{Hull}(\Lambda(\Gamma))$ is the convex envelop of the points of $\Lambda(\Gamma)$ in $\mathbb{H}^{3} \cup \partial \mathbb{H}^{3}$. The convex core of $M$ is also characterized as the smallest non-empty geodesically convex ${ }^{2}$ subset of $M$, that is, the smallest convex subset of $M$ which is also homotopically equivalent to $M$. It is also not difficult to prove that if $M$ has finite volume, then the limit set $\Lambda(\Gamma)$ coincides with $\mathbb{H}^{3}$, and so $C(M)=M$. Here, we will be interested in the case of $M$ having infinite volume. The convex core $C(M)$ is generically a 3 -dimensional domain, but in some cases, it can be a totally geodesic surface in $M$, possibly with geodesic boundary.
Definition 2.2. A hyperbolic 3 -manifold $M=\mathbb{H}^{3} / \Gamma$ is convex co-compact if its convex core $C(M)$ is compact.

When $M$ is convex co-compact then $\bar{M}=M \cup\left(\partial_{\infty} M\right)$ is its manifold compactification and $\partial_{\infty} M$ is homeomorphic the closed surface $S=\partial \bar{M}$, and so

$$
\left[\partial_{\infty} M\right] \in \mathcal{T}(S)
$$

We call end a connected component of $M \backslash C(M)$, or, more generally, of the complement of a geodesically convex compact subset of $M$. An end is homeomorphic to $S^{i} \times[0,+\infty)$, with $S^{i}$ a connected component of the boundary $S=\partial_{\infty} M$, and it has infinite hyperbolic volume.

We denote by $C C(M)$ the space of convex co-compact hyperbolic structures on $M$ considered up to homotopy equivalence. The deformation space $C C(M)$ is parameterized by the one of conformal structures on the boundary at infinity, see [Mar16a, Thm 5.1.3.] and [MT98, Thm 5.27]:

$$
C C(M)=\mathcal{T}(\partial \bar{M}) / T_{0}(D),
$$

where $T_{0}(D) \subseteq M C G(\partial \bar{M})$ is the subgroup generated by Dehn twists along compressible curves ${ }^{3}$ of $\partial \bar{M}$ and $\mathcal{T}(\partial \bar{M})$ is the product of the Teichmüller spaces of the connected components of $\partial \bar{M}$.

[^1]2.2.2. Handlebodies. We will think of an handlebody $H_{g}$ of genus $g \geq 1$ as the following data. Given a surface $S=S_{g}$ and a pants decomposition $P$ on $S$ we can form the 3manifold $H_{0}$ by attaching $3 g-3$ thickened disk $\mathbb{D}^{2} \times I$ to $S \times I$ by gluing each $\partial \mathbb{D}^{2} \times I$ to $N_{\varepsilon}(\gamma) \times\{0\}$ for $\gamma \in P$. The manifold $H_{0}$ has then a genus $g$ boundary component and $3 g-3$ sphere boundary components. After filling each sphere component with a 3-ball we obtain a handlebody $H_{P} \cong H_{g}$, this is unique up to isotopy. We will think of this as the handlebody induced by $P$.

Definition 2.3. Given a conformal structure $X \in \mathcal{T}\left(S_{g}\right)$ and a pants decomposition $P$ on $S_{g}$ we say that $M_{P}(X)$ is the Schottky filling of $X$ with pants curve $P$ if it is the hyperbolic 3-manifold obtained by uniformising $H_{g}$ so that its conformal boundary is $X$. By $C C_{P}(S)$ we denote the deformation space of a hyperbolic genus $g$ handlebody obtained by gluing disks along $P$.

Remark 2.4. More generally, a handlebody is any irreducible compact 3-manifold $M$ with a unique boundary component such that the map induced by the inclusion $\partial M \hookrightarrow M$ induced a surjection on $\pi_{1}$, [Hem76]. Thus, the manifold $M:=F \times I$ for $F$ a compact orientable surface with non-empty boundary is also a handlebody with boundary given by the double of $F$ along $\partial F$. In the case that $F$ is not-orientable then we can consider the twisted $I$-bundle $N=F \widetilde{\times} I$ in which $\partial N$ is given by the orientation double cover of $F$.
2.2.3. The Schwarzian differential at infinity. Given a Riemann surface, a holomorphic quadratic differential is a holomorphic section of the symmetric square of its holomorphic cotangent bundle, and in holomorphic coordinate can be expressed as $\varphi(z) d z \otimes d z=$ $\varphi(z) d z^{2}$. Let $D \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ a connected open set, and $f: D \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ a locally injective holomorphic map. The Schwarzian derivative of $f$ is the holomorphic quadratic differential

$$
S(f)=\left(\left(\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{f^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{f^{\prime}}\right)^{2}\right) d z^{2}
$$

The Schwarzian derivative has the following properties:
(1) Let $f$ and $g$ be locally injective holomorphic maps such that the composition is well defined, then

$$
S(f \circ g)=g^{*} S(f)+S(g)
$$

(2) For any holomorphic map $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, where $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is an open subset, $S(f)=0$ if and only if $f \in \mathbb{P} S L(2, \mathbb{C})$, that is, if and only if $f$ is the restriction to $U$ of a Möbius transformation.
We will be interested in considering the Schwarzian derivative of the uniformization map $f$ from the unit disc $\mathbb{D}^{2}$ to a domain of discontinuity $\Omega(\Gamma)$, whose quotient by $\Gamma$ gives the boundary at infinity $\partial_{\infty} M$ of $M=\mathbb{H}^{3} / \Gamma$.
2.2.4. The renormalized volume. If one is willing to talk about volumes for convex cocompact hyperbolic structures on $M$, being this infinite, some kind of renormalization will be needed. A possibility is to consider the function

$$
V_{C}: C C(M) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}
$$

which associates to any convex co-compact structure $M$ the volume of its convex core $\operatorname{Vol}(C(M))$. The renormalized volume is some kind of relative to the function $V_{C}$, which presents some better analytic properties.

The idea is to consider an exhaustion of $M$ by geodesically convex compact subsets $\left\{C_{r}\right\}_{r}$ coming together with an equidistant foliation of the ends, and to renormalize the associated volumes $\operatorname{Vol}\left(C_{r}\right)$ in order to get a finite number which does not depend on $r$.

Before giving the definition of renormalized volume, we need to introduce some preliminary notions.

Definition 2.5. Let $M$ be convex co-compact and $C \subseteq M$ be a compact, geodesically convex subset with smooth boundary. We define the $W$-Volume of $C$ as

$$
W(C)=\operatorname{Vol}(C)-\frac{1}{4} \int_{\partial C} H d A_{\partial C}
$$

where $\operatorname{Vol}(C)$ is the hyperbolic volume of $C$ with respect to the metric of $M, H$ is the mean curvature of $\partial C$, and $d A_{\partial C}$ is the area form of the induced metric on the boundary $\partial C$.

The mean curvature is the trace of the shape operator $B(X)=\nabla_{X}(N)$ with $N$ the unit normal to $\partial C$ and $\nabla$ the Levi-Civita connection, and any vector field $X \in T(\partial C)$.

We are using the compact $C$ to cut the manifold $M$, the additional term with the mean curvature is the right one to get a good renormalization. In [KS08], it is indeed proven that, denoting by $C_{r}$ the $r$-neighborhood of $C$ in $M$, for any $r \geq 0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
W\left(C_{r}\right)+r \pi \chi\left(\partial_{\infty} M\right)=W(C) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi(\cdot)$ is the Euler characteristic.
Definition 2.6. Let $E$ be an end of $M$. An equidistant foliation is a foliation $\left\{S_{r}\right\}_{r \geq r_{0}}$ of a neighborhood of $\partial_{\infty} M$ in $\bar{M}$ in convex surfaces, such that for any $r^{\prime}>r>r_{0} \geq 0$ the surface $S_{r^{\prime}}$ lives between $S_{r}$ and $\partial_{\infty} M$, and its points stay at constant distance $r^{\prime}-r$ from $S_{r}$.

By definition, the boundaries of the $C_{r}$ 's form an equidistant foliation $\left\{\partial C_{r}\right\}_{r}$ of the ends in $M \backslash C$.

Given any $C \subseteq M$ as above, and any end $E_{i}=S^{i} \times[0,+\infty)$ in $M \backslash C$, we can consider the associated equidistant foliation $\left\{\partial^{i} C_{r}\right\}_{r}$, for $r \geq 0$, where with $\partial^{i}$ we mean the connected component of $\partial C_{r}$ facing $S^{i}$ in the boundary at infinity $S=\partial_{\infty} M$. Let us call $I_{r}$ the induced metric on $\partial C_{r}$. Then we can define a metric on the boundary at infinity as

$$
I^{*}:=\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} 2 e^{-2 r} I_{r} \in\left[\partial_{\infty} M\right]
$$

see [Sch13, Def. 3.2], or [Sch20, Def. 3.2] for a slightly different point of view. A key property of this metric $I^{*}$ is that it is in the conformal class at infinity of $M$, that is, it is compatible with the complex structure at infinity of $M$.

Vice-versa, starting from any metric $g \in\left[\partial_{\infty} M\right]$, it is possible to construct an equidistant foliation in convex surfaces of a neighborhood of $\partial_{\infty} M$ in $\bar{M}$, and consequently an exhaustion $\left\{C_{r}\right\}_{r}$ in compact geodesically convex subsets, whose associated $I^{*}$ is exactly $g$. This leads to the following bijective correspondence:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\text { Riemannian metrics } \mathrm{g} \text { on } S \\
\text { such that } \mathrm{g} \in\left[\partial_{\infty} M\right] \\
\text { up to multiplication by } s \in \mathbb{R}^{+}
\end{array}\right\} \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\text { Equidistant convex foliations } \\
\text { of a neighborhood of } \partial_{\infty} M \\
\text { up to } \sim_{\mathcal{F}}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where two such foliations are $\sim_{\mathcal{F}}$-equivalent if and only if they definitively coincide; it can be seen that this corresponds to the fact that the two corresponding Riemannian metrics in $\left[\partial_{\infty} M\right]$ differ by a multiplication by a positive constant. This is a technicality to take care of the indexing of the foliation. We will keep denoting with $g$ a class of Riemannian metrics considered up to scaling by a constant.
Definition 2.7. We define the $W$-Volume of $M$ with respect to $g \in\left[\partial_{\infty} M\right]$ as

$$
W(M, g)=W\left(C_{r}(g)\right)+\pi r \chi\left(\partial_{\infty} M\right)
$$

where $C_{r}(g)$ is the exhaustion in compact geodesically convex subsets detected by the equidistant foliation associated to $g$.

Then $W\left(M, e^{2 t} g\right)=W(M, g)-t \pi \chi\left(\partial_{\infty} M\right)$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ (see [Sch13, Lemma 3.6]). Thanks to this, and equation (1) above, the $W$-volume $W(M, g)$ is well defined.

We can finally define the renormalized volume of $M$.

Definition 2.8. Given a convex co-compact hyperbolic 3-manifold $M \in C C(N)$, we define its renormalized volume as

$$
V_{R}(M)=W(M, h),
$$

with $h \in\left[\partial_{\infty} M\right]$ the hyperbolic representative.
Thanks to the parametrization of the space of convex co-compact structures $C C(M)$, we can think about the renormalized volume as a function from the Teichmüller space:

$$
V_{R}: \mathcal{T}(\partial \bar{M}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} .
$$

Remark 2.9. It is possible to define the $W$-volume also for the convex core $C(M)$ of $M$. In this case the boundary is not smooth, and the integral mean curvature of the boundary is replaced by the hyperbolic length of the measured pleating lamination (see see [Thu80, EM86]):

$$
W(C(M))=\operatorname{Vol}(C(M))-\frac{1}{4} L\left(\beta_{M}\right) .
$$

The renormalized volume satisfies the following differential formula, see [Sch13, Corollary 3.11 .
Theorem 2.10. Let $M$ be a convex co-compact hyperbolic 3 -manifold, $\varphi_{M}$ the holomorphic quadratic differential given by the Schwarzian derivative of the uniformization map of $\partial_{\infty} M$, and $\mu \in T_{\left[\partial_{\infty} M\right]} \mathcal{T}(\partial \bar{M})$, then the differential of the renormalized volume at [ $\partial_{\infty} M$ ] satisfies

$$
\mathrm{d} V_{R}(\mu)=\operatorname{Re}\left(\left\langle\mu, \varphi_{M}\right\rangle\right) .
$$

Here the space of holomorphic quadratic differential on $\partial_{\infty} M$ is identified with the cotangent bundle $T_{\left[\partial_{\infty} M\right]}^{*}(\partial \bar{M})$ through the Bers embedding, and the pairing $\langle$,$\rangle is the duality$ one with Beltrami differentials [Hub16], [Gar00].

## 3. Earthquakes to symmetric Surfaces

In this section we study conformal structures on a surface $S$ that admit an orientationreversing involution $\sigma: X \rightarrow X$ such that $X_{\sigma}:=X / \sigma$ is a surface with totally geodesic boundary. The main result of this section is Lemma 3.7, which states that given $X \in \mathcal{T}(S)$ and $P \subseteq S$ a pants decomposition there exists a path in $C C_{P}(S)$ from $M_{P}(X)$ to $M_{P}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$, obtained by doing earthqyakes along the curves of $P$, such that $X^{\prime}$ is symmetric.

Definition 3.1. Let $X \in \mathcal{T}(S)$, then $X$ is a symmetric surface if $X$ admits an orientation reversing involution $\sigma: X \rightarrow X$ that is a local isometry and such that $X_{\sigma}:=X / \sigma$ is a surface with boundary. The subset of Teichmüller space of surfaces fixed by a given $\sigma$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{T}_{\sigma}(S)$ and the subspace of surface admitting an involution $\sigma$ by $\mathcal{T}_{s}(S)=\cup_{\sigma} T_{\sigma}(S)$.

Remark 3.2. The surface $X_{\sigma}$ does not have to be orientable.
Lemma 3.3. Let $X$ be a hyperbolic surface with an orientation reversing involution $\sigma: X \rightarrow X$ that is a local isometry. Then, $\partial X_{\sigma}$ is given by a multi-curve $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq X$ such that for each $\gamma \in \pi_{0}(\mathfrak{m})$ we have $\left.\sigma\right|_{\gamma}=\mathrm{id}_{\gamma}$.

Proof. By [Kli95, Theorem 1.10.15] the set of fixed points is a closed totally geodesic submanifold, thus it is a closed multi-curve $\mathfrak{m}$ and possibly a finite collection of points. By looking at the action on a small enough ball around an isolated fix point (so that the centre is the unique fixed point) one can see that, being $\sigma$ orientation reversing, isolated fixed points are not possible and so the fixed set has to be a geodesic multi-curve.

We now want to show that $\mathfrak{m}$ is the boundary of $X_{\sigma}$. Let $B \subseteq X$ be a small enough ball such that $\mathfrak{m} \cap B$ separates $B$ in two balls and $B=\sigma(B)$. Then, $B / \sigma$ is homeomorphic to a half disk with boundary in $\mathfrak{m}$. By connectedness and continuity this shows that $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \partial X_{\sigma}$.

The reverse containment follows from the fact that $\sigma: X \backslash \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow X \backslash \mathfrak{m}$ is a 2 to 1 cover and so $(X \backslash \mathfrak{m}) / \sigma$ is a surface without boundary.

In each pair of pants, a seam is the shortest orthogeodesic connecting two distinct boundary components, so each pair of pants has 3 such arcs, see Figure 1. For every pair of pants $Q$ we have on each boundary component $\gamma_{i}$ two marked points $x_{i}^{1}, x_{i}^{2}$, endpoints of the seams of $Q$. We define a marked pants decomposition $P^{m}$ to be $P$ together with a choice of either $x_{i}^{1}$ or $x_{i}^{2}$ for each pair of pants $Q$ and each boundary curve of $P$.

Let $X \in \mathcal{T}(S)$ be a hyperbolic surface, $P$ be a pants decomposition of $X$, and $\mathcal{S}$ the set of the induced seams with marked endpoints, i.e. a marked pants decomposition $P^{m}$. Then, we define the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates for $X$ as follows: $F N(X)=\left(\ell_{i}, t_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{3 g-3}$ where the $\ell_{i}$ are the hyperbolic lengths of the pants curve in the hyperbolic structure on $X$ and the $t_{i}$ are the twist parameters with respect to the two marked points on the curve $\gamma_{i}$. The twist parameters are computed by fixing lifts in the universal cover of $\gamma_{i}$ and then taking their signed euclidean distance. For details on Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates see [Bus10, Sec 6.2] or [FM11, Sec 10.6].

Thus, if $t_{i}=0$, the seams match up and the two marked points are identified. If $t_{i}=\ell_{i} / 2$, the seams match up but the marked points are opposite to each other.


Figure 1. The seams (in blue) in a pair of pants with the two hexagons $H_{1}$ (shaded), $H_{2}$ and the $\pi_{Q}$ map.

Moreover, the seams cut each pair of pants $Q$ into two isometric right-angled hexagons $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$. We can then define an orientation-reversing involution $\sigma_{Q}: Q \rightarrow Q$ which maps $H_{1}$ to $H_{2}$ and $H_{2}$ to $H_{1}$ isometrically, and is the identity on the seams, see Figure 1. The quotient of $Q$ by $\sigma_{Q}$ is then a right-angled hexagon $E_{Q}$, on which $Q$ projects by a map $\pi_{Q}: Q \rightarrow E_{Q}$ which is a local isometry outside of the seams.

Remark 3.4. The maps $\left\{\pi_{Q}\right\}_{Q \in P}$ glue together to a map $\pi: X \rightarrow X$ that is an orientation reversing local isometry (outside of the seams) if all seams match up. Moreover, if that is the case then $X_{\pi}$ is a surface, not necessarily orientable, whose boundary is given, by Lemma 3.3, by the seams.

Lemma 3.5. Let $X \in \mathcal{T}(S)$, and let $P=\left\{c_{1}, \cdots, c_{3 g-3}\right\}$ be a marked pants decomposition of $X$ and let $\left(\ell_{i}, t_{i}\right)$ be the corresponding Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates. Then, the Riemann surface $X_{0}$ with Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates $\left(\ell_{i}, t_{i}^{\prime}\right), t_{i}^{\prime}=0, \ell_{i} / 2$, admits an orientationreversing isometry which leaves invariant each curve of $P$.

Proof. We want to show that the surface $X_{0}$ defined by $\left(\ell_{i}, t_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ admits an orientationreversing isometry mapping each geodesic loop in $P$ to itself.

The surface $X_{0}$ is obtained by gluing $3 g-3$ pairs of pants with boundary lengths given by the $\ell_{i}$ 's and in the pattern given by $P$ such that if two pairs of pants $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}\left(Q_{1}\right.$
could be equal to $Q_{2}$ ) are glued along a geodesic loop $c_{i} \in \pi_{0}(P)$ then the endpoint of the seam $y_{1} \in Q_{1} \cap c_{i}$ is glued to $y_{2} \in Q_{2} \cap c_{i}$ without any twist. The pairs of pants $Q_{i}$, $i=1,2$, are obtained by doubling regular hexagons $E_{i}$ along the seams, and each $P_{i}$ is equipped with an orientation-reversing isometry map $\pi_{i}: Q_{i} \rightarrow Q_{i}$ exchanging the two hexagons. The fixed point set of this map is exactly the seams of $Q_{i}$.

Since the seams on $c_{i} \subseteq Q_{1} \cap Q_{2}$ have endpoints that are $\ell_{i} / 2$ apart and by our glueing condition one of them matches up we know that they both do. Therefore, all the seams with endpoints on $c_{i}$ match-up and we can glue the maps $\pi_{1}$ and $\pi_{2}$ to obtain an orientationreversing isometry from $Q_{1} \cup Q_{2}$ to $Q_{1} \cup Q_{2}$. By doing this for all pants we obtain the required statement.

Remark 3.6. Given $X$ and $\sigma: X \rightarrow X$ then, for specific markings in the $F N$-coordinates the quotient surface is orientable and equal to a thickening of the glueing graph of the pants decomposition in which if a curve $c_{i}$ has twist parameter equal to $\ell_{i} / 2$ then the quotient edge is glued with an half-twist.

Lemma 3.7. Given a pants decomposition $P$ on $S$ and $X \in \mathcal{T}(S)$, there exists $X^{\prime}, X_{s} \in$ $\mathcal{T}(S)$ such that $X_{s}$ is symmetric, $M_{P}\left(X^{\prime}\right) \stackrel{i s o m}{\cong} M_{P}(X)$, and $X_{s}$ is obtained from $X^{\prime}$, in $F N_{P}$ coordinates, by twisting at most $\ell\left(c_{i}\right) / 4$ (in the positive or negative direction) over each curve in $P$.

Proof. First note that in $C C_{P}(S)$ we can do full twists along curves of $P$ and get isometric structures, see [Mar16a, Thm 5.1.3.]. Recall that we denote by $M_{P}(X) \in C C_{P}(S)$ the structure corresponding to $X \in \mathcal{T}(S)$ with compressible curves given by $P$.

We use $P$ to define the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates by choosing seams $y \in\left\{x_{1}^{i}, x_{2}^{i}\right\} \subseteq c_{i}$, see Lemma 3.5. Also note that a full twist along $c_{i}$ has length $\ell_{i}$. Let $X$ be the given structure, then $F N_{P}(X)=\left(\ell_{i}(X), t_{i}(X)\right)_{i=1}^{3 g-3}$. By doing full twists along the $c_{i}$ 's we can find a hyperbolic structure $X^{\prime}$ with the same length parameters, while the twists parameters are between 0 and $\ell_{i}\left(X^{\prime}\right)=\ell_{i}(X)$, and $M_{P}(X) \stackrel{\text { isom }}{\cong} M_{P}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$.

By doing twists of length at most $\ell_{i}(X) / 4$ we get a surface $X_{s}$ with the same length parameters and all seams of pair of pants matching up. Then, the twist parameters are equal to either zero or $\ell_{i}(X) / 2$.

## 4. The renormalized volume of symmetric surfaces

In this section we estimate the renormalised volume of a Schottky filling of a surface $X \in$ $\mathcal{T}_{s}$ corresponding to a "symmetric" pants decomposition. This will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.4. In the next two sections, we will bound the variation of the renormalised volume under a variation of the twist parameters in the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates, and as a consequence will be able to obtain an upper bound on the renormalised volume of Schottky fillings which are non-symmetric by comparing their renormalised volume to that of a symmetric surface obtained by changing the twist parameters.

Lemma 4.1. Let $\sigma: S \rightarrow S$ be an orientation-reversing involution with quotient a surface $\Sigma$ (possibly with boundary). Then, for any invariant conformal structure $X \in \mathcal{T}_{\sigma}$, there exists a handlebody $H$ with a hyperbolic structure $\Gamma$ such that the convex core of $H$ is isometric to $X_{\sigma}$ and the conformal boundary of $H$ is $X$.

Proof. For basic facts on hyperbolic 3-manifolds see Section 2.1. We denote by $\partial C(M)$ the "boundary" of $C(M)$ :

- $\partial C(M)$ is the boundary of $C(M)$ in the usual sense if $C(M)$ has non-empty interior,
- if $C(M)$ is a totally geodesic orientable surface $\Sigma \subseteq M$, then $\partial C(M)$ is the union of two copies of $\Sigma$ with opposite orientation, possibly glued along their common totally geodesic boundary.
- if $C(M)$ is a totally geodesic non-orientable surface $\Sigma \subseteq M$, then $\partial C(M)$ is the orientation double-cover of $\Sigma$.
In all cases, $\partial C(M)$ is homeomorphic to $\partial_{\infty} M$. Specifically, the hyperbolic Gauss map, which sends a unit vector normal to a support plane of $C(M)$ to the endpoint at infinity of the geodesic ray it defines, is a homeomorphism from the unit normal bundle to $C(M)$ - which is itself homeomorphic to $\partial C(M)$ - to $\partial_{\infty} M$.

The "boundary" $\partial C(M)$ is equipped with an induced metric $m$, which is hyperbolic (of constant curvature -1 ). However, it is pleated along a measured lamination $\beta$ which is geodesic for $m$, with the transverse measure recording the amount of pleating along the leaves, see [Thu80, EM86]. When $C(M)$ is a totally geodesic surface $\Sigma$, the support of $\beta$ corresponds to the boundary of $\Sigma$, with each leave equipped with a weight $\pi$.

Let $X$ be the conformal structure at infinity of $M$. Then $X$ is obtained from $m$ and $\beta$ by a geometric construction called grafting, see e.g. [Dum08]. Given a closed surface $S$ of genus at least 2 , this grafting operation defines a map

$$
\text { gr : } \mathcal{T}(S) \times \mathcal{M} \mathcal{L}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(S)
$$

where $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{L}(S)$ denotes the space of measured laminations on $S$. The key property that is important to us here is a result of Scannell and Wolf [SW02]: if $\lambda \in \mathcal{M} \mathcal{L}(S)$ is fixed, the map $\operatorname{gr}(\cdot, \lambda): \mathcal{T}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(S)$ is a homeomorphism.

Let $M$ be a convex co-compact hyperbolic manifold with convex core $C(M)$ a totally geodesic surface $\Sigma$ (possibly with boundary). The boundary of $\Sigma$ defines a multicurve $\beta_{0}$ in $\partial C(M)$, and the measured pleating lamination $\beta$ of $\partial C(M)$ is obtained by assigning a weight $\pi$ to each simple closed curve in $\beta_{0}$. Moreover, $\beta$ is the fixed-point set of an orientation-reversing involution $\sigma: \partial C(M) \rightarrow \partial C(M)$, and the induced metric $m$ on $\partial C(M)$ is invariant under $\sigma$. Since $m$ and $\beta$ are both invariant under $\sigma$, so is the conformal structure at infinity $X=\operatorname{gr}(m, \beta)$.

We claim that the restriction map

$$
\operatorname{gr}(\cdot, \beta)_{\mid \mathcal{T}_{\sigma}(S)}: \mathcal{T}_{\sigma}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\sigma}(S)
$$

is onto. Indeed, let $X \in \mathcal{T}_{\sigma}(S)$. Since $\operatorname{gr}(\cdot, \beta): \mathcal{T}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(S)$ is a homeomorphism, there exists a unique $m \in \mathcal{T}(S)$ such that $\operatorname{gr}(m, \beta)=X$. But then

$$
\operatorname{gr}\left(\sigma^{*} m, \beta\right)=\operatorname{gr}\left(\sigma^{*} m, \sigma^{*} \beta\right)=\sigma^{*} \operatorname{gr}(m, \beta)=\sigma^{*} X=X=\operatorname{gr}(m, \beta),
$$

and since $m$ is unique, $\sigma^{*} m=m$, so that $m \in \mathcal{T}_{\sigma}(S)$.
Let $X_{\sigma}$ the quotient surface. Then, this has a hyperbolic structure $\Gamma<\mathbb{P} S L_{2}(\mathbb{R})$. By considering $\Gamma$ inside $\mathbb{P} S L_{2}(\mathbb{C})$, by the natural inclusion, and the corresponding quotient $\mathbb{H}^{3} / \Gamma$ we obtain a hyperbolic 3 -manifolds whose convex core is $X_{\sigma}$. By the above discussion, we also know that the conformal boundary is $X$. The fact that $H=\mathbb{H}^{3} / \Gamma$ is a handlebody follows from the fact that $H$ is homeomorphic to either $X_{\sigma} \times I$, if $X_{\sigma}$ is orientable with non-empty boundary, or to the twisted bundle $X_{\sigma} \widetilde{\times} I$, if $X_{\sigma}$ is non-orientable. In either case by Remark 2.4 this yields a handlebody.

Remark 4.2. In the case we have a pants decomposition $P$ such that for each $\gamma \in P$ then $\sigma(\gamma)=\gamma$ we can also infer from Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 3.3 that $H \in C C_{P}(S)$, i.e. $P$ compresses in $H$ and the seams of $P$ form $\partial X_{\sigma}$.

For a convex co-compact hyperbolic 3-manifold $M$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{R}(M) \leq V_{C}(M)-\frac{1}{4} L\left(\beta_{M}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

see [Sch13, Lemma 4.1] (and also [BBB19a, Theorem 3.7]). In the case considered here, the bending lamination is given by a multi-curve with bending measure given by assigning
the weight $\pi$ to each curve, see Lemma 4.1. Then, its length is given by:

$$
L\left(\beta_{M}\right)=\pi \sum_{\gamma \in \pi_{0}} \ell_{\Sigma}(\gamma)
$$

for $\Sigma$ the hyperbolic structure on the convex-core boundary and $\pi_{0}$ the set of the simple closed curves composing the multicurve. Thus, one has $L\left(\beta_{M}\right)>0$ and so, by Lemmas 3.3 and 4.1 we obtain the following statement.

Theorem 4.3. Let $X \in \mathcal{T}_{s}$, then there exists a handlebody filling $H_{X}$ such that

$$
V_{R}\left(H_{X}\right) \leq-\frac{\pi}{4} \ell_{X_{\sigma}}\left(\partial X_{\sigma}\right)<0
$$

If we know some curves are short in $X$ and the pants decomposition is fixed component by component we obtain the following estimate, Lemma 4.4. This is the main such estimate we will use in this work. For completeness we also prove the other option in Lemma 4.5.

Lemma 4.4. There exist universal constants $S, Q \geq 0$ as follows. Let $X \in \mathcal{T}_{s}(S), M=$ $M_{P}(X)$ be the Schottky manifold corresponding to any pants decomposition for which each curve is invariant under $\sigma: X \rightarrow X$, and such that there are $0 \leq k \leq 3 g-3$ geodesic loops of $P$ of length $\ell_{X}\left(\gamma_{i}\right) \leq 1$. Then,

$$
V_{R}\left(M_{P}(X)\right) \leq-\frac{S}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{\ell_{X}\left(\gamma_{i}\right)}+\frac{Q}{4} k \leq \frac{k}{4}(-S+Q)<0
$$

Specifically, one can take $S=\frac{4 \pi^{3}}{\sqrt{e}} \sim 75.225$ and $Q=4 \pi \log \left(\frac{\pi e^{0.502 \pi}}{\operatorname{arsinh}(1)}\right) \sim 35.7901 \leq 36$.
Proof. By Lemma 4.1 the convex-core of $M$ is a totally geodesic surface isometric to $X_{\sigma}$ and so $V_{C}(M)=0$. However, by Theorem 2' of [BC05], we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\frac{S}{\ell_{X}\left(\gamma_{i}\right)}-Q\right) \leq L\left(\beta_{M}\right)
$$

Applying it to equation (2), one gets:

$$
V_{R}\left(M_{P}(X)\right) \leq-\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\frac{S}{\ell_{X}\left(\gamma_{i}\right)}-Q\right)=-\frac{S}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{\ell_{X}\left(\gamma_{i}\right)}+\frac{Q}{4} k \leq \frac{k}{4}(-S+Q)
$$

concluding the proof.
The case in which the pants curves are not fixed component wise required introducing some auxiliary functions from [ BC 03 , Corollary 1], these functions will only be needed here. For $m=\cosh ^{-1}\left(e^{2}\right)$ we define $g(x)=e^{-m} \frac{e^{-\pi^{2 / 2 x}}}{2}$ and $F(x)=\frac{x}{2}+\sinh ^{-1}\left(\frac{\sinh (x / 2)}{\sqrt{1-\sinh ^{2}(x / 2)}}\right)$. Since $F$ is invertible we let $K(x)=\frac{2 \pi}{F^{-1}(x)}$ and then define $L(x)=1+K(g(x))$.

Lemma 4.5. Let $X \in \mathcal{T}_{s}(S)$, and $M(X)$ be the Schottky manifold with convex core $X_{\sigma}$ and conformal boundary $X$. Let $\mathfrak{m}=\left\{\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{k}\right\}$ be the collection of geodesic loops point-wise invariant by $\sigma$ and let $\rho_{X}$ be half of the length of the shortest simple closed compressible geodesic in $X$. Then,

$$
V_{R}(M(X)) \leq-\frac{\pi}{4 L\left(\rho_{X}\right)} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \ell_{X}\left(\gamma_{i}\right)
$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.1 the convex-core of $M$ is a totally geodesic surface isometric to $X_{\sigma}$ and so $V_{C}(M)=0$. Moreover, by Lemma $3.3 \partial X_{\sigma}$ is given by the multi-curve $\mathfrak{m}$ of geodesic loops that are point-wise fixed by $\sigma$. Thus, by Corollary 1 of [ BC 03 ] we have:

$$
\ell_{X_{\sigma}}(\gamma) \leq \frac{1}{L\left(\rho_{X}\right)} \ell_{X}(\gamma)
$$

Then, by applying it to equation (2) we obtain the required result.

## 5. Variation of the renormalized volume under a earthquake

In this section we compute how renormalised volume changes under earthquake paths in the deformation space.
5.1. First-order variation of the renormalized volume. We start the section with a formula for $\mathrm{d} V_{R}$ at $M_{P}(X)=\mathbb{H}^{3} / \Gamma$. Recall that by $S(f)$ we are denoting the Schwarzian derivative of the uniformization map of the domain of discontinuity $\Omega(\Gamma)$ of the Schottky hyperbolic 3-manifold $M_{P}(X)$, and by $S$ the boundary at infinity.

Lemma 5.1. Let $\mu$ be an infinitesimal earthquake (at unit velocity) along a simple closed geodesic on $X$, parameterised at unit length by $\gamma: \mathbb{R} / \ell \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow X$. Then, for $q=S(f)$ :

$$
\mathrm{d} V_{R}(\mu)=-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R} / \ell \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Re}(q(i \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t))) d t=\operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R} / \ell \mathbb{Z}} q(\dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t))\right) .
$$

Proof. Let $v$ be a vector field realizing the infinitesimal earthquake along the image of $\gamma$. That is, $v$ is the vector field obtained by differentiating at zero, with respect to the time parameter $t$, the family of diffeomorphisms $\varphi_{\gamma}(t)$ corresponding to a length $t$ earthquake along $\gamma$. We assume that $v$ is discontinuous along $\gamma(\mathbb{R} / \ell \mathbb{Z})$, that is, it has limit zero on the right side and equal to $\dot{\gamma}(t)$ along $\gamma(\mathbb{R} / \ell \mathbb{Z})$.

The first-order variation of the complex structure associated to $v$ is then determined by the Beltrami differential $\mu=\bar{\partial} v$. Specifically, choosing a complex coordinate $z$, we can write:

$$
v=2 \omega\left(\partial_{z}+\partial_{\bar{z}}\right),
$$

and note that $\omega$ vanishes on the right half-neighbourhood of $\gamma$.
Consider the area form $d x \wedge d y$ associated to $z=x+i y$, and note that $d \bar{z} \wedge d z=$ $2 i(d x \wedge d y)$. We have

$$
\bar{\partial} v=2(\bar{\partial} \omega)\left(d \bar{z} \otimes \partial_{z}+d \bar{z} \otimes \partial_{\bar{z}}\right),
$$

and so if $q=g(z) d z^{2}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle q, \bar{\partial} v\rangle & =\frac{1}{2 i} \int_{S} 2 g(z)(\bar{\partial} \omega(z))\left(d \bar{z} \wedge\left(d z^{2}\left(\partial_{z}\right)\right)+d \bar{z} \wedge\left(d z^{2}\left(\partial_{\bar{z}}\right)\right)\right) \\
& =\int_{S} 2 g(z)(\bar{\partial} w(z)) d x \wedge d y
\end{aligned}
$$

by definition of the duality product commonly used between Beltrami differentials and holomorphic quadratic differentials, see [Hub16].

Consider now the one-form defined by $\alpha=q(v, \cdot)=2 \omega(z) g(z) d z$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{\partial} \alpha & =\bar{\partial}\left(q\left(2 \omega\left(\partial_{z}+\partial_{\bar{z}}\right), \cdot\right)\right) \\
& =\bar{\partial}\left(2 \omega(z) g(z) d z\left(\partial_{z}+\partial_{\bar{z}}\right) \otimes d z\right) \\
& =\bar{\partial}(2 \omega(z) g(z) d z) \\
& =2(\bar{\partial} \omega(z)) g(z) d \bar{z} \wedge d z+2 \omega(z) \bar{\partial} g(z) d \bar{z} \wedge d z \\
& =4 i(\bar{\partial} \omega(z)) g(z) d x \wedge d y,
\end{aligned}
$$

because $g$ is holomorphic, $\bar{\partial} g=0$, and $d \bar{z} \wedge d z=2 i(d x \wedge d y)$.
The outcome of this discussion is that

$$
\int_{S} \overline{\bar{\partial}} \alpha=\int_{S} 4 i g(z)(\bar{\partial} \omega(z)) d x \wedge d y=2 i \int_{S} 2 g(z)(\bar{\partial} \omega(z)) d x \wedge d y=2 i\langle q, \bar{\partial} v\rangle .
$$

Therefore, we get

$$
\langle q, \mu\rangle=-\frac{i}{2} \int_{S} \bar{\partial} \alpha
$$

However, $\alpha$ is a complex 1-form, so that $\partial \alpha=\partial(2 g w) d z \wedge d z=0$, and as a consequence

$$
d \alpha=(\partial+\bar{\partial}) \alpha=\bar{\partial} \alpha
$$

Using Stokes on $S^{\prime}=S \backslash \gamma(\mathbb{R} / \ell \mathbb{Z})$, we obtain that, since $\alpha$ vanishes on one component of $\partial S^{\prime}$ :

$$
\langle q, \bar{\partial} v\rangle=-\frac{i}{2} \int_{S} d \alpha=-\frac{i}{2} \int_{\partial S^{\prime}} \alpha(\dot{\gamma}(t)) d t=-\frac{i}{2} \int_{0}^{\ell} \alpha(\dot{\gamma}(t)) d t .
$$

However, by definition of $\alpha$ we obtain that

$$
\langle q, \bar{\partial} v\rangle=-\frac{i}{2} \int_{0}^{\ell} q\left(\left.v\right|_{\gamma(t)}, \dot{\gamma}(t)\right) d t=-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\ell} q(i \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t)) d t .
$$

The first order variation of the renormalised volume, thanks to 2.10 , is equal to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d} V_{R}(\mu) & =\operatorname{Re}(\langle q, \bar{\partial} v\rangle)=\operatorname{Re}\left(-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\ell} q(i \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t)) d t\right) \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\ell} \operatorname{Re}(q(i \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t))) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

completing the proof.
Definition 5.2. An earthquake path $c_{\mathbf{t}}:[0,1] \rightarrow C C_{P}(S), \mathbf{t}=\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{3 g-3}\right)$, is a path obtained by twisting $t_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$ along each pants curve $\gamma_{i} \in P$.

From [BBB19a] one has a pointwise bound for $|S f(z)|$ in terms of the injectivity radius along compressible loops $\rho$ through that point: $|S f(z)| \leq 6 \operatorname{coth}^{2}\left(\frac{\rho}{2}\right)$. For a loop $\gamma$ we use $\left.\operatorname{inj}\right|_{\gamma}$ to denote the length of the shortest disk whose boundary intersects $\gamma$. Note that if $\gamma$ is a compressible geodesic loop of length $\leq \varepsilon_{0}$ in $X$ then inj $\left.\right|_{\gamma}=\frac{\ell_{X}(\gamma)}{2}$.
Lemma 5.3. For an earthquake $c_{t}$ along a simple geodesic loop $\gamma$ we have the following bound for $\left|\mathrm{d} V_{R}\right|$ :

$$
\left|\mathrm{d} V_{R}\right|_{c_{t}} \left\lvert\, \leq 3 \ell_{X_{0}}(\gamma) \operatorname{coth}^{2}\left(\frac{\left.\operatorname{inj}\right|_{\gamma}}{2}\right)\right.
$$

In particular, if inj $\left.\right|_{\gamma} \geq 1 / 2$ we have

$$
\left|\mathrm{d} V_{R}\right| c_{t} \left\lvert\, \leq 3 \ell_{X_{0}}(\gamma) \operatorname{coth}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)=C \ell_{X_{0}}(\gamma) \quad C=3 \operatorname{coth}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)<50.013\right.
$$

Proof. From [BBB19a], $|S f(z)| \leq 6 \operatorname{coth}^{2}\left(\frac{\left.\mathrm{inj}\right|_{\gamma}}{2}\right)$ (the 4 factor comes from the hyperbolic metric), integrating with respect to $\int_{0}^{\ell_{X}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)}$ using the integration by part of Lemma 5.1 yields the first estimate. The second estimate follows by direct computation.
5.2. Earthquake paths and $V_{R}$ estimates. In this section we compute the change of renormalised volume under a path $c_{\mathrm{t}}:[0,1] \rightarrow C C_{P}(S)$ obtained by doing earthquakes along geodesic loops in the pants decomposition $P$.

Theorem 5.4. Let $c_{\mathrm{t}}:[0,1] \rightarrow C C_{P}(S)$ be an earthquake path, and let $\ell_{i}=\ell_{X_{0}}\left(\gamma_{i}\right)$. Then

$$
\left|V_{R}\left(X_{1}\right)-V_{R}\left(X_{0}\right)\right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(3 \ell_{i} \operatorname{coth}^{2}\left(\ell_{i} / 4\right)\right) t_{i}+C \sum_{i=k+1}^{3 g-3} t_{i} \ell_{i}
$$

where $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{k}$ are the geodesic loops of $P$ with $\ell_{i}<1$ and for all $j>k$ we have $\left.2 \mathrm{inj}\right|_{\gamma_{j}} \geq 1$.
Proof. Pick a 1-thick/thin pants decomposition with $k$ geodesic loops less than 1 and integrate Lemma 5.3.

Since, by Lemma 3.7, to reach a symmetric surface we need to twist at most $\ell_{X}\left(\gamma_{i}\right) / 4$ we can take $t_{i} \leq \ell_{X}\left(\gamma_{i}\right) / 4$ in the above expression and obtain the following statement.
Corollary 5.5. Let $X \in \mathcal{T}(S)$ and $P=\left\{\gamma_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{3 g-3}$ be a pants decomposition in which the first $k$ curves are less than 1 and the others have injectivity radius at least 1 . Then, there exists a symmetric surface $X_{0}$ such that

$$
\left|V_{R}(X)-V_{R}\left(X_{0}\right)\right| \leq \frac{3}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \operatorname{coth}^{2}\left(\ell_{i} / 4\right) \ell_{i}^{2}+\frac{C}{4} \sum_{i=k+1}^{3 g-3} \ell_{i}^{2},
$$

with $\ell_{i}=\ell_{X_{0}}\left(\gamma_{i}\right)$ and $C=3 \operatorname{coth}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)<50.013$.
The above estimates also work in the setting of general convex co-compact manifolds. Let $C C(M)$ be the deformation space which is also parameterised by the quotient of $\mathcal{T}(\partial M)$ by Dehn twists along disks. Let $c_{\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{m}}:[0,1] \rightarrow C C(M)$ be an earthquake path along a multi-curve $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq S$ such that with respect to the reference metric $X_{0}$ can be subdivided into:

- $\mathfrak{m}_{\infty}$ is the set of geodesic loops $\gamma$ of $\mathfrak{m}$ that are incompressible and such that no compressing disk of $M$ intersects $\gamma$;
- $\mathfrak{m}_{1}^{c}$ is the set of geodesic loops $\gamma$ of $\mathfrak{m}$ that bound disks and have length at most 1 ;
- $\mathfrak{m}_{1}$ is the set of geodesic loops $\gamma$ of $\mathfrak{m}$, and such that any compressing loop intersecting $\gamma$ essentially has length at least 1.
Note that not every $\mathfrak{m}$ admits such a decomposition with respect to the given $X_{0}$ and every geodesic loop in $\mathfrak{m}_{\infty}$ is contained in a component of $\partial M$ that is incompressible.
Theorem 5.6. Let $X_{0} \in \mathcal{T}(\partial M)$ and $\mathfrak{m}=\mathfrak{m}_{\infty} \cup \mathfrak{m}_{1}^{c} \cup \mathfrak{m}_{1}$ be a multi-curve and $c_{\mathbf{t}}^{\mathfrak{m}}$ be an earthquake path terminating at $X_{1}$. Then

$$
\left|V_{R}\left(X_{1}\right)-V_{R}\left(X_{0}\right)\right| \leq \sum_{\gamma_{i} \in \pi_{0}\left(\mathfrak{m}_{1}^{c}\right)}\left(3 \ell_{i} \operatorname{coth}^{2}\left(\ell_{i} / 4\right)\right) t_{i}+C \sum_{\alpha_{j} \in \mathfrak{m}_{1}} t_{j} \ell_{j}+\frac{3}{2} \sum_{\beta_{k} \in \mathfrak{m}_{\infty}} t_{k} \ell_{k},
$$

for $C=3 \operatorname{coth}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)<50.013$.
Proof. The first two cases follow by the previous computations integrating Lemma 5.3. For the last case we bound the norm of the Schwarzian on the geodesic loops in $\mathfrak{m}_{\infty}$ by Nehari's [Neh49] and then integrating gives the result.

## 6. Main Results

We now put together the results from the previous sections to prove the main Theorem 1.4 and Corollary 1.5.

Theorem 1.4. Let $X$ be a closed Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 2$. Assume that there are $k$ disjoint simple closed curves $\gamma_{1}, \cdots, \gamma_{k}$ such that $\ell\left(\gamma_{i}\right) \leq 1,1 \leq i \leq k$, and there are no other geodesic loops of length less or equal to 1 in $X$. Then there exists a pants decomposition $P$ containing the $\gamma_{i}$ 's such that
$V_{R}\left(M_{P}(X)\right) \leq-\frac{\pi^{3}}{\sqrt{e}} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{\ell\left(\gamma_{i}\right)}+\left(9+\frac{3}{4} \operatorname{coth}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)\right) k+81 \operatorname{coth}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) \pi(3 g-3-k)(g-1)^{2}$.
Proof. Let $P$ be a pants decomposition containing the $k$ geodesic loops $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{k}$ shorter than 1 and the $\alpha_{i}, i=k+1, \ldots, 3 g-3$, being Bers pants curves (see [FM11, Theorem 12.8]).

That is, we have:

- $\ell_{X}\left(\gamma_{i}\right) \leq 1$ for $i \leq k$;
- $1<\ell_{X}\left(\alpha_{i}\right) \leq B_{g} \leq 6 \sqrt{3 \pi}(g-1)$, see [Bus10, Theorem 5.1.4], and inj $\left.\right|_{\alpha_{i}} \geq 1$ for $k<i \leq 3 g-3$;
- $P$ has seams such that in the $F N$ coordinates induced by $P, F N(X)$ has no twists bigger than $\ell_{X}\left(\gamma_{i}\right) / 4$ or $\ell_{X}\left(\alpha_{i}\right) / 4$ (see Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.7).
Let $c_{\mathbf{t}}$ be the path in $F N$ coordinates from $X$ to $X_{s}$, the symmetric surface. Then, $c_{\mathbf{t}}$ can be thought of doing $3 g-3$ twists along each pants curve, each of length at most $\ell_{X}\left(\gamma_{i}\right) / 4$ or $\ell_{X}\left(\alpha_{i}\right) / 4$, see Lemma 3.7. Then, for $C=3 \operatorname{coth}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)$, by Corollary 5.5 we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|V_{R}(X)-V_{R}\left(X_{s}\right)\right| & \leq \frac{3}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \operatorname{coth}^{2}\left(\ell_{i} / 4\right) \ell_{i}^{2}+\frac{C}{4} \sum_{i=k+1}^{3 g-3} \ell_{i}^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{C}{4} k+\frac{C}{4} \sum_{i=k+1}^{3 g-3} B_{g}^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{C}{4} k+\frac{C}{4}(3 g-3-k) B_{g}^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{C}{4} k+27 C \pi(3 g-3-k)(g-1)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used the fact that $B_{g} \leq 6 \sqrt{3 \pi}(g-1)$ and $\operatorname{coth}^{2}(x / 4) x^{2}$ is an increasing function. Thus, we get that:

$$
V_{R}(X) \leq V_{R}\left(X_{s}\right)+\frac{C}{4} k+27 C \pi(3 g-3-k)(g-1)^{2} .
$$

Since $\ell_{i} \leq 1$ for $i \leq k$ by using Lemma 4.4 to estimate $V_{R}\left(X_{s}\right)$ we have:

$$
V_{R}\left(X_{s}\right) \leq-\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\frac{S}{\ell_{X}\left(\gamma_{i}\right)}-Q\right)
$$

for $S=\frac{4 \pi^{3}}{\sqrt{e}}$ and $Q=4 \pi \log \left(\frac{\pi e^{0.502 \pi}}{\operatorname{arsinh}(1)}\right) \sim 35.7901 \leq 36$. Then, we obtain the following bound:

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{R}(X) & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(-\frac{S}{4 \ell_{X}\left(\gamma_{i}\right)}+\frac{Q}{4}\right)+\frac{C}{4} k+27 C \pi(3 g-3-k)(g-1)^{2} \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(-\frac{\pi^{3}}{\sqrt{e} \ell_{X}\left(\gamma_{i}\right)}\right)+9 k+\frac{C}{4} k+27 C \pi(3 g-3-k)(g-1)^{2} \\
& \leq-\frac{\pi^{3}}{\sqrt{e}} \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\frac{1}{\ell_{X}\left(\gamma_{i}\right)}\right)+\left(9+\frac{C}{4}\right) k+27 C \pi(3 g-3-k)(g-1)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting for $C=3 \operatorname{coth}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)$ concludes the proof.
Corollary 1.5. For all $g \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $g \geq 2,0<k \leq 3 g-3$ and $0<k_{1} \leq k$ there exists a constant $A=A\left(g, k_{1}, k-k_{1}\right)>0$ such, that if $X$ is a Riemann surface with $k_{1}$ geodesic loops of length less than $A$ and $k$ geodesic loops of length at most 1 , then $X$ admits a Schottky filling with negative renormalised volume.

Proof. Let $P$ be a pants decomposition containing the $k_{1}$ geodesic loops, $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{k}$ shorter than $A$ and $k-k_{1}$ geodesic loops $\gamma_{k_{1}+1}, \ldots, \gamma_{k}$ of length at most 1 and the $\alpha_{i}, i=$ $k+1, \ldots, 3 g-3$ are Bers pants curves.

That is, we have:

- $\ell_{X}\left(\gamma_{i}\right)<A$ for $1 \leq i \leq k_{1}$;
- $\ell_{X}\left(\gamma_{i}\right) \leq 1$ for $k_{1}<i \leq k$;
- $1<\ell_{X}\left(\alpha_{i}\right) \leq B_{g} \leq 6 \sqrt{3 \pi}(g-1)$ and inj $\left.\right|_{\alpha_{i}} \geq 1$ for $k<i \leq 3 g-3$.

Then, by Theorem 1.4 we get:

$$
V_{R}\left(M_{P}(X)\right) \leq-\frac{\pi^{3}}{\sqrt{e}} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{\ell\left(\gamma_{i}\right)}+\left(9+\frac{C}{4}\right) k+27 C \pi(3 g-3-k)(g-1)^{2},
$$

which can be further decomposed in:
$V_{R}\left(M_{P}(X)\right) \leq-\frac{\pi^{3}}{\sqrt{e}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k_{1}} \frac{1}{\ell\left(\gamma_{i}\right)}+\sum_{i=k_{1}+1}^{k} \frac{1}{\ell\left(\gamma_{i}\right)}\right)+\left(9+\frac{C}{4}\right) k+27 C \pi(3 g-3-k)(g-1)^{2}$.
Since for $i \leq k_{1}$ we have that $\frac{1}{\ell_{X}\left(\gamma_{i}\right)} \geq \frac{1}{A}$ and, similarly, for $k_{1}+1 \leq i \leq k$ we have that $\frac{1}{\ell_{X}\left(\gamma_{i}\right)} \geq 1$ we get:

$$
V_{R}(X) \leq-\frac{\pi^{3}}{\sqrt{e}}\left(\frac{k_{1}}{A}+k-k_{1}\right)+\left(9+\frac{C}{4}\right) k+27 C \pi(3 g-3-k)(g-1)^{2} .
$$

We want to find an upper bound on $A$ that makes the above expression negative. Note that

$$
B:=-\frac{\pi^{3}}{\sqrt{e}}\left(k-k_{1}\right)+\left(9+\frac{C}{4}\right) k+27 C \pi(3 g-3-k)(g-1)^{2}>2 k>0
$$

as the smallest case for $B$ is for $k=3 g-3$ and $k_{1}=0$. Then, to have

$$
-\frac{\pi^{3}}{\sqrt{e}} \frac{k_{1}}{A}+B<0
$$

it suffices to take:

$$
A<\frac{\pi^{3}}{\sqrt{e}} \frac{k_{1}}{B}
$$

concluding the proof.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Note that this definition requires the choice of an orientation of $\gamma$, but the result does not depend on which orientation is chosen.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Here we say that a subset $K \subseteq M$ is "geodesically convex" if any geodesic segment in $M$ with endpoints in $K$ is entirely contained in $K$.
    ${ }^{3}$ An essential loop $\gamma$ in $\partial \bar{M}$ is compressible if it is null-homotopic in $M$.

