

The existence for the classical solution of the Navier-Stokes equations

Jianfeng Wang

Department of Mathematics, Hohai University, Nanjing, 210098, P.R. China.

Email: wjf19702014@163.com, Acadmic email: 20020001@hhu.edu.cn.

Abstract. In this paper we will discuss the existence for the classical solution of the Navier-Stokes equations. First, we transform it into generalized integral equations. Next, we discuss the existence of the classical solution by Leray-Schauder degree and Sobolev space $H^{-m_1}(\Omega_1)$.
MSC2010. 35AXX.

Keywords. Navier-Stokes equations, Leray-Schauder degree, Sobolev space $H^{-m_1}(\Omega_1)$.

1 Introduction

We consider the dynamical equations for a viscous and incompressible fluid as follows,

$$\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial z} = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \mu \Delta u + u_1 \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + u_2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + u_3 \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{\rho} \text{grad} p = F, \quad (1.2)$$

where $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3)^T$ is the velocity vector, μ is the dynamic viscosity coefficient, ρ is the density of the material particle, p is intensity of the pressure, $F = (F_1, F_2, F_3)^T$ is the density of the body force, $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3)^T$, p and F are all continuous functions with the variables of the time t and position $(x, y, z)^T$. These equations are called the Navier-Stokes equations.

We assume $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3)^T \in C^2(\bar{\Omega}) \cap C^1[0, T]$, $p \in C^1(\bar{\Omega}) \cap C[0, T]$, $t \in [0, T]$, $(x, y, z)^T \in \bar{\Omega} \subset R^3$, $\bar{\Omega} = \Omega \cup \partial\Omega$, Ω is a bounded domain, and $\partial\Omega \in C^1, \alpha$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, moreover, $\bar{\Omega}$ is convex, the initial conditions should be known as

$$u|_{t=0} \in C^2(\bar{\Omega}), \quad (1.3)$$

and at least one of three boundary conditions as follows,

(1)Dirichlet problem,

$$u|_{\partial\Omega \times [0, T]} \in C^2(\partial\Omega) \cap C^1[0, T], \quad (1.4)$$

(2)Neumann problem,

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}|_{\partial\Omega \times [0, T]} \in C^1(\partial\Omega \times [0, T]), \quad (1.5)$$

where $n = (n_1, n_2, n_3)^T$ is the exterior normal vector to $\partial\Omega$,

(3)Robin problem,

$$\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} + \sigma u\right)|_{\partial\Omega \times [0, T]} \in C(\partial\Omega \times [0, T]), \quad (1.6)$$

where $\sigma = \sigma(x, y, z, t)$ is continuous, and $\sigma(x, y, z, t) > 0$, $\forall (x, y, z, t)^T \in \partial\Omega \times [0, T]$.

Our goal is absolutely the classical solution of Eqs(1.1) and (1.2).

In Section 2, we will prove that the boundary in $C^{1, \alpha}$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, is not only the Sobolev's imbedding surface, but also the Lyapunov's surface, the Hopf's surface for the strong maximum principle.

In section 3, we will transform Eqs(1.1) and (1.2) into the equivalent generalized integral equations as follows,

$$Z_1 = T_0(Z_1) = w_1(x, y, z, t) + w_2(x, y, z, t) .* (\psi(Z_1)), \quad (1.7)$$

where $Z_1 = (u, p, \partial u \setminus u_{1x}, \partial^2 u, grad p)^T$, $\partial u = (u_x, u_y, u_z)^T$, $\partial u \setminus u_{1x} = (u_{2x}, u_{3x}, u_y, u_z)^T$, $u_{jx} = \partial u_j / \partial x$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, $\partial^2 u = (u_{xx}, u_{xy}, u_{xz}, u_{yy}, u_{yz}, u_{zz})^T$, $.*$ means the matrix convolution as follows,

$$w_2 .* (\psi(Z_1)) = \int_{R^4} w_2(x - x_1, y - y_1, z - z_1, t - \tau) (\psi(Z_1(x_1, y_1, z_1, \tau))) dx_1 dy_1 dz_1 d\tau. \quad (1.8)$$

Here generalized comes from w_1 and w_2 being related to the Dirac function. The term equivalent is defined in the following.

Definition 1.1 *The equations $f_1(x) = 0$ and $f_2(y) = 0$ are equivalent, if and only if there exist continuous mappings T_1, T_2 , such that $\forall x, y$ are respectively the solutions of $f_1(x) = 0, f_2(y) = 0$, we have $f_1(T_2(y)) = 0, f_2(T_1(x)) = 0$, and $T_2(T_1(x)) = x, T_1(T_2(y)) = y$.*

Hence, we can get a necessary and sufficient condition for there exist $u \in C^2(\overline{\Omega}) \cap C^1[0, T]$, $p \in C^1(\overline{\Omega}) \cap C[0, T]$ satisfy Eqs(1.1) and (1.2) is that there exists $Z_1 \in C(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T])$ satisfies $Z_1 = T_0(Z_1)$. In Section 4, we will discuss the existence of the classical solution of $Z_1 = T_0(Z_1)$. We will use the theory on $H^{-m_1}(\Omega_1)$, where $\Omega_1 = \Omega \times (0, T)$, which is defined on page 130 in [10], and a primary theory on the Leray-Schauder degree.

At first, we construct a norm $\|\cdot\|_{-m_1}$ for $T_0(Z_1) = (T_0, i(Z_1))_{33 \times 1}$ as the following,

$$\|T_0(Z_1)\|_{-m_1} = \max_{1 \leq i \leq 33} \sup_{\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega_1)} \frac{|\langle T_0, i(Z_1), \varphi \rangle|}{\|\varphi\|_{m_1}}. \quad (1.9)$$

Next, we make approximate ordinary integral equations $Z_1 = T_{0\epsilon}(Z_1)$, $\forall \epsilon > 0$, where

$$T_{0\epsilon}(Z_1) = \delta_\epsilon .* T_0(Z_1), \quad \delta_\epsilon = \frac{1}{(\sqrt{\pi\epsilon})^4} e^{-|X|^2/\epsilon}, \quad X = (x, y, z, t)^T. \quad (1.10)$$

At last, we assume the following,

$$\exists M > 0, \exists \delta > 0, \exists \delta' > 0, \forall \epsilon \in (0, \delta], \forall T \in (0, \delta'], \text{ we have } \tau(M, \epsilon, T) > 0, \quad (1.11)$$

$$\exists M > 0, \exists \delta > 0, \forall \epsilon \in (0, \delta], \tau(M, \epsilon) > 0, \text{ and } \exists \epsilon_0 \in (0, \delta], \text{ deg}(Z_1 - T_{0\epsilon_0}(Z_1), \Omega_M, 0) \neq 0, \quad (1.12)$$

$$\exists \epsilon_k > 0, Z_{1\epsilon_k} \in \Omega_M, Z_{1\epsilon_k} = T_{0\epsilon_k}(Z_{1\epsilon_k}), k \geq 1, \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \epsilon_k = 0, \text{ and } \sup_{k, l, i} S(\partial\Omega_{k, l, i}^+) < +\infty, \quad (1.13)$$

where

$$\tau(M, \epsilon, T) = \inf_{\|Z_1\|_\infty = M} \|Z_1 - T_{0\epsilon}(Z_1)\|_\infty,$$

if time T is fixed, then we denote $\tau(M, \epsilon, T)$ into $\tau(M, \epsilon)$, $\Omega_M = \{Z_1 \in C(\overline{\Omega_1}) : \|Z_1\|_\infty < M\}$,

$$S(\partial\Omega_{k, l, i}^+) = \int_{\{X \in \overline{\Omega} \times [0, T] : Z_{1, i, \epsilon_k} - Z_{1, i, \epsilon_l} = 0\}} dS, \quad k \neq l, 1 \leq i \leq 33.$$

We will prove that the strong solution of $Z_1 = T_0(Z_1)$ will exist locally under the condition (1.11) and exist globally under the condition (1.12), where the strong solution Z_1^* is required that there exist series $\epsilon_k \rightarrow 0$, $k \rightarrow +\infty$, such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|Z_{1\epsilon_k} - Z_1^*\|_{-m_1} = 0, \text{ moreover } \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|Z_{1\epsilon_k} - T_0(Z_{1\epsilon_k})\|_{-m_1} = 0. \quad (1.14)$$

Moreover, the L^∞ solution of $Z_1 = T_0(Z_1)$ will exist under the condition (1.13).

If the strong solution is locally integrable, then it is in L^∞ . If (1.11) or (1.12) or (1.13) does not hold, then the blow-up will happen.

The L^∞ solution of $Z_1 = T_0(Z_1)$ will always exist and be unique except the blow-up.

We obtain $u^* \in W^{2, +\infty}(\overline{\Omega})$, $p^* \in W^{1, +\infty}(\overline{\Omega})$, if $Z_1^* = T_0(Z_1^*)$, $Z_1^* \in L^\infty(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T])$, where $Z_1^* = (u^*, p^*, \partial u^* \setminus u_{1x}^*, \partial^2 u^*, \text{grad} p^*)^T$. Here $W^{1, +\infty}(\overline{\Omega})$, $W^{2, +\infty}(\overline{\Omega})$ are Sobolev spaces defined on page 153 in [2]. From the condition that domain Ω satisfies a uniform exterior and interior cone, if Ω is bounded, $\partial\Omega \in C^{1, \alpha}$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, we can get that $u^* \in C^{1, 1}(\overline{\Omega})$, $p^* \in C^{0, 1}(\overline{\Omega})$ by imbedding. By using Morrey's inequality defined on page 163 in [2], we get u^* is twice classically differentiable and p^* is classically differentiable almost everywhere in $\overline{\Omega}$.

If $F(T_0(Z_1^*))$ is analytical, then u^* and p^* satisfy Eqs(1.1) and (1.2) almost everywhere in $\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]$, where $F(T_0(Z_1^*))$ is the Fourier transform of $T_0(Z_1^*)$. That is near our goal.

Since we haven't got any similar paper, we have to put some books we have learnt in the reference.

2 Boundary in $C^{1, \alpha}$

In this section, we will explain why we chose $\partial\Omega \in C^{1, \alpha}$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, instead of $\partial\Omega \in C^{2, \alpha}$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, or the Sobolev's imbedding surface, the Lyapunov's surface, the Hopf's surface for the strong maximum principle.

First of all, we know $C^{1, \alpha} \supset C^{2, \alpha}$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. Simplicity and generality are our eternal pursuit. Secondly, $\partial\Omega \in C^{1, \alpha}$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ is just the Sobolev's imbedding surface from the following.

Theorem 2.1 *If Ω is bounded, $\partial\Omega \in C^{1, \alpha}$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, then domain Ω satisfies a uniform exterior cone condition, that is, there exists a fixed finite right circular cone K , such that each $P = (x, y, z)^T \in \partial\Omega$ is the vertex of a cone $K(P)$, $\overline{K(P)} \cap \overline{\Omega} = P$, and $K(P)$ is congruent to K .*

Proof of theorem 2.1. By using the equivalent definition of $C^{1, \alpha}$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, on page 94 in [2], if $\partial\Omega \in C^{1, \alpha}$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, then each $P_0 = (x_0, y_0, z_0)^T \in \partial\Omega$, there exists a neighborhood $U(P_0, \delta_0(P_0))$, $\delta_0(P_0) > 0$, where

$$U(P_0, \delta_0(P_0)) = \{P : |P - P_0| < \delta_0(P_0)\}, \quad \overline{U}(P_0, \delta_0(P_0)) = \{P : |P - P_0| \leq \delta_0(P_0)\},$$

$P = (x, y, z)^T \in R^3$, $|P - P_0| = \sqrt{(x - x_0)^2 + (y - y_0)^2 + (z - z_0)^2}$, and $U(P_0, \delta_0(P_0)) \cap \partial\Omega$ is a graph of a $C^{1, \alpha}$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, function of two of the coordinates x, y, z .

Without loss of the generality, we assume such a function is $f_0(x, y) \in C^{1, \alpha}$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, and we have the following, $z - f_0(x, y) = 0$, if $P = (x, y, z)^T \in U(P_0, \delta_0(P_0)) \cap \partial\Omega$, $z - f_0(x, y) > 0$, if $P = (x, y, z)^T \in U(P_0, \delta_0(P_0)) \setminus \overline{\Omega}$, and $z - f_0(x, y) < 0$, if $P = (x, y, z)^T \in U(P_0, \delta_0(P_0)) \cap \Omega$.

We will get the same results if $U(P_0, \delta_0(P_0)) \cap \partial\Omega$ is a graph of other functions.

We introduce the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1 *If Ω is bounded, $\partial\Omega \in C^{1, \alpha}$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, then for each $P_0 = (x_0, y_0, z_0)^T \in \partial\Omega$, there exists a $C(P_0) > 0$, related to $f_0(x, y)$, such that*

$$|\mathbf{r}_{P_0P} \cdot n_{p_0}| \leq C(P_0) |P - P_0|^{1+\alpha}, \quad \forall P = (x, y, z)^T \in U(P_0, \delta_0(P_0)) \cap \partial\Omega, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\mathbf{r}_{P_0P} = \overrightarrow{P_0P}$, n_{p_0} is exterior normal vector to $\partial\Omega$ at point P_0 .

Proof of lemma 2.1. From $n_{p_0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{f_{0x}^2(x_0, y_0) + f_{0y}^2(x_0, y_0) + 1}} (-f_{0x}(x_0, y_0), -f_{0y}(x_0, y_0), 1)^T$,

where f_{0x} , f_{0y} are partial derivatives of f_0 , we get

$$\mathbf{r}_{P_0P} \cdot n_{p_0} = \frac{z - z_0 - (x - x_0)f_{0x}(x_0, y_0) - (y - y_0)f_{0y}(x_0, y_0)}{\sqrt{f_{0x}^2(x_0, y_0) + f_{0y}^2(x_0, y_0) + 1}}. \quad (2.2)$$

From $z - z_0 = f_0(x, y) - f_0(x_0, y_0)$, and $f_0(x, y) \in C^{1, \alpha}$, we have

$$z - z_0 = (x - x_0)f_{0x}(tx_0 + (1-t)x, ty_0 + (1-t)y) + (y - y_0)f_{0y}(tx_0 + (1-t)x, ty_0 + (1-t)y), \quad (2.3)$$

where $0 \leq t \leq 1$. From $f_0(x, y) \in C^{1, \alpha}$, we know there exists $C_\alpha(P_0) > 0$, related to $f_0(x, y)$, such that $\forall P_1 = (x_1, y_1, z_1)^T, P_2 = (x_2, y_2, z_2)^T \in \overline{U(P_0, \delta_0(P_0))} \cap \partial\Omega$,

$$\begin{aligned} |f_{0x}(x_1, y_1) - f_{0x}(x_2, y_2)| &\leq C_\alpha(P_0) |(P_1 - P_2)_1|^\alpha, \\ |f_{0y}(x_1, y_1) - f_{0y}(x_2, y_2)| &\leq C_\alpha(P_0) |(P_1 - P_2)_1|^\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

where $(P_1 - P_2)_1 = (x_1 - x_2, y_1 - y_2, 0)^T$.

Hence we obtain the following,

$$|f_{0x}(tx_0 + (1-t)x, ty_0 + (1-t)y) - f_{0x}(x_0, y_0)| \leq C_\alpha(P_0) |(P - P_0)_1|^\alpha, \quad (2.4)$$

$$|f_{0y}(tx_0 + (1-t)x, ty_0 + (1-t)y) - f_{0y}(x_0, y_0)| \leq C_\alpha(P_0) |(P - P_0)_1|^\alpha, \quad (2.5)$$

where $(P - P_0)_1 = (x - x_0, y - y_0, 0)^T$.

From $\sqrt{f_{0x}^2(x_0, y_0) + f_{0y}^2(x_0, y_0) + 1} \geq 1$, we obtain,

$$|\mathbf{r}_{P_0P} \cdot n_{p_0}| \leq 2C_\alpha(P_0) |(P - P_0)_1|^{1+\alpha} \leq C(P_0) |P - P_0|^{1+\alpha}, \quad (2.6)$$

where $C(P_0) = 2C_\alpha(P_0)$. \square

Now we can make an exterior finite right circular cone $K(P_0)$ at point P_0 . We choose a $\delta_1(P_0) < \delta_0(P_0)$ that is small enough such that $C(P_0)(2\delta_1(P_0))^\alpha < 1$. We let P_0 be the vertex of a cone $K(P_0)$ and n_{p_0} be the symmetry axis. The polar angle is $\theta(P_0) = \arccos(C(P_0)(2\delta_1(P_0))^\alpha) \in (0, \pi/2)$, and the length of generatrix is $\delta_1(P_0)/3$.

We will prove that $\overline{K(P_0)} \cap \overline{\Omega} = P_0$.

From $|P - P_0| \leq \delta_1(P_0)/3, \forall P \in \overline{K(P_0)}$, we can obtain $\overline{K(P_0)} \subset U(P_0, \delta_1(P_0))$.

And from

$$\mathbf{r}_{P_0P} \cdot n_{p_0} \geq |P - P_0| C(P_0)(2\delta_1(P_0))^\alpha > C(P_0) |P - P_0|^{1+\alpha}, \quad \forall P \in \overline{K(P_0)}, P \neq P_0, \quad (2.7)$$

we can obtain $\overline{K(P_0)} \cap \partial\Omega = P_0$.

Finally, if there exists $P \in \overline{K(P_0)} \cap \Omega$, then we can obtain $z < f_0(x, y)$, where $P = (x, y, z)^T$. From (2.2), we can get $\mathbf{r}_{P_0P} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{p_0} < C(P_0) |P - P_0|^{1+\alpha}$. This contradicts (2.7). Hence $\overline{K(P_0)} \cap \overline{\Omega} = P_0$.

Then, we can make a uniform exterior finite right circular cone K for each $P \in U(P_0, \delta_1(P_0)/3) \cap \partial\Omega$. K is congruent to $K(P_0)$, if we let P be the vertex of a cone $K(P)$, n_p the symmetry axis, a polar angle of $\theta(P) = \theta(P_0) = \arccos(C(P_0)(2\delta_1(P_0))^\alpha)$, and the length of generatrix $\delta_1(P_0)/3$. From lemma 2.1, we obtain the following, $\forall P_1 = (x_1, y_1, z_1)^T, P_2 = (x_2, y_2, z_2)^T \in \overline{U(P_0, \delta_0(P_0))} \cap \partial\Omega$,

$$|\mathbf{r}_{P_2P_1} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{p_2}| \leq C(P_0) |P_1 - P_2|^{1+\alpha}. \quad (2.8)$$

If there exists $P' \neq P \in K(P) \cap \overline{\Omega}$, then it will contradict the following,

$$\mathbf{r}_{PP'} \cdot \mathbf{n}_p \geq |P' - P| C(P_0)(2\delta_1(P_0))^\alpha > C(P_0) |P' - P|^{1+\alpha}, \forall P' \in \overline{K(P)}, P' \neq P. \quad (2.9)$$

From arbitrary P_0 , we obtain that

$$\bigcup_{P_0 \in \partial\Omega} [U(P_0, \delta_1(P_0)/3) \cap \partial\Omega] \quad (2.10)$$

is an open cover for $\partial\Omega$. From the Heine-Borel theorem, we see that there exists a finite sub-cover for $\partial\Omega$ as follows, $\exists N > 0, \exists P_k \in \partial\Omega, \exists \delta_1(P_k) > 0, 1 \leq k \leq N$, such that

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^N [U(P_k, \delta_1(P_k)/3) \cap \partial\Omega] \supset \partial\Omega, \quad (2.11)$$

and the definitions of $\delta_0(P_k), C_\alpha(P_k), C(P_k), \delta_1(P_k), \theta(P_k)$ are the same as $\delta_0(P_0), C_\alpha(P_0), C(P_0), \delta_1(P_0), \theta(P_0), 1 \leq k \leq N$.

So we can make a uniform exterior finite right circular cone K for each $P \in \partial\Omega$. All the $K(P)$ are congruent, if we let P be the vertex of a cone $K(P)$, n_p be the symmetry axis, have a polar angle of θ^* , and length of generatrix of δ_1^* , where

$$\theta^* = \min_{1 \leq k \leq N} \theta(P_k), \delta_1^* = \min_{1 \leq k \leq N} \delta_1(P_k)/3.$$

Hence the statement stands. \square

If we choose $-\mathbf{n}_{p_0}$ as the symmetry axis of the cone, then we can transform the uniform exterior cone into a uniform interior cone. Hence, domain Ω satisfies a uniform exterior and interior cone condition, if Ω is bounded, $\partial\Omega \in C^{1, \alpha}, 0 < \alpha \leq 1$. It looks like $C^{1, \alpha}, 0 < \alpha \leq 1$, is better than $C^{0, 1}$ for the Sobolev's imbedding. However, we do not discuss the weak solution of Eqs(1.1) and (1.2) directly here. We will be discussing the classical solution directly. We want to obtain the equivalent equations that the classical solution should satisfy.

Thirdly, the following two theorems demonstrate that $\partial\Omega \in C^{1, \alpha}, 0 < \alpha \leq 1$, may be taken as the Lyapunov's surface. We will use them in section 3. We require two lemmas as follows.

Lemma 2.2 *If Ω is bounded, $\partial\Omega \in C^{1, \alpha}, 0 < \alpha \leq 1, f(M, P), M \neq P$ is continuous, and $\forall M_0 \in \partial\Omega, \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$, such that $\forall M \in U(M_0, \delta)$, we have*

$$\left| \int_{U(M_0, \delta) \cap \partial\Omega} f(M, P) dS_P \right| \leq \epsilon,$$

then

$$\omega(M) = \int_{\partial\Omega} f(M, P) dS_P, \quad \forall M = (x, y, z)^T \in R^3, \quad (2.12)$$

will be continuous. In particular, if $\forall M_0 \in \partial\Omega$, there exists a neighbourhood $U(M_0)$, and $\delta_1 \in (0, 1]$, $C > 0$, such that

$$|f(M, P)| \leq \frac{C}{r_{MP}^{2-\delta_1}}, \quad \forall M \in U(M_0), \quad \forall P \in U(M_0) \cap \partial\Omega, \quad (2.13)$$

where $r_{MP} = |M - P|$, then $\omega(M)$ will also be continuous.

The proof is available on pages 178 to 180 of [6].

Lemma 2.3 *If Ω is bounded, $\partial\Omega \in C^{1, \alpha}$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, then there exist $\delta_0 > 0$, $C_0 > 0$, such that for each $P_0 = (x_0, y_0, z_0)^T \in \partial\Omega$, we have the following,*

$$|n_p - n_{p_0}| \leq C_0 r_{P_0}^\alpha, \quad \forall P = (x, y, z)^T \in U(P_0, \delta_0) \cap \partial\Omega. \quad (2.14)$$

Proof of lemma 2.3. If Ω is bounded, $\partial\Omega \in C^{1, \alpha}$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, then from Theorem 2.1, for each $P_0 = (x_0, y_0, z_0)^T \in \partial\Omega$, there exist $P_k \in \partial\Omega$, $1 \leq k \leq N$, such that $P_0 \in U(P_k, \delta_1(P_k)/3) \cap \partial\Omega$. And $U(P_k, \delta_1(P_k)) \cap \partial\Omega$ is a graph of a $C^{1, \alpha}$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, function of two of the coordinates x, y, z . Without loss of the generality, we assume such a function is $f_k(x, y) \in C^{1, \alpha}$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, and we have the following, $z - f_k(x, y) = 0$, if $P = (x, y, z)^T \in U(P_k, \delta_1(P_k)) \cap \partial\Omega$, $z - f_k(x, y) > 0$, if $P = (x, y, z)^T \in U(P_k, \delta_1(P_k)) \setminus \bar{\Omega}$, and $z - f_k(x, y) < 0$, if $P = (x, y, z)^T \in U(P_k, \delta_1(P_k)) \cap \Omega$. We obtain the following results if $U(P_k, \delta_1(P_k)) \cap \partial\Omega$ is a graph of other functions.

If we let $\delta_0 = \delta_1^*$, then from $\delta_1^* \leq \delta_1(P_k)/3$, we obtain the following,

$$U(P_0, \delta_0) \cap \partial\Omega \subset U(P_k, \delta_1(P_k)) \cap \partial\Omega.$$

Then $\forall P = (x, y, z)^T \in U(P_0, \delta_0) \cap \partial\Omega$, we obtain the following,

$$n_p = \frac{1}{\varphi(x, y)} (-f_{kx}(x, y), -f_{ky}(x, y), 1)^T, \quad n_{p_0} = \frac{1}{\varphi(x_0, y_0)} (-f_{kx}(x_0, y_0), -f_{ky}(x_0, y_0), 1)^T, \quad (2.15)$$

where $\varphi(x, y) = \sqrt{f_{kx}^2(x, y) + f_{ky}^2(x, y) + 1}$, f_{kx} , f_{ky} are partial derivatives of f_k .

We can deduce the following,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f_{kx}(x, y)}{\varphi(x, y)} - \frac{f_{kx}(x_0, y_0)}{\varphi(x_0, y_0)} &= \frac{\varphi(x_0, y_0)f_{kx}(x, y) - \varphi(x, y)f_{kx}(x_0, y_0)}{\varphi(x, y)\varphi(x_0, y_0)} \\ &= \frac{(\varphi(x_0, y_0) - \varphi(x, y))f_{kx}(x, y) + \varphi(x, y)(f_{kx}(x, y) - f_{kx}(x_0, y_0))}{\varphi(x, y)\varphi(x_0, y_0)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f_{ky}(x, y)}{\varphi(x, y)} - \frac{f_{ky}(x_0, y_0)}{\varphi(x_0, y_0)} &= \frac{\varphi(x_0, y_0)f_{ky}(x, y) - \varphi(x, y)f_{ky}(x_0, y_0)}{\varphi(x, y)\varphi(x_0, y_0)} \\ &= \frac{(\varphi(x_0, y_0) - \varphi(x, y))f_{ky}(x, y) + \varphi(x, y)(f_{ky}(x, y) - f_{ky}(x_0, y_0))}{\varphi(x, y)\varphi(x_0, y_0)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(x_0, y_0) - \varphi(x, y) &= \frac{\varphi^2(x_0, y_0) - \varphi^2(x, y)}{\varphi(x_0, y_0) + \varphi(x, y)} \\ \varphi^2(x_0, y_0) - \varphi^2(x, y) &= f_{kx}^2(x_0, y_0) - f_{kx}^2(x, y) + f_{ky}^2(x_0, y_0) - f_{ky}^2(x, y).\end{aligned}$$

If we assume,

$$M_k = \max_{P=(x, y, z)^T \in \overline{U}(P_k, \delta_1(P_k)) \cap \partial\Omega} (|f_{kx}(x, y)|, |f_{ky}(x, y)|), \quad 1 \leq k \leq N, \quad (2.16)$$

then from $\varphi(x, y) \geq 1$, we can obtain,

$$|n_p - n_{p_0}| \leq (4M_k^2 + 4M_k + 1)C_\alpha(P_k)r_{P_0}^\alpha, \quad \forall P = (x, y, z)^T \in U(P_0, \delta_0) \cap \partial\Omega, \quad (2.17)$$

where $C_\alpha(P_k)$ is defined in the same way as in Theorem 2.1.

If we denote,

$$M_0 = \max_{1 \leq k \leq N} M_k, \quad C_\alpha = \max_{1 \leq k \leq N} C_\alpha(P_k), \quad C_0 = (4M_0^2 + 4M_0 + 1)C_\alpha, \quad (2.18)$$

then we know (2.14) holds. \square

Theorem 2.2 *If Ω is bounded, $\partial\Omega \in C^{1, \alpha}$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, then we have the following for an absolute solid angle that is defined in Lyapunov's potential theory on page 182 of [6],*

$$\max_{M \in R^3} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{|\mathbf{r}_{MP} \cdot \mathbf{n}_p|}{r_{MP}^3} dS_P < +\infty. \quad (2.19)$$

Proof of theorem 2.2. If $M = (x, y, z)^T \in \partial\Omega$, then from lemma 2.1, we know there exists a neighborhood $U(M, \delta(M))$, $\delta(M) > 0$, and $C_\alpha > 0$, such that $|\mathbf{r}_{MP} \cdot \mathbf{n}_p| \leq 2C_\alpha r_{MP}^{(1+\alpha)}$, $\forall P \in U(M, \delta(M)) \cap \partial\Omega$, where C_α is defined in the same way as in lemma 2.3.

We obtain the following,

$$\frac{|\mathbf{r}_{MP} \cdot \mathbf{n}_p|}{r_{MP}^3} \leq \frac{2C_\alpha}{r_{MP}^{2-\alpha}}, \quad 0 < \alpha \leq 1, \quad \forall P \in U(M, \delta(M)) \cap \partial\Omega.$$

From lemma 2.2, we can see that

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{|\mathbf{r}_{MP} \cdot \mathbf{n}_p|}{r_{MP}^3} dS_P, \quad (2.20)$$

is continuous on $\partial\Omega$. Hence we get the following,

$$\max_{M \in \partial\Omega} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{|\mathbf{r}_{MP} \cdot \mathbf{n}_p|}{r_{MP}^3} dS_P < +\infty. \quad (2.21)$$

Next we assume,

$$\Omega_1 = \{M \in R^3 \setminus \overline{\Omega} : \text{dist}(M, \partial\Omega) \leq \delta_2^*\}, \quad \Omega_2 = \{M \in \Omega : \text{dist}(M, \partial\Omega) \leq \delta_2^*\}, \quad (2.22)$$

where

$$\delta_2^* = [\delta_1^* \cos(\theta^*)]/2, \quad \text{dist}(M, \partial\Omega) = \min_{P \in \partial\Omega} |M - P|,$$

δ_1^* , θ^* are defined in the same way as in Theorem 2.1.

If $M = (x, y, z)^T \in R^3 \setminus (\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2)$, then we obtain the following,

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{|\mathbf{r}_{MP} \cdot n_p|}{r_{MP}^3} dS_P \leq \frac{S(\partial\Omega)}{(\delta_2^*)^2},$$

where

$$S(\partial\Omega) = \int_{\partial\Omega} dS_P.$$

Hence we get as follows,

$$\max_{M \in R^3 \setminus (\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2)} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{|\mathbf{r}_{MP} \cdot n_p|}{r_{MP}^3} dS_P < +\infty. \quad (2.23)$$

If $M = (x, y, z)^T \in \Omega_1$, then there exists $P_0 \in \partial\Omega$, such that $|M - P_0| = \text{dist}(M, \partial\Omega)$. We discuss a smooth curve on $\partial\Omega$ that passes through P_0 . The parameter coordinates of the point P on the curve are $(x(\theta), y(\theta), z(\theta))$. The parameter coordinates of P_0 are $(x(\theta_0), y(\theta_0), z(\theta_0))$. We assume the tangent vector at P_0 is as follows,

$$s_0 = (x'(\theta_0), y'(\theta_0), z'(\theta_0))^T.$$

We denote $f(\theta)$ as follows,

$$f(\theta) = r_{MP}^2 = (x - x(\theta))^2 + (y - y(\theta))^2 + (z - z(\theta))^2.$$

Then $f(\theta)$ attains the minimum at θ_0 . Since $f(\theta)$ is smooth, we see that

$$f'(\theta)|_{\theta=\theta_0} = -2(M - P_0) \cdot s_0 = 0.$$

So \mathbf{r}_{MP_0} is perpendicular to s_0 . From the arbitrary of tangent vector s_0 , we obtain that \mathbf{r}_{MP_0} is parallel to n_{p_0} .

From Theorem 2.1, we know that there exists a uniform exterior finite right circular cone K for P_0 , P_0 is the vertex of a cone $K(P_0)$, n_{p_0} is the symmetry axis, the polar angle is θ^* , and the length of generatrix is δ_1^* . From $|M - P_0| \leq \delta_2^* = [\delta_1^* \cos(\theta^*)]/2$, and $M \in \Omega_1$, \mathbf{r}_{MP_0} is parallel to n_{p_0} , and we can obtain $M \in K(P_0)$, and M is on the symmetry axis n_{p_0} .

Again from Theorem 2.1, we know there exists $P_k \in \partial\Omega$, $1 \leq k \leq N$, such that $P_0 \in U(P_k, \delta_1(P_k)/3) \cap \partial\Omega$. And $U(P_k, \delta_1(P_k)) \cap \partial\Omega$ is a graph of a C^1, α , $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, function of two of the coordinates x, y, z .

From $\delta_1^* \leq \delta_1(P_k)/3$, we get $U(P_0, \delta_1^*) \cap \partial\Omega \subset U(P_k, \delta_1(P_k)) \cap \partial\Omega$.

If we denote $\theta_1 = (\mathbf{r}_{P_0M}, \mathbf{r}_{P_0P})$, $\forall P \in U(P_0, \delta_1^*) \cap \partial\Omega$, where $(\mathbf{r}_{P_0M}, \mathbf{r}_{P_0P})$ is the angle between \mathbf{r}_{P_0M} and \mathbf{r}_{P_0P} , then we obtain $\theta_1 > \theta^*$. We denote $\theta_2 = (\mathbf{r}_{P_0M}, \mathbf{r}_{PM})$.

If $\theta_1 < \pi/2$, then we obtain

$$r_{P_0P} = \frac{r_{MP} \sin \theta_2}{\sin \theta_1} \leq \frac{1}{\sin \theta^*} r_{MP}.$$

If $\theta_1 \geq \pi/2$, then we obtain

$$r_{P_0P} \leq r_{MP} \leq \frac{1}{\sin \theta^*} r_{MP}, \text{ where } \theta^* \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2}).$$

Hence we obtain

$$r_{P_0P} \leq \frac{1}{\sin \theta^*} r_{MP}, \quad \forall P \in U(P_0, \delta_1^*) \cap \partial\Omega. \quad (2.24)$$

From lemma 2.3, we can obtain $\forall P \in U(P_0, \delta_1^*) \cap \partial\Omega$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\mathbf{r}_{MP} \cdot n_p}{r_{MP}^3} - \frac{\mathbf{r}_{MP} \cdot n_{p_0}}{r_{MP}^3} \right| &= \left| \frac{\mathbf{r}_{MP} \cdot (n_p - n_{p_0})}{r_{MP}^3} \right| \\ &\leq C_0 \frac{r_{P_0P}^\alpha}{r_{MP}^{2-\alpha}} \leq \frac{C_0}{(\sin \theta^*)^\alpha} \frac{1}{r_{MP}^{2-\alpha}}. \end{aligned}$$

And from lemma 2.1, we can get $\forall P \in U(P_0, \delta_1^*) \cap \partial\Omega$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\mathbf{r}_{MP} \cdot n_{p_0}}{r_{MP}^3} - \frac{\mathbf{r}_{MP_0} \cdot n_{p_0}}{r_{MP}^3} \right| &= \left| \frac{\mathbf{r}_{P_0P} \cdot n_{p_0}}{r_{MP}^3} \right| \\ &\leq 2C_\alpha \frac{r_{P_0P}^{1+\alpha}}{r_{MP}^3} \leq \frac{2C_\alpha}{(\sin \theta^*)^{1+\alpha}} \frac{1}{r_{MP}^{2-\alpha}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we can see the following,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{U(P_0, \delta_1^*) \cap \partial\Omega} \frac{|\mathbf{r}_{MP} \cdot n_p|}{r_{MP}^3} dS_P &\leq \int_{U(P_0, \delta_1^*) \cap \partial\Omega} \frac{|\mathbf{r}_{MP_0} \cdot n_{p_0}|}{r_{MP}^3} dS_P + \\ &\quad \left[\frac{C_0}{(\sin \theta^*)^\alpha} + \frac{2C_\alpha}{(\sin \theta^*)^{1+\alpha}} \right] \int_{U(P_0, \delta_1^*) \cap \partial\Omega} \frac{1}{r_{MP}^{2-\alpha}} dS_P. \end{aligned}$$

From lemma 2.2, we know

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{1}{r_{MP}^{2-\alpha}} dS_P$$

is continuous on M . So we can assume,

$$C_1 = \max_{M \in \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2 \cup \partial\Omega} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{1}{r_{MP}^{2-\alpha}} dS_P. \quad (2.25)$$

Hence we can obtain

$$\int_{U(P_0, \delta_1^*) \cap \partial\Omega} \frac{|\mathbf{r}_{MP} \cdot n_p|}{r_{MP}^3} dS_P \leq \int_{U(P_0, \delta_1^*) \cap \partial\Omega} \frac{|\mathbf{r}_{MP_0} \cdot n_{p_0}|}{r_{MP}^3} dS_P + \left[\frac{C_0}{(\sin \theta^*)^\alpha} + \frac{2C_\alpha}{(\sin \theta^*)^{1+\alpha}} \right] C_1. \quad (2.26)$$

From M is on n_{p_0} , we can get that $|\mathbf{r}_{MP_0} \cdot n_{p_0}| = r_{MP_0}$. From the cosine law, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} r_{MP}^2 &= r_{MP_0}^2 + r_{P_0P}^2 - 2r_{MP_0}r_{P_0P} \cos \theta_1 \\ &\geq r_{MP_0}^2 + r_{P_0P}^2 - 2r_{MP_0}r_{P_0P} \cos \theta^* \\ &\geq (1 - \cos \theta^*)(r_{MP_0}^2 + r_{P_0P}^2). \end{aligned}$$

We assume $U(P_j, \delta_1(P_j)) \cap \partial\Omega$ is a graph of a C^1, α , $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, function $f_j(x_{j1}, x_{j2})$, where x_{j1}, x_{j2} are two of the coordinates x, y, z , $1 \leq j \leq N$. We assume

$$C_2 = \max_{1 \leq j \leq N} C_2(P_j), \quad C_2(P_j) = \max_{P=(x, y, z)^T \in \bar{U}(P_j, \delta_1(P_j))} \sqrt{f_{j1}^2(P(x_{j1}), P(x_{j2})) + f_{j2}^2(P(x_{j1}), P(x_{j2}))} + 1,$$

where f_{j1}, f_{j2} are partial derivatives of f_j , $P(x_{jl})$ is the value of coordinate x_{jl} at point P , $l = 1, 2, 1 \leq j \leq N$.

Now we can obtain the following,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{U(P_0, \delta_1^*) \cap \partial\Omega} \frac{r_{MP_0}}{r_{MP}^3} dS_P &\leq \frac{1}{(1 - \cos \theta^*)^{3/2}} \int_{U(P_0, \delta_1^*) \cap \partial\Omega} \frac{r_{MP_0}}{(r_{MP_0}^2 + r_{P_0P}^2)^{3/2}} dS_P \\ (a = r_{MP_0}) &\leq \frac{2\pi C_2}{(1 - \cos \theta^*)^{3/2}} \int_0^{\delta_1^*} \frac{ar dr}{(r^2 + a^2)^{3/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

And we can work out the following, $\forall a > 0$,

$$\int_0^{\delta_1^*} \frac{ar dr}{(r^2 + a^2)^{3/2}} = \frac{-a}{\sqrt{r^2 + a^2}} \Big|_0^{\delta_1^*} = 1 - \frac{a}{\sqrt{(\delta_1^*)^2 + a^2}} \leq 1.$$

From (2.26), we can obtain,

$$\int_{U(P_0, \delta_1^*) \cap \partial\Omega} \frac{|\mathbf{r}_{MP} \cdot \mathbf{n}_p|}{r_{MP}^3} dS_P \leq \frac{2\pi C_2}{(1 - \cos \theta^*)^{3/2}} + \left[\frac{C_0}{(\sin \theta^*)^\alpha} + \frac{2C_\alpha}{(\sin \theta^*)^{1+\alpha}} \right] C_1. \quad (2.27)$$

And from $M \in \Omega_1$, we can get $r_{MP_0} \leq \delta_2^*$. Hence we can obtain

$$r_{MP} \geq r_{P_0P} - r_{MP_0} \geq \delta_1^* - \delta_2^*, \quad \forall P \in \partial\Omega \setminus U(P_0, \delta_1^*).$$

So we get as follows,

$$\int_{\partial\Omega \setminus U(P_0, \delta_1^*)} \frac{|\mathbf{r}_{MP} \cdot \mathbf{n}_p|}{r_{MP}^3} dS_P \leq \frac{S(\partial\Omega)}{(\delta_1^* - \delta_2^*)^2}, \quad (2.28)$$

where

$$S(\partial\Omega) = \int_{\partial\Omega} dS_P.$$

Hence we get the following, $\forall M \in \Omega_1$,

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{|\mathbf{r}_{MP} \cdot \mathbf{n}_p|}{r_{MP}^3} dS_P \leq \frac{2\pi C_2}{(1 - \cos \theta^*)^{3/2}} + \left[\frac{C_0}{(\sin \theta^*)^\alpha} + \frac{2C_\alpha}{(\sin \theta^*)^{1+\alpha}} \right] C_1 + \frac{S(\partial\Omega)}{(\delta_1^* - \delta_2^*)^2}. \quad (2.29)$$

In the same way, we can obtain following, $\forall M \in \Omega_2$,

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{|\mathbf{r}_{MP} \cdot \mathbf{n}_p|}{r_{MP}^3} dS_P \leq \frac{2\pi C_2}{(1 - \cos \theta^*)^{3/2}} + \left[\frac{C_0}{(\sin \theta^*)^\alpha} + \frac{2C_\alpha}{(\sin \theta^*)^{1+\alpha}} \right] C_1 + \frac{S(\partial\Omega)}{(\delta_1^* - \delta_2^*)^2}, \quad (2.30)$$

which proves the statement. \square

Corollary 2.1 *If Ω is bounded, $\partial\Omega \in C^{1, \alpha}$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, then double layer potential*

$$u(M) = \int_{\partial\Omega} v(P) \frac{\partial}{\partial n_p} \frac{1}{r_{PM}} dS_P, \quad (2.31)$$

is continuous on $R^3 \setminus \partial\Omega$, where $v(P) \in C(\partial\Omega)$, moreover $\forall P_0 \in \partial\Omega$, we have

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow P_0^+} u(M) = u(P_0) - 2\pi v(P_0), \quad (2.32)$$

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow P_0^-} u(M) = u(P_0) + 2\pi v(P_0), \quad (2.33)$$

where $M \rightarrow P_0^+$ means M is near to P_0 from the interior of Ω and $M \rightarrow P_0^-$ means M is near to P_0 from the exterior of Ω .

Proof of corollary 2.1. From lemma 2.2 and the previous Theorem, we may get that $\forall P_0 \in \partial\Omega$,

$$u_0(M) = \int_{\partial\Omega} (v(P) - v(P_0)) \frac{\partial \frac{1}{r_{PM}}}{\partial n_p} dS_P$$

is continuous at P_0 . From the potential theory, we know

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial \frac{1}{r_{PM}}}{\partial n_p} dS_P = \begin{cases} -4\pi, & M \in \Omega, \\ -2\pi, & M \in \partial\Omega, \\ 0, & M \in R^3 \setminus \bar{\Omega}. \end{cases}$$

Hence, the statement holds. \square

Theorem 2.3 *If Ω is bounded, $\partial\Omega \in C^{1, \alpha}$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, then simple layer potential*

$$u(M) = \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{v(P)}{r_{PM}} dS_P, \quad (2.34)$$

where $v(P) \in C(\partial\Omega)$, satisfies the following, $\forall P_0 \in \partial\Omega$,

$$\frac{\partial u(P_0)}{\partial n_{p_0}^+} = \int_{\partial\Omega} v(P) \frac{\partial \frac{1}{r_{PP_0}}}{\partial n_{p_0}} dS_P - 2\pi v(P_0), \quad (2.35)$$

$$\frac{\partial u(P_0)}{\partial n_{p_0}^-} = \int_{\partial\Omega} v(P) \frac{\partial \frac{1}{r_{PP_0}}}{\partial n_{p_0}} dS_P + 2\pi v(P_0), \quad (2.36)$$

where

$$\frac{\partial u(P_0)}{\partial n_{p_0}^+} = \lim_{M \rightarrow n_{p_0}^+} \frac{u(M) - u(P_0)}{r_{MP_0}}, \quad \frac{\partial u(P_0)}{\partial n_{p_0}^-} = \lim_{M \rightarrow n_{p_0}^-} \frac{u(P_0) - u(M)}{r_{P_0M}}, \quad (2.37)$$

where $M \rightarrow n_{p_0}^+$ means M is near to P_0 along n_{p_0} from the exterior of Ω and $M \rightarrow n_{p_0}^-$ means M is near to P_0 along n_{p_0} from the interior of Ω .

Proof of theorem 2.3. We refer to the proof on pages 190 to page 193 of [6]. If $M \in n_{p_0} \setminus \partial\Omega$, then a directional derivative $\partial u(M)/\partial n_{p_0}$ exists, and we can work it out through the integral as follows.

$$\frac{\partial u(M)}{\partial n_{p_0}} = \int_{\partial\Omega} v(P) \frac{\partial \frac{1}{r_{PM}}}{\partial n_{p_0}} dS_P = - \int_{\partial\Omega} v(P) \frac{\cos(\mathbf{r}_{PM}, n_{p_0})}{r_{PM}^2} dS_P, \quad (2.38)$$

where $(\mathbf{r}_{PM}, n_{p_0})$ is the angle between \mathbf{r}_{PM} and n_{p_0} . Together with double potential

$$u_1(M) = \int_{\partial\Omega} v(P) \frac{\partial \frac{1}{r_{PM}}}{\partial n_p} dS_P = \int_{\partial\Omega} v(P) \frac{\cos(\mathbf{r}_{PM}, n_p)}{r_{PM}^2} dS_P, \quad (2.39)$$

we have

$$\frac{\partial u(M)}{\partial n_{p_0}} + u_1(M) = \int_{\partial\Omega} v(P) \frac{\cos(\mathbf{r}_{PM}, n_p) - \cos(\mathbf{r}_{PM}, n_{p_0})}{r_{PM}^2} dS_P. \quad (2.40)$$

We want to prove the right side of (2.40) is continuous when M is near to P_0 along n_{P_0} . We only need to prove $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$, such that $\forall M \in U(P_0, \delta) \cap n_{p_0}$,

$$\left| \int_{(\partial\Omega)_\delta} v(P) \frac{\cos(\mathbf{r}_{PM}, n_p) - \cos(\mathbf{r}_{PM}, n_{p_0})}{r_{PM}^2} dS_P \right| \leq \epsilon, \quad (2.41)$$

where $(\partial\Omega)_\delta = U(P_0, \delta) \cap \partial\Omega$.

If we assume

$$\max_{P \in \partial\Omega} |v(P)| = C_3, \quad (2.42)$$

then we have

$$\left| v(P) \frac{\cos(\mathbf{r}_{PM}, n_p) - \cos(\mathbf{r}_{PM}, n_{p_0})}{r_{PM}^2} \right| \leq C_3 \frac{|\cos(\mathbf{r}_{PM}, n_p) - \cos(\mathbf{r}_{PM}, n_{p_0})|}{r_{PM}^2} \quad (2.43)$$

$$\leq 2C_3 \frac{\left| \sin \frac{(\mathbf{r}_{PM}, n_p) - (\mathbf{r}_{PM}, n_{p_0})}{2} \right|}{r_{PM}^2} \quad (2.44)$$

$$\leq 2C_3 \frac{\left| \sin \frac{(n_p, n_{p_0})}{2} \right|}{r_{PM}^2} = C_3 \frac{|n_p - n_{p_0}|}{r_{PM}^2}, \quad (2.45)$$

where

$$\left| \frac{(\mathbf{r}_{PM}, n_p) - (\mathbf{r}_{PM}, n_{p_0})}{2} \right| \leq \left| \frac{(n_p, n_{p_0})}{2} \right|,$$

is obtained from the sum of two angles of the trihedral being no less than the third one, and $(n_p, n_{p_0})/2$ being in $[0, \pi/2]$.

From lemma 2.3 and (2.24), we have $\forall P = (x, y, z)^T \in U(P_0, \delta_1^*) \cap \partial\Omega, \forall M \in n_{p_0}, |M - P_0| \leq \delta_2^*$,

$$\left| v(P) \frac{\cos(\mathbf{r}_{PM}, n_p) - \cos(\mathbf{r}_{PM}, n_{p_0})}{r_{PM}^2} \right| \leq \frac{C_0 C_3}{(\sin \theta^*)^\alpha} \frac{1}{r_{PM}^{2-\alpha}}. \quad (2.46)$$

From lemma 2.2, we know (2.41) is true and the right side of (2.40) is continuous when M is near to P_0 along n_{P_0} .

Since the continuity, we have the following,

$$\lim_{M' \rightarrow n_{p_0}^+} \left(\frac{\partial u(M')}{\partial n_{p_0}} + u_1(M') \right) = \lim_{M'' \rightarrow n_{p_0}^-} \left(\frac{\partial u(M'')}{\partial n_{p_0}} + u_1(M'') \right) \quad (2.47)$$

$$= \int_{\partial\Omega} v(P) \frac{\cos(\mathbf{r}_{PP_0}, n_p) - \cos(\mathbf{r}_{PP_0}, n_{p_0})}{r_{PP_0}^2} dS_P. \quad (2.48)$$

From corollary 2.1, we have

$$\lim_{M' \rightarrow n_{p_0}^+} u_1(M') = \lim_{M' \rightarrow P_0^-} u_1(M') = \int_{\partial\Omega} v(P) \frac{\partial \frac{1}{r_{PP_0}}}{\partial n_p} dS_P + 2\pi v(P_0) \quad (2.49)$$

$$= \int_{\partial\Omega} v(P) \frac{\cos(\mathbf{r}_{PP_0}, n_p)}{r_{PP_0}^2} dS_P + 2\pi v(P_0), \quad (2.50)$$

$$\lim_{M'' \rightarrow n_{p_0}^+} u_1(M'') = \lim_{M'' \rightarrow P_0^-} u_1(M'') = \int_{\partial\Omega} v(P) \frac{\partial \frac{1}{r_{PP_0}}}{\partial n_p} dS_P - 2\pi v(P_0) \quad (2.51)$$

$$= \int_{\partial\Omega} v(P) \frac{\cos(\mathbf{r}_{PP_0}, n_p)}{r_{PP_0}^2} dS_P - 2\pi v(P_0). \quad (2.52)$$

And from (2.47) and (2.48) we can push out the limits

$$\frac{\partial u(P_0)}{\partial n_{p_0}^+} = \lim_{M' \rightarrow n_{p_0}^+} \frac{\partial u(M')}{\partial n_{p_0}}, \quad \frac{\partial u(P_0)}{\partial n_{p_0}^-} = \lim_{M'' \rightarrow n_{p_0}^-} \frac{\partial u(M'')}{\partial n_{p_0}}, \quad (2.53)$$

and integrals

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} v(P) \frac{-\cos(\mathbf{r}_{PP_0}, n_{p_0})}{r_{PP_0}^2} dS_P \quad (2.54)$$

all exist, moreover

$$\frac{\partial u(P_0)}{\partial n_{p_0}^+} = \lim_{M' \rightarrow n_{p_0}^+} \frac{\partial u(M')}{\partial n_{p_0}} = \int_{\partial\Omega} v(P) \frac{-\cos(\mathbf{r}_{PP_0}, n_{p_0})}{r_{PP_0}^2} dS_P - 2\pi v(P_0) \quad (2.55)$$

$$= \int_{\partial\Omega} v(P) \frac{\partial \frac{1}{r_{PP_0}}}{\partial n_{p_0}} dS_P - 2\pi v(P_0), \quad (2.56)$$

$$\frac{\partial u(P_0)}{\partial n_{p_0}^-} = \lim_{M'' \rightarrow n_{p_0}^-} \frac{\partial u(M'')}{\partial n_{p_0}} = \int_{\partial\Omega} v(P) \frac{-\cos(\mathbf{r}_{PP_0}, n_{p_0})}{r_{PP_0}^2} dS_P + 2\pi v(P_0) \quad (2.57)$$

$$= \int_{\partial\Omega} v(P) \frac{\partial \frac{1}{r_{PP_0}}}{\partial n_{p_0}} dS_P + 2\pi v(P_0). \quad (2.58)$$

That's the end of proof. \square

These last two theorems are the main results in Lyapunov's potential theory on pages 173 to 193 of [6]. We see that $\partial\Omega \in C^{1, \alpha}$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, can play the role of Lyapunov's surface.

Finally, we see whether $\partial\Omega \in C^{1, \alpha}$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, is the Hopf's surface for the strong maximum principle. From the example on page 35 of [2], we know it is not the Hopf's surface if domain Ω only satisfies an interior cone condition. However, we can obtain a stronger condition as follows.

Theorem 2.4 *If Ω is bounded, $\partial\Omega \in C^{1, \alpha}$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, then domain Ω satisfies a uniform interior oblate spheroid condition, that is, $\exists \delta > 0$, $\forall P_0 \in \partial\Omega$, there exists a finite right oblate spheroid as follows,*

$$K_\delta(P_0) = \{P : \mathbf{r}_{P_0P} \cdot (-n_{p_0}) \geq 4C_\alpha r_{P_0P}^{1+\alpha}, r_{P_0P} \leq \delta\}, \quad (2.59)$$

where C_α is defined in the same way as in lemma 2.3, $K_\delta(P_0) \cap (R^3 \setminus \Omega) = P_0$.

Proof of theorem 2.4. If we select $\delta \leq \delta_1^*$, then we will get $K_\delta(P_0) \cap (R^3 \setminus \Omega) = P_0$.

If there exists $P \in K_\delta(P_0) \cap (R^3 \setminus \Omega)$, and $P \neq P_0$, then from lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$\mathbf{r}_{P_0P} \cdot (-n_{p_0}) \leq 2C_\alpha r_{P_0P}^{1+\alpha}. \quad (2.60)$$

This contradicts (2.59). \square

Now we can see that domain Ω satisfies not only a uniform exterior and interior cone condition, but also a uniform exterior and interior oblate spheroid condition, if Ω is bounded, $\partial\Omega \in C^{1, \alpha}$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. Therefore, we arrive at the following.

Theorem 2.5 *If Ω is bounded, $\partial\Omega \in C^{1, \alpha}$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, supposing $u \in C^2(\Omega)$ and $\Delta u = 0$ in Ω , letting $P_0 \in \partial\Omega$ be such that u is continuous at P_0 , $u(P_0) > u(P)$ for all $P \in \Omega$, then the exterior normal derivative of u at P_0 , if it exists, satisfies the strict inequality*

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}(P_0) = \lim_{P \rightarrow n_{p_0}^-} \frac{u(P_0) - u(P)}{r_{P_0P}} > 0. \quad (2.61)$$

Proof of theorem 2.5. From the previous theorem, we know $\forall \delta \in (0, \delta_1^*]$, there exists an oblate spheroid $K_\delta(P_0) \subset \Omega$. We introduce an auxiliary function v_1 by defining

$$v_1(P) = e^{-(4C_\alpha r_{P_0P}^{1+\alpha})^\gamma} - e^{-(\mathbf{r}_{P_0P} \cdot (-n_{p_0}))^\gamma} + e^{-(4C_\alpha r_{P_0P}^{1+\alpha})} - e^{-(\mathbf{r}_{P_0P} \cdot (-n_{p_0}))}, \quad (2.62)$$

where $\gamma \in (1, 1 + \alpha)$.

If we assume $P_0(x_0, y_0, z_0)$, $P(x, y, z)$, $n_{p_0} = (n_{01}, n_{02}, n_{03})^T$, $n_{01}^2 + n_{02}^2 + n_{03}^2 = 1$, then direct calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial n}(P_0) &= \lim_{P \rightarrow n_{p_0}^-} \frac{v_1(P_0) - v_1(P)}{r_{P_0P}} \\ &= \lim_{r_{P_0P} \rightarrow 0} \frac{-e^{-(4C_\alpha r_{P_0P}^{1+\alpha})^\gamma} + e^{-(r_{P_0P})^\gamma} - e^{-(4C_\alpha r_{P_0P}^{1+\alpha})} + e^{-r_{P_0P}}}{r_{P_0P}} \quad (L'Hopital) \\ &= \lim_{r_{P_0P} \rightarrow 0} e^{-(4C_\alpha r_{P_0P}^{1+\alpha})^\gamma} (4C_\alpha)^\gamma (\gamma + \gamma\alpha) r_{P_0P}^{\gamma+\gamma\alpha-1} - e^{-(r_{P_0P})^\gamma} \gamma (r_{P_0P})^{\gamma-1} \\ &\quad + e^{-(4C_\alpha r_{P_0P}^{1+\alpha})} 4C_\alpha (1 + \alpha) r_{P_0P}^\alpha - e^{-r_{P_0P}} \\ &= -1, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{r}_{P_0P} \cdot (-n_{p_0}) &= (x - x_0)(-n_{01}) + (y - y_0)(-n_{02}) + (z - z_0)(-n_{03}), \\ r_{P_0P} &= \sqrt{(x - x_0)^2 + (y - y_0)^2 + (z - z_0)^2}, \\ \frac{\partial r_{P_0P}}{\partial x} &= \frac{x - x_0}{r_{P_0P}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x} &= e^{-(4C_\alpha r_{P_0P}^{1+\alpha})^\gamma} [-(4C_\alpha)^\gamma](\gamma + \gamma\alpha)r_{P_0P}^{\gamma+\gamma\alpha-2}(x - x_0) \\
&\quad - e^{-(\mathbf{r}_{P_0P} \cdot (-n_{p_0}))^\gamma} (-\gamma)(\mathbf{r}_{P_0P} \cdot (-n_{p_0}))^{\gamma-1}(-n_{01}) \\
&\quad + e^{-(4C_\alpha r_{P_0P}^{1+\alpha})^\gamma} [-(4C_\alpha)](1 + \alpha)r_{P_0P}^{1+\alpha-2}(x - x_0) - e^{-(\mathbf{r}_{P_0P} \cdot (-n_{p_0}))} n_{01},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^2 v_1}{\partial x^2} &= e^{-(4C_\alpha r_{P_0P}^{1+\alpha})^\gamma} [(4C_\alpha)^{2\gamma}(\gamma + \gamma\alpha)^2 r_{P_0P}^{2\gamma+2\gamma\alpha-4}(x - x_0)^2 \\
&\quad - (4C_\alpha)^\gamma(\gamma + \gamma\alpha)(r_{P_0P}^{\gamma+\gamma\alpha-2} + (\gamma + \gamma\alpha - 2)r_{P_0P}^{\gamma+\gamma\alpha-4}(x - x_0)^2)] \\
&\quad - e^{-(\mathbf{r}_{P_0P} \cdot (-n_{p_0}))^\gamma} [(-\gamma)^2(\mathbf{r}_{P_0P} \cdot (-n_{p_0}))^{2\gamma-2}(-n_{01})^2 - \gamma(\gamma - 1)(\mathbf{r}_{P_0P} \cdot (-n_{p_0}))^{\gamma-2}(-n_{01})^2] \\
&\quad + e^{-(4C_\alpha r_{P_0P}^{1+\alpha})^\gamma} [(4C_\alpha)^2(1 + \alpha)^2 r_{P_0P}^{2+2\alpha-4}(x - x_0)^2 \\
&\quad - (4C_\alpha)(1 + \alpha)(r_{P_0P}^{1+\alpha-2} + (1 + \alpha - 2)r_{P_0P}^{1+\alpha-4}(x - x_0)^2)] - e^{-(\mathbf{r}_{P_0P} \cdot (-n_{p_0}))} (-1)^2(-n_{01})^2,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta v_1 &= e^{-(4C_\alpha r_{P_0P}^{1+\alpha})^\gamma} [(4C_\alpha)^{2\gamma}(\gamma + \gamma\alpha)^2 r_{P_0P}^{2\gamma+2\gamma\alpha-2} - (4C_\alpha)^\gamma(\gamma + \gamma\alpha)(\gamma + \gamma\alpha + 1)r_{P_0P}^{\gamma+\gamma\alpha-2}] \\
&\quad - e^{-(\mathbf{r}_{P_0P} \cdot (-n_{p_0}))^\gamma} [\gamma^2(\mathbf{r}_{P_0P} \cdot (-n_{p_0}))^{2\gamma-2} - \gamma(\gamma - 1)(\mathbf{r}_{P_0P} \cdot (-n_{p_0}))^{\gamma-2}] \\
&\quad + e^{-(4C_\alpha r_{P_0P}^{1+\alpha})^\gamma} [(4C_\alpha)^2(1 + \alpha)^2 r_{P_0P}^{2\alpha} - (4C_\alpha)(1 + \alpha)(2 + \alpha)r_{P_0P}^{\alpha-1}] - e^{-(\mathbf{r}_{P_0P} \cdot (-n_{p_0}))}.
\end{aligned}$$

The main items are $r_{P_0P}^{\gamma+\gamma\alpha-2}$, $(\mathbf{r}_{P_0P} \cdot (-n_{p_0}))^{\gamma-2}$, and $r_{P_0P}^{\alpha-1}$. From

$$\lim_{r_{P_0P} \rightarrow 0} (\mathbf{r}_{P_0P} \cdot (-n_{p_0}))^{2-\gamma} r_{P_0P}^{\alpha-1} = \lim_{r_{P_0P} \rightarrow 0} (\mathbf{r}_{P_0P} \cdot (-n_{p_0}))^{2-\gamma} r_{P_0P}^{\gamma+\gamma\alpha-2} = 0,$$

we obtain

$$\lim_{r_{P_0P} \rightarrow 0} \Delta v_1 = +\infty.$$

Hence, there exists $\delta_1 \in (0, \delta_1^*]$, such that $\Delta v_1 > 0$, throughout oblate spheroid $K_{\delta_1}(P_0)$.

At the point P which $\mathbf{r}_{P_0P} \cdot (-n_{p_0}) = 4C_\alpha r_{P_0P}^{1+\alpha}$, we have $v_1(P) = 0$. At the point P which $r_{P_0P} = \delta_1$, $v_1(P)$ is not 0 but bounded. Therefore, there exists a constant $\epsilon > 0$, for which $u - u(P_0) + \epsilon v_1 \leq 0$, on $\partial K_{\delta_1}(P_0)$. The maximum principle now implies that $u - u(P_0) + \epsilon v_1 \leq 0$, throughout oblate spheroid $K_{\delta_1}(P_0)$. Taking the exterior normal derivative of at P_0 , we obtain, as required,

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}(P_0) \geq -\epsilon \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial n}(P_0) = \epsilon > 0. \tag{2.63}$$

That's the end of the proof. \square

3 Equivalence

We transform Eqs.(1.1) and (1.2) into the following,

$$\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x} = -\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial z}, \tag{3.1}$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = (u_{xx} + u_{yy} + u_{zz}) - \tau \text{grad} p + v, \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$\tau = \frac{1}{\rho}, \quad v = (\mu - 1)(u_{xx} + u_{yy} + u_{zz}) - u_1 \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - u_2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} - u_3 \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + F, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \left(-\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x} \right)^T.$$

Let's introduce $Z = (u, p, \partial u \setminus u_{1x}, \partial^2 u, \text{grad} p, v)^T$, $\partial u = (u_x, u_y, u_z)^T$, $\partial u \setminus u_{1x} = (u_{2x}, u_{3x}, u_y, u_z)^T$, $u_{jx} = \partial u_j / \partial x$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, $\partial^2 u = (u_{xx}, u_{xy}, u_{xz}, u_{yy}, u_{yz}, u_{zz})^T$.

Then Eq.(3.1) and Eqs.(3.2) is equivalent to

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \alpha_1^T Z, \quad (3.3)$$

$$\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x} = \alpha_2^T Z, \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$\alpha_1^T = (0_{3 \times 3}, 0_{3 \times 1}, 0_{3 \times 8}, E, 0_{3 \times 3}, 0_{3 \times 3}, E, 0_{3 \times 3}, E, -\tau E, E),$$

$$\alpha_2^T = (0_{1 \times 3}, 0_{1 \times 1}, (0, 0, 0, -1, 0, 0, 0, -1), 0_{1 \times 3}, 0_{1 \times 3}),$$

E is three order identity matrix.

We should discuss Z as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} &= \alpha_1^T Z, \quad \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x} = \alpha_2^T Z, \quad u = E_1^T Z, \quad p = e_4^T Z, \quad \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x} = e_5^T Z, \quad \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x} = e_6^T Z, \quad u_y = E_3^T Z, \\ u_z &= E_4^T Z, \quad u_{xx} = E_5^T Z, \quad u_{xy} = E_6^T Z, \quad u_{xz} = E_7^T Z, \quad u_{yy} = E_8^T Z, \quad u_{yz} = E_9^T Z, \\ u_{zz} &= E_{10}^T Z, \quad \text{grad} p = E_{11}^T Z, \quad v = E_{12}^T Z, \quad \text{where } E_j = (e_{3j-2}, e_{3j-1}, e_{3j}), \quad 1 \leq j \leq 12, \\ e_k &\text{ is the } k\text{th } 36 \text{ dimensional unit coordinate vector, } 1 \leq k \leq 36. \end{aligned}$$

We can obtain Eq.(3.1) and Eqs.(3.2) are equivalent to the following system respect to Z ,

$$\frac{\partial E_1^T Z}{\partial t} = \alpha_1^T Z, \quad (3.5)$$

$$\frac{\partial E_1^T Z}{\partial x} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2^T Z \\ e_5^T Z \\ e_6^T Z \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.6)$$

$$\frac{\partial E_1^T Z}{\partial y} = E_3^T Z, \quad (3.7)$$

$$\frac{\partial E_1^T Z}{\partial z} = E_4^T Z, \quad (3.8)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 E_1^T Z}{\partial x^2} = E_5^T Z, \quad (3.9)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 E_1^T Z}{\partial x \partial y} = E_6^T Z, \quad (3.10)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 E_1^T Z}{\partial x \partial z} = E_7^T Z, \quad (3.11)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 E_1^T Z}{\partial y^2} = E_8^T Z, \quad (3.12)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 E_1^T Z}{\partial y \partial z} = E_9^T Z, \quad (3.13)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 E_1^T Z}{\partial z^2} = E_{10}^T Z, \quad (3.14)$$

$$\text{grad}(e_4^T Z) = E_{11}^T Z, \quad (3.15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mu - 1)(E_5^T Z + E_8^T Z + E_{10}^T Z) - e_1^T Z \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2^T Z \\ e_5^T Z \\ e_6^T Z \end{pmatrix} \\ & - e_2^T Z E_3^T Z - e_3^T Z E_4^T Z + F = E_{12}^T Z = v, \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

where $Z \in C(\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T])$, $E_1^T Z \in C^2(\bar{\Omega}) \cap C^1[0, T]$, $e_4^T Z \in C^1(\bar{\Omega}) \cap C[0, T]$.

We have the first equivalent result as follows.

Theorem 3.1 *Eq.(3.1) and Eqs(3.2) is equivalent to the system from Eqs(3.5) to (3.16) with respect to Z .*

Proof of theorem 3.1. If u, p satisfies Eq.(3.1) and Eqs(3.2), then letting $Z = T_1((u, p)^T) = (u, p, \partial u \setminus u_{1x}, \partial^2 u, \text{grad} p, v)^T$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} &= \alpha_1^T Z, \quad \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x} = \alpha_2^T Z, \quad u = E_1^T Z, \quad p = e_4^T Z, \quad \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x} = e_5^T Z, \quad \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x} = e_6^T Z, \quad u_y = E_3^T Z, \\ u_z &= E_4^T Z, \quad u_{xx} = E_5^T Z, \quad u_{xy} = E_6^T Z, \quad u_{xz} = E_7^T Z, \quad u_{yy} = E_8^T Z, \quad u_{yz} = E_9^T Z, \\ u_{zz} &= E_{10}^T Z, \quad \text{grad} p = E_{11}^T Z, \quad v = E_{12}^T Z. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, Z satisfies Eqs(3.5) to (3.16).

If Z satisfies Eqs(3.5) to (3.16), then letting $(u, p)^T = T_2(Z) = (E_1, e_4)^T Z$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} &= \alpha_1^T Z, \quad \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x} = \alpha_2^T Z, \quad u = E_1^T Z, \quad p = e_4^T Z, \quad \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x} = e_5^T Z, \quad \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x} = e_6^T Z, \quad u_y = E_3^T Z, \\ u_z &= E_4^T Z, \quad u_{xx} = E_5^T Z, \quad u_{xy} = E_6^T Z, \quad u_{xz} = E_7^T Z, \quad u_{yy} = E_8^T Z, \quad u_{yz} = E_9^T Z, \\ u_{zz} &= E_{10}^T Z, \quad \text{grad} p = E_{11}^T Z, \quad v = E_{12}^T Z. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that u, p satisfies Eq.(3.1) and Eqs(3.2).

Obviously T_1, T_2 are continuous. Moreover $T_1(T_2(Z)) = Z$, $T_2(T_1((u, p)^T)) = (u, p)^T$. From definition 1.1, we know the statement stands. \square

We notice that Eqs(3.5) to (3.15) are good, because they are the second order linear partial differential equations with constant coefficients. Eq.(3.16) could be considered as complicated, but if we assume

$$Z = \begin{pmatrix} Z_1 \\ Z_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where } Z_1 \text{ is the first 33 componenets of } Z,$$

then we will obtain $Z_2 = \psi(Z_1)$ from Eq.(3.16). Next we want to get $Z_1 = T_0(Z_2)$ from Eq.(3.5) to (3.15). This is not difficult. From our experience, we guess that T_0 should be related to the integral equations. We will obtain T_0 by the Fourier transform on $\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T]$. At last we will transform

Eq.(3.5) to (3.16) into the equivalent generalized integral equations $Z_1 = T_0(\psi(Z_1))$.

We apply the Fourier transform on $\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T]$ on both sides from Eq.(3.5) to (3.15) as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^T dt \int_{\bar{\Omega}} e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} \frac{\partial E_1^T Z}{\partial t} dx dy dz &= \int_0^T dt \int_{\bar{\Omega}} e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} \alpha_1^T Z dx dy dz, \\
\int_0^T dt \int_{\bar{\Omega}} e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} \frac{\partial E_1^T Z}{\partial x} dx dy dz &= \int_0^T dt \int_{\bar{\Omega}} e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2^T Z \\ e_5^T Z \\ e_6^T Z \end{pmatrix} dx dy dz, \\
\int_0^T dt \int_{\bar{\Omega}} e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} \frac{\partial E_1^T Z}{\partial y} dx dy dz &= \int_0^T dt \int_{\bar{\Omega}} e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} E_3^T Z dx dy dz, \\
\int_0^T dt \int_{\bar{\Omega}} e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} \frac{\partial E_1^T Z}{\partial z} dx dy dz &= \int_0^T dt \int_{\bar{\Omega}} e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} E_4^T Z dx dy dz, \\
\int_0^T dt \int_{\bar{\Omega}} e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} \frac{\partial^2 E_1^T Z}{\partial x^2} dx dy dz &= \int_0^T dt \int_{\bar{\Omega}} e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} E_5^T Z dx dy dz, \\
\int_0^T dt \int_{\bar{\Omega}} e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} \frac{\partial^2 E_1^T Z}{\partial x \partial y} dx dy dz &= \int_0^T dt \int_{\bar{\Omega}} e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} E_6^T Z dx dy dz, \\
\int_0^T dt \int_{\bar{\Omega}} e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} \frac{\partial^2 E_1^T Z}{\partial x \partial z} dx dy dz &= \int_0^T dt \int_{\bar{\Omega}} e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} E_7^T Z dx dy dz, \\
\int_0^T dt \int_{\bar{\Omega}} e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} \frac{\partial^2 E_1^T Z}{\partial y^2} dx dy dz &= \int_0^T dt \int_{\bar{\Omega}} e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} E_8^T Z dx dy dz, \\
\int_0^T dt \int_{\bar{\Omega}} e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} \frac{\partial^2 E_1^T Z}{\partial y \partial z} dx dy dz &= \int_0^T dt \int_{\bar{\Omega}} e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} E_9^T Z dx dy dz, \\
\int_0^T dt \int_{\bar{\Omega}} e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} \frac{\partial^2 E_1^T Z}{\partial z^2} dx dy dz &= \int_0^T dt \int_{\bar{\Omega}} e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} E_{10}^T Z dx dy dz, \\
\int_0^T dt \int_{\bar{\Omega}} e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} \text{grad}(e_4^T Z) dx dy dz &= \int_0^T dt \int_{\bar{\Omega}} e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} E_{11}^T Z dx dy dz.
\end{aligned}$$

The reason why we apply the Fourier transform on $\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T]$ instead of R^4 is that Eqs(1.1) and (1.2) only holds on $\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T]$. It is possible that they will not stand outside of $\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T]$. We can't apply the Fourier transform on R^4 to both sides of Eq(3.5) to (3.15). In order to denote this easily, we define the Fourier transform on $\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T]$ as follows.

Definition 3.1 $\forall f(x, y, z, t) \in L^2(\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T]), \forall (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \xi_0)^T \in R^4,$

$$\begin{aligned}
FI(f(x, y, z, t)) &= \int_0^T dt \int_{\bar{\Omega}} f(x, y, z, t) e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} dx dy dz \\
&= F(f(x, y, z, t) I_{\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T]}(x, y, z, t)),
\end{aligned}$$

where F means the Fourier transform and $I_{\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T]}(x, y, z, t)$ is the characteristic function. In the following, we write $I_{\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T]}(x, y, z, t)$ into $I_{\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T]}$.

By using divergence theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
FI\left(\frac{\partial E_1^T Z}{\partial t}\right) &= \int_0^T dt \int_{\overline{\Omega}} e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} \left(\frac{\partial E_1^T Z}{\partial t}\right) dx dy dz \\
&= \int_{\overline{\Omega}} (E_1^T Z) e^{-it\xi_0} \Big|_{t=0}^{t=T} e^{-ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} dx dy dz + \\
&\quad i\xi_0 \int_0^T dt \int_{\overline{\Omega}} e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} (E_1^T Z) dx dy dz \\
&= f_0 + i\xi_0 FI(E_1^T Z),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
FI\left(\frac{\partial E_1^T Z}{\partial x}\right) &= \int_0^T dt \int_{\overline{\Omega}} e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} \left(\frac{\partial E_1^T Z}{\partial x}\right) dx dy dz \\
&= \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} (E_1^T Z) n_1 e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} dS + \\
&\quad i\xi_1 \int_0^T dt \int_{\overline{\Omega}} e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} (E_1^T Z) dx dy dz \\
&= f_1 + i\xi_1 FI(E_1^T Z),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
FI\left(\frac{\partial E_1^T Z}{\partial y}\right) &= \int_0^T dt \int_{\overline{\Omega}} e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} \left(\frac{\partial E_1^T Z}{\partial y}\right) dx dy dz \\
&= \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} (E_1^T Z) n_2 e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} dS + \\
&\quad i\xi_2 \int_0^T dt \int_{\overline{\Omega}} e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} (E_1^T Z) dx dy dz \\
&= f_2 + i\xi_2 FI(E_1^T Z),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
FI\left(\frac{\partial E_1^T Z}{\partial z}\right) &= \int_0^T dt \int_{\overline{\Omega}} e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} \left(\frac{\partial E_1^T Z}{\partial z}\right) dx dy dz \\
&= \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} (E_1^T Z) n_3 e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} dS + \\
&\quad i\xi_3 \int_0^T dt \int_{\overline{\Omega}} e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} (E_1^T Z) dx dy dz \\
&= f_3 + i\xi_3 FI(E_1^T Z),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
FI\left(\frac{\partial^2 E_1^T Z}{\partial x^2}\right) &= \int_0^T dt \int_{\overline{\Omega}} e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} \left(\frac{\partial^2 E_1^T Z}{\partial x^2}\right) dx dy dz \\
&= \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial E_1^T Z}{\partial x}\right) n_1 e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} dS + \\
&\quad i\xi_1 \int_0^T dt \int_{\overline{\Omega}} e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} \left(\frac{\partial E_1^T Z}{\partial x}\right) dx dy dz \\
&= f_{11} + i\xi_1 FI\left(\frac{\partial E_1^T Z}{\partial x}\right) = f_{11} + i\xi_1 (f_1 + i\xi_1 FI(E_1^T Z)),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
FI\left(\frac{\partial^2 E_1^T Z}{\partial x \partial y}\right) &= \int_0^T dt \int_{\Omega} e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} \left(\frac{\partial^2 E_1^T Z}{\partial x \partial y}\right) dx dy dz \\
&= \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial E_1^T Z}{\partial y}\right) n_1 e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} dS + \\
&\quad i\xi_1 \int_0^T dt \int_{\Omega} e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} \left(\frac{\partial E_1^T Z}{\partial y}\right) dx dy dz \\
&= f_{21} + i\xi_1 FI\left(\frac{\partial E_1^T Z}{\partial y}\right) = f_{21} + i\xi_1(f_2 + i\xi_2 FI(E_1^T Z)),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
FI\left(\frac{\partial^2 E_1^T Z}{\partial x \partial z}\right) &= \int_0^T dt \int_{\Omega} e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} \left(\frac{\partial^2 E_1^T Z}{\partial x \partial z}\right) dx dy dz \\
&= \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial E_1^T Z}{\partial z}\right) n_1 e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} dS + \\
&\quad i\xi_1 \int_0^T dt \int_{\Omega} e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} \left(\frac{\partial E_1^T Z}{\partial z}\right) dx dy dz \\
&= f_{31} + i\xi_1 FI\left(\frac{\partial E_1^T Z}{\partial z}\right) = f_{31} + i\xi_1(f_3 + i\xi_3 FI(E_1^T Z)),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
FI\left(\frac{\partial^2 E_1^T Z}{\partial y^2}\right) &= \int_0^T dt \int_{\Omega} e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} \left(\frac{\partial^2 E_1^T Z}{\partial y^2}\right) dx dy dz \\
&= \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial E_1^T Z}{\partial y}\right) n_2 e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} dS + \\
&\quad i\xi_2 \int_0^T dt \int_{\Omega} e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} \left(\frac{\partial E_1^T Z}{\partial y}\right) dx dy dz \\
&= f_{22} + i\xi_2 FI\left(\frac{\partial E_1^T Z}{\partial y}\right) = f_{22} + i\xi_2(f_2 + i\xi_2 FI(E_1^T Z)),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
FI\left(\frac{\partial^2 E_1^T Z}{\partial y \partial z}\right) &= \int_0^T dt \int_{\Omega} e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} \left(\frac{\partial^2 E_1^T Z}{\partial y \partial z}\right) dx dy dz \\
&= \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial E_1^T Z}{\partial z}\right) n_2 e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} dS + \\
&\quad i\xi_2 \int_0^T dt \int_{\Omega} e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} \left(\frac{\partial E_1^T Z}{\partial z}\right) dx dy dz \\
&= f_{32} + i\xi_2 FI\left(\frac{\partial E_1^T Z}{\partial z}\right) = f_{32} + i\xi_2(f_3 + i\xi_3 FI(E_1^T Z)),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
FI\left(\frac{\partial^2 E_1^T Z}{\partial z^2}\right) &= \int_0^T dt \int_{\overline{\Omega}} e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} \left(\frac{\partial^2 E_1^T Z}{\partial z^2}\right) dx dy dz \\
&= \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial E_1^T Z}{\partial z}\right) n_3 e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} dS + \\
&\quad i\xi_3 \int_0^T dt \int_{\overline{\Omega}} e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} \left(\frac{\partial E_1^T Z}{\partial z}\right) dx dy dz \\
&= f_{33} + i\xi_3 FI\left(\frac{\partial E_1^T Z}{\partial z}\right) = f_{33} + i\xi_3(f_3 + i\xi_3 FI(E_1^T Z)),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
FI\left(\frac{\partial e_4^T Z}{\partial x}\right) &= \int_0^T dt \int_{\overline{\Omega}} e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} \left(\frac{\partial e_4^T Z}{\partial x}\right) dx dy dz \\
&= \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} (e_4^T Z) n_1 e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} dS + \\
&\quad i\xi_1 \int_0^T dt \int_{\overline{\Omega}} e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} (e_4^T Z) dx dy dz \\
&= g_1 + i\xi_1 FI(e_4^T Z),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
FI\left(\frac{\partial e_4^T Z}{\partial y}\right) &= \int_0^T dt \int_{\overline{\Omega}} e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} \left(\frac{\partial e_4^T Z}{\partial y}\right) dx dy dz \\
&= \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} (e_4^T Z) n_2 e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} dS + \\
&\quad i\xi_2 \int_0^T dt \int_{\overline{\Omega}} e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} (e_4^T Z) dx dy dz \\
&= g_2 + i\xi_2 FI(e_4^T Z),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
FI\left(\frac{\partial e_4^T Z}{\partial z}\right) &= \int_0^T dt \int_{\overline{\Omega}} e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} \left(\frac{\partial e_4^T Z}{\partial z}\right) dx dy dz \\
&= \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} (e_4^T Z) n_3 e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} dS + \\
&\quad i\xi_3 \int_0^T dt \int_{\overline{\Omega}} e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} (e_4^T Z) dx dy dz \\
&= g_3 + i\xi_3 FI(e_4^T Z),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
f_0 &= \int_{\overline{\Omega}} (A_2 e^{-iT\xi_0} - A_1) e^{-ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} dx dy dz, \\
f_1 &= \int_0^T dt \int_{\partial\Omega} A_3 n_1 e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} dS, \\
f_2 &= \int_0^T dt \int_{\partial\Omega} A_3 n_2 e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} dS, \\
f_3 &= \int_0^T dt \int_{\partial\Omega} A_3 n_3 e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} dS,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{11} &= \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} A_4 n_1 e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} dS, \\
f_{21} &= \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} A_5 n_1 e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} dS, \\
f_{31} &= \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} A_6 n_1 e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} dS, \\
f_{22} &= \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} A_5 n_2 e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} dS, \\
f_{32} &= \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} A_6 n_2 e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} dS, \\
f_{33} &= \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} A_6 n_3 e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} dS, \\
g_1 &= \int_0^T dt \int_{\partial\Omega} A_9 n_1 e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} dS, \\
g_2 &= \int_0^T dt \int_{\partial\Omega} A_9 n_2 e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} dS, \\
g_3 &= \int_0^T dt \int_{\partial\Omega} A_9 n_3 e^{-it\xi_0 - ix\xi_1 - iy\xi_2 - iz\xi_3} dS,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 &= u|_{t=0}, \quad A_2 = u|_{t=T}, \quad A_3 = u|_{\partial\Omega \times (0, T)}, \quad A_4 = u_x|_{\partial\Omega \times (0, T)}, \quad A_5 = u_y|_{\partial\Omega \times (0, T)}, \\
A_6 &= u_z|_{\partial\Omega \times (0, T)}, \quad A_7 = \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}|_{\partial\Omega \times [0, T]}, \quad A_8 = \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} + \sigma u\right)|_{\partial\Omega \times [0, T]}, \quad A_9 = p|_{\partial\Omega \times (0, T)},
\end{aligned}$$

n_k is the k th component of the normal vector to $\partial\Omega$, $k = 1, 2, 3$.

Now we have transformed the equations (3.5) to (3.15) into the following.

$$BFI(Z) = \beta_1, \tag{3.17}$$

where

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} i\xi_0 E_1^T - \alpha_1^T \\ i\xi_1 E_1^T - F_0 \\ i\xi_2 E_1^T - E_3^T \\ i\xi_3 E_1^T - E_4^T \\ (i\xi_1)^2 E_1^T - E_5^T \\ i\xi_1 i\xi_2 E_1^T - E_6^T \\ i\xi_1 i\xi_3 E_1^T - E_7^T \\ (i\xi_2)^2 E_1^T - E_8^T \\ i\xi_2 i\xi_3 E_1^T - E_9^T \\ (i\xi_3)^2 E_1^T - E_{10}^T \\ i\xi_1 e_4^T - e_{31}^T \\ i\xi_2 e_4^T - e_{32}^T \\ i\xi_3 e_4^T - e_{33}^T \end{pmatrix}_{33 \times 36} = (B_1, -B_2), \quad B_2 = \begin{pmatrix} E \\ 0_{30 \times 3} \end{pmatrix}_{33 \times 3}, \quad F_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2^T \\ e_5^T \\ e_6^T \end{pmatrix},$$

$$B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} i\xi_0 E & 0 & 0 & 0 & -E & 0 & 0 & -E & 0 & -E & \tau E \\ i\xi_1 E & F_1 & F_2 & F_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ i\xi_2 E & 0 & -E & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ i\xi_3 E & 0 & 0 & -E & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ (i\xi_1)^2 E & 0 & 0 & 0 & -E & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ i\xi_1 i\xi_2 E & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -E & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ i\xi_1 i\xi_3 E & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -E & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ (i\xi_2)^2 E & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -E & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ i\xi_2 i\xi_3 E & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -E & 0 & 0 \\ (i\xi_3)^2 E & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -E & 0 \\ 0 & F_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -E \end{pmatrix}_{33 \times 33},$$

$$F_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, F_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, F_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, F_4 = \begin{pmatrix} i\xi_1 & 0 & 0 \\ i\xi_2 & 0 & 0 \\ i\xi_3 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\beta_1 = (-f_0^T, -f_1^T, -f_2^T, -f_3^T, -f_{11}^T - i\xi_1 f_1^T, -f_{21}^T - i\xi_1 f_2^T, -f_{31}^T - i\xi_1 f_3^T, -f_{22}^T - i\xi_2 f_2^T, -f_{32}^T - i\xi_2 f_3^T, -f_{33}^T - i\xi_3 f_3^T, -g_1, -g_2, -g_3)^T.$$

We assume

$$Z = \begin{pmatrix} Z_1 \\ Z_2 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } Z_1 \text{ is the first 33 componenets of } Z,$$

then we can get

$$B_1 F I(Z_1) = \beta_1 + B_2 F I(Z_2).$$

By the primary row block transformations $R_1 - R_5 - R_8 - R_{10} + \tau R_{11}$, we obtain

$$\det(B_1) = \tau(i\xi_0 - ((i\xi_1)^2 + (i\xi_2)^2 + (i\xi_3)^2))^2((i\xi_1)^2 + (i\xi_2)^2 + (i\xi_3)^2) = a_{01}.$$

Also by the primary row block transformations, we can work out

$$B_1^{-1} = - \begin{pmatrix} R_1 \\ R_2 - i\xi_1 F_1 R_1 \\ E_3^T + i\xi_2 R_1 \\ E_4^T + i\xi_3 R_1 \\ E_5^T + (i\xi_1)^2 R_1 \\ E_6^T + i\xi_1 i\xi_2 R_1 \\ E_7^T + i\xi_1 i\xi_3 R_1 \\ E_8^T + (i\xi_2)^2 R_1 \\ E_9^T + i\xi_2 i\xi_3 R_1 \\ E_{10}^T + (i\xi_3)^2 R_1 \\ E_{11}^T + F_4 R_2 \end{pmatrix}_{33 \times 33}, B_1^{-1} B_2 = \begin{pmatrix} B_{01} \\ \begin{pmatrix} B_{03} \\ 0_{1 \times 3} \\ 0_{1 \times 3} \end{pmatrix} - i\xi_1 F_1 B_{01} \\ i\xi_2 B_{01} \\ i\xi_3 B_{01} \\ (i\xi_1)^2 B_{01} \\ i\xi_1 i\xi_2 B_{01} \\ i\xi_1 i\xi_3 B_{01} \\ (i\xi_2)^2 B_{01} \\ i\xi_2 i\xi_3 B_{01} \\ (i\xi_3)^2 B_{01} \\ \begin{pmatrix} i\xi_1 B_{03} \\ i\xi_2 B_{03} \\ i\xi_3 B_{03} \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}_{33 \times 3},$$

where $R_1 = (-B_{01}, -B_{02}e_1^T, -B_{02}e_2^T, -B_{02}e_3^T, B_{01}, 0_{3 \times 3}, 0_{3 \times 3}, B_{01}, 0_{3 \times 3}, B_{01}, -\tau B_{01})_{3 \times 33}$,

$$R_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -B_{03} & -B_{04}e_1^T & -B_{04}e_2^T & -B_{04}e_3^T & B_{03} & 0_{1 \times 3} & 0_{1 \times 3} & B_{03} & 0_{1 \times 3} & B_{03} & -\tau B_{03} \\ 0_{1 \times 3} & e_2^T & 0_{1 \times 3} \\ 0_{1 \times 3} & e_3^T & 0_{1 \times 3} \end{pmatrix}_{3 \times 33},$$

e_1, e_2, e_3 in R_1, R_2 are all three dimensional unit coordinate vectors,

$$B_{01} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{a} - \frac{(i\xi_1)^2}{a^2 a_1} & -\frac{i\xi_1 i\xi_2}{a^2 a_1} & -\frac{i\xi_1 i\xi_3}{a^2 a_1} \\ -\frac{i\xi_1 i\xi_2}{a^2 a_1} & \frac{1}{a} - \frac{(i\xi_2)^2}{a^2 a_1} & -\frac{i\xi_2 i\xi_3}{a^2 a_1} \\ -\frac{i\xi_1 i\xi_3}{a^2 a_1} & -\frac{i\xi_2 i\xi_3}{a^2 a_1} & \frac{1}{a} - \frac{(i\xi_3)^2}{a^2 a_1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_{02} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{i\xi_1}{aa_1} \\ \frac{i\xi_2}{aa_1} \\ \frac{i\xi_3}{aa_1} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$B_{03} = \left(\frac{i\xi_1}{\tau aa_1}, \frac{i\xi_2}{\tau aa_1}, \frac{i\xi_3}{\tau aa_1} \right), \quad B_{04} = -\frac{1}{\tau a_1}, \quad \tau a^3 a_1 = a_{01},$$

$$a = i\xi_0 - ((i\xi_1)^2 + (i\xi_2)^2 + (i\xi_3)^2), \quad a_1 = \frac{(i\xi_1)^2 + (i\xi_2)^2 + (i\xi_3)^2}{a},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} B_{01} & B_{02} \\ B_{03} & B_{04} \end{pmatrix} = B_0^{-1}, \quad B_0 = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & \tau i\xi_1 \\ 0 & a & 0 & \tau i\xi_2 \\ 0 & 0 & a & \tau i\xi_3 \\ i\xi_1 & i\xi_2 & i\xi_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The correct of B_1^{-1} is very important for the discussion as follows. We have tested it by the products of the block matrices. The following is useful, assuming that $F_{4, 1}$ is the first column of F_4 ,

$$aB_{01} = E - \tau F_{4, 1} B_{03}, \quad aB_{02} = -\tau F_{4, 1} B_{04}, \quad (i\xi_1, i\xi_2, i\xi_3)B_{01} = 0, \quad (i\xi_1, i\xi_2, i\xi_3)B_{02} = 1, \quad F_4 F_1 = 0.$$

If we assume $C = \{\xi | a_{01} = 0\}$, where $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \xi_0)^T$, then the measure of C is 0. And we obtain

$$FI(Z_1)(1 - I_C(\xi)) = B_1^{-1} \beta_1 (1 - I_C(\xi)) + B_1^{-1} B_2 FI(Z_2)(1 - I_C(\xi)). \quad (3.18)$$

We need some lemmas in [4].

Lemma 3.1 (Plancherel Theorem) *If $f(x, y, z, t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^4)$, then $F(f(x, y, z, t))$ exists, moreover*

$$(1) \|F(f(x, y, z, t))\|_{L^2} = \|f(x, y, z, t)\|_{L^2},$$

$$(2) F^{-1}[F(f(x, y, z, t))] = f(x, y, z, t).$$

Lemma 3.2 *If $f(x, y, z, t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^4)$, $C \subset \mathbb{R}^4$, the measure of C is 0, then*

$$F^{-1}([F(f(x, y, z, t))(1 - I_C(\xi))]) = f(x, y, z, t).$$

Proof of lemma 3.2. From the lemma 3.1, we know $F(f(x, y, z, t)) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^4)$. Therefore,

$$\int_C F(f(x, y, z, t)) e^{it\xi_0 + ix\xi_1 + iy\xi_2 + iz\xi_3} d\xi_0 d\xi_1 d\xi_2 d\xi_3 = 0.$$

And we obtain

$$F^{-1}([F(f(x, y, z, t))](1 - I_C(\xi))) = F^{-1}[F(f(x, y, z, t))] = f(x, y, z, t).$$

From these two lemmas, we obtain

$$F^{-1}[FI(Z_1)(1 - I_C(\xi))] = Z_1 I_{\overline{\Omega} \times (0, T)}.$$

Now we know $B_1^{-1}\beta_1(1 - I_C(\xi)) + B_1^{-1}B_2FI(Z_2)(1 - I_C(\xi))$ is the Fourier transform of continuous functions on $\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]$. We have the Paley-Wiener-Schwartz theorem from [1] as follows,

Lemma 3.3 (Paley-Wiener-Schwartz) *Let K be a convex compact set of R^n with support function $H(\xi) = \sup_{x \in K} \langle x, \xi \rangle$, $\forall \xi \in R^n$. If u is a distribution with support contained in K , then there exists $C > 0$, N is a positive whole number, such that*

$$|F(u)(\zeta)| \leq C(1 + |\zeta|)^N e^{H(\text{Im}\zeta)}, \forall \zeta \in C^n. \quad (3.19)$$

Conversely, every entire analytic function in C^n satisfying an estimate of the form (3.19) is the Fourier-Laplace transform of a distribution with support contained in K .

We may read the proof on pages 181 to 182 in [1]. Hörmander theorem from [1] is as follows,

Lemma 3.4 (Hörmander) *If $F(u)(\zeta)$ is an entire analytic function in C^n satisfying an estimate of the form (3.19), $p(\zeta)$ is a polynomial, $F(u)(\zeta)/p(\zeta)$ is an entire function, then $F(u)(\zeta)/p(\zeta)$ satisfies an estimate of the form (3.19), too.*

We can see the proof on page 183 of [1].

Because $a^2 a_1 B_1^{-1}$ is entire, from lemma 3.2, Paley-Wiener-Schwartz theorem and Hörmander theorem in [1], we know that

$$B_1^{-1}\beta_1 + B_1^{-1}B_2FI(Z_2) \quad (3.20)$$

should be entire, too.

Under this condition, we can obtain

$$Z_1 I_{\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]} = F^{-1}(B_1^{-1}\beta_1 + B_1^{-1}B_2FI(Z_2)).$$

If we assume $b_1 = a^2 a_1$, then we can work out the following,

$$\begin{aligned} B_1^{-1}\beta_1 &= (b_1^{-1})(b_1 B_1^{-1}\beta_1), \\ B_1^{-1}B_2 &= (b_1^{-1})(b_1 B_1^{-1}B_2), \\ F^{-1}(b_1^{-1}) &= F^{-1}[(i\xi_0 - ((i\xi_1)^2 + (i\xi_2)^2 + (i\xi_3)^2))^{-1}((i\xi_1)^2 + (i\xi_2)^2 + (i\xi_3)^2)^{-1}] \\ &= \frac{-I_{\{t>0\}}}{4\pi(2\sqrt{\pi t})^3} \int_{R^3} e^{-\frac{(x-x_1)^2 + (y-y_1)^2 + (z-z_1)^2}{4t}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{x_1^2 + y_1^2 + z_1^2}} dx_1 dy_1 dz_1. \end{aligned}$$

We find that $F^{-1}(b_1^{-1}) \in S'$, $b_1 B_1^{-1}\beta_1$ and $b_1 B_1^{-1}B_2$ satisfy the Paley-Wiener-Schwartz form (3.19). Hence $F^{-1}(b_1 B_1^{-1}\beta_1) \in \varepsilon'$, $F^{-1}(b_1 B_1^{-1}B_2) \in \varepsilon'$, and their compact support is contained in $\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]$, where S' is the dual space of the Schwartz space, and ε' is the dual space of $C^\infty(R^4)$. We need a lemma as follows.

Lemma 3.5 *If $v_1 \in S'$, $v_2 \in \varepsilon'$, it follows that $v_1 * v_2 \in S'$ and that*

$$F(v_1 * v_2) = F(v_1)F(v_2).$$

We can see the proof on page 166 in [1].

From this lemma we know

$$\begin{aligned} F^{-1}(B_1^{-1}\beta_1) &= F^{-1}(b_1^{-1}) .* F^{-1}(b_1 B_1^{-1}\beta_1), \\ F^{-1}(B_1^{-1}B_2) &= F^{-1}(b_1^{-1}) .* F^{-1}(b_1 B_1^{-1}B_2), \end{aligned}$$

all exist and are in S' , where $.*$ is the matrix convolution.

If we assume

$$\begin{aligned} w_1(x, y, z, t) &= F^{-1}(B_1^{-1}\beta_1), \\ w_2(x, y, z, t) &= F^{-1}(B_1^{-1}B_2), \end{aligned}$$

then we obtain

$$Z_1 I_{\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]} = w_1(x, y, z, t) + w_2(x, y, z, t) .* Z_2 I_{\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]}, \quad (3.21)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Z_1 I_{\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]} &= (E_j^T Z I_{\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]}, 1 \leq j \leq 11,)^T, \\ Z_2 I_{\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]} &= E_{12}^T Z I_{\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]} = (\mu - 1)(E_5^T Z I_{\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]} + E_8^T Z I_{\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]} + E_{10}^T Z I_{\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]}) \\ &\quad - e_1^T Z I_{\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2^T Z I_{\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]} \\ e_5^T Z I_{\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]} \\ e_6^T Z I_{\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]} \end{pmatrix} - e_2^T Z I_{\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]} E_3^T Z I_{\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]} \\ &\quad - e_3^T Z I_{\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]} E_4^T Z I_{\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]} + F I_{\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]}. \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious $\exists \psi$, such that $Z_2 I_{\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]} = \psi(Z_1 I_{\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]})$. Therefore, we attain

$$Z_1 I_{\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]} = w_1(x, y, z, t) + w_2(x, y, z, t) .* (\psi(Z_1 I_{\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]})). \quad (3.22)$$

Now we arrive at the second equivalent result as follows,

Theorem 3.2 *w_1, w_2, ψ , as we described, then Eq.(3.1) and Eqs(3.2) is equivalent to Eq.(3.22).*

Proof of theorem 3.2. If u satisfies Eq.(3.1) and Eqs(3.2), then from the theorem 3.1,

$Z = (u, p, \partial u \setminus u_{1x}, \partial^2 u, \text{grad} p, v)^T$ satisfies Eq.(3.5) to Eq.(3.16). Hence we can obtain the following by Fourier transform on $\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]$,

$$BFI(Z) = \beta_1, B_1 FI(Z_1) = \beta_1 + B_2 FI(Z_2), FI(Z_1) = B_1^{-1}\beta_1 + B_1^{-1}B_2 FI(Z_2).$$

After we do the inverse Fourier transform, we obtain $Z_1 = S_1((u, p)^T) = (u, p, \partial u \setminus u_{1x}, \partial^2 u, \text{grad} p)^T$ satisfies Eq.(3.22).

If Z_1 satisfies Eq.(3.22), then letting $Z_2 = \psi(Z_1)$, $Z = (Z_1, Z_2)^T$, we obtain the following by the Fourier transform,

$$FI(Z_1) = B_1^{-1}\beta_1 + B_1^{-1}B_2 FI(Z_2), B_1 FI(Z_1) = \beta_1 + B_2 FI(Z_2), BFI(Z) = \beta_1.$$

After we do the inverse Fourier transform, we obtain Z satisfies Eq.(3.5) to Eq.(3.16) on $\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]$. From the theorem 3.1, we know $(u, p)^T = S_2(Z_1) = E_1^T(Z_1, \psi(Z_1))^T$ is the solution of Eq.(3.1) and Eqs(3.2).

Obviously S_1, S_2 are continuous. Moreover $S_1(S_2(Z_1)) = Z_1, S_2(S_1((u, p)^T)) = (u, p)^T$. From definition 1.1, we know the the statement stands. \square

We denote Eqs(3.22) as $Z_1 = T_0(Z_1)$, where $T_0(Z_1) = w_1 + w_2 * \psi(Z_1)$. We can get a necessary and sufficient condition for there exist $u \in C^2(\overline{\Omega}) \cap C^1[0, T], p \in C^1(\overline{\Omega}) \cap C[0, T]$ satisfy Eqs(3.1) and (3.2) is that there exists $Z_1 \in C(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T])$ satisfies $Z_1 = T_0(Z_1)$.

Next we determine all the initial conditions and boundary conditions.

If we put $-\tau \text{grad} p$ into v , then we will get $\det(B_1) = 0$. So we can't do that. However, we may do that to determinate all the initial conditions and boundary conditions.

From Eqs(3.2), we can obtain that there exists continuous function $\psi_1(Z_1) = -\tau E_{11}^T(Z_1, \psi(Z_1))^T + \psi(Z_1)$, such that

$$u_t - \Delta u = \psi_1(Z_1). \quad (3.23)$$

After we do Fourier transform on $\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]$, we can get the following,

$$(i\xi_0 - (i\xi_1)^2 - (i\xi_2)^2 - (i\xi_3)^2)FI(u) = -f_0 + f_{11} + f_{22} + f_{33} + i\xi_1 f_1 + i\xi_2 f_2 + i\xi_3 f_3 + FI(\psi_1(Z_1)). \quad (3.24)$$

We get that

$$(i\xi_0 - (i\xi_1)^2 - (i\xi_2)^2 - (i\xi_3)^2)^{-1}(-f_0 + f_{11} + f_{22} + f_{33} + i\xi_1 f_1 + i\xi_2 f_2 + i\xi_3 f_3 + FI(\psi_1(Z_1))) \quad (3.25)$$

should be entire. Under this condition, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} uI_{\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]} &= F^{-1}[(-a)^{-1}(f_0 - (f_{11} + f_{22} + f_{33}) \\ &\quad - (i\xi_1 f_1 + i\xi_2 f_2 + i\xi_3 f_3) - FI(\psi_1(Z_1)(x, y, z, t)))] \text{ a.e.} \\ F^{-1}[a^{-1}] &= h(x, y, z, t) = \frac{1}{(2\sqrt{\pi t})^3} e^{-\frac{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}{4t}} I_{\{t>0\}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F^{-1}((-a)^{-1}f_0) &= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^4 \int_{R^4} e^{i\xi_0 t + i\xi_1 x + i\xi_2 y + i\xi_3 z} (-a)^{-1} \left(\int_{\Omega} (A_2(x_1, y_1, z_1) e^{-iT\xi_0} - A_2(x_1, y_1, z_1)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. e^{-ix_1 \xi_1 - iy_1 \xi_2 - iz_1 \xi_3} dx_1 dy_1 dz_1 \right) d\xi_0 d\xi_1 d\xi_2 d\xi_3 \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (-h(x - x_1, y - y_1, z - z_1, t - T) A_2(x_1, y_1, z_1) + \\ &\quad h(x - x_1, y - y_1, z - z_1, t) A_2(x_1, y_1, z_1)) dx_1 dy_1 dz_1, \end{aligned}$$

where *a.e.* means almost everywhere,

$$\left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^4 \int_{R^4} e^{i\xi_0(t-T) + i\xi_1(x-x_1) + i\xi_2(y-y_1) + i\xi_3(z-z_1)} (-a)^{-1} d\xi_0 d\xi_1 d\xi_2 d\xi_3 = -h(x-x_1, y-y_1, z-z_1, t-T),$$

$$\left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^4 \int_{R^4} e^{i\xi_0 t + i\xi_1(x-x_1) + i\xi_2(y-y_1) + i\xi_3(z-z_1)} (-a)^{-1} d\xi_0 d\xi_1 d\xi_2 d\xi_3 = -h(x-x_1, y-y_1, z-z_1, t),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F^{-1}[a^{-1}(f_{11} + f_{22} + f_{33})] &= \int_0^T d\tau \int_{\partial\Omega} h(x - x_1, y - y_1, z - z_1, t - \tau) A_7(x_1, y_1, z_1, \tau) dS, \\
F^{-1}[a^{-1}(i\xi_1 f_1 + i\xi_2 f_2 + i\xi_3 f_3)] &= \int_0^T d\tau \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial h(x - x_1, y - y_1, z - z_1, t - \tau)}{\partial n(x_1, y_1, z_1)} A_3(x_1, y_1, z_1, \tau) dS, \\
F^{-1}[a^{-1}FI(\psi_1(Z_1)(x, y, z, t))] &= \int_0^T d\tau \int_{\overline{\Omega}} h(x - x_1, y - y_1, z - z_1, t - \tau) \psi_1(Z_1)(x_1, y_1, z_1, \tau) dx_1 dy_1 dz_1,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial h(x - x_1, y - y_1, z - z_1, t - \tau)}{\partial n(x_1, y_1, z_1)} &= \frac{\partial h(x - x_1, y - y_1, z - z_1, t - \tau)}{\partial x} n_1(x_1, y_1, z_1) + \\
&\quad \frac{\partial h(x - x_1, y - y_1, z - z_1, t - \tau)}{\partial y} n_2(x_1, y_1, z_1) + \\
&\quad \frac{\partial h(x - x_1, y - y_1, z - z_1, t - \tau)}{\partial z} n_3(x_1, y_1, z_1).
\end{aligned}$$

We denote it in an easy way as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
F^{-1}((-a)^{-1}f_0) &= -h(t - T) \cdot *_{\overline{\Omega}} A_2 + h \cdot *_{\overline{\Omega}} A_1, \\
F^{-1}[a^{-1}(f_{11} + f_{22} + f_{33})] &= h \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A_7, \\
F^{-1}[a^{-1}(i\xi_1 f_1 + i\xi_2 f_2 + i\xi_3 f_3)] &= \frac{\partial h}{\partial n_{p_1}} \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A_3, \\
F^{-1}[a^{-1}FI(\psi_1(Z_1)(x, y, z, t))] &= h \cdot * \psi_1(Z_1),
\end{aligned}$$

where $P_1 = (x_1, y_1, z_1)^T$, then we get the following,

$$uI_{\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]} = -h(t - T) \cdot *_{\overline{\Omega}} A_2 + h \cdot *_{\overline{\Omega}} A_1 + h \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A_7 + \frac{\partial h}{\partial n_{p_1}} \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A_3 + h \cdot * \psi_1(Z_1). \quad \text{a.e.} \quad (3.26)$$

From

$$h(x, y, z, t) = \frac{1}{(2\sqrt{\pi t})^3} e^{-\frac{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}{4t}} I_{\{t > 0\}},$$

we find $h(t - T) \cdot *_{\overline{\Omega}} A_2 = 0$, if $t \in [0, T]$. So we can obtain

$$uI_{\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]} = h \cdot *_{\overline{\Omega}} A_1 + h \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A_7 + \frac{\partial h}{\partial n_{p_1}} \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A_3 + h \cdot * \psi_1(Z_1), \quad \text{a.e.} \quad (3.27)$$

It is easy to think that all items in the right hand side of (3.27) are continuous on $\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]$, and that we don't need *a.e.*. This is true except $\partial h / \partial n_{p_1} \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A_3$. We can elaborate on this.

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial h(M - P_1, t - \tau)}{\partial n_{p_1}} &= \nabla_M h(M - P_1, t - \tau) \cdot n_{p_1} \\
&= -\nabla_{P_1} h(M - P_1, t - \tau) \cdot n_{p_1},
\end{aligned}$$

where $M = (x, y, z)^T$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_M h(M - P_1, t - \tau) &= \left(\frac{\partial h(M - P_1, t - \tau)}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial h(M - P_1, t - \tau)}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial h(M - P_1, t - \tau)}{\partial z} \right)_T, \\
\nabla_{P_1} h(M - P_1, t - \tau) &= \left(\frac{\partial h(M - P_1, t - \tau)}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial h(M - P_1, t - \tau)}{\partial y_1}, \frac{\partial h(M - P_1, t - \tau)}{\partial z_1} \right)_T.
\end{aligned}$$

We see $\nabla_{P_1} h(M - P_1, t - \tau) \cdot n_{p_1}$ in some books. That will increase a sign of minus.

Theorem 3.3 *If Ω is bounded, $\partial\Omega \in C^{1, \beta}$, $0 < \beta \leq 1$, $A_3(P_1, t) \in C^2(\partial\Omega) \cap C^1[0, T]$, then $\partial h / \partial n_{p_1} \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A_3$ is continuous on $(R^3 \setminus \partial\Omega) \times [0, T]$ and $\partial\Omega \times [0, T]$, but $\forall P_0 \in \partial\Omega$, $\forall t \in (0, T]$, we have*

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow P_0+} \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial n_{p_1}} \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A_3 \right)(M, t) = \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial n_{p_1}} \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A_3 \right)(P_0, t) + \frac{1}{2} A_3(P_0, t), \quad (3.28)$$

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow P_0-} \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial n_{p_1}} \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A_3 \right)(M, t) = \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial n_{p_1}} \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A_3 \right)(P_0, t) - \frac{1}{2} A_3(P_0, t), \quad (3.29)$$

where

$$\left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial n_{p_1}} \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A_3 \right)(M, t) = \int_0^t d\tau \int_{\partial\Omega} [\nabla_M h(M - P_1, t - \tau) \cdot n_{p_1}] A_3(P_1, \tau) dS_{P_1},$$

$M \rightarrow P_0+$ means M is near to P_0 from the interior of Ω and $M \rightarrow P_0-$ means M is near to P_0 from the exterior of Ω .

Proof of theorem 3.3. It is obvious that $\partial h / \partial n_{p_1} \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A_3$ is continuous on $(R^3 \setminus \partial\Omega) \times [0, T]$. If $M = (x, y, z)^T \in \partial\Omega$, $P_1 = (x_1, y_1, z_1)^T \in \partial\Omega$, then we can work out the following,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t d\tau \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial h(M - P_1, t - \tau)}{\partial n_{p_1}} A_3(P_1, \tau) dS_{P_1} &= \int_0^t d\tau \int_{\partial\Omega} C_4 e^{-\frac{a_0}{(t-\tau)}} \frac{b_0 A_3(P_1, \tau)}{(t-\tau)^{5/2}} dS_{P_1} \\ \left(v = \frac{a_0}{(t-\tau)}, \tau = t - \frac{a_0}{v}, d\tau = \frac{a_0 dv}{v^2} \right) &= \int_{\partial\Omega} dS_{P_1} \int_{\frac{a_0}{t}}^{+\infty} C_4 e^{-v} b_0 A_3(P_1, t - \frac{a_0}{v}) a_0^{-5/2} v^{5/2} \frac{a_0 dv}{v^2} \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega} b_0 a_0^{-3/2} dS_{P_1} \int_{\frac{a_0}{t}}^{+\infty} C_4 e^{-v} v^{1/2} A_3(P_1, t - \frac{a_0}{v}) dv, \end{aligned}$$

where $b_0 = \mathbf{r}_{MP_1} \cdot n_{p_1}$, $a_0 = |M - P_1|^2 / 4 = [(x - x_1)^2 + (y - y_1)^2 + (z - z_1)^2] / 4$, $C_4 = 1 / (16(\sqrt{\pi})^3)$. From Theorem 2.1, we know there exists a neighborhood $U(M, \delta(M))$, $\delta(M) > 0$, and $C(M) > 0$, such that $|b_0| \leq C(M) a_0^{(1+\beta)/2}$, $\forall P_1 \in U(M, \delta(M)) \cap \partial\Omega$. And from lemma 2.2, we can get that $\partial h / \partial n_{p_1} \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A_3$ is continuous on $\partial\Omega \times [0, T]$.

But if $M = (x, y, z)^T \in R^3 \setminus \partial\Omega$, $P_1 = (x_1, y_1, z_1)^T \in \partial\Omega$, $t \in (0, T]$, then we can work out the following,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t d\tau \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial h(M - P_1, t - \tau)}{\partial n_{p_1}} A_3(P_1, \tau) dS_{P_1} &= \int_0^t d\tau \int_{\partial\Omega} C_4 e^{-\frac{a_0}{(t-\tau)}} \frac{b_0 A_3(P_1, \tau)}{(t-\tau)^{5/2}} dS_{P_1} \\ \left(v = \frac{a_0}{(t-\tau)}, \tau = t - \frac{a_0}{v}, d\tau = \frac{a_0 dv}{v^2} \right) &= \int_{\partial\Omega} dS_{P_1} \int_{\frac{a_0}{t}}^{+\infty} C_4 e^{-v} b_0 A_3(P_1, t - \frac{a_0}{v}) a_0^{-5/2} v^{5/2} \frac{a_0 dv}{v^2} \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega} b_0 a_0^{-3/2} dS_{P_1} \int_{\frac{a_0}{t}}^{+\infty} C_4 e^{-v} v^{1/2} A_3(P_1, t - \frac{a_0}{v}) dv \\ &= I_1 + I_2, \end{aligned}$$

where $b_0 = \mathbf{r}_{MP_1} \cdot n_{p_1}$, $a_0 = |M - P_1|^2 / 4 = [(x - x_1)^2 + (y - y_1)^2 + (z - z_1)^2] / 4$, $C_4 = 1 / (16(\sqrt{\pi})^3)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= \int_{\partial\Omega} b_0 a_0^{-3/2} dS_{P_1} \int_{\frac{a_0}{t}}^{+\infty} C_4 e^{-v} v^{1/2} A_3(P_1, t) dv \\
&= \int_{\partial\Omega} b_0 a_0^{-3/2} A_3(P_1, t) dS_{P_1} \left(\int_0^{+\infty} C_4 e^{-v} v^{1/2} dv - \int_0^{\frac{a_0}{t}} C_4 e^{-v} v^{1/2} dv \right) \\
&= C_4 \Gamma(3/2) \int_{\partial\Omega} b_0 a_0^{-3/2} A_3(P_1, t) dS_{P_1} - \int_{\partial\Omega} b_0 a_0^{-3/2} A_3(P_1, t) dS_{P_1} \int_0^{\frac{a_0}{t}} C_4 e^{-v} v^{1/2} dv \\
&= I_3 - I_4,
\end{aligned}$$

$\Gamma(z)$ is the Euler gamma function,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_3 &= C_4 \Gamma(3/2) \int_{\partial\Omega} b_0 a_0^{-3/2} A_3(P_1, t) dS_{P_1}, \\
I_4 &= \int_{\partial\Omega} b_0 a_0^{-3/2} A_3(P_1, t) dS_{P_1} \int_0^{\frac{a_0}{t}} C_4 e^{-v} v^{1/2} dv \\
I_2 &= \int_{\partial\Omega} b_0 a_0^{-3/2} dS_{P_1} \int_{\frac{a_0}{t}}^{+\infty} C_4 e^{-v} v^{1/2} [A_3(P_1, t - \frac{a_0}{v}) - A_3(P_1, t)] dv.
\end{aligned}$$

If we let

$$C_5 = \max_{v \in [0, +\infty)} e^{-v} v^{1/2}, \quad C_6 = \max_{P_1 \in \partial\Omega, t \in [0, T]} \left| \frac{\partial A_3(P_1, t)}{\partial t} \right|,$$

then we can obtain the following

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_4| &\leq C_4 C_5 \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{b_0 a_0^{-1/2}}{t} |A_3(P_1, t)| dS_{P_1}, \\
|I_2| &\leq \int_{\partial\Omega} b_0 a_0^{-3/2} dS_{P_1} \int_{\frac{a_0}{t}}^{+\infty} C_4 C_6 e^{-v} v^{1/2} \frac{a_0}{v} dv \\
&\leq C_4 C_6 \Gamma(1/2) \int_{\partial\Omega} b_0 a_0^{-1/2} dS_{P_1}.
\end{aligned}$$

From lemma 2.2, we know $\forall P_0 \in \partial\Omega, \forall t \in (0, T]$, I_4 and I_2 are continuous at P_0 . But from corollary 2.1 we have the following,

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow P_0^+} I_3(M, t) = I_3(P_0, t) + \frac{1}{2} A_3(P_0, t), \quad \lim_{M \rightarrow P_0^-} I_3(M, t) = I_3(P_0, t) - \frac{1}{2} A_3(P_0, t), \quad (3.30)$$

which completes the statement. \square

Corollary 3.1 *If Ω is bounded, $\partial\Omega \in C^{1, \beta}$, $0 < \beta \leq 1$, $A_3(P_1, t) \in C(\partial\Omega \times [0, T])$, then $\partial h / \partial n_{p_1} \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A_3$ is continuous on $(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \partial\Omega) \times [0, T]$ and $\partial\Omega \times [0, T]$, moreover (3.28) and (3.29) stand.*

Proof of corollary 3.1. If $A_3(P_1, t) \in C(\partial\Omega \times [0, T])$, then from Weierstrass theorem, we can make $A_{3k}(P_1, t) \in C^2(\partial\Omega) \cap C^1[0, T]$, such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} A_{3k}(P_1, t) = A_3(P_1, t), \text{ uniformly on } \partial\Omega \times [0, T]. \quad (3.31)$$

Then $A_{3k}(P_1, t)$ satisfies theorem 3.3. And from theorem 2.2, we know

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} |b_0 a_0^{-3/2}| dS_{P_1} < +\infty. \quad (3.32)$$

So we obtain the following,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-v} v^{1/2} |A_{3k}(P_1, t - \frac{a_0}{v}) - A_3(P_1, t - \frac{a_0}{v})| dv &= 0, \text{ uniformly,} \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\partial\Omega} |b_0 a_0^{-3/2} [A_{3k}(P_1, t) - A_3(P_1, t)]| dS_{P_1} &= 0, \text{ uniformly.} \end{aligned}$$

Hence the statement holds. \square

Corollary 3.2 *If Ω is bounded, $\partial\Omega \in C^{1, \beta}$, $0 < \beta \leq 1$, $A_3(P_1, t) \in C(\partial\Omega \times [0, T])$, then $\partial h / \partial n_{P_0} \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A_3$ is continuous on $(R^3 \setminus \partial\Omega) \times [0, T]$ and $\partial\Omega \times [0, T]$, but $\forall P_0 \in \partial\Omega, \forall t \in (0, T)$, we have*

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow n_{P_0}^+} \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial n_{P_0}} \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A_3 \right)(M, t) = \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial n_{P_0}} \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A_3 \right)(P_0, t) - \frac{1}{2} A_3(P_0, t), \quad (3.33)$$

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow n_{P_0}^-} \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial n_{P_0}} \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A_3 \right)(M, t) = \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial n_{P_0}} \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A_3 \right)(P_0, t) + \frac{1}{2} A_3(P_0, t), \quad (3.34)$$

where

$$\left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial n_{P_0}} \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A_3 \right)(M, t) = \int_0^t d\tau \int_{\partial\Omega} [\nabla_M h(M - P_1, t - \tau) \cdot n_{P_0}] A_3(P_1, \tau) dS_{P_1},$$

$M \rightarrow n_{P_0}^+$ means M is near to P_0 along n_{P_0} from the exterior of Ω and $M \rightarrow n_{P_0}^-$ means M is near to P_0 along n_{P_0} from the interior of Ω .

Proof of corollary 3.2. We can obtain the proof from theorem 2.3 and the previous corollary. \square

From theorem 2.1, we know $\partial h / \partial n_{P_1} \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A_3$ is only continuous on $\Omega \times [0, T]$. So from (3.27), we only get

$$uI_{\Omega \times [0, T]} = [h \cdot *_{\overline{\Omega}} A_2 + h \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A_7 + \frac{\partial h}{\partial n_{P_1}} \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A_3 + h \cdot * \psi_1(Z_1)] I_{\Omega \times [0, T]}. \quad (3.35)$$

This is enough. We can get A_2 as follows,

$$A_2 I_{\Omega} = [h \cdot *_{\overline{\Omega}} A_2 + h \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A_7 + \frac{\partial h}{\partial n_{P_1}} \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A_3 + h \cdot * \psi_1(Z_1)] I_{\Omega}|_{t=T}. \quad (3.36)$$

We need it to test whether (3.25) is entire or not. Next we see $h \cdot * \psi_1(Z_1)$.

Lemma 3.6 *If Ω is bounded, $\partial\Omega \in C^{1, \beta}$, $0 < \beta \leq 1$, f is continuous, then $w = h \cdot * f \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$.*

We can see the proof on page 54 of [2].

Now we can see that

$$uI_{\Omega \times [0, T]} = [h \cdot *_{\overline{\Omega}} A_1 + h \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A_7 + \frac{\partial h}{\partial n_{p_1}} \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A_3 + h \cdot * \psi_1(Z_1)]I_{\Omega \times [0, T]} \in C^1(\Omega) \cap C[0, T]. \quad (3.37)$$

But we find that there is only one of A_3 , A_7 is known in (3.37). We should determinate both of these. Following the Lyapunov's potential theory on pages 173 to 201 of [6], we discuss three boundary conditions as follows.

(1)Dirichlet problem. If A_1 , A_3 are known, then from (3.37) we can get the following, $\forall M \in \Omega$,

$$\frac{\partial u(M, t)I_{\Omega \times [0, T]}}{\partial n_{p_0}} = \left(\frac{\partial g_{01}}{\partial n_{p_0}} + \frac{\partial h(M, t)}{\partial n_{p_0}} \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A_7 + \frac{\partial h(M, t)}{\partial n_{p_0}} \cdot * \psi_1(Z_1) \right) I_{\Omega \times [0, T]}, \quad (3.38)$$

where

$$g_{01} = h \cdot *_{\overline{\Omega}} A_1 + \frac{\partial h}{\partial n_{p_1}} \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A_3.$$

As $u(M, t) \in C^2(\overline{\Omega}) \cap C^1[0, T]$, and from Corollary 2.2, we can get the following,

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow n_{p_0}^-} \frac{\partial u(M, t)I_{\Omega \times [0, T]}}{\partial n_{p_0}} = A_7, \quad \lim_{M \rightarrow n_{p_0}^-} \frac{\partial h(M, t)}{\partial n_{p_0}} \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A_7 = \frac{\partial h(P_0, t)}{\partial n_{p_0}} \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A_7 + \frac{1}{2}A_7, \quad t \in (0, T].$$

Hence there exists $g_{02} \in C^1(\partial\Omega \times [0, T])$, such that

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow n_{p_0}^-} \frac{\partial g_{01}(M, t)}{\partial n_{p_0}} = g_{02}(P_0, t), \quad \forall P_0 \in \partial\Omega. \quad (3.39)$$

From the continuity, we get the second type of linear Fredholm integral equations that A_7 should satisfy,

$$\frac{1}{2}A_7 = \frac{\partial h(P_0, t)}{\partial n_{p_0}} \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A_7 + g_{02} + \frac{\partial h(P_0, t)}{\partial n_{p_0}} \cdot * \psi_1(Z_1). \quad (3.40)$$

We will prove that there is only 0 for the homogeneous equations as follows,

$$\frac{1}{2}A_7 = \frac{\partial h(P_0, t)}{\partial n_{p_0}} \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A_7. \quad (3.41)$$

If A_7 satisfies (3.41), then we may let

$$W(M, t) = h(M, t) \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A_7 = \int_0^t d\tau \int_{\partial\Omega} h(M - P_1, t - \tau) A_7(P_1, \tau) dS_{P_1}, \quad (3.42)$$

and we can obtain that W is continuous on R^3 , moreover

$$W_t - \Delta W = 0, \quad \text{on } (R^3 \setminus \partial\Omega) \times [0, T], \quad W|_{t=0} = 0. \quad (3.43)$$

From the fact that A_7 satisfies (3.41), we can get

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial n_{p_0}^+} \Big|_{\partial\Omega} = \lim_{M \rightarrow n_{p_0}^+} \frac{\partial W(M, t)}{\partial n_{p_0}} = \frac{\partial h(P_0, t)}{\partial n_{p_0}} \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A_7 - \frac{1}{2}A_7 = 0, \quad t \in (0, T]. \quad (3.44)$$

From (3.42), we know W is rapid descent in R^3 . By the uniqueness of the solution of Eqs(3.43), we get $W \equiv 0$, on $(R^3 \setminus \bar{\Omega}) \times [0, T]$. From the continuity we can see that $W|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$. Hence, we can deduce that

$$W \equiv 0 \text{ on } R^3 \times [0, T]. \quad (3.45)$$

This means that

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial n_{p_0}^+}|_{\partial\Omega} - \frac{\partial W}{\partial n_{p_0}^-}|_{\partial\Omega} = -A_7 = 0. \quad (3.46)$$

Hence, the solution of (3.41) is only 0. From Fredholm integral equation theory, we know there is only one solution for (3.40). Moreover, there exists an entire analytic function Γ_1 that is only related to $\partial h(P_0, t)/\partial n_{p_0}$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{A_7(P_0, t)}{2} &= g_{02}(P_0, t) + \frac{\partial h(P_0, t)}{\partial n_{p_0}} \cdot * \psi_1(Z_1) + \\ &\int_0^T d\tau \int_{\partial\Omega} \Gamma_1(P_0, t, P_1, \tau) [g_{02}(P_1, \tau) + \frac{\partial h(P_1, \tau)}{\partial n_{p_1}} \cdot * \psi_1(Z_1)] dS_{P_1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.47)$$

However, it is true that $\partial h(P_0, t)/\partial n_{p_0}(P_0 - P_1, t - \tau)$ is not continuous, if $P_0 = P_1, t = \tau$. Therefore, it's lucky that

$$(\sqrt{|P_0 - P_1|^2 + (t - \tau)^2})^{(\epsilon_0 + 5/2)} \frac{\partial h(P_0, t)}{\partial n_{p_0}}(P_0 - P_1, t - \tau) \quad (3.48)$$

is continuous, if $\epsilon_0 \in (0, 0.5]$. Hence, $\partial h(P_0, t)/\partial n_{p_0}(P_0 - P_1, t - \tau)$ is a weak singular kernel. From Fredholm theorem, we know (3.47) still stands.

From $A_7 \in C^1(\partial\Omega \times [0, T])$, we know g_{02} should be in $C^1(\partial\Omega \times [0, T])$.

(2)Neumann problem. If A_1, A_7 are known, then from (3.37) we can get the following, $\forall M \in \Omega$,

$$u(M, t)I_{\Omega \times [0, T]} = (g_{03} + \frac{\partial h(M, t)}{\partial n_{p_1}} \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A_3 + h(M, t) \cdot * \psi_1(Z_1))I_{\Omega \times [0, T]}, \quad (3.49)$$

where

$$g_{03} = h \cdot *_{\bar{\Omega}} A_1 + h \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A_7.$$

Because $u(M, t) \in C^2(\bar{\Omega}) \cap C^1[0, T]$, and from Theorem 3.3, we can get as follows,

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow P_0^+} u(M, t)I_{\Omega \times [0, T]} = A_3, \quad \lim_{M \rightarrow P_0^+} \frac{\partial h(M, t)}{\partial n_{p_1}} \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A_3 = \frac{\partial h(P_0, t)}{\partial n_{p_1}} \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A_3 + \frac{1}{2}A_3, \quad t \in (0, T].$$

Hence, there exists $g_{04} \in C^2(\partial\Omega) \cap C^1[0, T]$, such that

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow P_0^+} g_{03}(M, t) = g_{04}(P_0, t), \quad \forall P_0 \in \partial\Omega. \quad (3.50)$$

From the continuity, we get the second type of linear Fredholm integral equations that A_3 should satisfy,

$$\frac{1}{2}A_3 = \frac{\partial h(P_0, t)}{\partial n_{p_1}} \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A_3 + g_{04} + h(P_0, t) \cdot * \psi_1(Z_1). \quad (3.51)$$

We will prove that there is only 0 for the homogeneous equations as follows,

$$\frac{1}{2}A_3 = \frac{\partial h(P_0, t)}{\partial n_{p_1}} \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A_3. \quad (3.52)$$

We write the detail of (3.52) as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}A_3(P_0, t) &= \frac{\partial h(P_0, t)}{\partial n_{p_1}} \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A_3 \\ &= \int_0^T d\tau \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{1}{16(\sqrt{\pi})^3} (t-\tau)^{-5/2} e^{-|P_0-P_1|^2/[4(t-\tau)]} I_{\{t>\tau\}} [-(P_0-P_1) \cdot n_{p_1}] A_3(P_1, \tau) dS_{P_1}. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.48), we know $\partial h(P_0, t)/\partial n_{p_1}(P_0-P_1, t-\tau)$ is also a weak singular kernel. Hence, from Fredholm theorem, we may transpose the equation (3.52) as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}A_3(P_0, t) &= \int_0^T d\tau \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{1}{16(\sqrt{\pi})^3} (\tau-t)^{-5/2} e^{-|P_0-P_1|^2/[4(\tau-t)]} I_{\{\tau>t\}} [(P_0-P_1) \cdot n_{p_0}] A_3(P_1, \tau) dS_{P_1} \\ &= -\frac{\partial h_1(P_0, t)}{\partial n_{p_0}} \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A_3, \end{aligned} \quad (3.53)$$

where $h_1(M, t) = (2\sqrt{\pi})^{-3} (-t)^{-3/2} e^{|M|^2/4t} I_{\{t<0\}}$, $|M|^2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$.

If h_1 satisfies $(h_1)_t - \Delta h_1 = 0$, then from case (1), we can see that there is only 0 for (3.53). But something amazing happened, and h_1 satisfies $(h_1)_t + \Delta h_1 = 0$. We can't use the method in case (1) unless there is only 0 for

$$(W_2)_t + \Delta W_2 = 0, \text{ on } \bar{\Omega} \times [0, T], W_2|_{t=0} = 0, \text{ one of } W_2|_{\partial\Omega}, \frac{\partial W_2}{\partial n}|_{\partial\Omega}, \frac{\partial W_2}{\partial n} + \sigma W_2|_{\partial\Omega}, \sigma > 0, \text{ is } 0. \quad (3.54)$$

We are not sure about (3.54). It looks that we are stumped by the finish line. But if we prove there is only 0 for (3.53), then (3.54) should be true.

The answer is not very complex. Letting

$$\tau = T - \tau_1, t = T - t_1, A'_3(P_0, t_1) = A_3(P_0, T - t_1),$$

then we can obtain,

$$\frac{1}{2}A'_3(P_0, t_1) = -\frac{\partial h(P_0, t_1)}{\partial n_{p_0}} \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A'_3(P_0, t_1). \quad (3.55)$$

Following the idea in case (1), letting

$$W_1(M, t_1) = h(M, t_1) \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A'_3 = \int_0^T d\tau \int_{\partial\Omega} h(M - P_1, t_1 - \tau) A'_3(P_1, \tau) dS_{P_1}, \quad (3.56)$$

then we can obtain that W_1 is continuous on R^3 , moreover

$$(W_1)_{t_1} - \Delta W_1 = 0, \text{ on } (R^3 \setminus \partial\Omega) \times [0, T], W_1|_{t_1=0} = 0. \quad (3.57)$$

From A'_3 satisfying (3.55), we can get

$$\frac{\partial W_1}{\partial n_{p_0}^-}|_{\partial\Omega} = \lim_{M \rightarrow n_{p_0}^-} \frac{\partial W_1(M, t_1)}{\partial n_{p_0}} = \frac{\partial h(P_0, t_1)}{\partial n_{p_0}} \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A'_3 + \frac{1}{2}A'_3 = 0, t_1 \in (0, T]. \quad (3.58)$$

By the uniqueness of the solution of Eqs(3.57), we get $W_1 \equiv 0$, on $\Omega \times [0, T]$. From the continuity we can get that $W_1|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$. From (3.56), we know W_1 is rapid descent in R^3 . Hence we can get that

$$W_1 \equiv 0 \text{ on } R^3 \times [0, T]. \quad (3.59)$$

This means that

$$\frac{\partial W_1}{\partial n_{p_0}^+}|_{\partial\Omega} - \frac{\partial W_1}{\partial n_{p_0}^-}|_{\partial\Omega} = -A_3' = 0. \quad (3.60)$$

So there is only 0 for (3.55). Moreover, there is only 0 for (3.53). From Fredholm theorem, we know there is only 0 for (3.52). Hence there exists an entire analytic function Γ_2 that is only related to $\partial h(P_0, t)/\partial n_{p_1}$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{A_3(P_0, t)}{2} &= g_{04}(P_0, t) + h(P_0, t) \cdot * \psi_1(Z_1) + \\ &\int_0^T d\tau \int_{\partial\Omega} \Gamma_2(P_0, t, P_1, \tau) [g_{04}(P_1, \tau) + h(P_1, \tau) \cdot * \psi_1(Z_1)] dS_{P_1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.61)$$

(3)Robin problem. If $A_1, A_8 = A_7 + \sigma A_3$, are known, then from (3.37) we can get the following, $\forall M \in \Omega$,

$$u(M, t)I_{\Omega \times [0, T]} = (g_{05} - h \cdot *_{\partial\Omega}(\sigma A_3) + \frac{\partial h(M, t)}{\partial n_{p_1}} \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A_3 + h(M, t) \cdot * \psi_1(Z_1))I_{\Omega \times [0, T]}, \quad (3.62)$$

where

$$g_{05} = h \cdot *_{\bar{\Omega}} A_1 + h \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A_8.$$

Since $u(M, t) \in C^2(\bar{\Omega}) \cap C^1[0, T]$, and from Theorem 2.1, we can get,

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow P_0^+} u(M, t)I_{\Omega \times [0, T]} = A_3, \quad \lim_{M \rightarrow P_0^+} \frac{\partial h(M, t)}{\partial n_{p_1}} \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A_3 = \frac{\partial h(P_0, t)}{\partial n_{p_1}} \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A_3 + \frac{1}{2}A_3, \quad t \in (0, T].$$

Hence, there exists $g_{06} \in C^2(\partial\Omega) \cap C^1[0, T]$, such that

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow P_0^+} g_{05}(M, t) = g_{06}(P_0, t), \quad \forall P_0 \in \partial\Omega. \quad (3.63)$$

From the continuity, we get the second type of linear Fredholm integral equations that A_3 should satisfy,

$$\frac{1}{2}A_3 = -h(P_0, t) \cdot *_{\partial\Omega}(\sigma A_3) + \frac{\partial h(P_0, t)}{\partial n_{p_1}} \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A_3 + g_{06} + h(P_0, t) \cdot * \psi_1(Z_1). \quad (3.64)$$

We will prove that there is only 0 for the homogeneous equations as follows,

$$\frac{1}{2}A_3 = -h(P_0, t) \cdot *_{\partial\Omega}(\sigma A_3) + \frac{\partial h(P_0, t)}{\partial n_{p_1}} \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A_3. \quad (3.65)$$

We write the detail of (3.65) as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}A_3(P_0, t) &= -h(P_0, t) \cdot *_{\partial\Omega}(\sigma A_3) + \frac{\partial h(P_0, t)}{\partial n_{p_1}} \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A_3 \\ &= \int_0^T d\tau \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{-1}{(2\sqrt{\pi})^3} (t-\tau)^{-3/2} e^{-|P_0-P_1|^2/[4(t-\tau)]} I_{\{t>\tau\}} \sigma(P_1, \tau) A_3(P_1, \tau) dS_{P_1} + \\ &\int_0^T d\tau \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{1}{16(\sqrt{\pi})^3} (t-\tau)^{-5/2} e^{-|P_0-P_1|^2/[4(t-\tau)]} I_{\{t>\tau\}} [-(P_0-P_1) \cdot n_{p_1}] A_3(P_1, \tau) dS_{P_1}. \end{aligned}$$

From Fredholm theorem, we may transpose equation (3.65) as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}A_3(P_0, t) &= \int_0^T d\tau \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{-1}{(2\sqrt{\pi})^3} (\tau-t)^{-3/2} e^{-|P_0-P_1|^2/[4(\tau-t)]} I_{\{\tau>t\}} \sigma(P_0, t) A_3(P_1, \tau) dS_{P_1} + \\
&\quad \int_0^T d\tau \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{1}{16(\sqrt{\pi})^3} (\tau-t)^{-5/2} e^{-|P_0-P_1|^2/[4(\tau-t)]} I_{\{\tau>t\}} [(P_0-P_1) \cdot n_{p_0}] A_3(P_1, \tau) dS_{P_1} \\
&= \sigma(P_0, t) (-h_1(P_0, t) \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A_3) - \frac{\partial h_1(P_0, t)}{\partial n_{p_0}} \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A_3,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.66}$$

where $h_1(M, t) = (2\sqrt{\pi})^{-3} (-t)^{-3/2} e^{|M|^2/4t} I_{\{t<0\}}$, $|M|^2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$.

Letting

$$\tau = T - \tau_1, \quad t = T - t_1, \quad A'_3(P_0, t_1) = A_3(P_0, T - t_1), \quad \sigma'(P_0, t_1) = \sigma(P_0, T - t_1),$$

then we can get as follows,

$$\frac{1}{2}A'_3(P_0, t_1) = \sigma'(P_0, t_1) (-h(P_0, t_1) \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A'_3) - \frac{\partial h(P_0, t_1)}{\partial n_{p_0}} \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A'_3. \tag{3.67}$$

Letting

$$W'(M, t_1) = h(M, t_1) \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A'_3 = \int_0^T d\tau \int_{\partial\Omega} h(M - P_1, t_1 - \tau) A'_3(P_1, \tau) dS_{P_1}, \tag{3.68}$$

then we can obtain that W' is continuous on R^3 , moreover,

$$W'_{t_1} - \Delta W' = 0, \quad \text{on } (R^3 \setminus \partial\Omega) \times [0, T], \quad W'|_{t_1=0} = 0. \tag{3.69}$$

We obtain

$$\frac{\partial W'}{\partial n_{p_0}^-} \Big|_{\partial\Omega} = \lim_{M \rightarrow n_{p_0}^-} \frac{\partial W'(M, t_1)}{\partial n_{p_0}} = \frac{\partial h(P_0, t_1)}{\partial n_{p_0}} \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A'_3 + \frac{1}{2} A'_3, \quad t_1 \in (0, T]. \tag{3.70}$$

Since A'_3 satisfies (3.67), we can get that

$$\frac{\partial W'}{\partial n_{p_0}^-} + \sigma'(P_0, t_1) W' \Big|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \tag{3.71}$$

From $W'|_{t_1=0} = 0$, we get $W' \equiv 0$, on $\Omega \times [0, T]$. So $W' \Big|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$. We know W' is also rapid descent in R^3 . Hence, we can get that

$$W' \equiv 0 \quad \text{on } R^3 \times [0, T]. \tag{3.72}$$

This means that

$$\frac{\partial W'}{\partial n_{p_0}^+} - \frac{\partial W'}{\partial n_{p_0}^-} = -A'_3 = 0. \tag{3.73}$$

Hence, the solution of (3.67) is only 0. From Fredholm integral equation theory, we know there is only one solution for (3.64). Moreover, there exists an entire analytic function Γ_3 that is only related to $\partial h(P_0, t)/\partial n_{p_0}$ and $h(P_0, t)$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{A_3(P_0, t)}{2} &= g_{06}(P_0, t) + h(P_0, t) \cdot * \psi_1(Z_1) + \\
&\quad \int_0^T d\tau \int_{\partial\Omega} \Gamma_3(P_0, t, P_1, \tau) [g_{06}(P_1, \tau) + h(P_1, \tau) \cdot * \psi_1(Z_1)] dS_{P_1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.74}$$

Now we can introduce an easy way to get A_4, A_5, A_6 , which we will use in the next section.

For any $P_0 \in \partial\Omega$, we discuss a smooth curve on $\partial\Omega$ that passes through P_0 . The parameter coordinates of the point P on the curve are $(x(\theta), y(\theta), z(\theta))$. Moreover, the parameter coordinates of P_0 are $(x(\theta_0), y(\theta_0), z(\theta_0))$. We assume the tangent vector at P_0 is as follows,

$$s_0 = (x'(\theta_0), y'(\theta_0), z'(\theta_0))^T.$$

If we select a $P(x(\theta), y(\theta), z(\theta))$ on the curve that is near to P_0 , then we can get

$$u(P, t) - u(P_0, t) = u_x(P_0, t)(x(\theta) - x(\theta_0)) + u_y(P_0, t)(y(\theta) - y(\theta_0)) + u_z(P_0, t)(z(\theta) - z(\theta_0)) + o(\rho), \quad (3.75)$$

where $\rho = |P - P_0| = \sqrt{(x(\theta) - x(\theta_0))^2 + (y(\theta) - y(\theta_0))^2 + (z(\theta) - z(\theta_0))^2}$.

From

$$u(P, t)|_{P \in \partial\Omega} = A_3((P, t),$$

we get,

$$A_3(P, t) - A_3(P_0, t) = A_{3x}(P_0, t)(x(\theta) - x(\theta_0)) + A_{3y}(P_0, t)(y(\theta) - y(\theta_0)) + A_{3z}(P_0, t)(z(\theta) - z(\theta_0)) + o(\rho), \quad (3.76)$$

where

$$A_{3x} = \frac{\partial A_3}{\partial x}, \quad A_{3y} = \frac{\partial A_3}{\partial y}, \quad A_{3z} = \frac{\partial A_3}{\partial z}.$$

By the subtraction of (3.75) and (3.76), we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (u_x(P_0, t) - A_{3x}(P_0, t))(x(\theta) - x(\theta_0)) + (u_y(P_0, t) - A_{3y}(P_0, t))(y(\theta) - y(\theta_0)) \\ & + (u_z(P_0, t) - A_{3z}(P_0, t))(z(\theta) - z(\theta_0)) + o(\rho) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.77)$$

If we divide ρ on the left hand side of (3.77), and let $\theta \rightarrow \theta_0$, then from the following,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\theta \rightarrow \theta_0} \frac{x(\theta) - x(\theta_0)}{\rho} &= \lim_{\theta \rightarrow \theta_0} \frac{(x(\theta) - x(\theta_0))/(\theta - \theta_0)}{\rho/(\theta - \theta_0)} = \frac{x'(\theta_0)}{\|s_0\|}, \\ \lim_{\theta \rightarrow \theta_0} \frac{y(\theta) - y(\theta_0)}{\rho} &= \lim_{\theta \rightarrow \theta_0} \frac{(y(\theta) - y(\theta_0))/(\theta - \theta_0)}{\rho/(\theta - \theta_0)} = \frac{y'(\theta_0)}{\|s_0\|}, \\ \lim_{\theta \rightarrow \theta_0} \frac{z(\theta) - z(\theta_0)}{\rho} &= \lim_{\theta \rightarrow \theta_0} \frac{(z(\theta) - z(\theta_0))/(\theta - \theta_0)}{\rho/(\theta - \theta_0)} = \frac{z'(\theta_0)}{\|s_0\|}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\|s_0\| = \sqrt{(x'(\theta_0))^2 + (y'(\theta_0))^2 + (z'(\theta_0))^2}$, we get,

$$(u_x(P_0, t) - A_{3x}(P_0, t))x'(\theta_0) + (u_y(P_0, t) - A_{3y}(P_0, t))y'(\theta_0) + (u_z(P_0, t) - A_{3z}(P_0, t))z'(\theta_0) = 0. \quad (3.78)$$

(3.78) will still stand even if $\|s_0\| = 0$. From the arbitrary nature of s_0 , we know that

$$((u_x(P_0, t) - A_{3x}(P_0, t))_k, (u_y(P_0, t) - A_{3y}(P_0, t))_k, (u_z(P_0, t) - A_{3z}(P_0, t))_k)^T, \quad 1 \leq k \leq m,$$

should be parallel to the exterior normal vector $n_{p_0} = (n_1(P_0), n_2(P_0), n_3(P_0))^T$, where $(*)_k$ is the k th component of the vector, $1 \leq k \leq m$.

Hence there exists $\lambda(P_0, t)$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_x(P_0, t) - A_{3x}(P_0, t) &= \lambda(P_0, t)n_1(P_0), \\ u_y(P_0, t) - A_{3y}(P_0, t) &= \lambda(P_0, t)n_2(P_0), \\ u_z(P_0, t) - A_{3z}(P_0, t) &= \lambda(P_0, t)n_3(P_0). \end{aligned}$$

From $n_1^2(P_0) + n_2^2(P_0) + n_3^2(P_0) = 1$, we can get,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(P_0, t) &= (u_x(P_0, t) - A_{3x}(P_0, t))n_1(P_0) + (u_y(P_0, t) - A_{3y}(P_0, t))n_2(P_0) + \\ &\quad (u_z(P_0, t) - A_{3z}(P_0, t))n_3(P_0) \\ &= A_7(P_0, t) - \frac{\partial A_3}{\partial n_{p_0}}(P_0, t). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we can work out A_4, A_5, A_6 as follows,

$$A_4 = u_x|_{\partial\Omega} = \frac{\partial A_3}{\partial x} + (A_7 - \frac{\partial A_3}{\partial n})n_1, \quad (3.79)$$

$$A_5 = u_y|_{\partial\Omega} = \frac{\partial A_3}{\partial y} + (A_7 - \frac{\partial A_3}{\partial n})n_2, \quad (3.80)$$

$$A_6 = u_z|_{\partial\Omega} = \frac{\partial A_3}{\partial z} + (A_7 - \frac{\partial A_3}{\partial n})n_3, \quad (3.81)$$

where $n = (n_1, n_2, n_3)^T$ is the exterior normal vector to $\partial\Omega$.

Finally, we determine $A_9 = p|_{\partial\Omega \times [0, T]}$. From the following

$$\begin{aligned} (B_1^{-1})_4 &= (B_{03}, B_{04}e_1^T, B_{04}e_2^T, B_{04}e_3^T, -B_{03}, 0_{1 \times 3}, 0_{1 \times 3}, -B_{03}, 0_{1 \times 3}, -B_{03}, \tau B_{03}), \\ (B_1^{-1}B_2)_4 &= (B_{03}), \end{aligned}$$

where e_1, e_2, e_3 are all three dimensional unit coordinate vectors, $(B_1^{-1})_4, (B_1^{-1}B_2)_4$ are the 4th rows of $(B_1^{-1}), (B_1^{-1}B_2)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} B_{03} &= (\frac{i\xi_1}{\tau a a_1}, \frac{i\xi_2}{\tau a a_1}, \frac{i\xi_3}{\tau a a_1}), \quad B_{04} = -\frac{1}{\tau a_1}, \quad \tau a^3 a_1 = a_{01}, \\ a &= i\xi_0 - ((i\xi_1)^2 + (i\xi_2)^2 + (i\xi_3)^2), \quad a_1 = \frac{(i\xi_1)^2 + (i\xi_2)^2 + (i\xi_3)^2}{a}, \end{aligned}$$

we can obtain that there exist ψ_2 , such that

$$\begin{aligned} p(M, t)I_{\Omega \times [0, T]} &= (\psi_2(A_1, A_2, A_3, A_7, Z_1) + \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial h_2(M - P_1)}{\partial n_{p_1}} A_9(P_1, t) dS_{P_1}) I_{\Omega \times [0, T]}, \quad a.e. \\ \psi_2(A_1, A_2, A_3, A_7, Z_1) &= F^{-1}((B_1^{-1})_4 \beta_1 + (B_1^{-1}B_2)_4 FI(Z_2)) - \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial h_2(M - P_1)}{\partial n_{p_1}} A_9(P_1, t) dS_{P_1} \end{aligned}$$

$$h_2(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}}.$$

If we let $M \rightarrow P_0^+$, from Corollary 2.1, we obtain

$$\frac{A_9(P_0, t)}{2} = \psi_3(A_1, A_2, A_3, A_7, Z_1)(P_0, t) + \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial h_2(P_0 - P_1)}{\partial n_{p_1}} A_9(P_1, t) dS_{P_1}, \quad (3.82)$$

where

$$\psi_3(A_1, A_2, A_3, A_7, Z_1)(P_0, t) = \lim_{M \rightarrow P_0^+} \psi_2(A_1, A_2, A_3, A_7, Z_1)(M, t).$$

We discuss (3.82) as the following.

Lemma 3.7 *There is only one linear independent solution for each of two transposed equations,*

$$\frac{A_9}{2} = \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial n_{p_1}} \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A_9, \quad (3.83)$$

$$\frac{A'_9}{2} = -\frac{\partial h_2}{\partial n_{p_0}} \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A'_9. \quad (3.84)$$

Proof of lemma 3.7. From the potential theory, we know $A_9 = 1$ is the solution of (3.83). Hence, from Fredholm theorem, we obtain there is at least one linear independent solution A'_9 for (3.84). If there exist two linear independent solutions $A'_{9,1}$ and $A'_{9,2}$ for (3.84), then we consider

$$v_1(M) = h_2 \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A'_{9,1}, \quad v_2(M) = h_2 \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A'_{9,2}. \quad (3.85)$$

They are all harmonic on $R^3 \setminus \partial\Omega$. From (3.84), we obtain

$$\frac{\partial v_i}{\partial n_{p_0}^-} = \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial n_{p_0}} \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A'_{9,i} + \frac{A'_{9,i}}{2} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (3.86)$$

From the uniqueness, we obtain v_1 and v_2 are all constants on $\bar{\Omega}$. Therefore, we may select constants $C_{3,1}$ and $C_{3,2}$, moreover, $C_{3,1}^2 + C_{3,2}^2 \neq 0$, such that on $\bar{\Omega}$, we have $C_{3,1}v_1 + C_{3,2}v_2 = 0$.

Now we consider

$$v_3 = C_{3,1}v_1 + C_{3,2}v_2 = h_2 \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} (C_{3,1}A'_{9,1} + C_{3,2}A'_{9,2}). \quad (3.87)$$

It is 0 on $\bar{\Omega}$. Moreover, it is harmonic on $R^3 \setminus \bar{\Omega}$, and near to 0 uniformly at infinity. Hence, it is 0 on R^3 . Therefore we obtain

$$\frac{\partial v_3}{\partial n_{p_0}^+} - \frac{\partial v_3}{\partial n_{p_0}^-} = -(C_{3,1}A'_{9,1} + C_{3,2}A'_{9,2}) = 0. \quad (3.88)$$

This contradicts that $A'_{9,1}$ and $A'_{9,2}$ are linear independent. Hence, there is only one linear independent solution for (3.84). From Fredholm theorem, there is also only one linear independent solution for (3.83). \square

Corollary 3.3 *If A'_9 is a non-zero solution of (3.84), then $h_2 \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A'_9$ is a non-zero constant on $\bar{\Omega}$.*

Proof of corollary 3.3. If $h_2 \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A'_9$ is 0 on $\bar{\Omega}$, then from the previous lemma we obtain $A'_9 = 0$, which completes the proof. \square

Hence, we can select A_9^* is a non-zero solution of (3.84), such that $h_2 \cdot *_{\partial\Omega} A_9^* = 1$, on $\bar{\Omega}$.

From Fredholm theorem, we obtain a sufficient and necessary condition for the existence of the solution of (3.82) as follows,

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \psi_3(A_1, A_2, A_3, A_7, Z_1)(P, t)A_9^*(P)dS_P = 0, \quad \forall t \in [0, T]. \quad (3.89)$$

Under this condition, again from Fredholm theorem, there exists an entire analytic function Γ_4 which is only related to $\partial h_2(P_0)/\partial n_{P_1}$, such that

$$\frac{A_9(P_0, t)}{2} = \psi_3(A_1, A_2, A_3, A_7, Z_1) + \int_{\partial\Omega} \Gamma_4(P_0, P_1)[\psi_3(A_1, A_2, A_3, A_7, Z_1)(P_1, t)]dS_{P_1} + C_0^*, \quad (3.90)$$

where C_0^* is a constant.

Maybe you will point out $\partial h_2(P_0)/\partial n_{P_1}(P_0 - P_1)$ is not continuous, if $P_0 = P_1$. Yes, that's true. It's lucky that

$$|P_0 - P_1|^{(\epsilon_0+5/2)} \frac{\partial h_2(P_0)}{\partial n_{P_1}}(P_0 - P_1)$$

is continuous, if $\epsilon_0 \in (0, 0.5]$. Hence $\partial h_2(P_0)/\partial n_{P_1}(P_0 - P_1)$ is weak singular kernel. From Fredholm theorem, we know (3.90) still stands.

It looks that the classical solution of Eqs(3.22) would be locally exist and unique. So were the Eq(3.1) and Eqs(3.2). But $F^{-1}[f_1]$ will cause some trouble.

We can work out

$$F^{-1}[f_1] = \int_0^T d\tau \int_{\partial\Omega} \delta(x - x_1, y - y_1, z - z_1, t - \tau) A_3(x_1, y_1, z_1, \tau) n_1(x_1, y_1, z_1) dS,$$

where δ is the Dirac function. We don't know what the next is.

Because $\partial\Omega \in C^{1, \beta}$, we can get the following from Theorem 1.1,

$$\partial\Omega = \bigcup_{k=1}^N \partial\Omega_k,$$

where $\partial\Omega_k$ is the graph of a $C^{1, \beta}$ function of two of the coordinates x, y, z .

Without loss of the generality, we assume $\partial\Omega_k$ is the graph of a $C^{1, \beta}$ function $z = f_k(x, y)$, $(x, y) \in D_k \subset R^2$, then we may solve the inverse Fourier transform of f_1 on $\partial\Omega_k$ as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} & F^{-1}\left[\int_0^T d\tau \int_{\partial\Omega_k} A_3(x_1, y_1, z_1, \tau) n_1(x_1, y_1, z_1) e^{-i\xi_0\tau - i\xi_1x_1 - i\xi_2y_1 - i\xi_3z_1} dS\right] \\ &= F^{-1}\left[\int_0^T d\tau \int_{D_k} A_3(x_1, y_1, f_k(x_1, y_1), \tau) n_1(x_1, y_1, f_k(x_1, y_1)) e^{-i\xi_0\tau - i\xi_1x_1 - i\xi_2y_1 - i\xi_3f_k(x_1, y_1)} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \sqrt{1 + f_{k1}^2(x_1, y_1) + f_{k2}^2(x_1, y_1)} dx_1 dy_1\right] \\ &= A_3(x, y, f_k(x, y), t) n_1(x, y, f_k(x, y)) \sqrt{1 + f_{k1}^2(x, y) + f_{k2}^2(x, y)} I_{D_k \times [0, T]} \\ & \quad \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-i\xi_3 f_k(x, y)} e^{i\xi_3 z} d\xi_3 \\ &= A_3(x, y, f_k(x, y), t) n_1(x, y, f_k(x, y)) \sqrt{1 + f_{k1}^2(x, y) + f_{k2}^2(x, y)} I_{D_k \times [0, T]} \delta[z - f_k(x, y)], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$f_{k1}(x, y) = \frac{\partial f_k(x, y)}{\partial x}, \quad f_{k2}(x, y) = \frac{\partial f_k(x, y)}{\partial y}.$$

We are surprised to see that the inverse Fourier transform of f_1 on $\partial\Omega_k$ is related to $\delta[z - f_k(x, y)]$. This means that $F^{-1}[f_1]$ will be related to $\delta(\partial\Omega)$.

We regret that we lost local existence and uniqueness for the solution of $Z_1 = T_0(Z_1)$. However, it would be too easy if there were no $\delta(\partial\Omega)$. In the next section, we will discuss $Z_1 = T_0(Z_1)$ by Lerry-Schauder degree and the Sobolev space $H^{-m_1}(\Omega_1)$, where $\Omega_1 = \Omega \times (0, T)$.

4 Existence

In this section, we will discuss the existence for classical solutions of $Z_1 = T_0(Z_1)$. We include remarks if it is necessary. First of all, we introduce our ideas as follows.

First, we will construct a norm $\|\cdot\|_{-m_1}$ for $T_0(Z_1)$.

Second, since $Z_1 = T_0(Z_1)$ are generalized integral equations, we make approximate ordinary integral equations $Z_1 = T_{0\epsilon}(Z_1)$, $\forall \epsilon > 0$, such that $\forall Z_1 \in C(\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T])$, $\|Z_1\|_\infty \leq M$, M is given, we have the following,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \|T_{0\epsilon}(Z_1) - T_0(Z_1)\|_{-m_1} = 0, \quad \text{uniformly,} \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$\|Z_1\|_\infty = \max_{1 \leq i \leq 33} \|Z_{1,i}\|_\infty, \quad \|Z_{1,i}\|_\infty = \max_{X=(x,y,z,t)^T \in \bar{\Omega} \times [0,T]} |Z_{1,i}(X)|, \quad (4.2)$$

$Z_{1,i}$, $1 \leq i \leq 33$, are components of Z_1 .

This will help us to prove $T_0(Z_{1k})$ is sequentially compact under norm $\|\cdot\|_{-m_1}$, if $\forall Z_{1k} \in C(\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T])$, $\|Z_{1k}\|_\infty \leq M$, $k \geq 1$, M is given.

Finally, we use some primary theorems on the Leray-Schauder degree to discuss ordinary integral equations $Z_1 = T_{0\epsilon}(Z_1)$, $\forall \epsilon > 0$. If $Z_{1\epsilon}$ satisfies $Z_1 = T_{0\epsilon}(Z_1)$, $\forall \epsilon > 0$, and bounded uniformly, then there exists a sequence $Z_{1\epsilon_k}$, $k \geq 1$, $\epsilon_k \rightarrow 0$, if $k \rightarrow +\infty$, such that $Z_{1\epsilon_k}$ is convergent to Z_1^* . We will obtain $Z_1^* = T_0(Z_1^*)$, which is what we want.

Can our imagination come true? Let's introduce our answer. The answer is not unique.

Definition 4.1 $\forall Z_1 = (Z_{1,i})_{33 \times 1}$, $Z_{1,i} \in H^{-m_1}(\Omega_1)$, $1 \leq i \leq 33$, $\Omega_1 = \Omega \times (0, T)$, we have

$$\|Z_1\|_{-m_1} = \max_{1 \leq i \leq 33} \|Z_{1,i}\|_{-m_1}, \quad \|Z_{1,i}\|_{-m_1} = \sup_{\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega_1)} \frac{|\langle Z_{1,i}, \varphi \rangle|}{\|\varphi\|_{m_1}}, \quad (4.3)$$

where $m_1 = 6 + 2c$, $c = \max\{\partial(b_1 B_1^{-1}), \partial(b_1 B_1^{-1} B_2)\}$, here $\partial(\cdot)$ means the highest degree, $X = (x, y, z, t)^T$, $\langle Z_{1,i}, \varphi \rangle$ is the value of the generalized function $Z_{1,i}$ on φ , if $Z_{1,i}$ is locally integrable, then

$$\langle Z_{1,i}, \varphi \rangle = \int_{\Omega_1} Z_{1,i}(X) \varphi(X) dX, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 33, \quad (4.4)$$

$$\|\varphi\|_{m_1} = \left(\int_{\Omega_1} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m_1} |\partial^\alpha \varphi(X)|^2 dX \right)^{1/2}. \quad (4.5)$$

Next we can get that

$$\|T_0(Z_1)\|_{-m_1} = \max_{1 \leq i \leq 33} \sup_{\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega_1)} \frac{|\langle T_{0,i}(Z_1), \varphi \rangle|}{\|\varphi\|_{m_1}} < +\infty, \quad (4.6)$$

in the following lemma, where $T_{0,i}(Z_1)$, $1 \leq i \leq 33$, are components of $T_0(Z_1)$.

Now we see approximate ordinary integral equations, $\forall \epsilon > 0$, $\forall \epsilon > 0$,

$$T_{0\epsilon}(Z_1) = w_{1\epsilon} + w_{2\epsilon} * (\psi(Z_1 I_{\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]})), \quad (4.7)$$

where

$$w_{1\epsilon} = F^{-1}(\tilde{\delta}_\epsilon B_1^{-1} \beta_1), \quad w_{2\epsilon} = F^{-1}(\tilde{\delta}_\epsilon B_1^{-1} B_2), \quad \tilde{\delta}_\epsilon = F(\delta_\epsilon),$$

$$\delta_\epsilon = \frac{1}{(\sqrt{\pi\epsilon})^4} e^{-|X|^2/\epsilon}, \quad |X| = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + t^2}, \quad \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \delta_\epsilon = \delta(X), \quad \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \tilde{\delta}_\epsilon = 1,$$

$\delta(X)$ is the Dirac function.

From the previous section, we can obtain A_2 as follows,

$$A_2 I_\Omega = [h *_{\overline{\Omega}} A_1 + h *_{\partial\Omega} A_7 + \frac{\partial h}{\partial n_{p_1}} *_{\partial\Omega} A_3 + h * \psi_1(Z_1)] I_\Omega|_{t=T}. \quad (4.8)$$

In the Dirichlet problem, A_1 , A_3 are known, and from (3.47) we can get A_7 as follows,

$$\frac{A_7(P_0, t)}{2} = g_{02}(P_0, t) + \frac{\partial h(P_0, t)}{\partial n_{p_0}} * \psi_1(Z_1) +$$

$$\int_0^T d\tau \int_{\partial\Omega} \Gamma_1(P_0, t, P_1, \tau) [g_{02}(P_1, \tau) + \frac{\partial h(P_1, \tau)}{\partial n_{p_1}} * \psi_1(Z_1)] dS_{P_1}. \quad (4.9)$$

In the Neumann problem, A_1 , A_7 are known, and from (3.61) we can get A_3 as follows,

$$\frac{A_3(P_0, t)}{2} = g_{04}(P_0, t) + h(P_0, t) * \psi_1(Z_1) +$$

$$\int_0^T d\tau \int_{\partial\Omega} \Gamma_2(P_0, t, P_1, \tau) [g_{04}(P_1, \tau) + h(P_1, \tau) * \psi_1(Z_1)] dS_{P_1}. \quad (4.10)$$

In the Robin problem, A_1 , A_8 are known, and from (3.74) we can get A_3 as follows,

$$\frac{A_3(P_0, t)}{2} = g_{06}(P_0, t) + h(P_0, t) * \psi_1(Z_1) +$$

$$\int_0^T d\tau \int_{\partial\Omega} \Gamma_3(P_0, t, P_1, \tau) [g_{06}(P_1, \tau) + h(P_1, \tau) * \psi_1(Z_1)] dS_{P_1}. \quad (4.11)$$

And from (3.79), (3.80), (3.81), we can also get A_4 , A_5 , A_6 as follows,

$$A_4 = u_x|_{\partial\Omega} = \frac{\partial A_3}{\partial x} + (A_7 - \frac{\partial A_3}{\partial n}) n_1, \quad (4.12)$$

$$A_5 = u_y|_{\partial\Omega} = \frac{\partial A_3}{\partial y} + (A_7 - \frac{\partial A_3}{\partial n}) n_2, \quad (4.13)$$

$$A_6 = u_z|_{\partial\Omega} = \frac{\partial A_3}{\partial z} + (A_7 - \frac{\partial A_3}{\partial n}) n_3, \quad (4.14)$$

where $n = (n_1, n_2, n_3)^T$ is the exterior normal vector to $\partial\Omega$.

Finally, from (3.90), we can get A_9 as follows,

$$\frac{A_9(P_0, t)}{2} = \psi_3(A_1, A_2, A_3, A_7, Z_1) + \int_{\partial\Omega} \Gamma_4(P_0, P_1)[\psi_3(A_1, A_2, A_3, A_7, Z_1)(P_1, t)]dS_{P_1} + C_0^*, \quad (4.15)$$

where C_0^* is a constant.

We can see that A_4, A_5, A_6, A_9 are all compact operators on Z_1 .

We know $v_1 * v_2 \in S'$ and $F(v_1 * v_2) = F(v_1)F(v_2)$ will still hold if $v_1 \in S, v_2 \in S'$. There is an example of this on pages 118 to 119 of [10].

We can see that $\forall \epsilon > 0, \delta_\epsilon \in S, F^{-1}(b_1^{-1}) \in S', F^{-1}(f_j) \in S', F^{-1}(f_{jk}) \in S', 1 \leq j, k \leq 3$. Hence we can get the following,

$$\begin{aligned} F^{-1}[\tilde{\delta}_\epsilon(i\xi)^\alpha b_1^{-1}] &= (\partial^\alpha \delta_\epsilon) * F^{-1}(b_1^{-1}), \\ F^{-1}[\tilde{\delta}_\epsilon(i\xi)^\alpha f_j] &= (\partial^\alpha \delta_\epsilon) *_{\partial\Omega} (A_3 n_j), \\ F^{-1}(\tilde{\delta}_\epsilon f_{jk}) &= \delta_\epsilon *_{\partial\Omega} (A_{j+3} n_k), \end{aligned}$$

where $1 \leq j, k \leq 3$.

We may denote that $T_{0\epsilon}(Z_1) = \delta_\epsilon * T_0(Z_1)$. From $\partial^\alpha \delta_\epsilon \in S$, we can get

$$(\partial^\alpha \delta_\epsilon) * F^{-1}(b_1^{-1}) \in C(R^4), (\partial^\alpha \delta_\epsilon * F^{-1}(b_1^{-1})) * (\psi(Z_1 I_{\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]})) \in C(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]).$$

We see that $\forall \epsilon > 0$, there is no $\delta(\partial\Omega)$ or $\partial F^{-1}(b_1^{-1})$ in $T_{0\epsilon}$ again. So $Z_1 I_{\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]} = T_{0\epsilon}(Z_1 I_{\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]})$ are ordinary integral equations. Moreover $T_{0\epsilon}(Z_1)$ is bounded uniformly and equicontinuous if $Z_1 \in C(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T])$ and bounded uniformly. From the Arzela-Ascoli theorem, we know $T_{0\epsilon}$ is a compact operator on Z_1 . And the Leray-Schauder degree can work now.

For the preliminaries, we have a lemma as follows.

Lemma 4.1 (1) *There exists $C > 0$, such that*

$$\|Z_1\|_{-m_1} \leq C \|Z_1\|_\infty, \quad \forall Z_1 \in C(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]). \quad (4.16)$$

(2) $\forall Z_1 \in C(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]), \|Z_1\|_\infty \leq M, M > 0, M$ is given, we have

$$\|T_0(Z_1)\|_{-m_1} < +\infty, \text{ and } \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \|T_{0\epsilon}(Z_1) - T_0(Z_1)\|_{-m_1} = 0, \text{ uniformly.} \quad (4.17)$$

(3) $T_0(Z_{1k}), k \geq 1$, is sequentially compact under norm $\|\cdot\|_{-m_1}$, if $Z_{1k} \in C(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]), \|Z_{1k}\|_\infty \leq M, k \geq 1, M > 0, M$ is given.

(4) $\forall \epsilon_0 > 0, \forall Z_1 \in C(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]), \|Z_1\|_\infty \leq M, M > 0, M$ is given, we have

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow \epsilon_0} \|[T_{0\epsilon}(Z_1) - T_{0\epsilon_0}(Z_1)]I_{\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]}\|_\infty = 0. \quad (4.18)$$

Proof of lemma 4.1. (1) From $\|Z_{1,i}\|_{-m_1} \leq \|Z_{1,i}\|_{L^2} \leq \|Z_{1,i}\|_\infty \sqrt{m(\overline{\Omega})T}, 1 \leq i \leq 33$, where $m(\overline{\Omega})$ is the Lebesgue measure of $\overline{\Omega}$. Hence we get $\|Z_1\|_{-m_1} \leq \|Z_1\|_\infty \sqrt{m(\overline{\Omega})T}$. We may let $C = \sqrt{m(\overline{\Omega})T}$. There will not exist $C > 0$, such that

$$\|Z_1\|_\infty \leq C \|Z_1\|_{-m_1}, \quad \forall Z_1 \in C(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]). \quad (4.19)$$

We may select $Z_{1k} \in C(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T])$, $k \geq 1$, $\|Z_{1k}\|_\infty \equiv 1$, but $Z_{1k} \rightarrow 0$, a.e., here a.e. means that almost everywhere. Then from the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, $\|Z_{1k}\|_{-m_1} \rightarrow 0$. Hence (4.19) will not stand. $\|Z_1\|_{-m_1}$ and $\|Z_1\|_\infty$ are not equivalent.

(2) At first, we prove $(1 + |\xi|^2)^{-c-3} B_1^{-1} \in L^1(R^4)$, where $c = \max\{\partial(b_1 B_1^{-1}), \partial(b_1 B_1^{-1} B_2)\}$, here $\partial(\cdot)$ means the highest degree.

We assume $B_1^{-1} = (b_{j, k, 1})_{33 \times 33}$. From the previous section, we can obtain that $b_1 B_1^{-1}$ is a polynomial matrix. Moreover, the least degree of $b_1 B_1^{-1}$ is 2. This means that there exists a constant $C_{4, 0} > 0$, such that $|b_1 b_{j, k, 1}| \leq C_{4, 0} |\xi|^2$, $1 \leq j, k \leq 33$, if $|\xi| \leq 1$.

We can work out the following,

$$\begin{aligned} |a|^2 &= \xi_0^2 + (\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2 + \xi_3^2)^2 \\ &= |\xi|^2 \cos^2 \theta + |\xi|^4 \sin^4 \theta \\ &= (|\xi|^2 - |\xi|^4) \cos^2 \theta + |\xi|^4 [(\sin^2 \theta - 1/2)^2 + 3/4] \\ &= (|\xi|^4 - |\xi|^2) \sin^4 \theta + |\xi|^2 [(\sin^2 \theta - 1/2)^2 + 3/4], \end{aligned}$$

where $\cos \theta = \xi_0/|\xi|$. So we obtain that $|a| \geq \sqrt{3}|\xi|^2/2$, if $|\xi| \leq 1$, $|a| \geq \sqrt{3}|\xi|/2$, if $|\xi| > 1$.

Hence, there exists a constant $C_{4, 1} > 0$, such that $|b_1 b_{j, k, 1}/a| \leq C_{4, 1}$, $1 \leq j, k \leq 33$, if $|\xi| \leq 1$.

By spherical coordinates transformation on R^4 ,

$$\begin{cases} \xi_1 = \rho \sin \theta \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2, \\ \xi_2 = \rho \sin \theta \sin \theta_1 \cos \theta_2, \\ \xi_3 = \rho \sin \theta \cos \theta_1, \\ \xi_0 = \rho \cos \theta, \theta, \theta_1 \in [0, \pi], \theta_2 \in [0, 2\pi], \end{cases},$$

and $d\xi_1 d\xi_2 d\xi_3 d\xi_0 = \rho^3 \sin^2 \theta \sin \theta_1 d\rho d\theta d\theta_1 d\theta_2$, we can obtain the following,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|\xi| \leq 1} (1 + |\xi|^2)^{-c-3} |b_{j, k, 1}| d\xi &= \int_{|\xi| \leq 1} (1 + |\xi|^2)^{-c-3} |(b_1 b_{j, k, 1}/a)| / |(aa_1)| d\xi \\ (|aa_1| = \rho^2 \sin^2 \theta) &\leq \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta_2 \int_0^\pi d\theta_1 \int_0^\pi d\theta \int_0^1 C_{4, 1} \rho \sin \theta_1 d\rho < +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

$1 \leq j, k \leq 33$.

Because $c = \max\{\partial(b_1 B_1^{-1}), \partial(b_1 B_1^{-1} B_2)\}$, there exists a constant $C_{4, 2} > 0$, such that $|b_1 b_{j, k, 1}| \leq C_{4, 2} (1 + |\xi|^2)^c$, $1 \leq j, k \leq 33$. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|\xi| > 1} (1 + |\xi|^2)^{-c-3} |b_{j, k, 1}| d\xi &= \int_{|\xi| > 1} (1 + |\xi|^2)^{-c-3} |(b_1 b_{j, k, 1}/a)| / |(aa_1)| d\xi \\ (|aa_1| = \rho^2 \sin^2 \theta) &\leq \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta_2 \int_0^\pi d\theta_1 \int_0^\pi d\theta \int_1^{+\infty} 2C_{4, 2} (1 + \rho^2)^{-3} \sin \theta_1 d\rho < +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

$1 \leq j, k \leq 33$.

So $(1 + |\xi|^2)^{-c-3} B_1^{-1} \in L^1(R^4)$.

Next we will prove $(F[T_0(Z_1)])F^{-1}(\varphi) \in L^1(R^4)$. We can work out the following,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle T_0(Z_1), \varphi \rangle &= \langle F[T_0(Z_1)], F^{-1}(\varphi) \rangle \\
&= \langle (B_1^{-1}\beta_1 + B_1^{-1}B_2FI(Z_2)), F^{-1}(\varphi) \rangle \\
&= \langle (B_1^{-1}\beta_1 + B_1^{-1}B_2FI(Z_2))(1 + |\xi|^2)^{-c-3}, \\
&\quad (1 + |\xi|^2)^{c+3}F^{-1}(\varphi) \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

From $\psi(Z_1)$ is a continuous, we get that β_1, Z_2 are all bounded.

We have the following,

$$\begin{aligned}
|(i\xi)^\alpha F^{-1}(\varphi)|/\|\varphi\|_{m_1} &\leq \int_{\Omega_1} |\partial^\alpha \varphi| dX / \|\varphi\|_{m_1} \\
&\leq \left(\int_{\Omega_1} |\partial^\alpha \varphi|^2 dX \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\Omega_1} dX \right)^{1/2} / \|\varphi\|_{m_1} \\
&\leq \sqrt{m(\bar{\Omega})} T,
\end{aligned}$$

where $|\alpha| \leq 6 + 2c$, $c = \max\{\partial(b_1 B_1^{-1}), \partial(b_1 B_1^{-1} B_2)\}$, $m_1 = 6 + 2c$.

Hence, we can obtain $(F[T_0(Z_1)])F^{-1}(\varphi) \in L^1(R^4)$, moreover

$$\|T_0(Z_1)\|_{-m_1} \leq C_{4, 3}, \quad (4.20)$$

where $C_{4, 3} > 0$, is a constant only related to M . It is not related with Z_1 .

We can work out as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle T_{0\epsilon}(Z_1) - T_0(Z_1), \varphi \rangle &= \langle F[T_{0\epsilon}(Z_1) - T_0(Z_1)], F^{-1}(\varphi) \rangle \\
&= \langle (\tilde{\delta}_\epsilon - 1)F[T_0(Z_1)], F^{-1}(\varphi) \rangle, \\
&= \langle (\tilde{\delta}_\epsilon - 1)(B_1^{-1}\beta_1 + B_1^{-1}B_2FI(Z_2)), F^{-1}(\varphi) \rangle \\
&= \langle (\tilde{\delta}_\epsilon - 1)(B_1^{-1}\beta_1 + B_1^{-1}B_2FI(Z_2))(1 + |\xi|^2)^{-c-3}, \\
&\quad (1 + |\xi|^2)^{c+3}F^{-1}(\varphi) \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we can get

$$\|T_{0\epsilon}(Z_1) - T_0(Z_1)\|_{-m_1} \leq \int_{R^4} |\tilde{\delta}_\epsilon - 1| |(B_1^{-1}\beta_1 + B_1^{-1}B_2FI(Z_2))(1 + |\xi|^2)^{-c-3}| \sqrt{m(\bar{\Omega})} T d\xi. \quad (4.21)$$

We can also work out the following,

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\delta}_\epsilon &= \int_{R^4} \frac{1}{(\sqrt{\pi\epsilon})^4} e^{-|X|^2/\epsilon} e^{-i\xi \cdot X} dX, \quad (X = \sqrt{\epsilon}Y, dX = (\sqrt{\epsilon})^4 dY) \\
&= \int_{R^4} \frac{1}{\pi^2} e^{-|Y|^2} e^{-i\xi \cdot \sqrt{\epsilon}Y} dY, \\
&= \int_{R^4} \frac{1}{\pi^2} e^{-|Y+i\sqrt{\epsilon}\xi/2|^2} e^{-\epsilon|\xi|^2/4} dY, \\
&= \int_{R^4} \frac{1}{\pi^2} e^{-|Y|^2} e^{-\epsilon|\xi|^2/4} dY = e^{-\epsilon|\xi|^2/4}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we use Cauchy contour integral as follows,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-(x+i\xi_1)^2} dx = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-x^2} dx, \quad \forall \xi_1 \in R. \quad (4.22)$$

We can get the following,

$$\int_{R^4} |\tilde{\delta}_\epsilon - 1| |(B_1^{-1}\beta_1 + B_1^{-1}B_2FI(Z_2))(1 + |\xi|^2)^{-c-3}| \sqrt{m(\overline{\Omega})} T d\xi = I_{3,1} + I_{3,2},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_{3,1} &= \int_{|\xi| > M_0} |\tilde{\delta}_\epsilon - 1| |(B_1^{-1}\beta_1 + B_1^{-1}B_2FI(Z_2))(1 + |\xi|^2)^{-c-3}| \sqrt{m(\overline{\Omega})} T d\xi, \\ I_{3,2} &= \int_{|\xi| \leq M_0} |\tilde{\delta}_\epsilon - 1| |(B_1^{-1}\beta_1 + B_1^{-1}B_2FI(Z_2))(1 + |\xi|^2)^{-c-3}| \sqrt{m(\overline{\Omega})} T d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

$\forall \epsilon' > 0$, there exists $M_0 > 0$, which is only related to M , such that $|I_{3,1}| \leq \epsilon'/2$. And for such M_0 , there exists $\delta_0 > 0$, such that $|I_{3,2}| \leq \epsilon'/2$, if $\epsilon \leq \delta_0$.

Hence, we obtain $\|T_{0\epsilon}(Z_1) - T_0(Z_1)\|_{-m_1} \rightarrow 0$, uniformly.

(3) We will prove $T_0(Z_{1k})$, $k \geq 1$, is totally bounded. From (2), $\forall \epsilon_1 > 0$, $\exists \delta_1 > 0$, such that

$$\|T_{0\epsilon}(Z_{1k}) - T_0(Z_{1k})\|_{-m_1} \leq \epsilon_1/3, \quad \forall \epsilon \in (0, \delta_1), \quad \forall k, \quad k \geq 1. \quad (4.23)$$

If we choose $\epsilon_0 \in (0, \delta_1)$, then $T_{0\epsilon_0}(Z_{1k})$, $k \geq 1$ is sequentially compact. There exist finite $\epsilon_1/(3C)$ net $T_{0\epsilon_0}(Z_{1k_1}), T_{0\epsilon_0}(Z_{1k_2}), \dots, T_{0\epsilon_0}(Z_{1k_s})$, where C is defined in (1).

This means that $\forall k, \exists l, 1 \leq l \leq s$, such that $\|T_{0\epsilon_0}(Z_{1k}) - T_{0\epsilon_0}(Z_{1k_l})\|_\infty \leq \epsilon_1/(3C)$.

From $\|T_{0\epsilon_0}(Z_{1k}) - T_0(Z_{1k})\|_{-m_1} \leq \epsilon_1/3$, $\|T_{0\epsilon_0}(Z_{1k}) - T_{0\epsilon_0}(Z_{1k_l})\|_{-m_1} \leq \epsilon_1/3$,

$\|T_{0\epsilon_0}(Z_{1k_l}) - T_0(Z_{1k_l})\|_{-m_1} \leq \epsilon_1/3$, we obtain $\|T_0(Z_{1k}) - T_0(Z_{1k_l})\|_{-m_1} \leq \epsilon_1$.

So $T_0(Z_{1k_1}), T_0(Z_{1k_2}), \dots, T_0(Z_{1k_s})$ is a finite ϵ_1 net for $T_0(Z_{1k})$, $k \geq 1$.

This means that $T_0(Z_{1k})$, $k \geq 1$, is totally bounded. From Hausdorff theorem on page 14 in [7],

$T_0(Z_{1k})$, $k \geq 1$, is sequentially compact under norm $\|\cdot\|_{-m_1}$.

(4) From $T_{0\epsilon, i}(Z_1) = \delta_\epsilon * T_{0, i}(Z_1)$, $1 \leq i \leq 33$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T_{0\epsilon, i}(Z_1) - T_{0\epsilon_0, i}(Z_1) &= (\delta_\epsilon - \delta_{\epsilon_0}) * T_{0, i}(Z_1) \\ &= F^{-1}(F((\delta_\epsilon - \delta_{\epsilon_0}) * T_{0, i}(Z_1))) \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int_{R^4} (e^{-\epsilon|\xi|^2/4} - e^{-\epsilon_0|\xi|^2/4}) F(T_{0, i}(Z_1)) e^{i\xi \cdot X} d\xi \\ &= I_{3,3} + I_{3,4}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_{3,3} &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int_{|\xi| > M_0} (e^{-\epsilon|\xi|^2/4} - e^{-\epsilon_0|\xi|^2/4}) (1 + |\xi|^2)^{c+3} (F(T_{0, i}(Z_1))) (1 + |\xi|^2)^{-c-3} e^{i\xi \cdot X} d\xi, \\ I_{3,4} &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int_{|\xi| \leq M_0} (e^{-\epsilon|\xi|^2/4} - e^{-\epsilon_0|\xi|^2/4}) (1 + |\xi|^2)^{c+3} (F(T_{0, i}(Z_1))) (1 + |\xi|^2)^{-c-3} e^{i\xi \cdot X} d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

From (2), we know $F(T_{0, i}(Z_1))(1 + |\xi|^2)^{-c-3} \in L^1(R^4)$, $1 \leq i \leq 33$.

If we let $\epsilon \in [\epsilon_0/2, 3\epsilon_0/2]$, then $\forall \epsilon' > 0$, there exists $M_0 > 0$, which is related to ϵ_0 , such that

$|I_{3, 3}| \leq \epsilon'/2$. And for such M_0 , there exists $\delta_0 \in (0, \epsilon_0/2)$, such that $|I_{3, 4}| \leq \epsilon'/2$, if $|\epsilon - \epsilon_0| \leq \delta_0$. So the statement holds. \square

We will use result (4) into Leray-Schauder degree. This is the reason why we choose δ_ϵ instead of $|I_{\{|X| \leq \epsilon\}}/|I_{\{|X| \leq \epsilon\}}|$, where

$$|I_{\{|X| \leq \epsilon\}}| = \int_{|X| \leq \epsilon} dX. \quad (4.24)$$

The latter will not satisfy (4). Maybe it works after being polished. We haven't tested it yet. Now we introduce Leray-Schauder degree.

Definition 4.2 Ω_0 is bounded open set of real Banach space B , $T : \overline{\Omega_0} \rightarrow B$ is totally continuous, $f(x) = x - T(x)$, $\forall x \in \overline{\Omega_0}$, $p \in B \setminus f(\partial\Omega_0)$,

$$\tau = \inf_{x \in \partial\Omega_0} \|f(x) - p\| > 0. \quad (4.25)$$

There exists $B^{(n)}$ is subspace of B with finite dimensions, $p \in B^{(n)}$, and there exists bounded continuous operator $T_n : \overline{\Omega_0} \rightarrow B^{(n)}$, such that

$$\|T(x) - T_n(x)\| < \tau, \forall x \in \overline{\Omega_0}. \quad (4.26)$$

Then Leray-Schauder degree of totally continuous field f is

$$\deg(f, \Omega_0, p) = \deg(f_n, \Omega_0, p), \quad (4.27)$$

where $f_n = I - T_n$, $\Omega_0, n = B^{(n)} \cap \Omega_0$.

Maybe you are not very familiar with Leray-Schauder degree or even you know nothing about it. That's not the problem. We only apply three primary theorems to $Z_1 = T_{0\epsilon}(Z_1)$, $\forall \epsilon > 0$. We write them together into a lemma as follows.

Lemma 4.2 (1)(Kronecker) If $\deg(f, \Omega_0, p) \neq 0$, then there exists solution for $f(x) = p$ in Ω_0 .

(2)(Rothe) If Ω_0 is bounded and open convex set in Banach space B , $T : \overline{\Omega_0} \rightarrow B$ is totally continuous, $T(\partial\Omega_0) \subset \overline{\Omega_0}$, and $T(x) \neq x$, $\forall x \in \partial\Omega_0$, then $\deg(I - T, \Omega_0, 0) \neq 0$.

(3) If $f = I - T$ and $f_1 = I - T_1$ are all totally continuous fields mapping from $\overline{\Omega_0}$ to Banach space B , p is not in $f(\partial\Omega_0) \cup f_1(\partial\Omega_0)$, moreover

$$\|T_1(x) - T(x)\| \leq \|x - T(x) - p\|, \forall x \in \partial\Omega_0, \quad (4.28)$$

then $\deg(f, \Omega_0, p) = \deg(f_1, \Omega_0, p)$.

(3) is called homotopic. You may read the explanation of definition and all the proofs of three primary theorems from page 135 to page 165 in [8]. We don't repeat them again.

We denote as follows,

$$\tau(M, \epsilon, T) = \inf_{\|Z_1\|_\infty = M} \|Z_1 - T_{0\epsilon}(Z_1)\|_\infty. \quad (4.29)$$

If time T is fixed, then we denote $\tau(M, \epsilon, T)$ into $\tau(M, \epsilon)$.

If $\tau(M, \epsilon, T) = 0$, then there exists a sequence Z_{1k} , $\|Z_{1k}\|_\infty = M$, $k \geq 1$, such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|Z_{1k} - T_{0\epsilon}(Z_{1k})\|_\infty = 0. \quad (4.30)$$

Because $T_{0\epsilon}$ is compact, there exist a sub-sequence Z_{1n_k} , $k \geq 1$, and $Z_{1\epsilon} \in C(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T])$, such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|Z_{1\epsilon} - T_{0\epsilon}(Z_{1n_k})\|_{\infty} = 0. \quad (4.31)$$

From (4.30), we obtain

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|Z_{1n_k} - T_{0\epsilon}(Z_{1n_k})\|_{\infty} = 0. \quad (4.32)$$

And we can get that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|Z_{1\epsilon} - Z_{1n_k}\|_{\infty} = 0. \quad (4.33)$$

Because $T_{0\epsilon}$ is continuous, we can obtain

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|T_{0\epsilon}(Z_{1\epsilon}) - T_{0\epsilon}(Z_{1n_k})\|_{\infty} = 0. \quad (4.34)$$

(4.31) and (4.34) mean that $Z_{1\epsilon} = T_{0\epsilon}(Z_{1\epsilon})$, where $Z_{1\epsilon} \in C(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T])$, $\|Z_{1\epsilon}\|_{\infty} = M$.

Now we see the solution of $Z_{1\epsilon} = T_{0\epsilon}(Z_{1\epsilon})$ as follows.

Theorem 4.1 (*Local existence*) *If the following condition stands,*

$$\exists M > 0, \exists \delta > 0, \exists \delta' > 0, \forall \epsilon \in (0, \delta], \forall T \in (0, \delta'], \text{ we have } \tau(M, \epsilon, T) > 0, \quad (4.35)$$

then $\exists \delta'' \in (0, \delta']$, $\forall T \in (0, \delta'']$, $\forall \epsilon \in (0, \delta]$, there exists $Z_{1\epsilon} \in C(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T])$, $\|Z_{1\epsilon}\|_{\infty} < M$ such that $Z_{1\epsilon} = T_{0\epsilon}(Z_{1\epsilon})$.

Proof of theorem 4.1. If we denote $\Omega_M = \{Z_1 \in C(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]) : \|Z_1\|_{\infty} < M\}$, then we will see $\forall T \in (0, \delta']$, $\text{deg}(Z_1 - T_{0\epsilon}(Z_1), \Omega_M, 0)$ keeping constant, $\forall \epsilon \in (0, \delta]$.

If we select $\epsilon_0 \in (0, \delta]$, then $\forall \epsilon_1 \in [\epsilon_0, \delta]$, according to (4) in lemma 4.1, we have $\exists \delta(\epsilon_1) > 0$, such that

$$\|[T_{0\epsilon_2}(Z_1) - T_{0\epsilon_1}(Z_1)]I_{\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]}\|_{\infty} \leq \tau(M, \epsilon_1, T), \forall Z_1 \in \Omega_M, \forall \epsilon_2 \in U(\epsilon_1, \delta(\epsilon_1)).$$

And from (3) in lemma 3.2, we obtain

$$\text{deg}(Z_1 - T_{0\epsilon_2}(Z_1), \Omega_M, 0) = \text{deg}(Z_1 - T_{0\epsilon_1}(Z_1), \Omega_M, 0), \forall \epsilon_2 \in U(\epsilon_1, \delta(\epsilon_1)).$$

Hence $\text{deg}(Z_1 - T_{0\epsilon_2}(Z_1), \Omega_M, 0)$ keep constant, $\forall \epsilon_2 \in U(\epsilon_1, \delta(\epsilon_1))$.

We can see that $U(\epsilon_1, \delta(\epsilon_1))$, $\forall \epsilon_1 \in [\epsilon_0, \delta]$ is an open cover for $[\epsilon_0, \delta]$. From Heine-Borel theorem, there exists finite sub-cover. This means that $\text{deg}(Z_1 - T_{0\epsilon_1}(Z_1), \Omega_M, 0)$ stays constant, $\forall \epsilon_1 \in [\epsilon_0, \delta]$.

From the arbitrary nature of ϵ_0 , we know $\text{deg}(Z_1 - T_{0\epsilon}(Z_1), \Omega_M, 0)$ keep constant, $\forall \epsilon \in (0, \delta]$.

Now we choose T is sufficiently small, such that $T_{0\delta}$ is a contract mapping.

There exists $\delta'' \in (0, \delta']$, $\forall T \in (0, \delta'']$, $T_{0\delta}(\partial\Omega_M) \subset \overline{\Omega_M}$. From Rothe theorem, (2) in lemma 4.2, we get $\text{deg}(Z_1 - T_{0\delta}(Z_1), \Omega_M, 0) \neq 0$.

Hence we obtain $\text{deg}(Z_1 - T_{0\epsilon}(Z_1), \Omega_M, 0) \neq 0$, $\forall \epsilon \in (0, \delta]$.

From Kronecker theorem, (1) in lemma 4.2, we know there exists $Z_{1\epsilon} \in \Omega_M$, such that $Z_{1\epsilon} = T_{0\epsilon}(Z_{1\epsilon})$, $\forall \epsilon \in (0, \delta]$. \square

If (4.35) is not true, then the following will stand,

$$\forall M > 0, \exists \epsilon_k > 0, \exists T_k > 0, \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \epsilon_k = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} T_k = 0, \text{ such that } \tau(M, \epsilon_k, T_k) \equiv 0, \forall k \geq 1. \quad (4.36)$$

This means that $\forall M > 0$, there exists $Z_1(M, \epsilon_k, T_k) \in C(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T])$, $k \geq 1$, such that

$$Z_1(M, \epsilon_k, T_k) = T_{0\epsilon_k}(Z_1(M, \epsilon_k, T_k)), \|Z_1(M, \epsilon_k, T_k)\|_\infty = M, \forall k \geq 1. \quad (4.37)$$

That's not easy. And if we take

$$M_2 - M_1 \geq M, Z_1(M_1, \epsilon_1, T_1) = Z_1(\epsilon_1, T_1), Z_1(M_2, \epsilon_2, T_2) = Z_1(\epsilon_2, T_2), \quad (4.38)$$

then we can get as follows, $\forall M > 0, \forall \delta > 0, \forall \delta' > 0, \exists \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \in (0, \delta], \exists T_1, T_2 \in (0, \delta']$, such that

$$\|Z_1(\epsilon_1, T_1) - Z_1(\epsilon_2, T_2)\|_\infty \geq M, \quad (4.39)$$

where $Z_1(\epsilon_j, T_j) = T_{0\epsilon_j}(Z_1(\epsilon_j, T_j))$, $j = 1, 2$. From (4.39) it looks something related to blow-up is happening.

Corollary 4.1 (*Global existence*) *If the following condition stands,*

$$\exists M > 0, \exists \delta > 0, \forall \epsilon \in (0, \delta], \tau(M, \epsilon) > 0, \text{ and } \exists \epsilon_0 \in (0, \delta], \deg(Z_1 - T_{0\epsilon_0}(Z_1), \Omega_M, 0) \neq 0, \quad (4.40)$$

then $\forall \epsilon \in (0, \delta]$, there exists $Z_{1\epsilon} \in \Omega_M$, such that $Z_{1\epsilon} = T_{0\epsilon}(Z_{1\epsilon})$.

Proof of corollary 4.1. We can obtain the proof by Theorem 4.1. \square

Next we see the solution of $Z_1^* = T_0(Z_1^*)$ is the following.

Theorem 4.2 (1) (*Strong solution*) $\forall \epsilon_k > 0, \epsilon_k \rightarrow 0, k \rightarrow +\infty, Z_{1\epsilon_k} \in \Omega_M, Z_{1\epsilon_k} = T_{0\epsilon_k}(Z_{1\epsilon_k}), k \geq 1$, there exist sub-series $n_k, k \geq 1$, and $Z_1^* \in H^{-m_1}(\Omega_1)$, such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|Z_{1\epsilon_{n_k}} - Z_1^*\|_{-m_1} = 0, \text{ moreover } \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|Z_{1\epsilon_{n_k}} - T_0(Z_{1\epsilon_{n_k}})\|_{-m_1} = 0. \quad (4.41)$$

If Z_1^* is locally integrable, then $\|Z_1^*\|_{L^\infty} \leq M$, where

$$\|Z_1^*\|_{L^\infty} = \max_{1 \leq i \leq 33} \|Z_{1,i}^*\|_{L^\infty}. \quad (4.42)$$

(2) (L^∞ solution) If there exist $\epsilon_k > 0, Z_{1\epsilon_k} \in \Omega_M, Z_{1\epsilon_k} = T_{0\epsilon_k}(Z_{1\epsilon_k}), k \geq 1$, moreover

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \epsilon_k = 0, \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} Z_{1\epsilon_k} \text{ exists almost everywhere on } \overline{\Omega} \times [0, T], \quad (4.43)$$

then there exists $Z_1^* \in L^\infty(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T])$, $\|Z_1^*\|_{L^\infty} \leq M$, such that $Z_1^* = T_0(Z_1^*)$.

Proof of theorem 4.2. (1) From (3) in lemma 3.1, we can get that there exist sub-series $n_k, k \geq 1$, and $Z_1^* \in H^{-m_1}(\Omega_1)$, such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \epsilon_{n_k} = 0, \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|T_0(Z_{1\epsilon_{n_k}}) - Z_1^*\|_{-m_1} = 0. \quad (4.44)$$

From $Z_{1\epsilon_{n_k}} = T_{0\epsilon_{n_k}}(Z_{1\epsilon_{n_k}})$, $k \geq 1$, and (2) in lemma 3.1, we can obtain (4.41) holds.

If $\|Z_1^*\|_{L^\infty} > M$, then there exists $Z_{1,i}^*$, such that $\|Z_{1,i}^*\|_{L^\infty} > M$.

Hence there exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$, such that $m(\Omega_i(\epsilon_0)) > 0$, where

$$\Omega_i(\epsilon_0) = \{X \in \overline{\Omega} \times [0, T] : |Z_{1,i}^*(X)| \geq M + \epsilon_0\}. \quad (4.45)$$

Because $m(\Omega_i(\epsilon_0)) = m(\Omega_i^+(\epsilon_0)) + m(\Omega_i^-(\epsilon_0))$, where

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_i^+(\epsilon_0) &= \{X \in \bar{\Omega} \times [0, T] : Z_{1,i}^*(X) \geq M + \epsilon_0\}, \\ \Omega_i^-(\epsilon_0) &= \{X \in \bar{\Omega} \times [0, T] : Z_{1,i}^*(X) \leq -(M + \epsilon_0)\},\end{aligned}$$

we know at least one of $m(\Omega_i^+(\epsilon_0))$, $m(\Omega_i^-(\epsilon_0))$ is bigger than 0.

We assume as well $m(\Omega_i^+(\epsilon_0)) > 0$. If we choose $\varphi_0 \in C_0^\infty(\Omega_i^+(\epsilon_0))$, and $\varphi_0 \geq 0$, $\text{supp}(\varphi_0) \neq \emptyset$, then we can get that

$$\|Z_{1\epsilon_{n_k}} - Z_1^*\|_{-m_1} \geq \frac{\int_{\Omega_i^+(\epsilon_0)} \epsilon_0 \varphi_0 dX}{\|\varphi_0\|_{m_1}} > 0. \quad (4.46)$$

That's contradict with (4.41).

(2) From (4.43), we know there exist Z_1^* , such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} Z_{1\epsilon_k} = Z_1^*, \text{ a.e.} \quad (4.47)$$

Because $Z_{1\epsilon_k} \in \Omega_M$, we get $Z_1^* \in L^\infty(\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T])$, moreover $\|Z_1^*\|_{L^\infty} \leq M$.

From $\|Z_{1\epsilon_k} - Z_1^*\|_{-m_1} \leq \|Z_{1\epsilon_k} - Z_1^*\|_{L^2}$, where

$$\|Z_{1\epsilon_k} - Z_1^*\|_{L^2} = \max_{1 \leq i \leq 33} \|Z_{1,i,\epsilon_k} - Z_{1,i}^*\|_{L^2}, \quad (4.48)$$

and Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we obtain

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|Z_{1\epsilon_k} - Z_1^*\|_{-m_1} = 0. \quad (4.49)$$

At last we see $\|T_0(Z_{1\epsilon_k}) - T_0(Z_1^*)\|_{-m_1}$. From

$$\langle T_0(Z_{1\epsilon_k}) - T_0(Z_1^*), \varphi \rangle = \langle F[T_0(Z_{1\epsilon_k}) - T_0(Z_1^*)], F^{-1}(\varphi) \rangle, \quad (4.50)$$

we only need to discuss $\psi(Z_{1\epsilon_k}) - \psi(Z_1^*)$ in $F[T_0(Z_{1\epsilon_k}) - T_0(Z_1^*)]$. Because ψ is continuous, we can get there exists a constant $C_M > 0$, such that

$$\|\psi(Z_{1\epsilon_k}) - \psi(Z_1^*)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_M. \quad (4.51)$$

Again from Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we obtain

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|T_0(Z_{1\epsilon_k}) - T_0(Z_1^*)\|_{-m_1} = 0. \quad (4.52)$$

Together with $Z_{1\epsilon_k} = T_{0\epsilon_k}(Z_{1\epsilon_k})$, we obtain $\|Z_1^* - T_0(Z_1^*)\|_{-m_1} = 0$. This means $Z_1^* = T_0(Z_1^*)$, a.e. which completed the statement. \square

From the previous theorem and corollary, we know that the strong solution will exist locally under the condition (4.35) and exist globally under the condition (4.40).

Finally, we discuss a little more for L^∞ solution as follows.

Theorem 4.3 *A necessary and sufficient condition for (4.43) holding is that there exist $\eta_k > 0$, $Z_{1\eta_k} \in \Omega_M$, $Z_{1\eta_k} = T_{0\eta_k}(Z_{1\eta_k})$, $k \geq 1$, moreover*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \eta_k = 0, \quad \lim_{k, l \rightarrow +\infty} \|Z_{1\eta_k} - Z_{1\eta_l}\|_{L^2} = 0. \quad (4.53)$$

Proof of theorem 4.3. Necessity. If (4.43) holds, then there exists $Z_1^* \in L^\infty(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T])$, such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|Z_{1\epsilon_k} - Z_1^*\|_{L^2} = 0. \quad (4.54)$$

If we let $\eta_k = \epsilon_k$, $k \geq 1$, then (4.53) stands.

Sufficiency. If (4.53) holds, then $Z_{1\eta_k}$, $k \geq 1$, are convergent by the Lebesgue measure as follows,

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \lim_{k, l \rightarrow +\infty} m(\{X \in \overline{\Omega} \times [0, T] : \|Z_{1\eta_k} - Z_{1\eta_l}\|_\infty \geq \epsilon\}) = 0. \quad (4.55)$$

From the Riesz theorem on page 142 in [14], we will see that there exist sub-series n_k , $k \geq 1$, such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \eta_{n_k} = 0, \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} Z_{1\eta_{n_k}} \text{ exists almost everywhere on } \overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]. \quad (4.56)$$

If we let $\epsilon_k = \eta_{n_k}$, then (4.43) stands. \square

We know $L^2(\Omega_1)$ is not completed under norm $\|\cdot\|_{-m_1}$. After being completed, it will be $H^{-m_1}(\Omega_1)$.

So there exists $f_k \in L^2(\Omega_1)$, $k \geq 1$, such that

$$\lim_{k, l \rightarrow +\infty} \|f_k - f_l\|_{-m_1} = 0, \text{ and } \|f_k - f_l\|_{L^2} \geq c > 0, \forall k \neq l. \quad (4.57)$$

From (4.53), we know that (4.43) will not always stand. But we can see that it holds in many cases such as the following.

Theorem 4.4 *A sufficient condition for (4.43) holding is that there exist $\epsilon_k > 0$, $\epsilon_k \rightarrow 0$, $k \rightarrow +\infty$, $Z_{1\epsilon_k} \in \Omega_M$, $Z_{1\epsilon_k} = T_{0\epsilon_k}(Z_{1\epsilon_k})$, $k \geq 1$, such that $\forall c > 0$, $\exists d > 0$, $\forall k, l, i$, $1 \leq i \leq 33$, we have*

$$m(\Omega_{k, l, i}^+(d) \cup \Omega_{k, l, i}^-(d)) \leq c, \quad (4.58)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{k, l, i}^+ &= \{X \in \overline{\Omega} \times [0, T] : Z_{1, i, \epsilon_k} - Z_{1, i, \epsilon_l} \geq 0\}, \\ \Omega_{k, l, i}^- &= \{X \in \overline{\Omega} \times [0, T] : Z_{1, i, \epsilon_k} - Z_{1, i, \epsilon_l} < 0\}, \\ \Omega_{k, l, i}^+(d) &= \{X \in \overline{\Omega} \times [0, T] : \text{dist}(X, \partial\Omega_{k, l, i}^+) \leq d\}, \\ \Omega_{k, l, i}^-(d) &= \{X \in \overline{\Omega} \times [0, T] : \text{dist}(X, \partial\Omega_{k, l, i}^-) \leq d\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of theorem 4.4. From Theorem 3.2, we know there exist sub-series n_k , $k \geq 1$, such that

$$\lim_{k, l \rightarrow +\infty} \|Z_{1\epsilon_{n_k}} - Z_{1\epsilon_{n_l}}\|_{-m_1} = 0. \quad (4.59)$$

We will prove that $\forall i$, $1 \leq i \leq 33$, $Z_{1, i, \epsilon_{n_k}}$, $k \geq 1$, is convergent by the Lebesgue measure.

If that is not true, then there exists i_0 , $1 \leq i_0 \leq 33$, $\exists a_2 > 0$, $\exists b_2 > 0$, and sub-series k_j , l_j , $j \geq 1$, such that

$$m(\{X \in \overline{\Omega} \times [0, T] : |Z_{1, i_0, \epsilon_{n_{k_j}}} - Z_{1, i_0, \epsilon_{n_{l_j}}}| \geq a_2\}) \geq b_2, \quad j \geq 1. \quad (4.60)$$

We denote this in an easy way as follows, $\forall j$, $j \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} f_j &= Z_{1, i_0, \epsilon_{n_{k_j}}} - Z_{1, i_0, \epsilon_{n_{l_j}}}, \\ \Omega_j^+ &= \{X \in \overline{\Omega} \times [0, T] : f_j \geq 0\}, \\ \Omega_j^- &= \{X \in \overline{\Omega} \times [0, T] : f_j < 0\}, \\ \Omega_j^+(d) &= \{X \in \overline{\Omega} \times [0, T] : \text{dist}(X, \partial\Omega_j^+) \leq d\}, \\ \Omega_j^-(d) &= \{X \in \overline{\Omega} \times [0, T] : \text{dist}(X, \partial\Omega_j^-) \leq d\}. \end{aligned}$$

From (4.58), we can obtain that there exists $d_0 > 0$, such that

$$m(\Omega_j^+(d_0) \cup \Omega_j^-(d_0)) \leq \frac{a_2 b_2}{4M}. \quad (4.61)$$

If we let $\varphi_j \in C_0^\infty(\Omega_1)$, $j \geq 1$, as follows,

$$\varphi_j(X) = \int_{\Omega_j^+ \setminus \Omega_j^+(d_0)} \alpha_{d_0}(X - Y) dY - \int_{\Omega_j^- \setminus \Omega_j^-(d_0)} \alpha_{d_0}(X - Y) dY, \quad j \geq 1, \quad (4.62)$$

where

$$\alpha_{d_0}(X) = \frac{1}{d_0^4} \alpha\left(\frac{X}{d_0}\right), \quad \alpha(X) = \begin{cases} C e^{1/(|X|^2-1)}, & |X| < 1, \\ 0, & |X| \geq 1. \end{cases}, \quad C = \left(\int_{|X| < 1} e^{1/(|X|^2-1)} dX \right)^{-1},$$

then $\varphi_j = 1$ on $\Omega_j^+ \setminus \Omega_j^+(d_0)$, $\varphi_j \in [0, 1]$ on $\Omega_j^+(d_0)$, and $\varphi_j = -1$ on $\Omega_j^- \setminus \Omega_j^-(d_0)$, $\varphi_j \in [-1, 0]$ on $\Omega_j^-(d_0)$.

Moreover, we can get as follows,

$$|\partial^\gamma \varphi_j| \leq 2 \int_{\Omega_1} |\partial^\gamma \alpha_{d_0}(X - Y)| dY, \quad 0 \leq |\gamma| \leq m_1. \quad (4.63)$$

Hence, there exists $d_1 > 0$, such that

$$\|\varphi_j\|_{m_1} \leq d_1, \quad \forall j, \quad j \geq 1. \quad (4.64)$$

From

$$|\langle f_j, \varphi_j \rangle| \geq |\langle f_j, \text{sign} f_j \rangle| - |\langle f_j, \text{sign} f_j - \varphi_j \rangle| \geq a_2 b_2 - 2M \frac{a_2 b_2}{4M} = \frac{a_2 b_2}{2},$$

where

$$\text{sign} f_j = \begin{cases} 1, & f_j \geq 0, \\ -1, & f_j < 0. \end{cases},$$

we can obtain that

$$\|f_j\|_{-m_1} \geq \frac{|\langle f_j, \varphi_j \rangle|}{\|\varphi_j\|_{m_1}} \geq \frac{a_2 b_2}{2d_1}. \quad (4.65)$$

This contradicts (4.59).

So $\forall i, 1 \leq i \leq 33$, $Z_{1, i, \epsilon_{n_k}}, k \geq 1$, is convergent by the Lebesgue measure. From the Riesz theorem on page 142 in [7], we know that (4.43) holds. \square

If (4.58) is not true, then $\forall \epsilon_k > 0$, $\epsilon_k \rightarrow 0$, $k \rightarrow +\infty$, $Z_{1\epsilon_k} \in \Omega_M$, $Z_{1\epsilon_k} = T_{0\epsilon_k}(Z_{1\epsilon_k})$, $k \geq 1$, $\exists c > 0$, $\forall d_j > 0$, $\exists k_j, l_j, i_j, 1 \leq i_j \leq 33$, such that

$$m(\Omega_{k_j, l_j, i_j}^+(d_j) \cup \Omega_{k_j, l_j, i_j}^-(d_j)) \geq c. \quad (4.66)$$

If we let $d_j \rightarrow 0$, $j \rightarrow +\infty$, then we can get the following,

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} S(\partial \Omega_{k_j, l_j, i_j}^+) = +\infty, \quad (4.67)$$

where

$$S(\partial\Omega_{k_j, l_j, i_j}^+) = \int_{\{X \in \bar{\Omega} \times [0, T]: Z_{1, i_j, \epsilon_{k_j}} - Z_{1, i_j, \epsilon_{l_j}} = 0\}} dS.$$

And the following is another sufficient condition for (4.43) holding,

$$\exists \epsilon_k > 0, Z_{1\epsilon_k} \in \Omega_M, Z_{1\epsilon_k} = T_{0\epsilon_k}(Z_{1\epsilon_k}), k \geq 1, \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \epsilon_k = 0, \text{ and } \sup_{k, l, i} S(\partial\Omega_{k, l, i}^+) < +\infty, \quad (4.68)$$

where

$$S(\partial\Omega_{k, l, i}^+) = \int_{\{X \in \bar{\Omega} \times [0, T]: Z_{1, i, \epsilon_k} - Z_{1, i, \epsilon_l} = 0\}} dS, k \neq l, 1 \leq i \leq 33.$$

We may define blow-up if one of the following happens,

(1)(4.35) is not true,

$$\forall M > 0, \exists \epsilon_k > 0, \exists T_k > 0, \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \epsilon_k = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} T_k = 0, \text{ such that } \tau(M, \epsilon_k, T_k) \equiv 0, \forall k \geq 1. \quad (4.69)$$

(2)(4.40) is not true,

$$\forall M > 0, \forall \delta > 0, \exists \epsilon \in (0, \delta], \tau(M, \epsilon) = 0, \text{ or } \forall \epsilon_0 \in (0, \delta], \text{deg}(Z_1 - T_{0\epsilon_0}(Z_1), \Omega_M, 0) \equiv 0, \quad (4.70)$$

(3)The strong solution is not unique. If there exist at least two strong solutions $Z_1^*, Z_1^{*'}$, then we can get that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \epsilon_k = 0, \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|Z_{1\epsilon_k} - Z_1^*\|_{-m_1} = 0, \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|Z_{1\epsilon_k} - T_0(Z_{1\epsilon_k})\|_{-m_1} = 0, \quad (4.71)$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \eta_k = 0, \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|Z_{1\eta_k} - Z_1^{*'}\|_{-m_1} = 0, \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|Z_{1\eta_k} - T_0(Z_{1\eta_k})\|_{-m_1} = 0. \quad (4.72)$$

If we assuming that

$$\epsilon_0 = \|Z_1^* - Z_1^{*'}\|_{-m_1}, \quad (4.73)$$

then there exists $N > 0, \forall k \geq N$, we have

$$\|Z_{1\epsilon_k} - Z_1^*\|_{-m_1} \leq \frac{\epsilon_0}{4}, \|Z_{1\eta_k} - Z_1^{*'}\|_{-m_1} \leq \frac{\epsilon_0}{4}. \quad (4.74)$$

But we can obtain the following,

$$\|Z_{1\epsilon_k} - Z_{1\eta_k}\|_{-m_1} \geq \|Z_1^* - Z_1^{*'}\|_{-m_1} - \|Z_{1\epsilon_k} - Z_1^*\|_{-m_1} - \|Z_{1\eta_k} - Z_1^{*'}\|_{-m_1} \geq \frac{\epsilon_0}{2}. \quad (4.75)$$

This means that $\exists \epsilon_0 > 0, \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \epsilon_1 > 0, \epsilon_2 > 0, |\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2| \leq \epsilon, Z_{1\epsilon_1} = T_{0\epsilon_1}(Z_{1\epsilon_1}), Z_{1\epsilon_2} = T_{0\epsilon_2}(Z_{1\epsilon_2})$, such that

$$\|Z_{1\epsilon_1} - Z_{1\epsilon_2}\|_{-m_1} \geq \frac{\epsilon_0}{2}. \quad (4.76)$$

This also means that the solution of the equation $Z_{1\epsilon} = T_{0\epsilon}(Z_{1\epsilon})$ is not stable on ϵ .

(4)(4.58) is not true, $\forall \epsilon_k > 0, \epsilon_k \rightarrow 0, k \rightarrow +\infty, Z_{1\epsilon_k} \in \Omega_M, Z_{1\epsilon_k} = T_{0\epsilon_k}(Z_{1\epsilon_k}), k \geq 1, \exists c > 0, \forall d_j > 0, \exists k_j, l_j, i_j, 1 \leq i_j \leq 33$, such that

$$m(\Omega_{k_j, l_j, i_j}^+(d_j) \cup \Omega_{k_j, l_j, i_j}^-(d_j)) \geq c. \quad (4.77)$$

If we let $d_j \rightarrow 0$, $j \rightarrow +\infty$, then we can get the following,

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} S(\partial\Omega_{k_j, l_j, i_j}^+) = +\infty, \quad (4.78)$$

where

$$S(\partial\Omega_{k_j, l_j, i_j}^+) = \int_{\{X \in \overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]: Z_{1, i_j, \epsilon_{k_j}} - Z_{1, i_j, \epsilon_{l_j}} = 0\}} dS.$$

Each of them deserves to be discussed more carefully.

If there is no blow-up, then we obtain $u^* \in W^{2, +\infty}(\overline{\Omega})$, $p^* \in W^{1, +\infty}(\overline{\Omega})$, if $Z_1^* = T_0(Z_1^*)$, $Z_1^* \in L^\infty(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T])$, where $Z_1^* = (u^*, p^*, \partial u^* \setminus u_{1x}^*, \partial^2 u^*, \text{grad} p^*)^T$. Here $W^{1, +\infty}(\overline{\Omega})$, $W^{2, +\infty}(\overline{\Omega})$ are Sobolev spaces defined on page 153 in [2]. From the condition that domain Ω satisfies a uniform exterior and interior cone, if Ω is bounded, $\partial\Omega \in C^{1, \alpha}$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, we can get that $u^* \in C^{1, 1}(\overline{\Omega})$, $p^* \in C^{0, 1}(\overline{\Omega})$ by imbedding. By using Morrey's inequality defined on page 163 in [2], we get u^* is twice classically differentiable and p^* is classically differentiable almost everywhere in $\overline{\Omega}$. If we looked back, we should have defined the classical solution as the L^∞ solution of $Z_1^* = T_0(Z_1^*)$. It would always exist and be unique except the blow-up.

If $F(T_0(Z_1^*))$ is analytical, then u^* and p^* satisfy Eqs(1.1) and (1.2) almost everywhere in $\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]$, where $F(T_0(Z_1^*))$ is the Fourier transform of $T_0(Z_1^*)$. That is near our goal.

5 Leray-Schauder degree

In this section, we will discuss the the Leray-Schauder degree of nonlinear integral equation of Hammerstein type as follows,

$$f(X) = g(X) + T(f(X)), \quad \forall X \in \overline{\Omega}_1, \quad (5.1)$$

where

$$T(f(X)) = \int_{\Omega_1} k(X, Y)\psi(Y, f(Y))dY, \quad (5.2)$$

$X = (x, y, z, t)^T$, $Y = (x_1, y_1, z_1, t_1)^T$, $\Omega_1 = \Omega \times (0, T)$, $f(X)$ is an unknown continuous function on $\overline{\Omega}_1$, $g(X)$ is a known continuous function on $\overline{\Omega}_1$, $k(X, Y)$ is a known continuous function on $\overline{\Omega}_1 \times \overline{\Omega}_1$, ψ is a known continuous function on $\overline{\Omega}_1 \times [-M, M]$.

From the definition of the Leray-Schauder degree on page 138 to page 139 in [8], we can work out the Leray-Schauder degree of Eq(5.1) directly as follows.

By the Weirstrass theorem, we know there exist two polynomials

$$k_N(X, Y) = \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^N C_\alpha(Y)X^\alpha, \quad g_N(X) = \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^N g_\alpha X^\alpha, \quad (5.3)$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4)^T$, $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4$, $X^\alpha = x^{\alpha_1}y^{\alpha_2}z^{\alpha_3}t^{\alpha_4}$, $C_\alpha(Y)$, $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq N$, are all polynomials of Y , moreover $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4$ are all nonnegative whole numbers, g_α , $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq N$, are all real numbers, such that $\forall f(X) \in \Omega_M = \{f(X) : \|f(X)\|_\infty < M\}$, we have

$$\left\| \int_{\Omega_1} (k(X, Y) - k_N(X, Y))\psi(Y, f(Y))dY \right\|_\infty \leq \frac{\tau}{3}, \quad \|g(X) - g_N(X)\|_\infty \leq \frac{\tau}{3}, \quad (5.4)$$

τ is defined as follows,

$$\tau = \inf_{\|f(X)\|_\infty = M} \|f(X) - T(f(X)) - g(X)\|_\infty > 0. \quad (5.5)$$

From the Combination theory, the number of the solutions of $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4$ is that $C_{3+|\alpha|}^3$. The number of all the items X^α , $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq N$, is that $L_N = C_3^3 + C_4^3 + \cdots + C_{3+N}^3 = C_{4+N}^4$. By the homotopic, we have the following,

$$\deg(f(X) - T(f(X)), \Omega_M, g(X)) = \deg(f(X) - T(f(X)), \Omega_M, g_N(X)). \quad (5.6)$$

If we assume

$$T_N(f(X)) = \int_{\Omega_1} k_N(X, Y)\psi(Y, f(Y))dY, \quad (5.7)$$

then from (5.4) we have

$$\|T(f(X)) - T_N(f(X))\|_\infty \leq \frac{\tau}{3}, \quad \forall f(X) \in \Omega_M. \quad (5.8)$$

Moreover,

$$T_N(f(X)) = \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^N \left(\int_{\Omega_1} C_\alpha(Y)\psi(Y, f(Y))dY \right) X^\alpha \in E_N, \quad (5.9)$$

where E_N is the sub-space with finite dimensions generated by X^α , $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq N$.

From the definition of the Leray-Schauder degree, we can obtain the following,

$$\deg(f(X) - T(f(X)), \Omega_M, g_N(X)) = \deg(f(X) - T_N(f(X)), \Omega_{M, 1}, g_N(X)), \quad (5.10)$$

where $\Omega_{M, 1} = \Omega_M \cap E_N$.

If we denote

$$f(X) = \tilde{X}^T D_N, \quad \tilde{X} = (X^\alpha, 0 \leq |\alpha| \leq N)^T, \quad (5.11)$$

$$D_N = (D_\alpha, 0 \leq |\alpha| \leq N)^T \in R^{L_N}, \quad (5.12)$$

$$T_N(f(X)) = \int_{\Omega_1} k_N(X, Y)\psi(Y, f(Y))dY \quad (5.13)$$

$$= \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^N \left(\int_{\Omega_1} C_\alpha(Y)\psi(Y, \tilde{Y}^T D_N)dY \right) X^\alpha, \quad (5.14)$$

$$= \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^N \phi_\alpha(D_N) X^\alpha = \tilde{X}^T \phi(D_N), \quad (5.15)$$

$$\phi_\alpha(D_N) = \int_{\Omega_1} C_\alpha(Y)\psi(Y, \tilde{Y}^T D_N)dY, \quad (5.16)$$

$$\phi(D_N) = (\phi_\alpha(D_N), 0 \leq |\alpha| \leq N)^T, \quad (5.17)$$

$$g_N = (g_\alpha, 0 \leq |\alpha| \leq N)^T, \quad (5.18)$$

$$\Omega_{M, 2} = \{D_N \in R^{L_N} : \|\tilde{X}^T D_N\|_\infty < M\}, \quad (5.19)$$

then we obtain $f(X) = T_N(f(X)) + g_N(X)$, $f(X) \in \Omega_{M, 1}$, is equivalent to

$$D_N = \phi(D_N) + g_N, D_N \in \Omega_{M, 2}. \quad (5.20)$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\deg(f(X) - T(f(X)), \Omega_M, g_N(X)) = \deg(D_N - \phi(D_N), \Omega_{M, 2}, g_N). \quad (5.21)$$

From (5.16), we can see that $\phi(D_N)$ is explicit. At this time, we can work out the finite dimensions Brouwer degree $\deg(D_N - \phi(D_N), \Omega_{M, 2}, g_N)$ directly. From the definition of the Brouwer degree on page 89 in [8], we only need to calculate the double integral on $\Omega_{M, 2} \times \Omega_1$. This is just what we want, the Leray-Schauder degree of Eq(5.1).

As τ is fixed, we don't need that N is sufficient big. This is the reason why we haven't discuss the priori estimation yet.

By the same way, we can also work out the Leray-Schauder degree of Eq(5.1) even if

$$T(f(X)) = \int_{\Omega_1} G(X, Y, f(Y))dY, \quad (5.22)$$

where G is a known continuous function on $\overline{\Omega_1} \times \overline{\Omega_1} \times [-M, M]$.

We only need to change $k_N(X, Y)$ into the following,

$$k_N(X, Y, f(Y)) = \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^N C_{\alpha, 1}(Y, f(Y))X^\alpha, \quad (5.23)$$

where $C_{\alpha, 1}(Y, f(Y))$, $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq N$, are all polynomials of $Y, f(Y)$.

6 Declarations

Availability of supporting data

This paper is available to all supporting data.

Competing interests

We declare that we have no competing interests.

Funding

The author was supported by mathematics research fund of Hohai university, No. 1014-414126.

Authors' contributions

We have transformed Navier-Stokes equations into the equivalent generalized integral equations. Moreover, we discuss the existence for the classical solution by Leray-Schauder degree and Sobolev space.

Acknowledgements

We would like to give our best thanks to Prof. Mark Edelman in Yeshiva University, Prof. Caisheng Chen in Hohai University, Prof. Junxiang Xu in Southeast University, Prof. Zuodong Yang in Nanjing Normal University, Prof. Jishan Fan in Nanjing Forestry University for their guidance and other helps.

Authors' information

Jianfeng Wang, Prof. in the Mathematics department of Hohai University.

Email: wjf19702014@163.com, Acadmic email: 20020001@hhu.edu.cn.

Orcid: 0000-0002-3129-756x.

References

- [1] L. Hörmander, The analysis of linear partial differential operators I, Springer-Verlag, 1983, page 181 to page 183.
- [2] Gilbarg D and Trudinger N S. Elliptic partial differential equations of second order [M]. 2nd ED. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1983, page 144 to page 177.
- [3] Yazhe, Chen, Elliptic partial differential equation and Elliptic partial differential equations of second order, Science education press, 1991.
- [4] Lawrence C. Evans, Partial Differential Equations, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Volume 19, American Mathematical Society, 1997.
- [5] Gongqing Zhang, Yuanqu Lin, Functional analysis, Peking university press, 2010, page 195.
- [6] Chaohao Gu, Zhengfan Xu, Daqian Li, Zongyi Hou, Likang Li, The mathematical-physics equations, (second edition), Science and Technology press of Shanghai, 1978, page 173 to page 201.
- [7] Mingqiang Zhou, Theory on real function, Peking university press, 2000, page 136.
- [8] Dajun Guo, Nonlinear Functional Analysis, (second edition), Science and Technology press of Shandong, 2001, page 135 to page 165.
- [9] Daqian Li, Tiehu Qing, Physics and partial differential equations, (second edition), Higher education press, 2005, page 112 to page 115.
- [10] Shuxing Chen, The general theory of the partial differential equation, Higher education press, 1981, page 118 to page 119, page 130.
- [11] Caisheng Chen, Gang Li, Jidong Chou, Wenchu Wang, The mathematical-physics equations, Science education press, 2008, page 219.
- [12] Tian Ma, The theory and method of partial differential equations, Science education press, 2011.